INTRODUCTION: At the Alzheimer’s Association’s APOE and Immunity virtual conference, held in October 2021, leading neuroscience experts shared recent research advances on and inspiring insights into the various roles that both the apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) and facets of immunity play in neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease and other dementias.

METHODS: The meeting brought together more than 1200 registered attendees from 62 different countries, representing the realms of academia and industry.

RESULTS: During the 4-day meeting, presenters illuminated aspects of the cross-talk between APOE and immunity, with a focus on the roles of microglia, triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2), and components of inflammation (e.g., tumor necrosis factor α [TNFα]).

DISCUSSION: This manuscript emphasizes the importance of diversity in current and future research and presents an integrated view of innate immune functions in Alzheimer’s disease as well as related promising directions in drug development.
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INTRODUCTION

The two well-established hallmark pathologies of Alzheimer’s disease (AD)—extracellular plaques of aggregated amyloid beta (Aβ) and intraneuronal tangles of hyperphosphorylated, aggregated tau—characterize all cases of AD and have been shown to play a direct role in AD-related neurodegeneration.1–3 However, there is evidence that the precise mechanisms that lead to the development of characteristic AD pathology may differ among individuals. Less than 1% of individuals who develop AD have an early-onset form of the disease that is solely due to mutations in genes involved in Aβ processing, including amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 (PSEN1), and presenilin 2 (PSEN2).4,5 In an effort to discover mechanisms that lead to AD in the remaining 99% of individuals who have a sporadic form of the disease that most often results in late-onset AD (LOAD), researchers have used genome-wide association studies (GWASs) and whole genome/whole exome sequencing (WGS/WES) studies to identify more than 30 AD-related risk loci.6 Among gene variants that are associated with an increased risk for LOAD, more than half are linked to immune cell function. To date, the strongest known genetic risk factor for LOAD is the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 variant,7–9 which, during the past decade, has been hypothesized to play a role in AD largely through its immunomodulatory functions.10 The triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2), which is expressed by microglia in the central nervous system (CNS), likely plays a significant role in the immunomodulatory functions of APOE, and genetic studies show that rare TREM2 variants are among important risk factors for AD. In turn, microglia play a principal role in the neuroinflammation that accompanies the accumulation of Aβ during earlier stages of disease, as well as immune dysregulation that modulates disease progression throughout the course of AD.11 Building on a foundation of established and strongly suspected roles of APOE, TREM2, microglia, and immune changes in AD, current research focuses on understanding these roles while identifying new intricately linked biological/pathophysiological mechanisms and pathways, with the goal of determining how the modulation of one or more of these components might be effectively targeted in drug development. (Supporting Information)

APOLIPOPROTEIN E BIOLOGY

Apolipoprotein E (apoE) is the primary transporter of lipids and cholesterol in the brain and plays critical roles in both the metabolism of lipoproteins and the redistribution of cholesterol. Because of these functions, apoE has long been a focus of research related to atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease.12 Outside of the CNS, apoE is generated primarily by the liver, whereas in the brain, apoE is produced mainly by astrocytes. In the brain, microglia and neurons also are capable of generating apoE during times of stress.13,14 Although a primary function of apoE is to reduce intracellular cholesterol levels by effluxing lipids, it also plays integral roles in the overall health of the brain and in the progression and development of Alzheimer’s disease (or AD).

Three apoE isoforms affect the extent to which its functions are executed, and are characterized by varying risks for the development of AD. APOE ε3, which is present in ≈78% of the general population, is the most common of the three and is considered the standard “baseline” or “control” allele in AD research.15 The APOE ε4 allele, which is present in ≈14% of the general population, significantly increases the risk of developing AD relative to APOE ε3, such that APOE ε4 homoygotes are known to have the greatest risk for AD, with an estimated 10- to 15-fold increase in risk in Caucasian populations (risk varies by race and ethnicity). The APOE ε2 allele, which is present in ≈9% of the general population and in about 5% of all individuals with AD, is associated with a lower risk of the development of AD compared with the APOE ε3 allele,16 and also is associated with increased longevity.17 Compared with APOE ε3, APOE ε2 has a decreased affinity with low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) and is associated with a type-3 hyperlipoproteinemia that is observed in individuals carrying the APOE ε2 allele. APOE ε4 is associated with an increased ability to bind lipids, but because of reduced proteolytic activity can lead to an increase in lipoproteins and cholesterol.15,18 A growing body of literature points to the ε4 allele as a driver of many types of AD-related neuropathology, including impaired regulation of cholesterol and fatty acid levels in the brain, damage to blood–brain barrier integrity, reduced cerebral glucose uptake, and impaired insulin signaling in the brain.19–22

APOE genotype and glucose metabolism

Metabolic disorders, such as insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes, increase the risk of dementia and have in common with AD a wide range of pathologic features, including inflammation, increased oxidative stress, and vascular dysfunction.23 Many studies suggest that APOE
4 may reduce insulin signaling, in part by impairing the recycling of the insulin receptor. APOE status plays an important role in cerebral glucose metabolism during aging, even in the absence of neuropathology. Glucose metabolism is reduced in individuals with at least one copy of APOE ε4 compared with noncarriers. Changes in cerebral glucose metabolism that occur in APOE ε4 carriers begin many years before the emergence of AD-related symptoms, as evidenced by reductions in cerebral glucose utilization on fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging, which reflect decreased neuronal activity and/or synaptic dysfunction. More recent studies indicate that brain glucose hypometabolism is associated with impaired glycemic control in the periphery in cognitively healthy subjects. In carriers, increased levels of glucose during midlife are linked to more severe AD-related pathology at autopsy, particularly with regard to neurofibrillary tangles in the medial temporal lobe. In a study published in 2017, Nielsen and colleagues explored the extent to which peripheral APOE levels affect cognition, gray matter volume (GMV), and cerebral glucose metabolism in an isoform-dependent manner. During the study they discovered important sex-related differences, such that women had higher plasma levels of total apoE and apoE ε4 compared with men. They also found that higher ratios of apoE ε3/ε4 were negatively associated with cerebral metabolic rate of glucose (CMRgl) and GMV. Their findings pointed toward a potentially important role of peripheral apoE levels with regard to modulating brain health, and also offered potential insights into the higher risk for AD among women. The study's investigators determined that lower plasma apoE ε3 levels were associated with higher plasma glucose but not with insulin in men and in individuals with a body mass index (BMI) greater than 25. Negative correlations were found between plasma glucose and CMRgl in the left prefrontal and bilateral occipital regions of the brain. The authors suggested that these associations may have functional implications because glucose levels were in turn negatively associated with neuropsychological test scores. They concluded that plasma apoE ε3 but not apoE ε4 may be involved in insulin-independent processes that govern plasma glucose levels. They noted that higher plasma glucose, which has a deleterious effect on brain glucose metabolism, was associated with lower plasma apoE levels in APOE ε3/ε4 individuals. An important implication is that higher plasma glucose and lower apoE levels may be a potentially harmful combination that may lead to an increased risk for AD. In a recent study, Tao and colleagues examined the interactive effects of both plasma CRP and APOE genotype on cognition and a range of AD biomarkers. The study used data from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), including APOE genotype, plasma CRP concentrations, diagnostic status (diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment [MCI], and dementia related to AD), Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores, Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentrations of Aβ42, total tau (t-tau) and phosphorylated tau (p-tau), and amyloid (AV45) PET imaging. Among 566 ADNI participants, 274 (48.4%) did not have an ε4 allele, 222 (39.2%) had one ε4 allele, and 70 (12.4%) had two APOE ε4 alleles. Tao and colleagues found that elevated CRP was associated with lower MMSE scores at baseline and at 12-month follow-up, but only among participants who had two APOE ε4 alleles. They also found that two APOE ε4 alleles and elevated plasma CRP together were associated with increased CSF levels of t-tau and p-tau. Among ADNI individuals who had no APOE ε4 allele, elevated CRP was associated with reductions in CSF t-tau and p-tau, and these effects were more pronounced at 12-month follow-up. The authors concluded that CRP released during peripheral inflammation could be a mediator of APOE ε4-related AD neurodegeneration and could, therefore, potentially serve as a drug target for AD.

2.3 | APOE and neuroinflammation

In an effort to explore some of the underlying mechanisms by which APOE ε4 affects AD risk, and to expand on previous findings regarding its role in impaired inflammatory responses, a recent study examined the effect of APOE genotype on inflammatory profiles in AD brains. The study’s investigators analyzed frozen brain tissue from the superior and middle temporal gyrus from APOE ε3/ε3 and APOE ε4/ε4 participants with AD pathology, and APOE ε3/ε4 participants without AD pathology to examine how apoE isoforms affect the neuroinflammatory state of the brain both with and without AD. The NanoString Human Neuroinflammation Panel was used to determine the transcript levels of 757 inflammatory related genes, and immunohistochemistry of P2RY12 was performed to assess microglial activation. The study found that pathways related to neuroinflammation were impaired in APOE ε4/ε4 individuals with AD compared with APOE ε3/ε3 individuals with AD, and that the expression of genes related to microglial activation (SALL1), motility (FSCN1), epigenetics (DNMT1), and others showed altered expression in the former group. The study’s findings suggest that APOE ε3 can become responsive to pathology and brain
changes, although it can result in a potentially harmful long-term inflammatory response, whereas APOE ε4 causes a weakened response to pathology. Overall, the study indicated that apoE isoforms do appear to modulate the immune response to AD-type pathology in the brain.11

3 | NEUROINFLAMMATION AND CELLS MEDIATING CNS IMMUNE SURVEILLANCE

Neuroinflammation is a response of the innate immune system in the CNS which involves the activity of microglia and astrocytes in combination with their secreted cytokines, chemokines, and altered homeostatic functions, which together play a central role in an early phase of AD pathogenesis.33 The primary mediators of inflammatory mechanisms associated with AD are microglia and astrocytes—cells that also are responsible for neural transmission and critical synaptic remodeling.34

A number of longitudinal studies showed that microglial activation and AD-related inflammation in the CNS occur years before the onset of AD-related symptoms.35,36 Other studies have demonstrated a durable link between neuroinflammation and amyloid and tau accumulation in the brains of individuals with AD.37,38 Although neuroinflammatory responses of microglia and astrocytes may precede Aβ plaque deposition, these responses are exacerbated with the accumulation of Aβ during the pathogenesis of AD and also modulate later stages of the disease, at turns either ameliorating disease or exacerbating it, in a complex, dynamic process. One study suggested that neuroinflammation may even precede amyloid aggregation, as older APOE ε4 carriers with normal AD biomarkers had increased CSF levels of proteins associated with inflammation.39 A fluctuation between pro- and anti-inflammatory states typically occurs in patients during early stages of AD, and it has been hypothesized that as the disease progresses, the inflammatory phenotype becomes more homogeneous.40,41 Neuroinflammation also occurs during normal aging.42 However, chronic neuroinflammation is capable of inducing neuronal injury and/or death by producing toxic substances such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitric oxide (NO), or by promoting the phagocytosis of neurons by activated microglia. Activated microglia can engage in cross-talk with astrocytes, and provoke reactive astrocytes to directly kill neurons by means of secreted neurotoxic factors—as recently reported these include long-chain saturated fatty acids that are trafficked in apoE-containing lipoparticles.43 Both microglia and astrocytes, the two primary components of the innate immune system, also have various effects on the accumulation of Aβ and tau pathology, in addition to their direct effects on neuronal viability.

3.1 | Other immune cells of the CNS

Although it is well established that disease progression in AD involves inflammation associated with the activation of innate immune cells, the role of adaptive immunity in AD is less well understood. In recent years, animal studies involving the depletion of B cells, T cells, and NK cells have strongly suggested that adaptive immunity exerts an important influence on AD progression.44,45 These studies have revealed that there is significant cross-talk among cells involved in innate immune responses—primarily mediated by microglia in the CNS—and cells involved in adaptive immunity, which until recently were believed to be derived primarily from peripheral circulation.46 The loss of cells from adaptive/peripheral immune cell populations by means of genetic ablation, for example, has been shown to alter the activation of microglia, increase neuroinflammation, and hasten amyloid pathogenesis.44,45 Findings from other studies have suggested, in contrast, that B-cell depletion might be used therapeutically.45

Because a better understanding of the function of all cells involved in CNS immune surveillance, in both the physiological and pathological states, may facilitate the discovery of new therapeutic targets for the treatment of neurological diseases, recent research has sought to provide detailed answers to questions regarding the origin and development of different types of immune cells in the brain.46,47 One such study led to the surprising finding that the mouse meninges contain a source of B cells that are supplied not by the blood but from bone marrow in the skull.47 Using sophisticated techniques involving parabiosis and bone marrow chimeras, Brioschi and colleagues47 discovered a lymphopoietic niche in the meninges—a reservoir for B cells that originate in skull bone marrow and travel to the meninges by means of tiny vascular corridors in the bone. These B cells, which have never had contact with peripheral blood, reside in such reservoirs until they are needed in response to injury or neuroinflammation. By means of single-cell RNA sequencing, investigators were able to determine that a wide range of B cells at various stages of maturity were located in the meninges, including immature cells that express the immunoglobulin M (IgM) receptor on their cell surfaces. Such findings suggest that the meninges may be an area where immature B cells can be uniquely “educated” by CNS antigens, in contrast to B cells from the periphery, which might be inclined to attack brain-specific antigens. In similar research also conducted at Washington University, Kipnis and colleagues discovered that skull bone marrow is also a key source of CNS immune cells that determines when and to what extent immune cells in the brain are derived from these sources,46 how such cells may differ functionally from blood-derived cells, and how the source of immune cells in the brain may change during aging or in the context of various CNS diseases.

4 | MICROGLIA, ASTROCYTES, AND AD-RELATED NEURODEGENERATION

Microglial cells, myeloid cells that arise from early embryonic yolk-sac progenitors, are the primary macrophages of the brain and play a critical role in neuroinflammation in the CNS.48 Microglia survey the brain in an effort to detect disruptions from homeostasis (e.g., injury, infection, disease, and so on) and subsequently work to clear debris and resolve disruptions, and in turn maintain an optimal microenvironment. Microglia can respond to virtually all foreign entities in the brain, most of which have been categorized as danger-associated
molecular patterns (DAMPs) or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs).49 In the presence of Aβ, microglia become activated, surround plaques, and attempt to phagocytose Aβ and prevent further spread of plaques.50 An excessive accumulation of Aβ can lead to microglial cell death, and can increase inflammation and lead to the recruitment of more microglia, thus perpetuating an inflammatory cascade.47 In addition, reactive microglia can release molecules such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα) and interleukin 1β (IL-1β) among many other cytokines and complement components that can either directly recruit additional microglia to cause damage to surrounding tissue,51 alter neuronal function, or act as a seed to drive reactive inflammatory responses in adjacent cells like astrocytes.52,53

A number of recent studies have demonstrated that, when in the disease-associated state, microglia can increase the expression of APOE.54–57 Accordingly it is important to explore whether microglial apoE may be a major source of amyloid-plaque-associated apoE,57 and whether efforts to induce a disease-associated state in microglia may possibly increase plaque-associated apoE and in turn Aβ aggregation.58

4.1 Using single-cell technologies to identify diverse reactive glia phenotypes in AD

In AD and in other neurodegenerative diseases, many heterogeneous sub-states of reactive microglia and astrocytes have been observed in the brain. Traditionally, attempts were made to classify these cells into binary types: proinflammatory phenotype, involving a reduction in the release of neurotrophic factors and an exacerbation of inflammation and cytotoxicity; and anti-inflammatory phenotype, characterized by displays of anti-inflammatory cytokines, an increase in the expression of neurotrophic factors, and a range of signals involved in protection or repair processes. However, the advent and application of high throughput single-cell sequencing technologies in recent years has found a binary definition of reactive microglia/astrocytes to be incorrect and that many sub-states exist. In addition to heterogeneity within and across different disease/injury contexts, evidence from experimental studies suggest that phenotypic switching can occur in response to various stages of disease and/or degrees of disease severity.59

Single-cell RNA-sequencing technologies are being used to improve our understanding of microglia and astrocytes and to ascertain their changing gene-expression profiles, their involvement in various pathways, and the epigenetic mechanisms that may be driving these cells—with the goal of improving future efforts to modulate disease by targeting these entities. A rapidly expanding collection of new tools are now available that enable rapid and cost-effective sequencing of individual cells, and new approaches for isolating, targeting, and establishing cultures of these cells in vitro.60–63 These new tools are providing novel insights into the functions of microglia and astrocytes during normal development, as well as during the early initiation and later progressive stages of many chronic neurodegenerative diseases. A key aim is to create models that integrate both cell types, and to reveal how they communicate and are able to integrate functions throughout the brain. Accordingly, current efforts are underway to obtain microglia and astrocyte surface proteomes and secretomes and to integrate these data with other multi-omics data sets (e.g., involving transcriptomics, epigenetics, and proteomics).

Efforts to identify more diverse subtypes/profiles of microglial cells are pointing increasingly to far greater complexity in human cells compared with animal cells; however, only the most subtle of differences are reported across species for astrocytes. Although astrocyte isolation and culture have been successful in both rodents63 and humans, similar successes with microglia have been limited. An important challenge has stemmed from the observation that removing microglia from the CNS microenvironment leads to rapid alterations in gene expression,67–69 and the creation of an ex vivo state of microglia has been particularly problematic.62 In some studies, for example, researchers have accidentally assigned a biological relevance to microglial states that do not exist in situ. This was historically a problem for the in vitro study of astrocytes/microglia as well, because early methods for culture relied on serum addition, which has been reported to irreversibly alter the gene expression and function of both astrocytes and microglia; however, recent serum-free methods have circumvented this problem.53,61,63,67 It is important to note that cholesterol, which is likely trafficked in apoE-containing lipoparticles in vivo,67 Caveats still remain, however, as microglia and astrocytes grown in culture, even in the absence of reactivity-inducing serum components, are likely not fully recapitulating their in vivo counterparts (e.g., morphologies of culture astrocytes are very basic and lack the complex bushy tertiary and quaternary processes seen in vivo). One should not discount the power of such culture-based systems, however, as the high fidelity investigation of single functional interactions, or the role of cell–cell communication in homogeneous populations (either of homeostatic or reactive sub-states) is difficult to the level of impossible in vivo, due in large part to the extreme heterogeneity of both cell types at both homeostatic62,70–72 and AD-associated reactive states.65,73,74 What remains a bottleneck for the understanding of cellular heterogeneity is twofold: a lack of sub-state-specific culture systems to study the functional changes that occur; and second, a lack of genetic diversity in functional testing to determine if apoE isoform may alter not only gene expression differences but also key homeostatic functions like lipid delivery, synaptogenesis, and phagocytosis, among others. The investigation of these putative altered functions will be particularly important moving forward—as the astrocyte–microglia communication in AD seems particularly altered given the APOE-TREM2 interactions already reported by many groups.

5 TREM2 AND APOE

Research focused on the microglial receptor known as triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) has increased recognition of the importance of microglia in AD, particularly because a number of mutations in TREM2 increase the risk for AD.75 TREM2 is present on myeloid-derived cells such as microglia, macrophages, and osteoclasts and responds to a wide range of entities including apoptotic cells, Aβ, and lipoproteins. In individuals without AD pathology,
this receptor protein enhances the rate of phagocytosis in microglia and macrophages, and regulates inflammatory signaling, as well as myeloid cell number, proliferation, and survival. TREM2 plays a role in the pathogenesis of AD by modulating microglial functions, such as the production of inflammatory cytokines, in response to Aβ plaques and tau tangles. When TREM2 is absent, amyloid pathology is enhanced during the early stages in models of AD, and during the later stages this tendency is increased further with the loss of the ability to clear Aβ through phagocytosis. TREM2 variants contribute to AD pathogenesis in part by decreasing the phagocytic ability of microglia, and by interfering with the proinflammatory response of these immune cells.

In AD, TREM2 appears enriched in microglia that surround neuritic plaques. In mouse models that lack TREM2, microglia are unable to move toward Aβ plaques. Growing evidence suggests that the timing of TREM2 expression is key—a finding that likely has important implications for drug development [see 8.1]. Studies have indicated that although TREM2 is critical for the clearance of early Aβ plaques and the slowing of cognitive decline during early stages of AD, expression of TREM2 during later stages of disease progression could have disadvantageous long-term consequences.

5.1 | APOE and TREM2 Interactions

In a recent study, Fitz and colleagues investigated how APOE and TREM2, two major genetic risk factors for AD, affect microglial response to Aβ. First, by applying shotgun lipidomics they compared the phospholipid content of human brain and native mouse apoE lipoproteins and established that there is an apoE isoform–specific phospholipid signature. Overall, the native apoE e3 lipoproteins were more lipidated and had a higher level of negatively charged phospholipids compared to apoE e4, which may represent differences in potential lipid-activation signals. Using preclinical AD mouse models, they demonstrated that apoE e3 lipoproteins, in contrast to apoE e4, prompted faster microglial migration toward injected Aβ, facilitated Aβ uptake, and ameliorated damaging effects of Aβ on cognition. In vivo two-photon imaging of mouse brains clearly demonstrated that the apoE e3 lipoproteins caused microglia to gravitate toward Aβ and surround the injection site more robustly compared with the apoE e4, which can act as a protective mechanism decreasing the spread of Aβ. This observation is in agreement with the authors’ previous publication. Here they showed in Apoe e3 expressing AD model mice, microglia establish a more complete barrier around small senile plaques, which restricted plaque growth compared to Apoe e4-expressing and Trem2−/− mice. This emphasizes the importance of the early response by microglia to amyloid pathology, which is apoE isoform as well as Trem2 status dependent. Bulk and FACS sorted RNA-sequencing demonstrated that, compared with cortical infusion of apoE e4, infusion of apoE e3 lipoproteins led to the upregulation of higher proportion of genes linked to activated microglia response. This upregulation was most pronounced in microglia that have engulfed Aβ, suggesting that apoE e3 could initiate a stronger response by microglia to Aβ than apoE e4. In single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis, microglia of wild-type (WT) versus Trem2−/− injected with apoE e3 or e4 lipoproteins were grouped in homeostatic and activated microglia clusters. Overall, for all active clusters, they observed a higher number of differentially expressed genes between WT versus Trem2−/− in the mice injected with apoE e4 rather than with apoE e3. This suggests that apoE e4 lipoproteins compared to apoE e3 are less prepared to withstand TREM2 deficiency particularly in the presence of Aβ. Again, this is similar to their previous findings, where they observed twice as many differentially expressed genes when comparing Apoe e4 versus Apoe e3 AD model mice than their Trem2−/− counterparts, even with increased amyloid pathology in both Apoe e4–expressing mice. The authors also showed that, in vitro, the lack of TREM2 decreases Aβ uptake only by Apoe e4-expressed microglia, thus suggesting an important interaction between TREM2 and apoE isoform. Their results support the hypothesis that the phospholipid signatures of native apoE e3 lipoproteins trigger a more rapid phenotypic and transcriptional response of microglia to Aβ than apoE e4 in ameliorating the deleterious effects of Aβ.

6 | BIOMARKERS

Current research aims to discover the impact of a range of factors that may affect AD pathology, such as APOE genotype and measures of inflammation/inflammatory proteins, and how such factors may influence disease progression, possibly long before the emergence of clinical symptoms. Further investigation of such factors, including the associations between AD biomarkers and fluid levels of apoE (in plasma), may reveal new information about the sequence of events that leads to AD, may provide more detailed information about the influence of gender and racial differences on disease progression [see 7.0 DIVERSITY], and in turn may improve the ability to identify targets for effective therapies.

Building on earlier studies that have demonstrated that apoE plays a role in modulating concentrations of CSF Aβ1-42 (Aβ42) in patients with AD-related cognitive decline, recent studies evaluated how the effect of apoE on CSF Aβ42 varies by age and also aim to understand the potential association between apoE and the onset of preclinical AD. In one study that examined a large cohort of cognitively healthy individuals from nine clinical research centers, APOE genotypes and CSF Aβ42 concentrations were obtained for cognitively healthy individuals between the ages of 17 and 99. The investigators found that CSF concentrations of Aβ42 were lower in Apoe e4 carriers compared with non-carriers in a gene dose-dependent manner, and that the effect of apoE e4 on CSF Aβ42 was age dependent. Homozygous APOE e4 carriers showed a steady decline in CSF Aβ42 concentrations with increasing age throughout the entire age span examined in the study. The study showed that individuals with the Apoe e4 allele start to exhibit a decrease in CSF Aβ42 concentration almost a decade before APOE e4 non-carriers. Homozygous APOE e4 carriers were believed to deposit Aβ42 during all of the ages examined in the study. The authors suggest that there may be an APOE e4-dependent period of early alterations in amyloid homeostasis, when amyloid slowly
Using CSF proteomics to examine roles of apoE and components of immunity in AD

One avenue by which researchers are attempting to better understand heterogeneity among individuals with AD is through the application of CSF proteomics. Because CSF contains thousands of proteins, the concentrations of which can vary considerably among individuals with neurodegenerative disease, CSF proteomics have been used in efforts to detect AD subtypes that reflect individual differences in pathophysiological processes across the AD disease spectrum.

In a recent study examining data from two large independent AD cohorts, the European Medical Information Framework for Alzheimer’s Disease Multimodal Biomarker Discovery (EMIF-AD MBD) and the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI), investigators found 705 proteins (77% of 911 tested) whose concentrations differed in individuals with AD, compared with controls (who were defined as having normal CSF amyloid and tau and normal cognition). Using these proteins, it was possible to identify three distinct AD subtypes across all cohorts, even when biomarkers within the same PCs and PC families were used from different cell types.

6.2 A hypothesis-driven approach to pro- and anti-inflammatory proteins in Alzheimer’s disease

Neuroinflammation has been strongly associated with AD, and as such, efforts have been made to obtain discrete CSF measures of inflammatory proteins for both diagnostic and prognostic purposes; however, such discrete measures may fail to account for overlapping disease pathways and the relationships between them. In recently published work by Hu and colleagues, 15 CSF proteins that reflect microglial and T-cell functions were measured across diagnostic categories in 382 participants from ADNI, as well as for participants from two independent cohorts. The researchers demonstrated that higher levels of proteins related to soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (sTNFR1) are associated with a reduced risk of conversion to dementia in people with MCI related to AD, whereas higher soluble TREM2 levels are associated with a slower decline in the dementia stage of AD. The team demonstrated that these inflammatory proteins are capable of providing prognostic information independent of established AD markers.

An important implication of this research is that CSF-based prognostic biomarkers might complement core AD diagnostic biomarkers in the very early stages of AD and provide additional prognostic information at an early stage of disease. The authors note that other investigators also examined CSF inflammatory proteins in AD, including sTNFR1, sTNFR225, and TREM2. With the large sample size drawn from ADNI and two additional cohorts, the team was able to detect extraordinarily consistent principal components (PCs) and PC families across all cohorts, even when biomarkers within the same PC were derived from different cell types.

7 ENSURING DIVERSITY IN APOE AND OTHER AD-RELATED RESEARCH

Research that examined interrelationships among APOE, cognitive performance, morbidity, and mortality has focused mainly on populations with European ancestry. However, conclusions drawn from such studies do not adequately reflect the diversity of individuals who may be at risk for AD. A recent examination of the international distribution of APOE alleles drawn from public databases around the world, as well as ancient DNA samples, for example, provided a number of insights into the nature of human longevity that also shed light on the importance of ensuring diversity in AD research. This study emphasizes the importance of exploring APOE variability, as well as the variability of other longevity and AD-related genes, with vital consideration of population-specific cultural and ecological traits.
Examining differences in race/ethnicity

A growing body of research is examining the established and suspected differences in risks for AD and related dementias (ADRDs) among various racial and ethnic populations to better understand the diagnostic and prognostic implications of these differences, as well as implications for treatment, future research, and for the design of clinical trials. An important goal is to determine the degree to which elevated risk for and incidence of ADRD in various populations can be explained by genetic differences, or by psychosocial/environmental differences, racism, or by complex interactions between environmental and genetic factors.

A recent study, for example, examined psychosocial contributors to ADRD risk among non-Latinx Black older adults, who are known to have an elevated risk of ADRDs compared with non-Latinx White adults. The study examined data obtained from 221 non-Latinx Black older adults who were participants in the Washington Heights-Inwood Columbia Aging Project (WHICAP) a longitudinal, community-based study of aging and dementia in northern Manhattan. Participants completed multiple measures of discrimination at a single time point and structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans at two time points. Both everyday discrimination and lifetime discrimination were assessed, and MRI outcomes included both hippocampal and white matter hyperintensity volumes. This information was used to estimate associations between the measures of discrimination and each MRI outcome over a period of 4 years. The study’s investigators found that lifetime racial discrimination was associated with lower initial hippocampal volume, and that everyday racial discrimination was associated with a faster increase in white matter hyperintensity volume over time. The investigators concluded that racial discrimination is likely detrimental for brain aging among non-Latinx Black older adults, and that it may contribute to the disproportionate dementia burden among this population.

In an effort to evaluate racial differences in TREM2, a key immune mediator in AD, another recent study examined the levels of CSF soluble TREM2 (sTREM2) and compared the frequency of associated genetic variants in groups of individuals who self-reported their race as African American (AA) or non-Hispanic White (NHW). Data were obtained from 91 AAs and 868 NHWs who were participants in the Knight Alzheimer Disease Research Center (ADRC) cohort, which includes one of the largest groups of AA in AD research for which both CSF biomarker and genetic data have been collected. The cohort, which consists of community-dwelling older adults, includes participants both with and without cognitive impairment who are enrolled in research studies of memory and aging at Washington University in St. Louis. The study examined concentrations of CSF biomarkers, including sTREM2, as a function of race. The investigators found that CSF sTREM2 levels were lower in the AA group compared with the NHW group, and that AAs were more likely to have TREM2 coding variants, which were associated with lower CSF sTREM2. AAs also were less likely to carry the rs1582763 minor allele, located near MS4A4A, which was associated with higher CSF sTREM2. This study’s findings were replicated in an independent cohort of 23 AAs and 917 NHWs.

Examining AD-related sex and gender differences

Building on the knowledge that sex can be a key modifier of neurological disease outcomes, a number of recent studies have more closely examined sex-specific influences on neurodegenerative diseases. In a recent study of sex-specific differences, for example, Kodama and colleagues examined differences in microglial response to tau pathology that exist between male and female mice. Because previous studies revealed that sex differences in microglial gene expression and functions could be observed in young adult mice, were likely more pronounced in the aging brain, and that microRNAs (miRNAs) regulate immune networks in microglia, the authors determined whether microglial miRNAs are expressed in and function in a sex-specific manner. After performing miRNA sequencing (miRNA-seq) on microglia isolated from brains of adult mice and inducing and evaluating changes in the transcriptomes of male and female microglia, the authors found that they expressed different miRNA patterns, both at baseline and in the context of tauopathy. Furthermore, they discovered that the loss of miRNAs resulted in sex-dependent consequences on the microglial transcriptome and tau pathogenesis. The authors concluded that microglial miRNAs are key contributors to sex-specific phenotypes and noted that a better understanding of microglial miRNA function could aid in the identification of novel molecular networks that may contribute to neurological diseases.

In a recent study exploring sex differences in humans with regard to associations between APOE and CSF measures of tau, Babpour-Mofrad and colleagues built on earlier research that revealed stronger associations between APOE ε4 and CSF tau levels among women compared with men, and suggested that APOE may play a role in modulating risk for neurodegeneration in a sex-specific manner, particularly in amyloid-positive individuals. Mofrad and colleagues obtained CSF Aβ42, t-tau, and p-tau at threonine 181 (p-tau 181) levels from 1801 participants with probable AD dementia (n = 937), MCI (n = 437), and subjective cognitive decline (n = 427). The authors found that among APOE ε4 carriers, sex differences in CSF p-tau, that is, higher levels in females, are more apparent during early stages of disease, but that for APOE ε4 non-carriers, females are more evident in advanced disease stages. Based on their findings, the authors concluded that the effect of APOE ε4 on sex differences in CSF biomarker levels vary depending on disease stage in individuals with AD.

These and other recent studies examining sex differences (biological differences such as chromosomal gonadal or hormonal differences) and gender differences (psychosocial and cultural differences between men and women, including access to education and occupation) suggest that both sex and gender play an important role in the development and progression of neurodegenerative diseases, including AD. Continued investigation and understanding of both sex- and gender-specific risk factors, as well as factors that may be protective for AD, will be essential for developing and evaluating successful individualized interventions for the prevention and treatment of AD.
The investigators concluded that the lower CSF sTREM2 levels among AAs compared with NHWs were likely related to the greater tendency for AAs to have genetic variants associated with lower CSF sTREM2 levels. These findings suggest that race may be associated with risk for genetic variants that influence AD-related inflammation, as CSF sTREM2 reflects TREM2-mediated microglial reactivity, a critical step in the immune response to amyloid plaques.89

In response to findings from several publications that have revealed weak and inconsistent associations between APOE alleles and cognitive decline, MCI, and ADRDs in Latinx populations, despite higher rates of these disorders among Latinos compared with non-Latino Whites, a recent study determined whether these inconsistencies might be explained by ancestry-specific genetic effects.88 The study’s investigators examined associations between APOE alleles and significant cognitive decline, as well as MCI, in 4183 Latinos, comprising six distinct background groups—Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South American, and Central American—and explored the degree to which continental genetic ancestry (e.g., European, African, or Amerindian) likely modified these associations. Participants were selected from the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL), a population-based longitudinal cohort study of 16,415 U.S. Hispanic/Latino adults enrolled at four field centers (Bronx, New York; Chicago, Illinois; Miami, Florida; and San Diego, California). Data obtained from the participants included anthropometry, biospecimens, information about AD-related risk/protective factors, and the results of four cognitive tests. The study found that APOE ε4 was associated with an increased risk of significant cognitive decline, with the strongest association among Cubans. APOE ε2 was associated with a decreased risk of MCI in Puerto Ricans. The study concluded that Amerindian genetic ancestry protects against the risk of significant cognitive decline conferred by the APOE ε4 allele, and the study’s authors indicated that future studies are needed to identify Amerindian genetic variants that may interact with the APOE ε4 allele, as well as the nature of these interactions, with the goal of developing genetic measures for predicting significant cognitive decline and MCI in Latinos with mixed ancestry (of varying proportions).88

7.3 Examining diverse cohorts in AD prevention studies

Studies that have indicated higher rates of AD among AAs compared with non-Hispanic Whites (or NHWs) have raised important questions regarding possible differences in etiology that may be responsible for these differences. In an effort to estimate the incidence of AD among AAs and NHWs across all available studies, Steenland and colleagues conducted a meta-analysis of six relevant population-based studies and based on their calculations found that the incidence of AD was 64% higher for AAs compared with NHWs.100 They suggested that the higher incidence for AAs might be explained by a combination of biological, psychological, and socioeconomic influences.100 The authors noted, for example, that AAs have higher rates of hypertension, obesity, and diabetes compared with NHWs, and that all of these comorbidities have been linked to AD. The authors also cited studies indicating that vascular risk factors such as hypertension may activate neuroinflammatory responses and influence the levels of amyloid in the brain. Steenland and colleagues noted that these variables, as well as depression and stress, could be acting as confounders. The authors indicated the need for further research to confirm hypothesized biological, psychological, and socioeconomic factors, which may have important implications for the development of future treatments and for more accurate assessment of the public health burden of AD in the United States.

In related research conducted 1 year earlier, Wharton and colleagues designed a study to assess the effect of modulating the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) on the conversion to AD and cognitive decline in people with MCI, as well as effects of the permeability of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) and race on a potential relationship between the RAS and AD.101 The researchers followed individuals receiving antihypertensive medications who had MCI at baseline and who had cognitive assessments during at least two follow-up visits to assess conversion to AD as well as cognitive and functional decline. Among all participants, 488 were receiving RAS-acting antihypertensive medications. The team found that users of RAS-acting medications were less likely to convert to AD and also demonstrated slower decline on the CDR Sum of Boxes (CDR-SOB) and Digit Span Forward, compared with nonusers. BBB-crossing RAS-acting medications were associated with slower cognitive decline on the CDR-SOB, the MMSE, and the Boston Naming Test. The investigators found that RAS-acting medications were more likely to be associated with cognitive benefits among African Americans, compared with Caucasians. In addition to showing that people prescribed RAS medications were less likely to convert to AD, the study suggested that the BBB permeability of the medications may help explain cognitive benefit, and that African Americans are more likely to benefit from RAS modulation than Caucasians. The results of the study provided a strong rationale for trials investigating RAS modulation during prodromal stages of AD.

8 TARGETED THERAPIES

Research in recent years that has examined the cross-talk between APOE and immunity has pointed to a number of promising directions for drug development. Of particular interest to AD researchers are early pathomechanistic alterations that occur along the AD continuum and contribute to the development of neuroinflammation, pathologic changes in immune signaling, and the progressive accumulation of Aβ and tau, which might be targeted for the prevention or early-stage treatment of AD.

8.1 Modulation of protective TREM2-dependent microglial functions

A growing body of research ranging from human genetics and biomarker studies to human tissue/postmortem studies suggest the
value of investigating novel therapeutic strategies that enhance aspects of microglial function, such as innate immune signaling and immunometabolism, for the treatment of AD. In particular, GWASs and studies examining TREM2 signaling suggest that TREM2 may have beneficial functions in the CNS, that loss of TREM2 function increases the risk for AD, and that TREM2 modulation and/or activation might be used to prevent the onset or slow the progression of sporadic AD.\(^{102-104}\)

Among the best-described approaches to the modulation of TREM2 activity are those involving agonist antibodies that are capable of activating receptor signaling and enhancing the protective function of microglia. Four TREM2 agonistic antibodies—antibodies 1 and 2 (generated by R&D Systems and by Amgen, respectively), AL002c,\(^{105}\) and 4D9\(^{33}\)—enhance survival of microglia and macrophages under low macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) conditions.\(^{106}\) These antibodies have a number of shared characteristics, including a tendency to bind in similar or overlapping sites within the stalk region of TREM2.\(^{107}\) They also share the ability to stimulate both the proliferation and survival of myeloid cells. Moreover, all of these antibodies are characterized by dual mechanisms of action, such that each is capable of promoting TREM2 signaling by means of the cross-linking of surface TREM2, which stimulates phagocytosis and the removal of cellular debris, and also is capable of inhibiting TREM2 shedding.\(^{106,107}\)

Evidence that TREM2 plays a role in supporting the compaction of amyloid plaques and the clustering of microglia around amyloid plaques, which in turn helps to reduce plaque-associated neuritic pathology,\(^{106,108,109}\) suggests that TREM2 agonist antibodies might be used to successfully target amyloid accumulation. Indeed, the AL002c and 4D9 antibodies have been tested to determine whether they affect amyloid accumulation in the brain of transgenic AD mouse models.\(^{105,107,110}\) Although neither AL002c nor 4D9 has a tendency to increase clustering of microglia around amyloid plaques, 4D9 reduces the halo of amyloid plaques when administered to an APP knock-in mouse model. Two studies also established that both AL002a and 4D9 are capable of enhancing microglial phagocytosis of Aβ as well as of myelin debris.\(^{107,111}\)

A growing number of studies suggest that sTREM2 in CSF also may be an important target for TREM2 agonist antibodies.\(^{112}\) Studies of sTREM2 in CSF have provided insights into disease pathogenesis in AD through the examination of the sequence of microglia activation relative to Aβ deposition and tau aggregation.\(^{112}\) Recent studies also suggest that sTREM2 may have non-cell autonomous protective functions and that changes in various physiological conditions and/or disease states can affect levels of sTREM2 in CSF.\(^{112}\) For these reasons, sTREM2 is undergoing evaluation as a therapeutic biomarker as well as a target for TREM2 antibodies.\(^{105,112}\) One antibody, 4D9, efficiently binds sTREM2 in CSF when administered at high doses (50 mg/kg) in the periphery, which correlates with an increase in total TREM2 levels in the brain.\(^{107}\) This finding suggests that 4D9 is capable of achieving target engagement in the CNS in vivo, although further research will be required to determine the exact mechanisms that mediate the increase of soluble or cell-surface TREM2 in the CNS. One hypothesis is that by blocking shedding activity, 4D9 may increase cell-surface TREM2, and in turn may prevent its degradation and clearance.

As TREM2 antibodies undergo further development, researchers will need to address a number of important safety considerations. Because TREM2 antibodies affect a wide range of microglial subpopulations and dynamic microglial states in the brain, it will be critical to ensure that therapeutic efforts achieve a balance between beneficial and harmful effects of immune activation. Current efforts to use profiling data obtained with single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-seq technologies to better understand the diversity of sub-populations of microglia and their functions may eventually enable more comprehensive assessments of all microglia-targeting therapies.\(^{106}\) Also important will be efforts to determine the effects of TREM2 agonist antibodies on bone as well as on lung, liver, spleen, and peripheral adipose tissues. Further work will be required before an optimal therapeutic molecular signature and optimal functional outcomes of treatment with TREM2 agonistic antibodies can be determined.

### 8.2 APOE-modifying therapies

A major current avenue of drug development research involves identifying and targeting the factors through which APOE and its variants influence the development of AD, with the aim of discovering whether the protective effects of some APOE genotypes might be used to reduce the probability or delay the onset of developing AD.

It is well established that APOE, the strongest risk factor among susceptibility genes for late-onset AD, has three common alleles (APOE ε2, ε3, and ε4) that give rise to six genotypes (APOE ε2/ε2, ε2/ε3, ε3/ε3, ε2/ε4, ε3/ε4, and ε4/ε4). Particular APOE alleles, including APOE ε2 and the recently described APOE Christchurch mutation, are associated with a reduced risk of developing AD and other neurodegenerative disorders.\(^{113,114}\) In contrast, and compared with the most common APOE ε3/ε3 genotype, each copy of the APOE ε4 allele is associated with a higher risk of AD dementia and with a younger age at dementia onset, such that APOE ε4 homozygotes are subject to the greatest risk, whereas either one or two copies of the APOE ε2 allele is associated with a lower risk of AD and an older age of dementia onset. APOE variants may have an even greater impact on the development and potential treatment and prevention of AD than previously thought—due in part to the likelihood that earlier studies underestimated the influence of the APOE genotype because they include neuropathologically misclassified cases and controls.\(^{115-117}\)

In one recent study, Reiman and colleagues established that APOE ε2 homozygotes have an exceptionally low likelihood of AD dementia.\(^{114}\) The study demonstrated an exceptionally low likelihood of developing AD dementia among APOE ε2 homozygotes in a large population of clinically and neuropathologically confirmed individuals and controls. Moreover, the investigators provided updated information for each of the six common APOE genotypes with regard to the differential risk for developing AD and demonstrated a stronger association of APOE genotypes on the development of AD dementia than previously estimated. The study further supported known effects of APOE genotypes on standard measures of neuritic Aβ plaque and tau tangle severity and suggested progressively protective effects on Braak stage for genotype...
groups (such that APOE ε3/ε4 < ε2/ε4 < ε3/ε3 < ε2/ε3 < ε2/ε2) compared with APOE ε4/ε4 homozygotes.

Other recent findings further stressed the importance of clarifying factors through which APOE and its variants account for differential risks for AD. In a recent study, Arboleda-Velasquez and colleagues, determined that a Colombian PSEN1 E280A mutation carrier who did not develop MCI until their mid-seventies (nearly three decades after the median age of MCI onset among these carriers) had two copies of the rare APOE ε3 Christchurch (R136S) mutation. This APOE ε3 Christchurch homozygote demonstrated resistance to ADAD despite having the highest amyloid plaque burden among members of her kindred (evidence of the overproduction of Aβ42 for more than 20 years). Despite PET and CSF evidence of high Aβ plaque burden, the same individual had PET evidence of limited tau tangle burden and neurodegeneration, which supports the idea that APOE variants have effects on the development of AD that go beyond plaque burden. This idea is further supported by evidence in other human and animal studies that APOE variants likely have differential effects other than amyloid plaque deposition, such as effects on Aβ aggregation and plaque morphology, Aβ-mediated neuroinflammatory changes, tau propagation, and neurodegeneration.

Although these studies strongly suggest that apoE and its associated molecular pathways may be particularly attractive therapeutic targets, additional research will be needed to clarify the mechanisms linking APOE with risk for AD. It will be particularly important to determine whether APOE variants contribute to differential AD risk due to a toxic gain of function, which might suggest the benefit of an APOE gene silencing treatment, or due to a toxic loss of function, which might suggest the benefit of increasing apoE function. Reiman and colleagues have proposed early-phase trial strategies in which these possibilities might be tested in p-tau+ and NFL+ APOE ε4 homozygous (as determined by CSF or plasma).

Gene editing treatments, including gene-silencing antisense oligonucleotide and RNA interference treatments already in development, and apoE protein-reducing or -modifying treatments are among currently proposed strategies that might safely and sufficiently replicate the protective effects of APOE ε2/ε2 genotypes to prevent or delay the clinical onset of AD. A key challenge will be to inform the efficacy of AD-modifying treatments in early phase clinical trials with satisfactory endpoints. Among theragnostic endpoints that are currently considered promising for APOE-modifying treatments are CSF and plasma p-tau (e.g., p-tau181, 217, and 231), which are indicators of Aβ-mediated tau pathophysiology, and CSF and plasma neurofilament light (NFL), which are indicators of neuronal injury and/or degeneration.

8.3 Targeting chronic inflammation and soluble TNF

Neuroinflammation is one of the earliest pathologic mechanisms that occurs during the development of AD, which typically begins decades before the onset of clinical symptoms [see 3.0 Neuroinflammation]. Although clinical trials that investigated compounds with anti-inflammatory properties have failed to achieve primary endpoints, current studies point to a continued strong therapeutic rationale for pursuing such treatment strategies.

Numerous studies of animal models of AD, as well as longitudinal human studies, suggest that TNF is an attractive therapeutic because it plays an important role in early proinflammatory processes that take place during preclinical stages of AD. Many studies found that elevated TNF levels are associated with both MCI and AD. TNF is released throughout the course of AD, by reactive microglia and infiltrating peripheral immune cells, and to a lesser extent reactive astrocytes and stressed neurons. One suggested initiator of this TNF increase is increased levels of extracellular Aβ, which suggests that the drug was safe, INmune Bio reported a dose-dependent relationship between TNF increase and extracellular Aβ. However, it has been hypothesized that this feedback loop contributes to excessive levels of TNFα that in turn lead to Aβ synthesis, the inhibition of phagocytosis of Aβ by microglia, and neuronal loss. TNF, along with other cytokines released by immune cells under inflammatory conditions in the early stages of AD, can drive reactive astrocyte sub-states—either those that are neurotoxic, or some with putative protective functions. TNF also increases insulin resistance and related cognitive decline in AD.

Although insulin impairment and inflammation are characteristic features of both type 2 diabetes and AD, until recently the shared molecular and signaling interactions underlying these features were not well understood. Recently investigators explored the disruption of metabolite processing in both insulin impairment and neurodegenerative conditions such as AD. Specifically, they investigated how soluble tumor necrosis factor signaling (sTNF) affects the integration of peripheral immune signals and metabolic feedback signals in states of energy overload and insulin insensitivity. These researchers found that a high-fat, high-carbohydrate diet (HFHC) diet in wild-type C57Bl6/J mice affects central insulin signaling and immune-metabolic interactions in a sTNF-dependent manner, which is accompanied by disruption in sociability and inflammatory gene networks in the brain. They also found that selective sTNF neutralization can reduce diet-induced insulin impairment, and identified sTNF as a potential target for therapeutic intervention for lowering AD risk in inflammatory states, findings that have implications for individuals with type-2 diabetes at higher risk for development of AD.

In November 2019, INmune Bio launched a phase 1b, proof-of-biology trial of a protein biologic, known as XPro1595, which targets and selectively neutralizes the soluble form of inflammatory cytokine TNF, in 18 patients with clinically diagnosed AD. Participants, who were required to have evidence of inflammation (elevated blood CRP, hemoglobin A1c, high erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR], or carry at least one APOE ε4 allele), received weekly subcutaneous injections of 0.3, 0.6, or 1.0 mg/kg XPro1595 for 3 months. In addition to reporting that the drug was safe, INmune Bio reported a dose-dependent
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At the 2021 APOE and Immunity virtual conference, the APIOE and Immunity research communities demonstrated an enthusiastic commitment to advancing the interrelated fields of APOE and Immunity. This meeting was marked by a strong collaborative spirit and dedication to deepening our understanding of all aspects of APOE and Immunity biology and their interconnected relationships.

The Alzheimer’s Association is committed to supporting dementia researchers around the globe. Currently, the Alzheimer’s Association has over $310 million invested in 950 projects in 48 countries on 6 continents. The Association supports researchers across dementia science, including projects that advance our understanding of new treatment strategies, and that improve our understanding of AD, help to improve care and support for individuals with dementia and their families, and help further our knowledge of brain health and disease prevention.

In 2023, the Alzheimer’s Association is hosting two conferences to build upon the momentum of this APOE and Immunity virtual conference. AAIC Advancements: APOE will be held in St. Louis Missouri in March of 2023; and AAIC Advancements: Immunity will be held in Boston, Massachusetts in March of 2023. It is hoped that both conferences will attract new talent and funding to the field, while fostering greater awareness of this high-impact research.

AFFILIATIONS

1 Alzheimer’s Association, Chicago, Illinois, USA
2 INmune Bio, Inc, La Jolla, California, USA
3 Department of Neurology, Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
4 Departments of Neurology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
5 Department of Neurology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, USA
6 Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer’s Disease and the Aging Brain, G.H. Sergievsky Center, and Department of Neurology, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA
7 Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, USA
8 Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA
9 Department of Physiology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA
10 Department of Genetics & Genomic Sciences, Ronald M. Loeb Center for Alzheimer’s disease, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA
11 Metabolic Biochemistry, Biomedical Center (BMC), Faculty of Medicine, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany
12 German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Munich, Germany
13 Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany
14 Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine (LCySB) University of Luxembourg, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg
15 Department of Neurology, Rutgers-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School and Center for Healthy Aging, Rutgers Institute for Health, Health Care Policy, and Aging Research, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA
16 Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA
17 Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA
18 Interdisciplinary Program in Neuroscience, Georgetown University, Washington, District of Columbia, USA
19 EOH, School of Public Health University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA
20 Neuroscience Institute and Departments of Neuroscience & Physiology and of Ophthalmology, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA
21 Ronald M. Loeb Center for Alzheimer’s disease, Dept. of Genetics & Genomic Sciences, Dept. of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA
22 F.M. Kirby Neurobiology Center, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
23 Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
24 Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
25 Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
26 The Saul R. Korey Department of Neurology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA
27 Department of Family Medicine, Institute of Translational Research, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, Texas, USA
28 Global Brain Health Institute, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA
29 Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
30 Departments of Psychiatry and Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
31 Banner Alzheimer’s Institute, Phoenix, Arizona, USA
32 Banner Research, Phoenix, Arizona, USA
33 Department of Neuroscience and Center for Translational Research in Neurodegenerative Disease, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA
34 Department of Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA
35 Neurochemistry Laboratory, Clinical Chemistry department, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Program Neurodegeneration, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
36 Alzheimer Center Amsterdam, Neurology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC location VUmc, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
37 Amsterdam Neuroscience, Neurodegeneration, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
38 Department of Functional Genomics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research (CNCR), VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
39 Alzheimer Center Amsterdam, Department of Neurology, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
40 CTRND, Department of Neuroscience, University of Florida, Florida, USA
41 FBRI, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
42 School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
43 Sanders-Brown Center on Aging and Department of Physiology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank and acknowledge all conference organizers, speakers, and session chairs for your tremendous contributions to this conference. The authors would also like to thank Joanna Graca at the Alzheimer’s Association for her work on this article.

Courtney M. Kloske is a full-time employee of the Alzheimer’s Association. Christopher J. Barnum reports in the past 36 months, grants or contracts from Alzheimer’s Association, ALS Association, and the National Institutes of Health (NIH); and holds multiple patents surrounding treating neurodegenerative disease with TNF inhibitors. Andre F. Batista has nothing to disclose. Elizabeth M. Bradshaw reports in the past 36 months, grants or contracts from NIH/National Institutes on Aging (NIA) 1RF1AG058852 04/15/2018 − 03/31/2023 Bradshaw, Parkinson’s Disease Foundation PF-RCE-1948 07/01/2019 − 06/30/2023 Przedborski, NIH/NIA 5R01AG067581 05/15/2020 − 01/31/2025 Bradshaw/Elyaman, NIH/NIA 5U01AG061356 09/30/2018 − 08/31/2023 Bennett/De Jager, NIH/NIA 1R21AG073882 08/15/2021 − 07/31/2023 Bradshaw, NIH/NIA 5R01AG076018 09/30/2021 − 05/31/2026 Bradshaw/Elyaman/Vardarajan, IDSA CU21-3089 01/24/2022 − 12/24/2022 Bradshaw, C21-2023-20504 07/01/2021 − 06/30/2022 Bradshaw/Jiang; patents 2020 Rui Chang, Wassim Elyaman, Elizabeth Bradshaw, Patrick Ronaldson, Kuixi Zhu, Qianying He Compositions and Methods for Treating Neurodegenerative Disorders with NADH and/or PEITC US 63/071,032; 2021 Elizabeth Bradshaw, Wassim Elyaman Compositions and Methods for the Treatment of Alzheimer’s Disease 88800730-000443. EMB holds a role with ISTAART PIA Immunity and Neurodegeneration; reports she is a Founder IMAD Therapeutics. Adam M. Brickman reports in the past 36 months, reports grants or contracts from National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer’s Association; received consulting fees from CogState, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals and Cognition Therapeutics; received payment or honoraria from International Neuropsychological Society, Paulo Foundation International Medical Symposium and American Association of Neuropathologists; received consultation fee from Flomenhaft Law Firm; receive support for attending meetings and/or travel from International Neuropsychological Society. AMB holds patent US Patent US9867566B2; participated on a DSMB or Advisory Board for Albert Einstein College of Medicine; held leadership roles for International Neuropsychological Society Dementia SIG.
Cycleron, SAB; received payment or honoraria from NovoNordisk, Lectures; participated on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board: NovoNordisk, Lectures. William T. Hu reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH R01AG054046, R01AG054991, R01AG066203, R24AG063729, P30AG059304; TMCity Foundation. WTH has received royalties from SigmaMillpore for SARS-CoV-2 serological assays; Linus Health for Chinese Repeatable Cognitive Assessments. WTH has consulted for Biogen, Fujirebio Diagnostics, and Roche; has tested as a treating physician for Libby Law Firm; has a patent on CSF-based prognosis of very early Alzheimer’s disease. WTH served as a advisor for Apellis Pharmaceuticals; has led the Alzheimer’s Association Diversity Lexicon Workgroup. Lenique K. L. Huggins reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts; General research support grant in Fall 2020 from the Benjamin N. Duke Scholarship, Duke University Office of University Scholars and Fellows. Nahdia S. Jones reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH F99/K00. Radosveta Koldanova reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH/NIA: R01 AG077636 “The interplay between Tau and ncRNAs – genomic and epigenomic clues to early AD pathogenesis”, R01 AG075992 “ncRNAs in plasma EVs of AD patients and their discriminatory power as biomarkers”, R01AG066198 Physical exercise and Brain-brain communication: exosomes, Klotho and choroid plexus; reports patent “Methods and materials for reducing age-related striated muscle and cognitive decline (2019)”. #19 886 864.8. Lead inventor for this patent is Dr. Ambrosio. Cynthia A. Lemere reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH/NIA: 1RF1 AG060057 (PI) “Novel Inducible Complement C3 Conditional KO Mouse Models to Investigate Neurodegeneration in AD; 1RF1 AG058657 (PI) “AD Immunotherapy with a Novel CDC-Mutant Anti-Pyrogglutamate-3 Abeta Antibody to Avoid Vascular Side Effects; R01 AB063829 (Co-I) “Role of Mast Cells in AD; NASA: 80NSSC18K0810 (PI) Sex- and APOE-Specific Late CNS and Cardiovascular Effects of Space Radiation. CAL has received consulting fees from Acumen Pharmaceuticals, AC Immune, ADVantage Therapeutics, Apellis Pharmaceuticals, Biogen, Cyclo Therapeutics, Novo Nordisk; received payment or honoraria from Apellis, AC Immune, Biogen, Novo Nordisk; received support from Alzheimer's Association for travel to AAIC and Alz Assoc Research Roundtables. Novo Nordisk support for travel to Philadelphia for an SAB meeting. CAL held the position as chair and immediate past chair of the Alzheimer’s Association’s Medical and Scientific Advisory Group and an executive member of the Alzheimer’s Association’s board of directors; roughly 3 years ago, she inherited a confocal microscope from Amgen when they closed down their neuroscience division in Cambridge, MA. Shane A. Liddelow reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH/NEI R01EY033353; NYU Grossman School of Medicine; The Blas Frangione Foundation; The Gifford Family Neuroimmune Consortium as part of the Cure Alzheimer’s Fund; Private donors: anonymous, Paul Slavik; The Neurodegenerative Diseases Consortium from MD Anderson; Alzheimer’s Research UK; The Alzheimer’s Association; The Alzheimer’s Disease Resource Center at NYU Langone Medical Center; Parekh Center for Interdisciplinary Neurology; Wings for Life; Melanoma Research Alliance; Mass General Hospital; National Multiple Sclerosis Society; American Cancer Society; received consulting fees from: Genomics (past) Personal; Merck (past) Personal; Nextcure (past) Personal. SAL received support for attending meetings and/or travel: Invited speaker, Institute of Molecular Medicine (IMM), Lisbon, Portugal. Invited speaker, Neuroscience Seminar Series, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, OH; Keynote speaker, IV Neurobiology meeting from the Mexican Society for Biochemistry (Cartel IV Congreso Neurobiologica 2022), Oaxaca City, Mexico. (also keynote speaker for pre-meeting ‘Organoids and single-cell sequencing in Neuroscience’; Invited speaker, UC Irvine Center for Neural Circuit Mapping Conference: Linking Brain Function to Cell Types and Circuits, UCI, Irvine, CA; Invited speaker, Invited speaker, Biannual Fresno Parkinson Institute Symposium, Florence, Italy; Invited speaker, Cell and Molecular Biology seminar series, Duke University, Durham, NC.; Invited speaker, workshop leader, Louis J Fox Center for Vision Restoration/Glaucoma Research Foundation joint workshop, Miami, FL.; Invited speaker, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME.; Invited speaker, Karolinska Institute, Developing Brains symposium, Stockholm, Sweden; Invited speaker, Amgen, Cambridge, MS; Invited speaker, GRc on Amyotrophic Later Sclerosis (ALS) & Related Motor Neuron Diseases, Mount Snow, VT. SAL report patents: WO2018081250A1 Liddelow SA, Guttenplan KA, Barres BA. NEURONAL AND OLIGODENDROCYTE SURVIVAL MODULATION; 147462.002280. Guttenplan KA, Barres BA, Liddelow SA. METHODS OF MODULATING NEURONAL AND OLIGODENDROCYTE SURVIVAL. SAL participated on Scientific Advisory Board, RM Global BioAccess Fund; Scientific Advisory Board, Catalyst for a Cure 4 (Glaucoma Research Fund); Scientific Advisory Board, Genome Technology Center, NYU Grossman School of Medicine; held/holds leadership or fiduciary role: Editorial board, Glia; Editorial board, Cell Reports; Editorial board 2021 Program Committee, XV European Meeting of Glial Cells in Health and Disease, Marseille, France; 2021 Scientific Program Committee, AAIC Neuroscience Next (virtual); 2020 onwards Research Leadership Group, Cure Alzheimer’s Foundation. SAL reports stock or stock options: Astronautx Ltd. RM Global. Edoardo Marcera reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from Cure Alzheimer’s Fund Goate (Co-PI), Marcera (Co-PI) Systems Integration and Therapeutics Translation in Alzheimer’s Disease; U19 AG069701 Bu (PI), Holtzman (MPI), Goate (MPI and Sub-award PI); Role: Project 5 Co-Lead and Core G Co-I Biology and Pathobiology of ApoE in Aging and Alzheimer’s Disease; U01 AG066757 Tsai, Goate, Ray (MPIs); Role: Co-Investigator Development of PU.1 Inhibitory Modulators as Novel Therapeutics for Alzheimer’s Disease; U01 AG058635 Goate (PI); Role: Co-Investigator Genomic Approach to Identification of Microglial Networks Involved in Alzheimer’s Disease Risk; R01 AG054005 Raj (PI); Role: Co-Investigator—The Role of Peripheral Myeloid Cells in Alzheimers Disease; R01 AG072489 Goate (PI), Schaefer (MPI), Tarakhovsky (MPI)—Neuroprotective signaling and transcriptional pathways in microglia associated with Alzheimer’s disease; M.D. Anderson—Goate (PI); Role: Co-Investigator—Understanding the Mechanism of MS4A-Dependent AD Risk. EM received fees for consultations as a member of the GLG network. Samuel E. Marsh reports in the past 36 months grants or
and GBA Industry Summit, Michael J. Fox Foundation, LRRK2 Role in Neuroimmune Interactions and Inflammation in Neurodegeneration; International Dementia Conference Series, Targeting soluble TNF-dependent chronic inflammation to reduce risk and progression of AD and other neurodegenerative disorders; Florida Central Neuroscience Conference, Targeting Chronic Inflammation; Translating Pre-Clinical models to the Clinic; 20th Parkinson’s Educational Symposium; Gut Bacteria and Parkinson’s; Bright Focus Foundation Glaucoma Research Conference, Neuroinflammation in Neurodegenerative Disease: The Nexus of Glaucoma, Alzheimer’s disease and Related Dementias; Tulane University Medical School Neurology Grand Rounds, Targeting Chronic Inflammation in the Gut-Brain Axis to reduce risk for Parkinson’s; Keystone 2022 Neuroinflammation/Neurodegeneration Scientific Conference, Immune Function and Inflammation in Parkinson’s disease; University of Colorado Anschutz, College of Medicine, Targeting chronic inflammation in the gut-brain axis to reduce risk for age-related neurodegenerative disease; Cajal Neuroscience, Role of chronic inflammation in Parkinson’s pathogenesis and progression; NSF Conference on Physical Mechanisms of Neurodegeneration: Unifying versus Divergent Disease Mechanisms; Alzheimer’s Association International Conference (AAIC22) Neuroimmune Session, Bi-phasic & Age-dependent alterations in Lysosomal function and immune responses in Lrrk2-R1441C peripheral macrophages; Penn State College of Medicine, BMS Seminar Series, Targeting chronic inflammation and soluble TNF-dependent mechanisms to reduce lifetime risk for neurodegenerative disease. MGT received support for attending meetings and/or travel: AAIC2021-Denver, AAIC2022-San Diego from Alzheimer’s Association; Bright Focus Foundation for Glaucoma Research Symposium 2022- Atlanta; ASAP/MJFF PI Kick-off meeting 2022, Nassau, Bahamas. MGT held a leadership or fiduciary role in; Alzheimer’s Association, Weston Family Foundation, SFN 2022 Program Committee, World Parkinson Coalition, Sanofi SAB; Editorial work: NPJ Parkinson’s Disease (EIC); Science Advances (AE); Alzheimer’s & Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions (AE); Neurobiology of Disease (AE); Experimental Neurology (AE); Journal of Neuroinflammation; Journal of Parkinson’s Disease. MGT holds INimmune Bio stock, Xencor stock. Julia TCW reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIA, BrightFocus foundation, Tofﬂer foundation. Charlotte E. Teunissen reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts: Research of CET is supported by the European Commission (Marie Curie International Training Network, grant agreement No 860197 (MIRIADE), Innovative Medicines Initiatives 3TR (Horizon 2020, grant no 831434) EPND (IMI 2 Joint Undertaking (JU), grant No. 101034344) and JPND (bPRISE), Alzheimer Association, National MS Society (Progressive MS alliance); Health Holland, the Dutch Research Council (ZonMW), Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation, The Selfridges Group Foundation, Alzheimer Netherlands, Alzheimer Association. CT is recipient of ABOARD, which is a public–private partnership receiving funding from ZonMW (#73305095007) and Health–Holland, Topsector Life Sciences & Health (PPP-allowance; #LSHM20106). CET received payment or honoraria for presentations: Roche, Fujirebio, Novo Nordisk; received support for attending meetings from Alzheimer Association; holds a leadership role: Global Biomarkers Standardisation Consortium, Society for CSF analysis and Clinical Neurochemistry, Coral consortium, ISTAART BBB PIA and for journals: Alzheimer Research and Therapy; NMedicat Neurology/Springer, Neurology: Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation. CET has a collaboration contract with ADx Neurosciences, Quanterix and Eli Lilly, performed contract research or received grants from AC-Immune, Axon Neurosciences, Biocnect, Bioorchestra, Brainstorm Therapeutics, Celgene, EIP Pharma, Eisai, Grifols, Novo Nordisk, PeopleBio, Roche, Toyama, Vivonryon. Betty M. Tijms reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts: ZonMW VIDI grant #09150171910068, L’Oreal/UNESCO FWI 2022-23, Alzheimer Nederland grant #WE.03-2021-08/u220004/CD/eb; reports patents planned, issued, or pending: Inventor on patent #US-2022-0196683-A1 (owner: Stichting VUmc). BMT held a role in 2021 as Alzheimer’s association Neuroimaging PIA Chair. Rik van der Kant reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from Alzheimer Association ADSF-21-816750-1, 2019-AARG-643165; CurePSP Research Grant 669-2020-06; Cure Alzheimer’s Fund; Chan Zuckerberg Initiative DAF 2020-222244(5022); received payment for Synopsis Foundation Review Panel. Rebecca Wallings has nothing to disclose. Stacie C. Weninger reports consulting fees from Denali Therapeutics, SAB; held stock or stock options in entities related to the current manuscript and/or area of research included in this manuscript or related area of research. SCW is President of Alzforum; serves as Chair of the Board of Directors for Rogen Therapeutics; serves as a member of the Board of Directors for Aratome, Atalanta, Eikonizo, Neumora Therapeutics, Sironax, and Target ALS; serves as a member of the External Advisory Board for Boston Children’s Hospital’s Rosamund Stone Zander Translational Neuroscience Center; serves as a member of the Scientific Advisory Boards for the Breuer Foundation, Brown University’s Carney Center for Alzheimer’s Disease Research, Denali Therapeutics, the Indian Institute of Science’s Centre for Brain Research, the MIT Yang-Tan Center for Molecular Therapeutics, and the UK Dementia Research Institute. She previously served as a founding member of the Board of Directors for Denali Therapeutics (NASDAQ: DNLN); as well as a member of the Board of Directors for Annexon Biosciences (NASDAQ: ANNX), BRI-Alzan (acquired by MeiraGTx), Digital Cognition Technologies (acquired by Linus Health), Enspectra, Inscopix, Sylable Life Sciences (acquired by Neumora), and Q-State Biosciences. Whitney Wharton reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH / NIA R24AG066599, 1R01AG066203-02; received payment or honoraria for study section; participated on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board: AEROBIC DSB, KU Medical Center. Donna M. Wilcock reports in the past 36 months grants or contracts from NIH/NINDS 1RF1 NS130834, NIH/NINDS 1UF1 NS125488, NIH/NINDS 1R01 NS116990, NIH/NIA 1P30 AG072946, NIH/NIA 1P01 AG078116; received payment or honoraria from BrightFocus Foundation—Grant review and roundtable, Boston University ADRC EAB; received support for attending meetings and/or travel from Alzheimer’s Association: AAIC 2021, 2022; and Gordon Research Conference 2022. DMW held a leadership or fiduciary role as Editor in Chief Alzheimer’s and Dementia 2022-pres. Tyler James Wishard reports support for attending meetings and/or travel for AAIC.
The authors declare no conflicts of interest. Author disclosures are role for EASTERSEALS.

Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for US POINTER and holding a role for EASTERSEALS.

Maria C. Carrillo is a full-time employee of the Alzheimer’s Association AB (BBS), which is a part of the GU Ventures Incubator Program. She is a co-founder and stock owner of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg Biofluid-based Biomarker Professional Interest Area. HZ is a co-chair of the Alzheimer’s Association Global Biomarker Standardization Consortium and past immediate chair of the Alzheimer’s Association Global Biomarker Standardization Consortium and past immediate chair of the Alzheimer’s Association Therapeutics, and Wave; has given lectures in symposia sponsored by Abbvie Labs, reMYND, Roche, Samumed, Siemens Healthineers, Triplet Therapeutics, and Wave; has given lectures in symposia sponsored by Celsectricon, Fujirebio, Alzecure, Biogen, and Roche. HZ is a co-chair of the Alzheimer’s Association Global Biomarker Standardization Consortium and past immediate chair of the Alzheimer’s Association Biofluid-based Biomarker Professional Interest Area. HZ is a co-founder and stock owner of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB (BBS), which is a part of the GU Ventures Incubator Program. Maria C. Carrillo is a full-time employee of the Alzheimer’s Association and reports, in the past 36 months, participating on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for US POINTER and holding a role for EASTERSEALS.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. Author disclosures are available in the supporting information.

ORCID

Claire Sexton https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3846-2986

REFERENCES

25. Burns CM, Kaszniak AW, Chen K, et al. Longitudinal changes in serum
28. Edlund AK, Chen K, Lee W, et al. Plasma apolipoprotein E3 and glu-
emission tomography imaging of cognitively normal adults with
neurobiolaging.2012.03.002
23. Walker JM, Harrison FE. Shared neuropathological characteristics
of obesity, Type 2 diabetes and Alzheimer’s disease: impacts on cogni-
emission tomography imaging of cognitively normal adults with
neurobiolaging.2012.03.002
blood glucose and APOE genotype predicts later Alzheimer’s disease.
19. Sudduth TL, Schmitt FA, Nelson PT, Wilcock DM. Neuroinflam-
18. Kloske CM, Wilcock DM. The important interface between
apolipoprotein E and neuroinflammation in Alzheimer’s disease.
OA. Age and age-related diseases: role of inflammation triggers
00586
2021;599:102-107. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03960-y
restrains Alzheimer’s disease pathogenesis by modulating microglial
1073/pnas.1525461113
2021;12:2185. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22479-4
13. Cugurra A, Mamuladze T, Rusten hoven J, et al. Skull and verte-
bral bone marrow are myeloid cell reservoirs for the meninges and
ab7844
B cells reveals a lymphopoietic niche at the CNS borders. Science.
2021;373:eab92277. doi: 10.1126/science.aba9277
11. Chan WW, Kossaka S, Rezaie P. The origin and cell lineage of
1016/j.brainresrev.2006.11.002
10. Vance RE, Isberg RR, Portnoy DA. Patterns of pathogenesis:
discrimination of pathogenic and nonpathogenic microbes by the innate
chom.2009.06.007
barrier that prevents neurotoxic proapotinfilbrate Ap42 hotspots around
8. Koutman J, Freitag K, Gimber N, Schmoranzer J, Heppner FL,
Jendrach M. Bcl2l1-driven autophagy modulates the inflammatory
15252/embj.201899430
7. Liddelow SA, Guttenplan KA, Clarke LE, et al. Neurotoxic reactive
astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. Nature. 2015;521:481-
487. doi: 10.1038/nature21029
6. Barber L, Jain T, Zimmer M, et al. CD49f is a novel marker of
functional and reactive human iPSC-derived astrocytes. Brain Res.
2021;1805:21-35. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2021.03.007
5. Parhizkar S, Arzberger T, Brendel M, et al. TREM2 function
restrains Alzheimer’s disease pathogenesis by modulating microglial
01440-y
a unique microglia type that promotes tumor growth and restricts the
1016/j.cell.2014.07.018
3. Barbar L, Jain T, Zimmer M, et al. CD49f is a novel marker of
functional and reactive human iPSC-derived astrocytes. Neuro-
2. Liddelow SA, Guttenplan KA, Clarke LE, et al. Neurotoxic reactive
astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. Brain Res.
2021;1805:21-35. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2021.03.007
1. Parhizkar S, Arzberger T, Brendel M, et al. TREM2 function
restrains Alzheimer’s disease pathogenesis by modulating microglial
01440-y


SUPPORTING INFORMATION
Additional supporting information can be found in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.