Ramantani Georgia (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-7931-2327) Riva Antonella (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-9152-5571) Caraballo Roberto Horacio (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-0259-1046) Giuliano Loretta (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-2512-6972) Operto Francesca (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2444-8761) Giraldez Beatriz G. (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6653-3027) Balestrini Simona (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5639-1969) Silvennoinen Katri (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8456-0029) Fortunato Francesco (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8395-7809) Coppola Antonietta (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4845-4293) Gambardella Antonio (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7384-3074) Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite Dorothee G.A. (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-6659-2614) Sisodiya Sanjay M (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-1511-5893) Arzimanoglou Alexis (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-7233-2771) Striano Pasquale (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-6065-1476) Di Bonaventura Carlo (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1890-5409)

#### Sex-based electroclinical differences and prognostic factors in epilepsy with eyelid myoclonia

Emanuele Cerulli Irelli, MD,<sup>1</sup>, Enrico Cocchi, MD,<sup>2</sup> Georgia Ramantani, MD, PhD,<sup>3</sup> Alessandra Morano, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Antonella Riva, MD,<sup>4</sup> Roberto H Caraballo, MD,<sup>5</sup> Loretta Giuliano, MD,<sup>6</sup> Tülay Yilmaz, MD,<sup>7</sup> Eleni Panagiotakaki, MD, PhD,<sup>8</sup> Francesca F Operto, MD,<sup>9</sup> Beatriz Gonzalez Giraldez, MD,<sup>10</sup> Simona Balestrini, MD, PhD,<sup>11</sup> Katri Silvennoinen, MD<sup>12</sup> Sara Casciato, MD, PhD,<sup>13</sup> Marion Comajuan, MD,<sup>8</sup> Francesco Fortunato, MD,<sup>14</sup> Anna T Giallonardo, MD,<sup>1</sup> MD, Rimma Gamirova, MD, PhD,<sup>15</sup> Antonietta Coppola, MD, PhD,<sup>16</sup> Giancarlo Di Gennaro, MD, PhD,<sup>13</sup> Angelo Labate, MD, PhD,<sup>17</sup> Vito Sofia, MD,<sup>6</sup> Gerhard J Kluger, MD,<sup>18</sup> Antonio Gambardella, MD, PhD,<sup>14</sup> Dorothee Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite, MD, PhD,<sup>19</sup> Betul Baykan, MD,<sup>7</sup> Sanjay M Sisodiya, FRCP, PhD,<sup>12</sup> Alexis Arzimanoglou, MD, PhD,<sup>8</sup> Pasquale Striano, MD, PhD,<sup>20</sup> Carlo Di Bonaventura, MD, PhD,<sup>1\*</sup> on behalf of the EEM study group

- Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza, University of Rome, Rome, Italy
   Department of Precision Medicine and Genomics, Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York
- 3 Department of Neuropediatrics, University Children's Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland 4 Department of Neurosciences Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health (DINOGMI), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy
- 5 Department of Neurology, Hospital de Pediatría "Prof. Dr. Juan P Garrahan", Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 6 Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences and Advanced Technologies "G.F. Ingrassia", Section of Neurosciences, University of Catania, Catania, Italy
- 7 Departments of Neurology and Clinical Neurophysiology, Istanbul University, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey
- 8 Department of Paediatric Clinical Epileptology, Sleep Disorders and Functional Neurology, University Hospitals of Lyon (HCL), Member of the ERN EpiCARE, Lyon, France
- 9 Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry Unit, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy
- 10 Epilepsy Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Universitario and IIS Fundación Jiménez Díaz and CIBERER, Madrid, Spain.
- 11 Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK and Chalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Bucks, UK; Neuroscience Department, Meyer Children's Hospital IRCSS-University of Florence, Member of the ERN EpiCARE, Florence, Italy 12 Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK and Chalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Bucks, UK 13 IRCCS NEUROMED, Pozzilli, Isernia, Italy.
  - This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/epi.17609

14 Institute of Neurology, University Magna Graecia, Catanzaro, Italy

15 Kazan Federal University, Russia

16 Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive and Odontostomatological Sciences, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

17 Neurophysiopatology and Movement Disorders Clinic, University of Messina, Italy 18 Clinic for Neuropediatrics and Neurorehabilitation, Epilepsy Center for Children and Adolescents, Schoen Clinic Vogtareuth, Vogtareuth, Germany; PMU Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria 19 Department of Neurosurgery and Epilepsy, University Medical Center, Member of the ERN EpiCARE, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands; Nesmos Department, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

20 IRCCS Istituto "Giannina Gaslini", Member of the ERN EpiCARE, Genoa, Italy; Department of Neurosciences Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health (DINOGMI), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

\* Corresponding author

Number of words:

Abstract: 192

Manuscript body: 1549

Number of references: 18

Number of tables: 2

Number of figures: 0

Keywords: drug resistance; catamenial seizures; photosensitivity; Jeavons syndrome; genetic generalized epilepsy (GGE)

AUTHOR FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Carlo Di Bonaventura Department of Human Neurosciences "Sapienza" University of Rome Viale dell'Università, 30 00185 Rome, Italy Phone: +390649914726 Fax: +390649914722 E-mail: <u>c\_dibonaventura@yahoo.it</u>

## Abstract

Accept

Although a striking female preponderance has been consistently reported in epilepsy with eyelid myoclonia (EEM), no study has specifically explored the variability of clinical presentation according to sex in this syndrome. Here, we aimed to investigate sex-specific electroclinical differences and prognostic determinants in EEM. Data from 267 EEM patients were retrospectively analyzed by the EEM study group, and a dedicated multivariable logistic regression analysis was developed separately for each sex. We found that females with EEM showed a significantly higher rate of persistence of photosensitivity and eye closure sensitivity at the last visit, along with a higher prevalence of migraine with aura, whereas males with EEM presented a higher rate of borderline intellectual functioning/intellectual disability. In female patients, multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed age at epilepsy onset, eyelid myoclonia status epilepticus, psychiatric comorbidities and catamenial seizures as significant predictors of drug resistance. In male patients, a history of febrile seizures was the only predictor of drug resistance. Hence, our study reveals sexspecific differences both in terms of electroclinical features and prognostic factors. Our findings support the importance of a sex-based personalized approach in epilepsy care and research, especially in genetic generalized epilepsies.

#### **Introduction**

**Artic** 

Epilepsy with eyelid myoclonia (EEM), previously known as Jeavons syndrome, is a generalized genetic epilepsy (GGE) syndrome characterized by the classical triad of prominent eyelid myoclonia (EM), photosensitivity (PS) and eye closure sensitivity (ECS).<sup>1</sup> The clinical description of EEM has been expanded during the past years and distinct EEM sub-phenotypes have been identified, characterized by different age at onset, electroclinical features and neuropsychiatric profiles.<sup>2,3</sup>

A striking female preponderance has been described along the entire EEM continuum, with a female to male ratio ranging from 2:1 to 4:1, and has been considered a disease hallmark in this heterogenous epilepsy syndrome.<sup>4</sup> In spite of this prominent female predominance, no study has investigated the sex differences in terms of both electroclinical features and prognostic factors in EEM. Conversely, previous studies in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) highlighted relevant differences in clinical presentation according to sex, with female patients displaying higher rates of absence seizures, PS and reflex seizures.<sup>5</sup> The importance of a sex-stratified approach in GGE was further supported by recent results highlighting the prognostic impact of catamenial seizures in JME and other GGE syndromes.<sup>5-7</sup> Moreover, the recent limitations introduced by worldwide regulatory agencies for the use of valproate (VPA) in female patients of childbearing potential, and the consequent differences in antiseizure medication (ASM) exposure, once again highlighted the prognostic relevance of sex across different GGE syndromes.<sup>8,9</sup>

In this study, by the ongoing EEM study group, we aimed to explore the occurrence of electroclinical differences according to sex and investigate the possible existence of sex-specific prognostic determinants in EEM.

#### Methods:

T-L-

## Study participants and data collection

Through the ongoing EEM study group, we collected the clinical data of 313 individuals followed for at least 24 months from 1983 to 2020, recruited retrospectively from 20 sites across 9 countries. Institutional/regional ethics committees approved this study and signed consent was obtained from all participants or their parents/caregivers.

Patients were enrolled according to the following criteria, previously described elsewhere:<sup>4</sup> 1) EM with or without absences; 2) history of PS and/or ECS; 3) EEG generalized spike-wave discharges (SWDs) and/or polyspike-wave discharges (PWDs); 4) normal neuroimaging (when available). Patients with sporadic myoclonia in body regions other than the eyelids were included, provided that EM represented the predominant seizure type. To avoid the enrollment of patients with a definite developmental or epileptic encephalopathy, individuals with cognitive deficits other than borderline intellectual functioning and mild intellectual disability (ID) were excluded.

## Clinical, EEG and outcome assessment

Clinical charts were thoroughly examined to gather demographic information, family history of epilepsy in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relatives, history of febrile seizures (FS), psychiatric comorbidities, history of migraine with or without aura, age at epilepsy onset, seizure types experienced (including EM status epilepticus), history of self-induced seizures, and catamenial worsening of seizures. We also examined drug regimen changes, treatment adherence and response to ASMs. For every patient, we recorded the presence of borderline intellectual functioning and/or mild ID, as determined by the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for children or adults, depending on the age at

1528167, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.weije.com/doi/10.1111/epi.17609 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [1204/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

standardized investigation. Standard EEGs were analyzed to identify SWDs and PWDs with their corresponding frequencies, ECS and/or PS, and focal epileptiform abnormalities.

The primary outcome was the occurrence of drug resistance at the last follow-up visit, as defined by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE).<sup>10</sup> The recurrence of epileptic seizures as a probable consequence of poor adherence to treatment and/or attempts at ASM tapering/withdrawal was considered as "pseudo-resistance" and did not contribute to the outcome definition.

## Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistic methods and data visualization were used to assess data distribution. Comparisons of demographic and electroclinical variables between males and females were performed with independent sample t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test in cases of normal and nonnormal distribution, respectively. Comparisons across proportions were performed with Fisher's exact test. To investigate the sex-specific factors associated with drug resistance, a dedicated multivariable logistic regression model was developed separately for each sex (M1 model for females, M2 model for males). All variables showing a p value < 0.2 at univariable analysis were included in the multivariable model, whereas follow-up duration was retained in both models as a potential confounder.

#### Results

Demographic and electroclinical differences according to sex

A total of 267 patients (195 females) were included in the present study.<sup>4</sup> Female and male EEM patients did not differ in terms of age at epilepsy onset, follow-up duration, and age at the last follow-up visit (Table 1). Female patients showed a significant higher rate of ECS (p=0.02) and PS persistence (p<0.001) at the last visit, combined with a higher rate of a history of migraine (p=0.047), whereas male patients showed a higher rate of intellectual disability/borderline intellectual functioning (p=0.025) (Table 1).

The two groups did not differ in terms of the number of ASMs used during follow-up (p=0.4). VPA use at the last visit was significantly more frequent in males compared to females (p<0.001), whereas levetiracetam (LEV) was significantly more used in the latter group (p=0.048). No significant differences between females and males were found in the use of other ASMs. See table 1 for a detailed comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics, including ASM use, between females and males (Table 1).

### Sex-specific determinants of drug resistance

Drug resistance at the last follow-up visit did not significantly differ according to sex, although was found to be slightly higher in females [88/195 (45.1%) vs 27/72 (37.5%), p=0.3)].

In female patients, the M1 model revealed that age at epilepsy onset, catamenial seizures, psychiatric comorbidities and eyelid myoclonia status epilepticus were significantly associated with drug-resistance, whereas a borderline statistical significance was found for self-induced seizures (area under the curve -AUC- of the multivariable model = 0.71). In male patients, the M2 model showed that a personal history of febrile seizures (FS) was the only factor associated with drug resistance (AUC = 0.62). See Table 2 for odds ratios and confidence intervals of both multivariable models (Table 2).

#### Discussion

rtir

In this study we highlighted the existence of electroclinical differences between female and male EEM patients and we identified sex-specific determinants of drug resistance.

When focusing on electroclinical differences, female EEM patients showed a higher prevalence of several EEG markers of occipital cortex hyperexcitability, namely ECS and PS persistence at the last visit.<sup>11,12</sup> Similarly, female patients in our cohort also displayed a higher rate of migraine with/without aura, a condition in which the primary role of occipital cortex excitability has been repeatedly demonstrated.<sup>13</sup> Based on these observations, we might hypothesize a sexual dimorphism

1528167, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.weije.com/doi/10.1111/epi.17609 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [1204/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

in occipital cortex excitability, which may also account for the striking female preponderance observed in this epilepsy syndrome.<sup>11</sup> A similar sex-based difference in cortical excitability has been observed in other GGE syndromes characterized by female preponderance. In particular, a higher excitability of the motor cortex was found in female patients with JME using transcranial magnetic stimulation.<sup>14</sup> The specific role of genetic, epigenetic and hormonal factors in determining these differences should be further investigated.

In this study, we also found a higher rate of cognitive abnormalities (when considering together intellectual disability and borderline intellectual functioning) in male patients compared with females, suggesting a possible contribution of X-linked variants on cognitive functioning in EEM.<sup>15</sup> When considering ASM treatment, we documented systematic differences in ASM exposure between females and males, showing a significantly higher use of LEV in the former group and a higher use of VPA in the latter, as observed in other GGE syndromes.<sup>16</sup>

In the present study, prognostic factors previously identified in EEM (namely eyelid myoclonia status epilepticus, age at epilepsy onset and psychiatric comorbidities),<sup>3,4,17</sup> could be confirmed in females only. Conversely, FS, which appeared as a strong predictor of a reduced chance of sustained remission in EEM,<sup>2</sup> was found to be associated with the outcome only in the male sex. Based on this observation, we might hypothesize that physiological sex differences could confer distinct protection against early-life neurological insults, such as FS, as suggested in preclinical studies.<sup>18</sup> However, the impact of genetic variants predisposing to both drug resistance and FS cannot be excluded.

Moreover, we highlighted for the first time the impact of catamenial seizures on seizure outcome in EEM, similarly to what has been increasingly observed in other GGE syndromes, particularly in JME.<sup>5-7</sup>

1528167, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.weije.com/doi/10.1111/epi.17609 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [1204/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Finally, when comparing the discriminative ability of the logistic regression models, we found a better AUC in females compared to male patients, suggesting that other unmeasured variables could play a role in the development of drug resistance in male EEM patients.

The retrospective design of our study represents the most important limitation in the interpretation of our findings, whereas the large sample size and the multicenter design with 18 epilepsy centers may support their generalizability. Moreover, the lower use of VPA in females may partially explain some of the electroclinical differences observed, especially regarding the significant higher rate of PS and migraine, considering the well-known role of this ASM in the treatment of these conditions.<sup>8,9</sup>

Artic

In conclusion, our study confirms the relevance of a sex-personalized approach in epilepsy care and research and paves the way for future studies exploring the underlying pathophysiological and biological significance of the observed sex-specific differences. A clinical and research approach based on sex could be of paramount importance in the context of GGE, considering the striking differences in terms of sex distribution observed in some syndromes and the prognostic relevance of sex-based factors (i.e., catamenial seizures).

## Table 1. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics according to sex

			T			
	Females	Males	1			
	(195 patients)	(72 patients)	p value			
Age at epilepsy onset, years, median (IQR)	7 (5-10)	8 (5-10)	1			
Follow-up duration, years, median (IQR)	14 (9-24)	14 (7.2-23.8)	0.8			
Age at the last follow-up visit, median (IQR)	22 (17-31)	21 (17-31.7)	0.4			
Family history of epilepsy in 1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> degree relatives,	57 (29.2)	26 (36.1)	0.3			
n (%)						
Personal history of febrile seizures, n (%)	22 (11.2)	8 (11.1)	1			
Borderline intellectual functioning and or mild	51 (26.2)	29 (40.3)	0.025*			
intellectual disability, n (%)						
Migraine with or without aura, n (%)	32 (16.4)	5 (6.9)	0.047*			
Psychiatric comorbidities, n (%)	44 (22.6)	18 (25)	0.7			
Seizure types experienced during history						
Generalized tonic-clonic seizures, n (%)	133 (68.2)	49 (68.1)	1			
Myoclonia in body districts other than eyelid, n (%)	45 (23.1)	13 (18.1)	0.4			
Eyelid myoclonia status epilepticus, n (%)	26 (13.5)	9 (12.7)	0.8			
Provoking factors						
Self-induced seizures, n (%)	35 (17.9)	13 (18.1)	1			
Catamenial worsening of seizures, n (%)	23 (11.8)	0				
ECS at any time during follow-up, n (%)	156 (80)	51 (70.8)	0.13			
PS at any time during follow-up, n (%)	66 (91.7)	66 (91.8)	1			
ECS persistence at the last visit, n (%)	82 (48.8)	19 (30.2)	0.02*			
PS persistence at the last visit, n (%)	109 (60.2)	19 (31.1)	< 0.001*			
EEG features						
Polyspike-wave discharges, n (%)	140 (71.8)	52 (72.2)	0.9			
Focal spikes, n (%)	38 (19.5)	16 (22.2)	0.6			
ASM treatment						
ASM tried during history, median (IQR)	2 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	0.4			
Valproate use at the last visit, n (%)	92 (47.2)	54 (75)	< 0.001*			
Levetiracetam use at the last visit, $n(\%)$	77 (39.5)	19 (26.4)	0.048*			
Abbreviations : $ASM =$ antiseizure medication ; $ECS =$ eye closure sensitivity ; $EEM =$ Epilepsy with eyelid myoclonia ; $EO =$ early once : $IO =$ intermediate once : $IO =$ late once : $PS =$ photosensitivity. Note : The esteristic indicate statistically similarity similarity in the statistical stat						
early onset $\cdot$ IO = intermediate onset $\cdot$ IO = late-onset $\cdot$ PS = nhotoset	encitivity Note The act	ericks indicate statistic	ally cionificant			

Abbreviations : ASM = antiseizure medication ; ECS = eye closure sensitivity ; EEM = Epilepsy with eyelid myoclonia ; EO = early onset ; IO = intermediate onset ; LO = late-onset ; PS = photosensitivity. Note : The asterisks indicate statistically significant variables (p<0.05).

# Table 2. Prognostic factors for drug resistance based on sex according to two distinct multivariable logistic regression models

Multivariable model developed for female patients (M1)					
Predictor	OR	95% CI	p value		
Age at epilepsy onset	0.88	0.81-0.97	0.008*		
Follow-up duration	0.98	0.96-1.10	0.2		
Psychiatric comorbidities	2.33	1.1-4.94	0.03*		
Eyelid myoclonia status epilepticus	2.78	1.12-6.94	0.03*		
History of self-induced seizures	2.29	0.99-5.31	0.053		
Catamenial worsening of seizures	2.67	1.02-7.04	0.047*		
Multivariable model developed for male patients (M2)					
Predictor	OR	95% CI	p value		
Follow-up duration	1.01	0.97-1.04	0.8		
History of self-induced seizures	2.31	0.63-8.51	0.2		
History of febrile seizures	6.19	1.12-34.26	0.04*		
Abbreviations : $CI = confidence interval$ ; $OR = odd ratio$ . Note : The asterisks indicate statistically significant variables (p<0.05).					
variables (p<0.05).					

We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

Acknowledgments: None

Funding: None

Author contributions: CDB, ECI and PS contributed to the concept and design of the study. AM, ATG, CDB, ECI, EC contributed to drafting a significant portion of the manuscript and figures. All authors contributed to the acquisition and analysis of the data and critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All EEM study group authors are listed in the appendix, along with their contribution to the manuscript.

Potential conflicts of interest: None of the authors has any conflict of interest to disclose.

Data availability statement: Anonymized data can be made available upon reasonable request.

## References

1. Striano S, Striano P, Nocerino C, et al. Eyelid myoclonia with absences: an overlooked epileptic syndrome?. Neurophysiol Clin. 2002;32(5):287-296. doi:10.1016/s0987-7053(02)00343-x

2. Capovilla G, Striano P, Gambardella A, et al. Eyelid fluttering, typical EEG pattern, and impaired intellectual function: a homogeneous epileptic condition among the patients presenting with eyelid myoclonia. Epilepsia. 2009;50(6):1536-1541. doi:10.1111/j.1528-1167.2008.02002.x

3. Cerulli Irelli E, Cocchi E, Ramantani G, et al. Electroclinical Features and Long-term Seizure Outcome in Patients With Eyelid Myoclonia With Absences. Neurology. 2022;98(18):e1865-e1876. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000200165

4. Cerulli Irelli E, Cocchi E, Ramantani G, et al. The spectrum of epilepsy with eyelid myoclonia: delineation of disease subtypes from a large multicenter study [published online ahead of print, 2022 Oct 28]. Epilepsia. 2022;10.1111/epi.17450. doi:10.1111/epi.17450

5. Shakeshaft A, Panjwani N, Collingwood A, et al. Sex-specific disease modifiers in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):2785. Published 2022 Feb 21. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-06324-2

6. Stevelink R, Al-Toma D, Jansen FE, et al. Individualised prediction of drug resistance and seizure recurrence after medication withdrawal in people with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy: A systematic review and individual participant data meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;53:101732.

7. Choi H, Detyniecki K, Bazil C, et al. Development and validation of a predictive model of drugresistant genetic generalized epilepsy. Neurology. 2020;95(15):e2150-e2160. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000010597

8. Cerulli Irelli E, Cocchi E, Morano A, et al. Valproate impact and sex-dependent seizure remission in patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy. J Neurol Sci. 2020;415:116940.

9. Tomson T, Marson A, Boon P, et al. Valproate in the treatment of epilepsy in girls and women of childbearing potential. Epilepsia. 2015;56(7):1006-1019

10. Kwan P, Arzimanoglou A, Berg AT, et al. Definition of drug resistant epilepsy: consensus proposal by the ad hoc Task Force of the ILAE Commission on Therapeutic Strategies [published correction appears in Epilepsia. 2010 Sep;51(9):1922]. Epilepsia. 2010;51(6):1069-1077.

11. Vaudano AE, Ruggieri A, Tondelli M, et al. The visual system in eyelid myoclonia with absences. Ann Neurol. 2014;76(3):412-427. doi:10.1002/ana.24236

12. Siniatchkin M, Groppa S, Jerosch B, et al. Spreading photoparoxysmal EEG response is associated with an abnormal cortical excitability pattern. Brain. 2007;130(Pt 1):78-87. doi:10.1093/brain/aw1306

13. Gunaydin S, Soysal A, Atay T, Arpaci B. Motor and occipital cortex excitability in migraine patients. Can J Neurol Sci. 2006;33(1):63-67. doi:10.1017/s0317167100004716

14. Puri V, Sajan PM, Chowdhury V, Chaudhry N. Cortical excitability in drug naive juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Seizure. 2013;22(8):662-669.

rti

15. Stamberger H, Hammer TB, Gardella E, et al. NEXMIF encephalopathy: an X-linked disorder with male and female phenotypic patterns. Genet Med. 2021;23(2):363-373. doi:10.1038/s41436-020-00988-9

16. Virta LJ, Kälviäinen R, Villikka K, Keränen T. Declining trend in valproate use in Finland among females of childbearing age in 2012-2016 - a nationwide registry-based outpatient study. Eur J Neurol. 2018;25(6):869-874.

17. Caraballo RH, Fontana E, Darra F, et al. A study of 63 cases with eyelid myoclonia with or without absences: type of seizure or an epileptic syndrome?. Seizure. 2009;18(6):440-445. doi:10.1016/j.seizure.2009.04.004

18. Kloc ML, Marchand DH, Holmes GL, Pressman RD, Barry JM. Cognitive impairment following experimental febrile seizures is determined by sex and seizure duration. Epilepsy Behav. 2022;126:108430. doi:10.1016/j.yebeh.2021.108430

Appendix: Coinvestigators EEM study group						
Name	Location	Role	Contribution			
Giacomo Fisco, MD	Sapienza, University of Rome, Rome, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Stefano Meletti, md	University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Natalia Liukshina, MD	MIDEAL Medical Clinic, Russia	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Tatiana Tomenko, MD	European medical center UMMC-Health, Russia	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Giuseppe Gobbi, MD	IRCCS, Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italia	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Daniela Buti, MD	Meyer Hospital, Firenze, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Susanna Casellato, MD	University Hospital of Sassari, Sassari, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Salvatore Striano, MD, PhD	Federico II University, 80131 Naples, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Tullio Messana, MD	IRCCS, Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italia	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Lucio Giordano, MD	ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia, Brescia, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Edoardo Ferlazzo, MD, PhD	Magna Græcia University of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy.	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Aglaia Vignoli, MD	University of Milan, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Maurizio Viri, MD	AOU Maggiore della Carità Novara, Novara, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Irene Bagnasco, MD	Marini Hospital, Torino, Italy	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Nerses Bebek, MD, PhD	Istanbul University	Site investigator	Data acquisition			
Gunes Altıokka-Uzun, MD	Istanbul University	Site investigator	Data acquisition			