

2022 UK JUDICIAL ATTITUDE SURVEY

Salaried judges and fee-paid judicial office holders in
Northern Ireland

Professor Cheryl Thomas KC
UCL Judicial Institute
28 March 2023



2022 UK Judicial Attitude Survey: Northern Ireland

	page
Table of Contents	i
Executive summary	1
Part 1: UK Judicial Attitude Survey	5
1 UK Judicial Attitude Survey	5
<i>The survey</i>	6
<i>The contents of the JAS Northern Ireland</i>	7
<i>Response rates</i>	7
<i>How the results are presented</i>	8
Part 2: UK JAS trends 2014-2022 (Northern Ireland)	8
2 Main trends for the salaried judiciary from 2014 to 2022	8
<i>Role perception trends</i>	8
<i>Job satisfaction trends</i>	8
<i>Working conditions trends</i>	9
<i>IT resources trends</i>	10
<i>Trends in personal security concerns</i>	10
<i>Training trends</i>	11
<i>Salary and pension trends</i>	12
<i>Trends in intentions to leave the salaried judiciary early</i>	13
<i>Trends in being respected and valued</i>	14
Part 3: 2022 JAS results Northern Ireland (salaried and fee-paid judiciary)	15
3 Being a member of the judiciary	15
<i>Identity and belonging</i>	15
<i>Contribution to society</i>	15
<i>Societal respect for judges</i>	16
<i>Feeling valued</i>	17
4 Working conditions	18
<i>Working conditions compared with 2 years ago</i>	18
<i>Salaried judges workload</i>	19
<i>Assessment of specific working conditions</i>	20
<i>Importance of different working conditions (fee-paid)</i>	24
<i>Importance of different working conditions (salaried)</i>	24

5	Welfare, inclusion and respect	25
	<i>Respect</i>	25
	<i>Inclusion and information provided (fee-paid)</i>	25
	<i>Feeling welcome (fee-paid)</i>	26
	<i>Personal safety</i>	27
	<i>Welfare support</i>	27
6	Bullying, harassment and discrimination	28
	<i>Experience of bullying, harassment and discrimination</i>	28
	<i>Sources of bullying, harassment and discrimination</i>	28
	<i>Reporting of bullying, harassment and discrimination</i>	29
7	Salary, pay and pensions	30
	<i>Salary and pension (salaried)</i>	30
	<i>Earnings prior to salaried appointment (salaried)</i>	34
	<i>Pay and pension (fee-paid)</i>	35
	<i>Earnings from non-judicial work (fee-paid)</i>	36
	<i>Daily sitting fee</i>	36
8	Digital working	37
	<i>Views on working digitally</i>	37
	<i>Assessment of IT resources</i>	38
	<i>Technical support</i>	39
	<i>IT for working remotely</i>	40
	<i>Impact of remote hearings</i>	41
9	Job satisfaction & training	43
	<i>Satisfaction with aspects of the judicial role</i>	43
	<i>Importance of different opportunities (salaried)</i>	43
	<i>Importance of different opportunities (fee-paid)</i>	44
	<i>Satisfaction with training</i>	45
10	Change and communications	47
	<i>Views about change in the judiciary</i>	47
	<i>Handling change</i>	48
	<i>Information received about change</i>	48
	<i>Information received about the judicial role</i>	49
11	Joining and leaving the judiciary	50
	<i>Joining the salaried judiciary(salaried)</i>	50
	<i>Applying to the salaried judiciary (fee-paid)</i>	51
	<i>Joining the fee-paid judiciary</i>	52
	<i>Leaving the salaried judiciary early</i>	53
	<i>Factors prompting early departure (salaried)</i>	54
	<i>Factors encouraging judges to remain (salaried)</i>	54

12 Leadership and leadership judges (salaried judges)	56
<i>Holding leadership roles and responsibilities</i>	56
<i>Willingness to take on a leadership role</i>	56
<i>Allocation of leadership roles</i>	57
<i>Leadership judges</i>	58
13 Survey respondents and demographic trends	60
<i>Gender</i>	60
<i>Ethnicity</i>	61
<i>Disability</i>	62
<i>Caring responsibilities</i>	62
<i>Education</i>	63
<i>Professional background</i>	64
<i>QC (now KC)</i>	64
<i>Age</i>	64
<i>Tenure in current post</i>	65
<i>Full and part-time status</i>	65
<i>Holding other judicial posts</i>	65
14 Views about the JAS	66
<i>Length of survey</i>	66
<i>Completion time</i>	66
Appendix A: 2022 UK Judicial Attitude Survey Salaried (Northern Ireland)	67
Appendix B: 2022 UK Judicial Attitude Survey Fee-paid (Northern Ireland)	84

Executive Summary

The UK Judicial Attitude Survey

- The UK Judicial Attitude Survey (JAS) is the only known continuous survey of the working lives of judges anywhere in the world. It is conducted by the UCL Judicial Institute on behalf of the Lady Chief Justice of Northern Ireland, Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales, Lord President of Scotland and the Senior President of Tribunals.
- The Senior Salaries Review Body (SSRB) regards the regular running of the JAS as essential, calling it “a comprehensive evidence base from which to draw conclusions about judicial motivation and morale ... and a base from which to measure change against in future”.
- This is the fourth running of the JAS, preceded by earlier surveys in 2020, 2016 and 2014. The earlier surveys covered the salaried judiciary, and in 2022 the JAS was extended to include all fee-paid judges for the first time.

Key trends from 2014-2022 for salaried judges in Northern Ireland

- From 2014 to 2022, salaried judges have consistently said they feel a strong personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary and feel they provide an important service to society. Salaried judges are increasingly satisfied with the challenge of the job, the variety of work they have and the sense of achievement they have in their job.
- Since 2014, there has been a substantial fall in the proportion of salaried judges saying working conditions are “worse” than they were in previous years. The quality of administrative support progressively increased from 2014 to 2022. The quality of other working conditions fell from 2014 to 2016 but were then on an upward trajectory to 2022: this included the physical quality of personal work space, court security, quality of administrative support and space to meet and interact with other judges.
- After a precipitous fall from 2014 to 2020 in the quality of internet access at court, judges’ assessment of it as excellent or good increased from 2020 and 2022.
- Since 2020 salaried judges have reported far fewer concerns about their personal safety in court, out of court and on social media.
- Judges’ satisfaction with the range and quality of and time for training has increased substantially from 2016.
- There was a substantial decrease in 2020 in judges having a loss of net earnings, but this began to increase again in 2022.
- The proportion of salaried judges that said they are paid a reasonable salary for the work they do increased from 2016 to 2022.
- Salaried judges who said their morale or the morale of judges they work with was affected by the judicial salary issue fell consistently from 2014 to 2022.
- The proportion of judges saying they are considering leaving the judiciary early increased from 2014 to 2020, but decreased in 2022.
- From 2020 to 2022, salaried judges consistently reported feeling most valued by judicial colleagues at court, court staff, the legal profession and parties that appear before them; a majority said they felt valued by the public and senior leadership in the judiciary; only a small minority of salaried judges said they felt valued by the media or the UK government.

Results of the 2022 JAS for Northern Ireland (for both the salaried and fee-paid judiciary)

Being a member of the judiciary

- Almost all judges in Northern Ireland said they feel a strong personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary and feel they provide an important service to society, but salaried judges felt this attachment more strongly than fee-paid office holders.
- A larger proportion of salaried (62%) than fee-paid judicial office holders (30%) felt that members of the judiciary in 2022 were respected by society less than they were in 2020.
- Only 5% of salaried judges and 21% of fee-paid office holders felt valued by the government.

Working conditions

- Over half (61%) of salaried judges in Northern Ireland said that working conditions were worse in 2022 than they were in 2020, much more than fee-paid office holders (26%).
- Fee-paid judicial office holders rated the amount and quality of administrative support, the morale of court staff, and the physical quality, maintenance and security of the buildings they work in higher than salaried judges.
- The most important working conditions for fee-paid judicial office holders were a flexible working pattern (88%), training opportunities (83%) and opportunities to sit more than the minimum number of days (79%).
- Almost all salaried judge said that the time to discuss work with colleagues (97%), training (97%) and support for dealing with stressful conditions at work (89%) were important working conditions for them.

Welfare, inclusion and respect

- Almost all judges, whether salaried or fee-paid, feel respected by their judicial colleagues at the court where they work, and a majority of salaried and fee-paid judges feel respected by their immediate leadership judge and senior leadership in the judiciary.
- Salaried judges expressed much greater concerns for their personal safety as a result of their judicial work compared with fee-paid office holders.
- There are low levels of familiarity amongst both the salaried and fee-paid judiciary with the welfare support available to them, with a quarter or less being aware of the range of welfare support available and how to access this welfare support.

Bullying, harassment and discrimination

- In the JAS 2022, judges in Northern Ireland were asked for the first time whether they had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years in their role as a judge. The overwhelming majority of both salaried judges (89%) and fee-paid judicial office holders (91%) said they had not experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in their work as a judge in the last 2 years.
- Amongst those who had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years, this was more prevalent amongst salaried judges than fee-paid judicial office holders.
- Almost all judges that said they experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years did not report it: 75% of salaried judges and 92% of fee-paid judicial office holders said they did not report this.

Salary, pay and pensions

- Salaried judges in Northern Ireland are divided over whether they feel they are paid a reasonable salary for the work they do.
- Two thirds of salaried judges (66%) said in 2022 that they had a loss of net earnings over the last 2 years.
- A majority of salaried judges (54%) earned more than their judicial salary prior to their appointment to the salaried judiciary.
- The largest proportion of fee-paid judicial officeholders in Northern Ireland (47%) feel they are not paid a reasonable rate for a day's work, and a majority (61%) said the amount of time required to do their work outside of sitting hours was not reasonable.
- A majority of fee-paid office holders do not rely heavily on expenses (59%).
- Over a third (37%) of fee-paid judicial office holders do not do any non-judicial work. Of those that do, 43% earn more in their non-judicial work than their judicial daily rate.

Digital working

- Less than half of all fee-paid (47%) and salaried (41%) said that the increase in remote hearings had been beneficial to their work. While 51% of salaried judges said they were finding the switch to working on screens challenging, 49% of fee-paid judicial office holders said they were not finding the switch to working on screen challenging.
- Only a quarter of both salaried (27%) and fee-paid (26%) judicial office holders said that the change from paper to digital working was more efficient for hearings.
- There was no specific impact of remote hearings that a majority of either salaried or fee-paid office holders said was purely positive.
- The largest perceived negative effect of remote hearings was on the quality of advocacy (46%), interactions between parties (43%), the way parties behave during hearings (43%), time to conduct hearings (41%) and the ability of vulnerable parties to participate in hearings (40%).

Job satisfaction and training

- Most judges, whether salaried or fee-paid, are satisfied with the sense of achievement in their job, the variety of work they have and challenge of the job, although fee-paid office holders have higher levels of satisfaction in all three of these areas.
- The opportunities that are most important for salaried judges are to be able to use their legal knowledge and experience across a range of specialisms (79%) and to gain new skills and broaden their legal knowledge and range of work (82%).
- The most important opportunity for fee-paid office holders is to expand their knowledge of a specialist area of work (73%).
- Fee-paid judicial office holders have a slightly higher level of satisfaction than salaried judges with the range and quality of training courses available.

Change

- The changes in the judiciary that both salaried and fee-paid judges are most concerned about are: the loss of respect for the judiciary by government (85% salaried and 52% fee-paid); attacks on the judiciary in the media (82% salaried and 51% fee-paid); and reduction in face-to-face hearings (82% salaried and 50% fee-paid)

Joining and leaving the judiciary

Fee-paid judiciary

- Almost half of fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland either are not interested in applying for a salaried post (29%) or are too close to retirement to do so (20%). A quarter are either already considering applying for a salaried post (16%) or plan to do so in the future (9%).
- A majority of fee-paid judicial office holders said the reasons they would consider applying to become salaried judge are job security, pension, challenge of the work and the chance to contribute to justice being done. The main reasons fee-paid judges would not consider applying for a salaried post are the judicial appointments process, the lack of personal control over their working time, isolation of the job and uncertainty over where they would be required to sit.
- The overwhelming majority of fee-paid judicial office holders (89%) said they would encourage suitable people to apply to join the fee-paid judiciary.

Salaried judiciary

- Over a third (37%) of salaried judges in Northern Ireland said they were considering leaving the judiciary early in the next 5 years.
- The factors most likely to prompt salaried judges to leave the judiciary early were a reduction in pension benefits (66%), further demands for out of hours working (63%), limits on pay awards (59%), increases in workload (59%) and stressful working conditions (50%).
- There was one main factor that would make salaried judges more likely to stay in the judiciary until their compulsory retirement age: higher remuneration (91%).

Leadership

- The proportion of judges in Northern Ireland that think leadership roles are allocated fairly has increased from 2014 to 2022 (from 40% to 52%).
- A majority of salaried judges in Northern Ireland said they receive good support from and are treated fairly by their immediate leadership judge, feel their leadership judge takes their opinions into account when making decision that affect them, allocates cases fairly and takes responsibility for promoting diversity and inclusion at their court or tribunal.

Part 1: The UK Judicial Attitude Survey

1.1 The survey

The UK Judicial Attitude Survey (JAS) is a longitudinal survey conducted with all serving judges in the UK. It is the only known continuous survey of the working lives of judges anywhere in the world.

The aim of the JAS is to assess the attitudes and experiences of serving judges in key areas including the experience of being a judge, morale, working conditions, welfare, remuneration, training and personal development, retention and leadership. The Senior Salaries Review Body (SSRB) regards the regular running of the JAS as essential, calling it “a comprehensive evidence base from which to draw conclusions about judicial motivation and morale ... and a base from which to measure change against in future”¹

This is the fourth running of the JAS, preceded by earlier Judicial Attitude Surveys in 2020², 2016³ and 2014⁴. In previous surveys the target group for the JAS was all serving *salaried* judges in Northern Ireland, England and Wales, Scotland, and the UK non-devolved tribunals. In 2022 the JAS was expanded in all the jurisdictions to include all *fee-paid* judicial office holders in both the courts and tribunals judiciary.

This report provides the findings for salaried judges and fee-paid judicial office holders in the Northern Ireland courts judiciary and devolved tribunals judiciary⁵. Judges in Northern Ireland make up 6% of all judges in the UK⁶. The report presents results by distinguishing between the views of salaried and fee-paid judges.

Like its predecessors, the JAS 2022 was run as an online survey conducted by the Judicial Institute of University College London (UCL JI) via the web-based survey tool Opinio. The survey was led by Professor Cheryl Thomas KC, Co-Director of the UCL JI. A Working Group comprised of representatives from various judicial associations assisted Professor Thomas in the design of the 2022 questionnaire. Vanessa Cheung and Trisevgeni Papakonstantinou of the UCL Department of Experimental Psychology provided expert data analysis for the report.

The survey was voluntary and all participants remained completely anonymous. The survey ran in Northern Ireland from 14 June through the end of September 2022. All salaried and fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland were invited to take part in the survey through personal communications from the Lady Chief Justice inviting judges to contribute to the survey.

¹ 37th Annual Report on Senior Salaries, Senior Salaries Review Body (2015)

² 2020 UK Judicial Attitude Survey: Northern Ireland, Cheryl Thomas (2021)

³ 2016 UK Judicial Attitude Survey: Northern Ireland, Cheryl Thomas (2016)

⁴ 2014 Judicial Attitude Survey: Northern Ireland, C. Thomas (2015)

⁵ Findings for the salaried and fee-paid judiciary in England & Wales and UK non-devolved tribunals and the salaried and fee-paid judiciary in Scotland have been reported separately.

⁶ England & Wales courts judiciary and UK (non-devolved) tribunals judiciary comprise 86% of all judicial office holders in the UK; Scottish judges comprise 8% of all judicial office holders in the UK.

1.2 The contents of the UK Judicial Attitude Survey (Northern Ireland)

The survey included 46 questions on the salaried judiciary version of the survey and 44 questions in the fee-paid judiciary version of the survey, which covered the following general subject areas:

- working conditions
- judicial welfare
- bullying, harassment and discrimination
- salary and pensions
- digital working
- job satisfaction, opportunities and training
- change and communication within the judiciary
- future planning
- being a member of the judiciary
- joining the judiciary
- leadership

Many of the questions from the 2014, 2016 and 2020 JAS were repeated in the 2022 JAS, which has enabled an assessment to be made of how if at all judicial attitudes may have changed over this time period. This longitudinal analysis applies only to the salaried judiciary in Northern Ireland as the 2014, 2016 and 2020 surveys were only run with the salaried judiciary. A few questions from the 2020 JAS were phrased differently to increase clarity following a review of the 2020 JAS, and several new questions were added to the 2022 JAS covering changes taking place within the judiciary since 2020, including the increase in remote hearings which has continued following the pandemic.

In addition, the survey covered a number of demographic questions covering:

- age
- disability
- ethnicity
- education
- gender
- caring responsibilities
- financial dependents
- professional background
- tenure in current post
- tenure in the judiciary

1.3 Response Rates

In the previous JAS in 2020 there was close to universal participation (93%) by salaried judges in Northern Ireland, but in 2022 this fell to 46%, with the response rate varying considerably by judicial post (Table 1.1). It was anticipated that it would be more difficult to achieve the previous high level of response amongst fee-paid judicial office holders in the 2022 JAS, given the part-time nature of these judicial offices. The response rate to the fee-paid JAS in

Northern Ireland varied considerably by post and had an overall response rate of 25% (Table 1.1), which is consistent with the average response rate for internal workplace surveys.

Table 1.1: JAS Salaried Judges - response rates 2022 and 2020

Northern Ireland judiciary	Total in post	Responses	2022 response rate	2020 response rate
Salaried judges	81	37	46%	93%
Fee-paid judicial office holders	563	140	25%	

1.4 How the results are presented

Part 2 of the report presents some key longitudinal trends from 2014 – 2022 in Northern Ireland for salaried judges only. This part of the report is able to track trends in salaried judges’ attitudes because all of the previous JASs (in 2014, 2016 and 2020) were conducted only with salaried judges. Part 2 highlights any changes in salaried judges’ view over the last 8 years on job satisfaction, working conditions and resources, training, salary and pension, future plans and feeling valued by different groups in society. Part 3 presents the results of the 2022 JAS for Northern Ireland. Here the results for salaried judges and fee-paid judicial office holders are compared.


Part 2: JAS trends 2014-2022 for the Northern Ireland salaried judiciary

This section presents some key longitudinal trends from 2014 – 2022 for salaried judges in Northern Ireland. It tracks changes in salaried judges’ view over the last 8 years in relation to how judges perceive their role, job satisfaction, working conditions and resources, training, salary and pension, future plans and feeling valued by different groups in society.

2.1 Perceptions of the judicial role

From 2014 to 2022, almost all salaried judges in Northern Ireland have consistently said they feel they provide an important service to society. The overwhelming majority have also consistently said they feel a strong personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary, although this decreased in 2022.


Figure 2.1: Salaried judges’ perceptions of their judicial role



2.2 Job satisfaction trends

From 2014 to 2022 (and especially from 2016) an increasing majority of salaried judges have felt satisfied with the sense of achievement in their job as a judge, the challenge of the job and the variety of work.


Figure 2.2: Salaried judges’ job satisfaction



2.3 Working conditions trends


Since 2014, there has been a substantial fall in salaried judges in Northern Ireland who feel that working conditions are “worse”. But there has been an increase since 2020 in the proportion of judges saying their case workload and their non-case workload is too high.

Figure 2.3: Salaried judges’ assessment of working conditions



The one working condition that progressively increased from 2014 to 2022 was the quality of administrative support. All other working conditions rated excellent or good fell from 2014 to 2016 but were then on an upward trajectory from 2016 to 2022.


Figure 2.4: Working conditions rated excellent or good 2014-2022



2.4 IT resources trends

After a precipitous fall from 2014 to 2020 in the quality of internet access at court, judges' assessment of this as excellent or good increased from 2020 and 2022. The standard of IT equipment provided to salaried judges in Northern Ireland for their personal use has fluctuated from 2014 to 2022.


Figure 2.5: IT resources rated excellent or good 2014-2022



2.5 Trends in personal safety concerns

From 2016 when salaried judges were first asked about their concerns for their personal safety to 2020, there was an increase in judges' concerns for their personal safety in court, out of court and on social media. But all three of these concerns declined sharply in 2022.


Figure 2.6: Extent of judges' personal safety concerns 2016-2022



2.6 Training trends

From 2014 to 2016, salaried judges' satisfaction with the quality and range of training and time for training fell, but judges' satisfaction with all three aspects of training has continued to increase substantially from 2016 to 2022.

Figure 2.7: Salaried judges' satisfaction with training 2014--2022




2.7 Salary and pension trends

In relation to Northern Ireland judges' views about their salary and pension from 2014-2022:


- The proportion of salaried judges that said they are paid a reasonable salary for the work they do increased from 2016.
- There was a substantial decrease in 2020 in judges having a loss of net earnings, but this began to increase again in 2022.
- There was a continued fall in judges saying their pay and pension entitlement does not adequately reflect the work they have done and will do before retirement
- There was an initial increase from 2014 to 2016 in the proportion of salaried judges that said they would leave the judiciary if it was a viable option and the proportion of salaried judges that said they would pursue out of court paid work if that was possible. But there has been a fall in judges agreeing with both of these views from 2016 to 2022.

Figure 2.8: Salaried judges' views on salary and pension 2014-2022



Since 2016 (when it was first asked), there has been a continued decrease in judges saying the salary issue was affecting their morale and the morale of judges with whom they work. There was also an overall increase from 2016 to 2022 in salaried judges who said they were affected by the amount of out of hours work required to do the job.


Figure 2.9: Judges affected by salary issue and out of hours work 2016-2022



2.8 Trends in intentions to leave the salaried judiciary early

The proportion of judges saying they are considering leaving the judiciary early increased from 2014/16 to 2020, but decreased in 2022.

Figure 2.10: Salaried judges considering leaving early 2014-2022




2.9 Trends in feeling valued

Since 2020, all salaried judges have been asked *the extent to which* they felt valued by a number of different groups (in 2014 and 2016 judges were only asked if they felt valued by these groups, so direct comparisons with 2014 and 2016 are not possible). There has been little change from 2020-2022 in the groups salaried judges feel most and least valued by.

- Judicial colleagues, court staff, the legal profession and parties in cases that appear before them are the groups judges consistently feel most valued by, and there has been no real change in this since 2020.
- A majority of salaried judges also feel valued by the public and the senior judicial leadership and this has increased from 2020 to 2022.
- The media and the government are the groups most salaried judges do not feel valued by, although there has been a slight increase for both groups since 2020.

Figure 2.11: Extent to which salaried judges feel valued by different groups



Part 3: 2022 JAS results for Northern Ireland (salaried & fee-paid)


3. Being a member of the judiciary

This section covers judges' personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary, their belief in their contribution to society, their perception of societal respect for the judiciary and the extent to which judges feel valued by different groups in society.

3.1 Identity and belonging

There was very little overall difference between the salaried and fee-paid judiciaries' views on being a member of the judiciary. Almost all judges (76% of salaried and 82% of fee-paid) feel a strong personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary. But salaried judges felt this attachment more strongly (58%) than fee-paid judicial office holders (36%).


Figure 3.1: Attachment to being a member of the judiciary



3.2 Contribution to society

There was very little difference between the salaried and fee-paid judiciaries' views on the extent to which they provide an important service to society: 88% of the salaried and 93% of the fee-paid judiciary feel they provide an important service to society. But again salaried judges felt this more strongly (75%) than fee-paid judicial office holders (49%).


Figure 3.2: Providing an important service to society



3.3 Societal respect for judges

A larger proportion of salaried judges (62%) than fee-paid judicial office holders (30%) felt that members of the judiciary in 2022 were respected by society less than they were in 2020

Figure 3.3: Societal respect for judges compared with 2 years ago



3.4 Feeling valued

In 2022, both the salaried and fee-paid judiciary were asked *the extent to which* they felt valued by a number of different groups. The results for salaried and fee-paid judiciaries were largely identical. Most of both the salaried and fee paid judiciary felt valued by judicial colleagues, court staff, the legal profession, parties in cases before them, the public and senior leadership in the judiciary. Very few felt valued by the media or the government.

Figure 3.4: Extent to which salaried judges feel valued by different groups





Figure 3.5: Extent to which fee-paid judges feel valued by different groups



4. Working conditions

This section covers judicial assessment of working conditions in 2022 in general in Northern Ireland as well as a range of specific working conditions such as case and non-case workload, administrative support, court staff morale, physical working conditions, security and personal safety, the inclusive nature of the work environment and the importance of different working conditions for the salaried and fee-paid judiciary.

4.1 Working conditions compared with 2 years ago

Over half (61%) of salaried judges in Northern Ireland said that working conditions were worse in 2022 than they were in 2020, while only a quarter (26%) of fee-paid judicial office holders felt working conditions were worse in 2022. A minority (18%) of salaried judges said working conditions were significantly worse in 2022, but this was much higher than fee-paid office holders (8%).

Figure 4.1: Working conditions compared with 2 years ago






Figure 4.2: Working conditions compared with 2 years ago (detailed)



4.2 Salaried judges' workload


While just over half of salaried judges in Northern Ireland assessed their case workload and non-case workload as manageable, almost half said their case workload was too high (46%).

Figure 4.3: Case & non-case workload over last 12 months




For salaried judges, the proportion saying their case workload was too high has fallen steadily from 2014-2022 (37% to 29%).

Figure 4.4: Salaried judges' case workload 2014-2022



In 2022, there was an increase in salaried judges saying their non-case workload was too high (from 20% in 2020 to 30% in 2022). From 2014 to 2022, there was also an increase in judges who do not have any non-case workload (from 7% in 2014 to 17% in 2022).

Figure 4.5: Salaried judges’ assessment of their non-case workload 2014-2022




4.3 Assessment of specific working conditions

Amount and quality of administrative support and morale of staff

- Fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland rated the amount and quality of administrative support and the morale of court staff higher than salaried judges.


Figure 4.6: Amount & quality of administrative support and morale of staff



Physical quality of court/tribunal building, maintenance of court/tribunal building, security at court

- Fee-paid judicial office holders had much higher ratings than salaried judges for the physical quality and maintenance of the court building; salaried and fee-paid office holders had very similar rating for the security at their court or tribunal, with a majority rating it excellent or good.


Figure 4.7: Physical quality and maintenance of building and security at court



Physical quality of personal work space, space to meet other judges/judicial office holders, Inclusive nature of work environment

- The salaried and fee-paid judiciary had very similar ratings for the physical quality of their personal work space and the space they have to meet other judges/judicial office holders.
- Fee-paid office holders rated the inclusive nature of the work environment higher than salaried judges.


Figure 4.8: Quality of personal space, pace to meet Inclusive work environment



4.3.1 Salaried judges changing views of working conditions 2014-2022


Northern Ireland salaried judges' rating of the amount of administrative support progressively declined from 2014 to 2022, while the quality of administrative fell from 2014-2020 but then progressively improved in 2020 and 2022.

Figure 4.9: Salaried judges' views of administrative support 2014-2022



There was fall from 2014-2022 in salaried judges' positive assessment of the physical quality of their court/tribunal buildings and the maintenance of their buildings, with a corresponding increase in their ratings of both of these working conditions as adequate.


Figure 4.10: Salaried judges' views of court building conditions 2014-2022



Note: In the 2014 JAS, there was a single question asking judges to assess their "physical environment" defined as the physical quality and maintenance of their main court or tribunal building.

Salaried judges' assessment of their personal work space improved from 2016 to 2022; their assessment of the space they have to meet and interact with other judges fluctuated from 2014 to 2022, with an increasing proportion saying it is poor or unacceptable.


Figure 4.11: Salaried judges' views of personal work space 2014-2022



NOTE: In the 2014 JAS, judges were not asked to rate the physical quality of their personal work space.

Salaried judges' view of court staff morale improved from 2014-2022, as did salaried judges' assessment of court security.


Figure 4.12: Salaried judges' views of staff morale and court security 2014-2022



4.4 Importance of different working conditions (fee-paid office holders)

The most important working conditions for fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland are a flexible working pattern (88%), training opportunities (83%) and opportunities to sit more than the minimum number of days (79%). Almost half of all fee-paid office holders said that the ability to hold multiple fee-paid posts and the ability to sit as a fee-paid judge without having to apply for a salaried post was also important to them.

Figure 4.13: Importance of work factors for fee-paid judicial office holders



4.5 Importance and availability of working conditions (salaried judges)

For almost all salaried judges in Northern Ireland, the time to discuss work with colleagues, training opportunities and support for dealing with stressful conditions at work were important. For each of these working conditions, the proportion of salaried judges that rated the availability of these working conditions as either excellent, good or adequate did not meet the proportion of judges that said these conditions were important.

Figure 4.14: Importance and availability of working conditions




5. Welfare, inclusion and respect

As well as covering judges' assessment of their personal security, this section covers some new areas explored with judges in Northern Ireland in the 2022 JAS. These include the extent to which all members of the judiciary feel treated with respect by others in the judiciary and their awareness of the welfare support available to them. For fee-paid judicial office holders it explores how welcome they are made to feel at their court or tribunal and the extent to which they receive important information about their court or tribunal before they sit.

5.1 Respect

Almost every judge, whether salaried or fee-paid, feels respected by their judicial colleagues at the court where they work and by their immediate leadership judge, and a majority of both salaried and fee-paid office holders feel respected by senior leadership in the judiciary.

Figure 5.1: Whether judges feel treated with respect




5.2 Inclusion and information provided (fee-paid)

Amongst fee-paid judicial office holders who may not sit regularly or may sit at different courts or tribunals, receiving relevant information before sitting can be important. Most said this information was either excellent, good or adequate (Figure 5.2):

- 97% said the information on administrative arrangements for sitting days was excellent, good or adequate;
- 96% said the information provided on key personal at court/tribunal was excellent, good or adequate;
- 89% said the contact details for key personnel at court/tribunal were excellent, good or adequate.


Figure 5.2: Information received before sitting (fee-paid)



5.3 Feeling welcome (fee-paid)

Virtually all fee-paid judicial office holders said they are made to feel extremely or generally welcome by other judicial office holders at court/tribunal (99%); by court staff (99%) and by local leadership or senior leadership judges on site (95%).


Figure 5.3: Extent to which fee-paid judges feel welcome at court



5.4 Personal safety

Salaried judges in Northern Ireland expressed much greater concerns for their personal safety as a result of their judicial work compared with fee-paid office holders in Northern Ireland. This may perhaps be a consequence of the greater amount of time salaried judges spend sitting as a judge.


Figure 5.4: Concerns for personal safety



5.5 Welfare support

There are low levels of familiarity amongst both the salaried and fee-paid judiciary in Northern Ireland with the welfare support available to them; no more than a quarter are aware of the range of welfare support available to them and how to access this welfare support.

Figure 5.5: Familiarity with welfare support



6. Bullying, harassment and discrimination


In the JAS 2022, judges were asked for the first time whether they had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years in their role as a judge. This means there is no earlier JAS data to compare these results with to indicate whether these judicial experiences are higher or lower now than in previous years.

6.1 Experience of bullying, harassment and discrimination in the last 2 years

The overwhelming majority of both salaried judges (89%) and fee-paid judicial office holders (91%) in Northern Ireland said they had not experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in their work as a judge in the last 2 years. Amongst those who had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years, this was more prevalent amongst salaried judges than fee-paid judicial office holders (Figure 6.1):

- 9% of salaried judges (3 in number) and 5% of fee-paid office holders (7) said they had experienced bullying;
- 6% of salaried judges (2) and 3% of fee-paid officeholders (4) said they had experienced harassment;
- 9% of salaried judges (3) and 2% of fee-paid office holders (2) said they had experienced discrimination.

Figure 6.1: Experience of bullying, harassment and discrimination in the last 2 years




6.2 Sources of bullying, harassment or discrimination

The 2022 JAS asked those who reported experiencing bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years: *If you did experience any bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years, from whom did you experience it?*

- For the minority of salaried judges and fee-paid office holders who said they had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years, Figure 6.2 indicates

from whom the judges said they experienced this and the how many judges experienced this.

Figure 6.2: Source of bullying, harassment or discrimination (salaried judges)




6.3 Reporting bullying, harassment or discrimination

The 2022 JAS also asked those who reported experiencing bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years: *If you did experience any bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years, did you report it?* This means the results in Figure 6.3 are derived from the minority of judges who indicated that they had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years.

- Most salaried judges (75%) and fee-paid judicial office holders (92%) that said they had experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years did not report this.
- Of those who did report bullying, harassment or discrimination, they were either currently having their reports dealt with or were satisfied with the way the complaint was resolved. None said their reports were not resolved to their satisfaction.

Figure 6.3: Reporting bullying, harassment or discrimination



7. Salary, pay and pensions


This section deals with remuneration and pensions for salaried judges and fee-paid office holders separately. Salaried judges in Northern Ireland have been surveyed about their salary and pension since 2014, and this has enabled results of the 2022 JAS to be compared with previous JAS findings on salary and pensions. In contrast, the 2022 JAS presents the first findings on fee-paid judicial office holders' views about their pay and pension.

7.1 Salary and pensions (salaried judges)

I am paid a reasonable salary for the work I do 2014-2022

- There was increasing agreement with this statement from 2014 to 2022, from 28% in 2014 to 43% in 2022. However, 51% still feel they are not paid a reasonable salary for the work they do.


Figure 7.1: Salaried judges' views on reasonableness of salary 2014-2022



I have had a loss of net earnings over the last 2 years (2014-2022)

- The substantial decrease in 2020 in judges having a loss of net earnings (from 80% to 58%) was somewhat reversed in 2022 when the proportion of judges having a loss of net earnings increased from 58% in 2020 to 66% in 2022.


Figure 7.2: Salaried judges' loss of net earnings 2014-2022



The judicial salary issue is affecting my morale (2016-2022)

- Morale on the judicial salary issue steadily improved from 2016 (when it was first asked). In 2016 73% said the judicial salary issue was affecting their morale; this fell to 41% in 2022.


Figure 7.3: Impact of salary issue on morale 2016-2022



The judicial salary issue is affecting the morale of judges I work with (2016-2022)

- Since 2016 (when it was first asked), there has been a continued decrease in judges saying the salary issue was affecting the morale of judges they work with; from 87% in 2014 to 57% in 2022.


Figure 7.4: Impact of salary issue on other judges' morale 2016-2022



My pay and pension entitlement does not adequately reflect the work I have done and will do before retirement (2014-2022)

- The proportion of judges in Northern Ireland agreeing with this statement has fallen steadily since 2014, with 81% of salaried judges in 2014 agreeing that their pay and pension entitlement did not adequately reflect their work and 59% agreeing in 2022.


Figure 7.5: Salaried judges’ view on pay & pension entitlement 2014-2022



The amount of out of hours work required to do the job is affecting me (2014-2022)

- In 2014 only a minority of salaried judges said that out of hours work was affecting them (36%). This rose to a majority (53%) in 2020 but decreased to 46% in 2022.


Figure 7.6: Impact of out of hours work on salaried judges 2014-2022



If I felt that leaving the judiciary was a viable option I would consider doing so (2014-2022)

- There was a substantial increase from 2014 to 2016 in salaried judges in Northern Ireland saying they would consider leaving the judiciary if it was a viable option (from 33%-48%); this subsequently fell from 42% in 2020 to 26% in 2022.


Figure 7.7: Whether salaried judges would consider leaving 2014-2022



If I could earn additional income through out of court work I would pursue this option (2014-2022)

- There has been a continued split in views amongst salaried judges on this issue since 2014, with salaried judges now only slightly more likely to say they would not pursue paid out of court work if this was an option (47%) compared with those that would pursue paid out of court work (35%).


Figure 7.8: Salaried judges' view of paid out of court work 2014-2022



7.2 Salaried judges' earnings prior to appointment

In 2020, salaried judges in Northern Ireland were asked for the first time about their earnings just prior to their appointment to the salaried judiciary. In both 2020 and 2022, a majority of salaried judges had earned more than their judicial salary prior to their appointment to the salaried judiciary.

Figure 7.9: Earnings prior to appointment to salaried judiciary: 2020 -2022




7.3 Pay and pensions (fee-paid judicial office holders)

The 2022 JAS was able to assess Northern Ireland fee-paid judicial office holders' views about their pay and pensions for the first time.


- The largest proportion of fee-paid judicial officeholders in Northern Ireland feel they are not paid a reasonable rate for a day's work (47%).
- A majority of fee-paid office holders said that the amount of time required to do their work outside of sitting hours is not reasonable (61%).
- A majority do not rely heavily on expenses (59%).
- Fee-paid office holders are divided in their views about whether the pension they receive for their part-time judicial work is an important aspect of the job for them (56% say it is and 32% say it is not).

Figure 7.10: Fee-paid office holders' views on pay and pensions



Fee-paid judicial office holders are divided in their concerns about the lack of a secure income (52% worry about this, 40% do not) and about the impact on their income if they become sick (42% worry about this, 47% do not).


Figure 7.11: Fee-paid office holders' concerns over income



7.4 Fee-paid earnings in any non-judicial work done

Over a minority (37%) of fee-paid judicial office holders do not do any non-judicial work. Of those that do non-judicial work, 43% earn more in their non-judicial work than their judicial daily rate, while only 9% earn less than their judicial daily rate.


Figure 7.12: Non-judicial earnings for fee-paid office holders



7.5 Daily sitting fee

Almost every fee-paid judicial office holder (99%) in Northern Ireland said their daily sitting fee covered attendance at court and conducting hearings. Almost all said the daily sitting fee covered case preparation (86%), but only a third (36%) said it covered time for writing up decisions.

Figure 7.13: What the daily sitting fee covers for fee-paid office holders



8. Digital working


This section covers the Northern Ireland judiciary’s views on working digitally, IT resources, technical support for working digitally and the impact of remote hearings on cases, parties and themselves.

8.1 Views on working digitally

Salaried judges and fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland had divided views about the change to working digitally that has occurred since 2020.

- Less than half of all fee-paid (47%) and salaried (41%) said that the increase in remote hearings had been beneficial to their work.
- Just over half of salaried judges (51%) said they were finding the switch to working on screens challenging, but almost half of fee-paid judicial office holders (49%) said they were not finding the switch to working onscreen challenging.
- Only a quarter of both salaried judges (27%) and fee-paid (26%) judicial office holders said that the change from paper to digital working was more efficient for hearings.
- Slightly higher proportions of judges said they were finding the change from paper to digital work more efficient for chambers work (41% salaried, 33% fee-paid), but this was still a minority view.

Figure 8.1: Salaried and fee-paid judicial office holders’ views on working digitally



8.2 Assessment of IT resources

The salaried and fee-paid judiciary in Northern Ireland were asked to assess a range of IT resources.

Standard of IT equipment for personal use

- Two-thirds of fee-paid judicial office holders (68%) said this was either poor or non-existent while most salaried judges (86%) said it was either excellent, good or adequate.

Internet access at court

- A majority of salaried and fee-paid judges said this was either excellent, good or adequate.


Availability of administrative support for remote hearings

- Just under half of salaried judges (49%) and fee-paid office holders (47%) said this was excellent or good.

Quality of technology in court for remote hearings

- The largest proportion of both salaried judges and fee-paid office holders said this was adequate.


Figure 8.2: Salaried and fee-paid assessment of IT resources



8.3 Technical support

Only a minority of both salaried and fee-paid judges in Northern Ireland said the availability, quality and speed of technical support was poor or non-existent. In all instances the largest proportion of both salaried and fee-paid judges said these aspects of technical support were adequate.


Figure 8.3: Salaried and fee-paid assessment of technical support



8.3.1 Frequency of needing technical support

Most judges in Northern Ireland, whether salaried or fee-paid, needed IT support a few times a year. It may be expected that higher proportion of salaried judges, who sit more frequently than fee-paid office holders, would have more frequent needs for IT support (12% monthly, 9% weekly).

Figure 8.4: Salaried and fee-paid need for IT support




8.4 IT for working remotely

The IT resources for working remotely that were rated most highly were internet access, the standard of IT equipment, the quality of technical support and the availability of administrative support for arranging and managing hybrid/remote working. Over three-quarters of the judiciary rated these as excellent/good/adequate in 2022.

The IT resources for working remotely that had the largest rating as poor/non-existent were the availability of technical support at court, IT support when working remotely, the quality of technology at court for hybrid/remote hearings and the speed of technical support.

Figure 8.5: Salaried and fee-paid assessment of IT for remote working



8.5 Impact of remote hearings

There was no specific impact of remote hearings that a majority of either salaried or fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland said was directly positive.

- For salaried judges, the largest perceived negative effect of remote hearings was on the quality of advocacy (46%), interactions between parties (43%), way parties behave during hearings (43%), time to conduct hearings (41%) and the ability of vulnerable parties to participate in hearings (40%).
- For fee-paid judicial office holders, the largest perceived negative impact of remote hearings was interactions between parties (43%), ability of unrepresented parties to participate in hearings (41%), time to conduct hearings (40%) and ability of others to observe hearings (40%).

Figure 8.6: Impact of remote hearings on parties' behaviour and participation




Figure 8.7: Impact of remote hearings on completion of hearings





Figure 8.8: Impact of remote hearings on judges, counsel and parties' actions




9. Job satisfaction, opportunities & training

This section compares Northern Ireland salaried judges' and fee-paid judicial office holders' views on how satisfied they are with aspects of their job and their assessment of the training they receive. It also examines which opportunities are most important to the salaried and fee-paid judiciary and how available those opportunities are to each.

9.1 Satisfaction with aspects of the judicial role

Most judges, whether salaried or fee-paid, are satisfied with their sense of achievement in their job, the variety of work and the challenge of the job.


Figure 9.1: Sense of achievement, variety of work, challenge of job



9.2 Opportunities for salaried judges

The most important opportunities for salaried judges are to be able to gain new skills and broaden their legal knowledge and range of work (82%) and to use their legal knowledge and experience across a range of specialisms (79%).

Figure 9.2: Salaried judges' ratings opportunities




The two areas a majority of salaried judges said were important but where the availability of opportunities did not match the level of importance are:

- gaining new skills and broadening their legal knowledge and range of work: 82% said it was important but only 59% were satisfied with the availability of this opportunity;
- targeted training linked to career development: 62% said it was important but only 36% said they were satisfied with the availability of this opportunity.

9.3 Opportunities for fee-paid judicial office holders

The opportunity identified as important by the largest proportion of fee-paid office holders in Northern Ireland was the ability to expand their knowledge of a specialist area of work: 73% said it was important, but only 54% were satisfied with availability of this opportunity. Over half (58%) also said that support and mentorship for becoming a salaried judge was important to them, but only 20% said they were satisfied with the availability of this opportunity.

Figure 9.3: Fee-paid office holders’ ratings of opportunities




9.4 Training

9.4.1 Range of training courses available

Fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland have a slightly higher level of satisfaction with the range of training courses available (51%) than salaried judges (47%), but nearly half of all judges said that the range of training courses could be better.


Figure 9.4: Satisfaction with range of training



9.4.2 Quality of training undertaken

While a majority of both salaried and fee-paid judges are satisfied with the quality of training, a third or more of all judges said the quality of training could be better or they were not satisfied with the quality.


Figure 9.5: Satisfaction with quality of training



9.4.3 Number of training courses judges are required to attend each year

A majority of salaried judges (65%) and fee-paid office holders (60%) are satisfied with the number of training courses they are required to attend each year.


Figure 9.6: Satisfaction with number of training courses per year



9.4.4 Time to prepare for training courses

While a majority of fee-paid judicial office holders (66%) are satisfied with the time they have to prepare for training courses, only a minority of salaried judges are satisfied with this (44%).


Figure 9.7: Satisfaction with time to prepare for training



Range, quality and time for training 2014-2022 (Northern Ireland salaried judges)

- Salaried judges’ satisfaction with the range of training available to them has increased from 2014 to 2022 (from 32% to 47%), although a majority are still not satisfied.
- Salaried judges’ satisfaction with the quality of training has increased from 2014 to 2022 (from 55% to 65%), although more than a third (35%) are either not satisfied or feel the quality of the training could be better
- Judges’ satisfaction with the time for training is still low but it has increased from 2014 to 2022 (from 19% to 44%). However, this increase could be accounted for a change made to this question from “time for training” in 2014/2016 to “time to prepare for training” in 2020/2022.

Figure 9.8: Salaried judges’ satisfaction with aspects of training 2014-2022



10. Change and communications

This section covers both the Northern Ireland salaried and fee-paid judiciary's views about change in the judiciary, as well as the information and communications they receive about this change and other aspects of their working lives.

10.1 Judicial views about change

The changes in the judiciary that both salaried and fee-paid judges in Northern Ireland are most concerned about are:

- the loss of respect for the judiciary by government (85% for salaried judges and 52% for fee-paid);
- attacks on the judiciary in the media (82% salaried and 51% fee-paid);
- reduction in face-to-face hearings (82% salaried and 50% fee-paid).

Staff reductions, increase in litigants in person, fiscal constraints and court closures were also amongst the changes salaried judges were most concerned about (and were not included in the fee-paid part of the survey).


Table 10.1: Levels of concern about specific changes in the judiciary

<i>How concerned are you about each of these changes in the judiciary?</i>	Extremely & somewhat concerned	
	Salaried	Fee-paid
Staff reductions	100%	
Increase in litigants in person	88%	32%
Loss of respect for judiciary by government	85%	52%
Fiscal constraints	85%	
Attacks on the judiciary in the media	82%	51%
Reduction in face-to-face hearings	82%	50%
Court closures	79%	
Loss of experienced judges	71%	
Stressful working conditions	68%	
Inability to attract the best people into the judiciary	68%	
Low judicial morale	65%	42%
Personal safety for judges	65%	36%
Loss of judicial independence	62%	39%
NICTS Modernisation Programme	35%	

10.2 Handling change

The largest proportion of both fee-paid (61%) and salaried judges (41%) are not sure whether the senior leadership in the judiciary is handling changes to judicial working life well. Salaried judges and fee-paid office holders in Northern Ireland are divided in their views about whether judges in their part of the judiciary are handling changes to working life well.


Figure 10.1: Views about how judiciary is handling change



10.3 Information received about change

A majority of both fee-paid and salaried judges in Northern Ireland said that they receive timely communications about changes that affect them, although slightly more fee-paid judges agreed (63%) than salaried judges (59%). Both fee-paid and salaried judges were divided in their views about whether changes are imposed on judges in their position without adequate explanation. Salaried judges were most likely to disagree that changes are imposed on them without adequate explanation (38%) or say they were not sure (35%), while fee-paid office holders were more likely to say they were not sure whether changes are imposed on them without adequate explanation (43%).


Figure 10.2: Views about information judges receive about change



10.4 Information received about my judicial role

About half of both fee-paid and salaried judges said that they are able to easily find information about their job when they need it. A majority (60%) of fee-paid office holders said they receive helpful communications about their role as a judge, but only 47% of salaried judges agreed with this.

Figure 10.3: Views about information judges receive about their judicial role



11. Joining and leaving the judiciary


This section looks first at salaried and fee-paid judges' views in Northern Ireland about joining the salaried judiciary. It then explores fee-paid judicial office holders' views about recommending that others join the fee-paid judiciary. In the final section it examines salaried judges' intentions and motivations to leave the salaried judiciary early before their compulsory retirement age.

11.1 Joining the salaried judiciary

11.1.1 Retrospective views on joining the salaried Judiciary

All salaried judges were asked: *Knowing what you know now about your job as a judge would you still have applied to join the salaried judiciary?* There has been an increase since 2016 in the proportion of salaried judges in Northern Ireland who would still have applied, rising from 51% in 2016 to 53% in 2020 and 68% in 2022.


Figure 11.1: Salaried judges' retrospective view of applying for salaried post



11.1.2 Whether judges would encourage people to apply to join the salaried judiciary

There has been a substantial increase from 2016 on the proportion of salaried judges that said they would encourage suitable people to apply to join the salaried judiciary, from a minority of only 39% in 2016, to half in 2020 and now over two-thirds in 2022 (68%).


Figure 11.2: Salaried judges' willingness to encourage applicants



11.2 Fee-paid judicial office holders' intentions to apply to the salaried judiciary

Almost half of fee-paid judicial office holders either are not interested in applying for a salaried post (29%) or are too close to retirement to do so (20%). A quarter are either already considering applying for a salaried post (16%) or plan to do so in the future (9%).

Figure 11.3: Fee-paid office holders views about applying for salaried post



A majority of fee-paid judicial office holders in Northern Ireland said the reasons they would consider applying to become salaried judge are job security, pension, challenge of the work and the chance to contribute to justice being done (Table 11.1).

Table 11.1: Reasons fee-paid judiciary would apply for a salaried post

<i>The reasons I would consider applying to join the salaried judiciary are</i>	<i>%</i>
Job security	63%
Pension	62%
Challenge of the work	56%
Chance to contribute to justice being done	53%
Salary	49%
Intellectual satisfaction	48%
Public service	47%
Sense of collegiality	26%
Respect in the community	19%
Administrative support	19%
Prestige of the job	14%
Less pressurised environment than practice	7%

The main reasons fee-paid office holders in Northern Ireland would not consider applying for a salaried post are the judicial appointments process (42%) and the lack of personal control over their working time (38%). Isolation of the job (28%) and uncertainty over where they would be required to sit (24%) were also identified as factors by a quarter or more of fee-paid judicial office holders (Table 11.2).

Table 11.2: Reasons fee-paid judiciary would not apply for a salaried post


<i>The reasons I would not consider applying to join the salaried judiciary are</i>	<i>%</i>
Judicial appointments process	42%
Lack of personal control over working time	38%
Isolation of the job	28%
Uncertainty over where I'd be required to sit	24%
Feeling of being an employee or civil servant	22%
Being required to do work outside my expertise	22%
Too much out of hours work required to do the job	22%
Reduction in income	16%
Lack of respect for judges	12%
Rigid hierarchical work environment	11%
Experience of changes to pension entitlements	10%
Increase in litigants in person	10%
Poor quality of physical work environment	9%
Lack of variety in the work	9%
Lack of administrative support	7%
Too few opportunities for promotion	6%
Loss of travel expenses	1%

11.3 Joining the fee-paid judiciary

Whether the fee-paid judiciary would encourage people to join the fee-paid judiciary

The overwhelming majority of fee-paid judicial office holders (89%) said they would encourage suitable people to apply to join the fee-paid judiciary. None said they would not encourage others to apply.

Figure 11.4: Willingness to encourage applicants to join fee-paid judiciary




11.4 Leaving the salaried judiciary early

All salaried judges in Northern Ireland were asked whether they were considering leaving early before compulsory retirement in the next 5 years.

Trends from 2014 to 2022

The proportion of judges in Northern Ireland saying they are considering leaving the salaried judiciary early increased from 2014 to 2020 (40% to 53%), but this trend has reversed with only 37% of salaried judges saying in 2022 that they intend to leave early in the next 5 years.

Figure 11.5: Salaried judges' intentions to leave early 2014-2022



11.5 Factors prompting early departure for the salaried judiciary

The factors most likely to prompt salaried judges in Northern Ireland to leave the judiciary early were a reduction in pension benefits (66%), further demands for out of hours working (63%), limits on pay awards (59%), increases in workload (59%) and stressful working conditions (50%).

Table 11.3: Factors increasing likelihood of salaried judges leaving judiciary early

<i>Which of the following factors would make you more likely to leave the judiciary early before your compulsory retirement age?</i>	<i>%</i>
Reduction in pension benefits	66%
Further demands for out of hours working	63%
Limits on pay awards	59%
Increase in workload	59%
Stressful working conditions	50%
Reduction in administrative support	44%
Increase in litigants in person	44%
Lack of respect for the judiciary by government	34%
Personal health issues	34%
Requirement to sit in a location too far from home	31%
Lack of promotion	31%
Inability to work more flexible hours	25%
Lack of stimulating work	19%
Lack of effective leadership of the judiciary	19%
Attacks on the judiciary by the media	16%
Introduction on online courts	12%
Personal security concerns	8%
Remote hearings	6%
Court closures	6%
Inability to move to salaried part-time working	3%
Uncertainty over the future of my part of the judiciary	3%

11.6 Main factors encouraging judges to remain in the salaried judiciary

There was one main factor that would make salaried judges in Northern Ireland more likely to stay in the judiciary until their compulsory retirement age, which was higher remuneration (91%). Just over half (53%) of all salaried judges in Northern Ireland also said better administrative support would make them more likely to stay in the judiciary until their compulsory retirement age, and a half or close to a half said increased flexibility in working hours (50%), appointment to higher post (47%) and reduction in workload (44%).

Table 11.4: Factors encouraging salaried judges to remain in judiciary

<i>Which of the following factors would make you more likely to stay in the judiciary until your compulsory retirement age?</i>	<i>%</i>
Higher remuneration	91%
Better administrative support	53%
Increased flexibility in working hours	50%
Appointment to a higher post	47%
Reduction in workload	44%
Greater respect for the work judges do	38%
Reduction in litigants in person	34%
Support for dealing with stressful working conditions	34%
Opportunity to work part-time	31%
Better security for judges	25%
Opportunity for sabbatical	22%
Having more leadership responsibilities	22%
Better leadership of the judiciary	16%
Greater variation in work	12%
Change of work location	12%
Greater certainty over the future of my part of the judiciary	12%
Increase in remote working	12%


12. Leadership

This section on leadership applies only to the salaried judiciary in Northern Ireland. It first examines the distribution and allocation of leadership roles amongst salaried judges. It then explores salaried judges' views about the role and performance of leadership judges.

12.1 Holding leadership roles and responsibilities

While most salaried judges said they held some informal leadership roles (75%), just under half (42%) held formal leadership roles.

Figure 12.1: Current formal & informal leadership roles



12.2 Willingness to take on a leadership role

There was little change from 2020 in the extent to which salaried judges in Northern Ireland are interested and willing to take on leadership roles.

- More than half of salaried judges (51%) are interested in taking on a leadership role, but for 13% of these judges there are no leadership roles available in their jurisdiction and 13% would only be interested if they felt leadership roles were properly rewarded.
- A quarter (22%) are not interested in taking on more leadership responsibilities, while just under a quarter (23%) of judges might be interested in a leadership role in the future.

Table 12.1: Willingness to take on leadership responsibilities

<i>Are you interested in taking on more leadership responsibilities?</i>	2020 JAS	2022 JAS
Yes	36%	38%
Yes, but none are currently available in my jurisdiction	18%	13%
Yes, I would be interested if roles were properly rewarded	18%	13%
No, a leadership role is not for me	0%	6%
No, I have (or have had) enough leadership responsibilities already	19%	16%
Not at the present time but possibly in future	11%	13%
Not sure	7%	10%


12.3 Allocation of leadership roles

12.3.1: Fairness of leadership role allocation

In each JAS since 2014, salaried judges in Northern Ireland have been asked: *Do you feel that leadership roles are allocated fairly?*

- The proportion of salaried judges saying that leadership roles are allocated fairly has increased since 2014, from 40% to 52%.
- The proportion of salaried judges who feel they do not know enough about how leadership roles are allocated to say whether or not it is done fairly has increased from 2014 (31%) to 2022 (39%).

Figure 12.2: Views of fairness of leadership role allocation 2014-2022




12.4 Leadership judges

12.4.1 Personal development and leadership/presiding judges

Salaried judges in Northern Ireland are divided in their views about whether they would like to discuss their career development with their immediate leadership/presiding judge:

- 40% said they would like to discuss their career development with their immediate/presiding leadership judge yes but a similar proportion (37%) said they are not sure and 23% said no.
- Almost half (44%) would like to discuss their career development with someone other than their immediate leadership/presiding judge.
- Almost half (47%) are not sure whether they would also like their immediate leadership/presiding judge to help them evaluate how they are performing as a judge.


Figure 12.3: Salaried judges' views about assistance from their leadership judges



12.4.2 Treatment by and performance of immediate leadership judges

- Most salaried judges in Northern Ireland feel they are treated fairly by their immediate leadership/presiding judge (88%) and feel they receive good support from their immediate leadership/presiding judge (88%).
- Three-quarters feel that case allocation is done fairly by their local leadership/presiding judge (51%).
- A majority (61%) feel their leadership/presiding judge takes their opinion into account when making decisions that affect them.
- 60% of salaried judges feel their leadership/presiding judge takes responsibility for promoting diversity and inclusion at their court.

Figure 12.4: Salaried judges' views about their leadership judges



13. Survey respondents and demographic trends

This section provides information on the demographic characteristics of the salaried and fee-paid judicial office holders that took part in the 2022 Judicial Attitude Survey.

13.1 Gender⁷

When both the salaried and fee-paid members of the judiciary that took part in the 2022 JAS are combined, males comprise 48.7% of the judiciary and females 41.3% (Figure 13.1). Within the salaried judiciary, 50% of the survey participants were male and 50% were female, while the fee-paid judiciary had a larger proportion of female judicial office holders taking part (51.7% than male (48.3%) (Table 13.1).

Figure 13.1: Gender of judiciary (all combined)




Table 13.1: Gender in salaried & fee-paid judiciary

	Salaried Judges	Fee-paid Judicial Office Holders
Male	50%	48.3%
Female	50%	51.7%
Other	0%	0%


⁷ All those who participated in the JAS were asked to indicate whether they identified as female, male or any other group. Participants were not required to answer this or any other question in the survey.

13.2 Ethnicity

Figure 13.2: Ethnicity of the judiciary (all combined)




Figure 13.3: Ethnicity of salaried and fee-paid judiciary




13.3 Disability

Figure 13.4: Declared disabilities in salaried & fee-paid judiciary



13.4 Caring and financial responsibilities


Figure 13.5: Caring & financial responsibilities amongst the judiciary



13.5 Education


13.5.1 Secondary education

Figure 13.6: Secondary education for salaried and fee-paid judiciary



13.5.2 University education

Figure 13.7: University education for salaried and fee-paid judiciary




13.6 Professional background

Figure 13.8: Professional background of salaried and fee-paid judiciary




13.7 King's Counsel

Figure 13.9: Salaried & fee-paid judiciary with silk




13.8 Age

Figure 13.10: Age of salaried and fee-paid judiciary




13.9 Tenure in current post

Figure 13.11: Years in current post for salaried and fee-paid judiciary




13.10 Salaried judges' full and part-time status

Figure 13.12: Salaried judges' full & part-time status



13.11 Holding other judicial posts

Figure 13.13: % of judiciary holding multiple posts




14. Judges' views about the JAS

14.1 Length of the survey

Most judges, whether salaried or fee-paid, felt the 2022 JAS was about the right length.


Figure 14.1: Views about the length of the JAS



14.2 Time to complete the survey

The largest proportions of both salaried judges and fee-paid judicial office holders took between 10 and 15 minutes to complete the survey.

Figure 14.2: Time to complete the JAS



Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

2022 Judicial Attitude Survey

The Judicial Institute of University College London (UCL JI) runs the **UK Judicial Attitude Survey (JAS)** on behalf of the Lady Chief Justice of Northern Ireland, the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales, the Lord President of Scotland and the Senior President of Tribunals. This **survey is by judges for judges.** .

Why it is important for you to take part in this survey

This is the fourth time the JAS has been run. It was run first in 2014, then in 2016, 2020 and now this year. It has had a 99% response rate in the past, which means this is the survey that produces the **most reliable evidence about the state of the judiciary**. There have been **changes in the judiciary since 2020**. It is important to understand the impact of these changes on all judges, and by completing this survey you will be ensuring that judges' views are taken into account in important decisions about the future of the judiciary.

Changes to the JAS since 2020

This current survey includes many of the same questions judges were asked in 2014, 2016 and 2020, which will help to assess any changes in judicial attitudes over the last few years. But this survey also includes **a number of new questions**, including questions about the move to **hybrid/remote working** since the pandemic.

Confidentiality

The survey is **completely voluntary and anonymous**. Your survey responses **cannot be traced back** to you personally. In order to ensure full anonymity in the survey, it is not possible for you to start the survey, save some responses and return to complete the survey later. This would require the survey system to be able to identify you by your email or IP address. So you need to complete the survey in one go.

Thank you for taking the time to do the survey, which should take about 10-15 minutes.

Use of the Survey

UCL has undertaken in writing not to use any information collected in its research, save with the express consent of the Lord and Lady Chief Justices, Lord President and Senior President of Tribunals. The anonymised, collated data will be held by the Judicial Offices of each jurisdiction.

Publication or disclosure, either in whole or in part, of any survey responses may be included in the formal response to the SSRB or other public bodies. Disclosure of submitted information may also be requested in accordance with, for instance, the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2001. Where such disclosure is sought UCL and/or the Judicial Offices undertake to take such steps as appropriate and as they believe applicable to seek exemptions from such disclosure.

Your participation in this survey and your answers to the following questions will be extremely helpful.

Start

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Your Judicial Post

1. Are you:

PLEASE NOTE: If you are a FEE-PAID judge or non-legal tribunal member please go to the version of this survey that is designed specifically for you at: [Fee-Paid JAS](#)

- Full-time salaried judge
- Part-time salaried judge
- Other (please specify in the box below)

2. Please indicate which is the main judicial post you currently hold:

(If you have multiple posts please select what you consider is your main post and you can provide details of any further judicial posts in Question 5 below)

- Lord or Lady Justice of Appeal
- High Court Judge
- Master of the High Court
- County Court Judge
- District Judge (MC)
- District Judge
- Coroner
- Tribunal Judge
- Other (please specify in box below)

3. How long have you been in your CURRENT judicial post (ie, the post you indicated in Question 2)?

- Less than 1 year
- 1-5 years
- 6-10 years
- 11-15 years
- 16-20 years
- 21 years or more

4. When were you FIRST appointed to the SALARIED judiciary?

- Before 1 April 1995
- 1 April 1995 - 1999
- 2000 - 2004
- 2005 - 2009
- 2010 - 2014
- 2015 - 2019
- 2020 - 2022

5. Do you currently hold any other judicial post in addition to the main judicial post you have indicated in Question 2 above?

- No
- Yes (please feel free to provide details in the box below - but it is not required)

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Working Conditions

6. How would you rate the overall working conditions in your job as a judge now compared with 2 years ago (in early 2020 before the pandemic)?

- Significantly better
- Better
- About the same
- Worse
- Significantly worse
- Not applicable (I was not a judge in early 2020)

Please feel free to provide any further comments

7. How would you assess your case workload over the last 12 months?

- Too high
- Manageable
- Too low

8. How would you assess your judicial workload that does not include your casework over the last 12 months?

- Too high
- Manageable
- Too low
- I do not have any judicial work outside of my casework

9. Please provide an assessment of the following working conditions at the main court or tribunal where you work:

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unacceptable
Amount of administrative support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of administrative support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Morale of court or tribunal staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Physical quality of the building	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Maintenance of the building	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Security at your court or tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Physical quality of your personal work space	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Space to meet and interact with other judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inclusive nature of the work environment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. To what extent do you feel the following are important to you?

	Important	Not sure	Not important
Time to discuss work with colleagues	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Support for dealing with stressful conditions at work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

11. Please assess the availability of each of the following in your current judicial post:

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Non-existent
Time to discuss work with colleagues	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Support for dealing with stressful conditions at work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Training	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Judicial Welfare

12. In the last 2 years have you been concerned about your personal security as a result of your judicial role?

(Please select as many options as apply to you)

- Yes, sometimes when I am in court/tribunal
- Yes, sometimes outside of court/tribunal
- Yes, sometimes on social media
- No

Please feel free to provide any further comments

13. Do you feel you are treated with respect as a judge by the following?

	Yes	Not sure	No	Not applicable to me
Judicial colleagues at my court or tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My immediate leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Senior leadership in the judiciary	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

14. To what extent are you familiar with the following aspects of judicial welfare support?

	Yes	Not sure	No	Not applicable to me
I am aware of the range of welfare support available to me as a judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I know how to access this welfare support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

15. If you have used any of the following support services for judges in the last 2 years, please indicate how helpful the service was for you:

If you have not used any of the following support services in the last 2 years, please skip this question by clicking on the NEXT button at the bottom of this page.

	Helpful	Not sure	Not helpful
Counselling through PRRT	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
LMS e-learning on managing stress and building resilience	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
LMS e-learning on mindful judging and guided meditation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Conversation with my leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
External support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Bullying, Harassment and Discrimination

The questions in this section of the survey are about any personal experience of bullying, harassment or discrimination you may have had in your work as a judge in the last 2 years.

These 3 things are defined as:

- **Bullying** is behaviour from a person or group that is unwanted and makes you feel uncomfortable, fearful, disrespected, ridiculed or upset.
- **Harassment** is bullying or unwanted behaviour, because of or about a protected characteristic, that violates dignity and/or creates an intimidating, hostile, degrading humiliating or offensive environment (the full legal definition of harassment can be found within the Equality Act 2010).
- **Discrimination** is being treated differently or unfairly due to a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010.

PLEASE NOTE: Like all the questions in the survey, you are not required to answer these questions and any answers you do provide are completely anonymous. It is not possible to trace any response to this survey back to any individual.

16. **In the last 2 years, have you personally experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in your work as a judge?**

- Yes I have experienced bullying in the last 2 years
- Yes I have experienced harassment in the last 2 years
- Yes I have experienced discrimination in the last 2 years
- No I have not experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years [If you answered NO, please skip to the next section of the survey by clicking on the NEXT button at the bottom of this page]

Please feel free to provide any further comments

17. **From whom did you experience this?**

- A more senior judicial office holder (other than my leadership judge)
- My leadership/presiding judge
- A judicial office holder in my court/tribunal
- A judicial office holder not in my court/tribunal
- Counsel
- Solicitor
- Litigant
- Civil servant
- Politician
- Other (please feel free to specify in the box below)

18. **Did you report it?**

- Yes and it is currently being dealt with
- Yes and it was resolved to my satisfaction
- Yes but it was not resolved to my satisfaction
- No [If you answered NO, please feel free to say in the box below why you did not make a report, but you are not required to say and you should not identify any individual in a comment]

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Salary and Pensions

19. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Not sure	Disagree	Strongly disagree
I am paid a reasonable salary for the work I do	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I have had a loss of net earnings over the last 2 years	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The judicial salary issue is affecting my morale	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The judicial salary issue is affecting the morale of judges I work with	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My pay and pension entitlement does not adequately reflect the work I have done and will do before retirement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The amount of out of hours work required to do the job is affecting me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If I felt that leaving the judiciary was a viable option I would consider doing so	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
If I could earn additional income through out of court work I would pursue this option	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

20. Prior to my appointment to the salaried judiciary, I was earning:

- Substantially less than my judicial salary on appointment
- Less than my judicial salary on appointment
- About the same as my judicial salary on appointment
- More than my judicial salary on appointment
- Substantially more than my judicial salary on appointment

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Digital Working

21. Please share your views on the following aspects of working digitally as a judge:

	Agree	Not sure	Disagree
The increase in remote hearings has been beneficial to my work as a judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am finding the switch to judicial working on screens challenging	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am finding the change from paper based to digital working to be more efficient for hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am finding the change from paper based to digital working to be more efficient for administrative non-court matters	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

22. Please provide an assessment of the following IT resources available to you at the main court or tribunal where you work:

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Non-existent
Standard of IT equipment provided for you personally to use	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Internet access in your court or tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Availability of administrative support for arranging and managing hybrid/remote hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of the technology in court for hybrid/remote hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Availability of technical support for you at court	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of technical support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Speed of technical support once requested	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

23. How often in the last 12 months have you needed IT support in order to do your job as a judge?

- Daily
 Weekly
 Monthly
 A few times during the year
 Never

Please feel free to provide any further comments

24. In your experience, what effect(s) do you feel the use of hybrid/remote hearings has on the following?

	Positive effect	Negative effect	Both positive & negative effects	No effect at all
the interaction between parties	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the quality of advocacy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the time it takes to conduct hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the way I deal with a case as a judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the way parties behave during hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the need for adjournments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the number of hearings that can be completed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the resolution of cases	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the ability of vulnerable parties to participate in hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the ability of unrepresented parties to participate in hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the ability of others to observe hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Job Satisfaction, Opportunities and Training

25. How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your work as a judge?

	Completely satisfied	Satisfied	Could be better	Not satisfied at all
Sense of achievement in the job	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Variety of work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Challenge of the job	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

26. To what extent are the following opportunities important to you?

	Important	Not sure	Not important
Opportunities to use your legal knowledge and experience across a range of specialisms/areas of work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to gain new skills and broaden your legal knowledge and range of work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to apply for transfer to another court	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to take on a leadership role	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for review of your career aspirations with a senior judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for career development mentoring	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for targeted training linked to career aspirations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

27. To what extent are you satisfied with the following aspects of your judicial role?

	Completely satisfied	Satisfied	Could be better	Not satisfied at all
Opportunities to make use of the tickets I already hold	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cross deployment opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to sit part-time	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for regular personal review of my judicial role with someone in a leadership position	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for career progression	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

28. To what extent are you satisfied with the following aspects of judicial training?

	Completely satisfied	Satisfied	Could be better	Not satisfied at all
Range of judicial training courses available to me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of judicial training I undertake	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Number of training courses I am required to attend each year	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Time I have to prepare for training courses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Change and Communications

29. How concerned are you by each of these changes in the judiciary?

	Not concerned at all	Only slightly concerned	Not sure	Somewhat concerned	Extremely concerned
Court closures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase in litigants in person	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Staff reductions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court Reform programme	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fiscal constraints	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Loss of experienced judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Loss of respect for the judiciary by government	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Personal safety for judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Low judicial morale	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attacks on the judiciary in the media	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reduction in face-to-face hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inability to attract the best people into the judiciary	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Loss of judicial independence	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Stressful working conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comment:

30. Please share your views on the communications you receive about change in the judiciary:

	Agree	Not sure	Disagree
The senior leadership in the judiciary is handling changes to judicial working life well	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judges in my part of the judiciary are handling changes to our working lives well	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I receive timely communications about changes in the judiciary that affect me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I feel that changes are imposed on judges in my position without adequate explanation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am able to easily find information about my job as a judge when I need it	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I receive helpful communications about my job as a judge (ie, information on the Judicial Intranet and direct emails about your judicial role)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Future Planning

31. Might you consider leaving the judiciary in the next 5 years?

- Yes
- No
- I am currently undecided about this
- I will reach compulsory retirement age in the next 5 years but plan to leave before that date
- I will reach compulsory retirement age in the next 5 years and plan to stay until that date

32. On 1 April 2022, what was your age in YEARS and MONTHS?

On 1 April 2022 my age was years and months.

33. Which of the following factors would make you more likely to leave the judiciary before reaching compulsory retirement age?

(Please select as many options as apply to you).

- Increase in workload
- Lack of promotion
- Limits on pay awards
- Reduction in pension benefits
- Lack of respect for the judiciary by government
- Reduction in administrative support
- Further demands for out of hours working
- Introduction of online courts
- Lack of stimulating work
- Increase in litigants in person
- Lack of effective leadership of the judiciary
- Stressful working conditions
- Inability to move to salaried part-time working
- Remote hearings
- Requirement to sit in a location too far from home
- Attacks on the judiciary by the media
- Court closures
- Personal health issues
- Inability to work more flexible hours
- Uncertainty over the future of my part of the judiciary
- Personal security concerns
- Other (please specify in the box below)

34. Which of the following factors would make you more likely to remain in the judiciary until compulsory retirement age?

(Please select as many options as apply to you).

- Appointment to a higher post
- Change of work location
- Higher remuneration
- Better administrative support
- Reduction in workload
- Increased flexibility in working hours
- Greater variation in work
- Better leadership of the judiciary
- Having more leadership responsibilities
- Greater certainty over the future of my part of the judiciary
- Support for dealing with stressful working conditions
- Opportunity for sabbatical
- Opportunity to work part-time
- Reduction in litigants in person
- Better security for judges
- Increase in remote working
- Greater respect for the work judges do
- Other (please specify in the box below)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Being a Member of the Judiciary

35. As a judge, to what extent do you feel valued by the following groups?

	Greatly valued	Generally valued	Not sure	Generally not valued	Not valued at all
Public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Government	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Legal Profession	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Parties in cases that appear before me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Media	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judicial colleagues at my court	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Senior leadership in the judiciary	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

36. As a judge I feel I provide an important service to society.

- Strongly Disagree Disagree Not sure Agree Strongly Agree
-

37. I feel a strong personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary.

- Strongly Disagree Disagree Not sure Agree Strongly Agree
-

38. Members of the judiciary are respected by society at large:

- Less than they were 2 years ago
- About the same as they were 2 years ago
- More than they were 2 years ago

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Joining the judiciary

39. Knowing what you know now about your job as a judge would you still have applied for a salaried post?

- Yes
 No
 Not sure

Please feel free to provide any further comments

40. Would you encourage suitable people to apply to join the salaried judiciary?

- Yes
 No
 Not sure

Please feel free to provide any further comments

41. The reasons I would encourage suitable people to apply to join the salaried judiciary are:

(Please select as many options as reflect your view)

- Challenge of the work
 Sense of collegiality
 Job security
 Intellectual satisfaction
 Salary
 Public service
 Respect in the community
 Pension
 Administrative support
 Less pressurised environment than practice
 Prestige of the job
 Chance to contribute to justice being done
 Other (please specify in the box below)

42. The reasons I would discourage suitable people from applying to join the salaried judiciary are:

(Please select as many options as reflect your view)

- Isolation of the job
 Lack of variety in the work
 Lack of respect for judges
 Experience of changes to pension entitlements
 Lack of personal control over working time
 Reduction in income
 Lack of administrative support
 Poor quality of physical work environment
 Feeling of being an employee or civil servant
 Judicial appointments process
 Too much out of hours work required to do the job
 Lack of support from the senior judiciary
 Rigid hierarchical work environment
 Too few opportunities for promotion
 Increase in litigants in person
 Court Reform Programme
 Other (please specify in the box below)

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Leadership

43. Please indicate if you currently:

(Please tick as many answers as apply to you)

- hold a formal leadership position in the judiciary (e.g., Presiding Judge, President or Deputy/Vice President etc.)?
- undertake any additional responsibilities as a judge that are not formal leadership roles (e.g., Chair of a judicial committee, NIJAC commissioner, Judicial Studies Board duties etc.)?

44. Would you be interested in taking on more leadership responsibilities in your judicial role?

- Yes
- Yes but there are none available in my jurisdiction
- I would be interested if leadership roles were better rewarded
- No a leadership role is not for me
- No I have (or have had) enough leadership responsibilities already
- Not at the present time but possibly in future
- Not sure

45. Do you feel that judicial leadership roles are allocated fairly?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know enough about how it is done to say

If you answered No please feel free to provide reasons why

46. The following explore your views of your immediate leadership judge(s):

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
I would like my immediate leadership/presiding judge to help me evaluate how I am performing as a judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I would like to be able to discuss my career development with my immediate leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I would like to be able to speak with someone other than my immediate leadership/presiding judge about my career development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Case allocation is done fairly by my local leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I receive good support from my immediate leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am treated fairly by my immediate leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My leadership/presiding judge takes responsibility for promoting diversity and inclusion at my court/tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

General Information

47. Before being appointed to the judiciary what type of legal engagement were you in?
(Please tick as many answers as apply to you)

- Barrister
- Employed lawyer
- Legal academic
- Legal executive
- Solicitor
- Other (please specify in the box below if you would like to)

48. Before your appointment to the salaried judiciary, were you appointed a QC?

- No
- Yes

49. Are you:

- Male
- Female
- Other

50. What is your age group?

- Under 35
- 35-39
- 40-44
- 45-49
- 50-54
- 55-59
- 60-62
- 63-65
- 66-67
- 68-69
- 70 or over

51. Do you have any of the following?

- Children you support financially
- Caring responsibilities for a family member(s)?

52. Do you have a disability?

- No
- Yes [If you answered YES, please feel free to indicate in the box below (1) whether you have asked for any reasonable adjustments to be made and (2) whether these have been satisfactorily implemented]

53. This question asks about your education experience:

(Please tick as many boxes as apply to you)

- Secondary education - I attended a UK state school
- Secondary education - I attended a UK independent/fee-paying school
- Secondary education - I attended a UK independent/Fee-paying school with a full bursary
- Secondary education - I attended school outside the UK
- Secondary education - Other (please specify in the box below)
- University - I was part of the first generation of my family to attend university
- University - I was not part of the first generation of my family to attend university
- University - Other (please specify in the box below)

54. What is your ethnic group?

- White - Northern Irish/English/Welsh/Scottish/British
- White - Irish
- White - Gypsy/Irish Traveller
- White - Other
- Mixed - White and Black Caribbean
- Mixed - White and Black African
- Mixed - White and Asian
- Mixed - any other Mixed background
- Asian - Indian
- Asian - Pakistani
- Asian - Bangladeshi
- Asian - any other Asian background
- Asian - Chinese
- Black - Caribbean
- Black - African
- Black - any other Black background
- Arab
- Any other ethnic group

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

The Survey

55. This survey was:

- Too long
- About the right length
- Not long enough

56. How long did it take you to complete this survey?

- No more than 5 minutes
- Less than 10 minutes
- Less than 15 minutes
- Less than 20 minutes
- Less than 30 minutes
- 30 minutes or longer

[Back](#)

[Finish](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Thank you for taking part in the 2022 Judicial Attitude Survey. Your answers have now been received.

Your participation has been extremely valuable and very much appreciated.

Powered by
[Opinio Survey Software](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

2022 Judicial Attitude Survey

The Judicial Institute of University College London (UCL JI) runs the **UK Judicial Attitude Survey (JAS)** on behalf of the Lady Chief Justice of Northern Ireland, the Lord Chief Justice of England and Wales, the Lord President of Scotland and the Senior President of Tribunals. This **survey is by judges for judges**.

First JAS for FEE-PAID Judges: why it is important for you to take part in this survey

This is the first UK Judicial Attitude Survey for Fee-Paid judges and tribunal members. The most recent JAS for Salaried Judges in 2020 had a 99% response rate, which means that it produced the **most reliable evidence about the state of the judiciary**. By completing this survey you will be ensuring that the **views of Fee-Paid Judges and Tribunal Members are taken into account** in important decisions about the future of the judiciary. This survey includes many of the same questions being asked of salaried judges in the 2022 JAS, which will help to assess any differences in views between fee-paid and salaried judicial office holders. But this survey also includes **questions tailored specifically to the work of fee-paid judicial office holders**.

Confidentiality

The survey is **completely voluntary and anonymous**. Your survey responses **cannot be traced back** to you personally. In order to ensure full anonymity in the survey, it is not possible for you to start the survey, save some responses and return to complete the survey later. This would require the survey system to be able to identify you by your email or IP address. So you need to complete the survey in one go.

Thank you for taking the time to do the survey, which should take about 10-15 minutes.

Use of the Survey

UCL has undertaken in writing not to use any information collected in its research, save with the express consent of the Lord and Lady Chief Justices, Lord President and Senior President of Tribunals. The anonymised, collated data will be held by the Judicial Offices of each jurisdiction.

Publication or disclosure, either in whole or in part, of any survey responses may be included in the formal response to the SSRB or other public bodies. Disclosure of submitted information may also be requested in accordance with, for instance, the Freedom of Information Act 2000 or the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2001. Where such disclosure is sought UCL and/or the Judicial Offices undertake to take such steps as appropriate and as they believe applicable to seek exemptions from such disclosure.

Your participation in this survey and your answers to the following questions will be extremely helpful.

Start

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Your Judicial Post

1. Please indicate the **main Fee-Paid post** you currently hold:

(If you have multiple posts please select what you consider is your main fee-paid post and you can provide details of any further posts in Question 4 below)

- Retired Lord Justice/Retired High Court Judge
- Temporary High Court Judge
- Deputy County Court Judge
- Deputy Statutory Officer
- Deputy District Judge (MC)
- Deputy Coroner
- Lay Magistrate
- Tribunal Judge (:legal member)
- Tribunal Non-Legal Member
- Other (please specify in box below)

2. How long have you been in your **CURRENT main fee-paid post** (ie, the post you indicated in Question 1)?

- Less than 1 year
- 1-5 years
- 6-10 years
- 11-15 years
- 16-20 years
- 21 years or more

3. When were you **FIRST appointed to a FEE-PAID post?**

- Before 1 April 1995
- 1 April 1995 - 1999
- 2000 - 2004
- 2005 - 2009
- 2010 - 2014
- 2015 - 2019
- 2020 - 2022

4. Do you currently hold any other judicial office holder post in addition to the main post you have indicated in Question 1 above?

- No
- Yes (please specify in the box below)

5. As a fee-paid judicial office holder:

- I am solely reliant on fee-paid judicial work for my income
- I am mostly reliant on fee-paid judicial work but have other source(s) of income
- I am not reliant on fee-paid judicial work and have other source(s) of income

Please feel free to provide any further comments

6. In the last 12 months, how many days in total did you sit as a fee-paid judicial office holder (combining any fee-paid posts you have)?

- Less than 10
- 10-20
- 21-40
- 41-60
- 61-80
- 81-100
- 101-150
- More than 150

7. How many total days a year would you like to be able to sit as a fee-paid judicial office holder?

- Less than 10
- 10-20
- 21-40
- 41-60
- 61-80
- 81-100
- 101-150
- More than 150

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Working Conditions

8. How would you rate the overall working conditions in your job as a judicial office holder now compared with 2 years ago (in early 2020 before the pandemic)?

- Significantly better
- Better
- About the same
- Worse
- Significantly worse
- Not applicable (I was not a judge in early 2020)

9. When working remotely as a judicial office holder, do you feel your daily fee covers:

- More work than when I am not working remotely
- The same amount of work as when I am not working remotely
- Less work than when I am not working remotely

Please feel free to provide any further comments

10. Please provide an assessment of the following working conditions at the main court or tribunal where you work:

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Unacceptable	Not applicable to me
Amount of administrative support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of administrative support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Morale of court or tribunal staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Physical quality of the building	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Maintenance of the building	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Security at your court or tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Physical quality of your personal work space	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Space to meet and interact with other judicial office holders	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inclusive nature of the work environment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. To what extent do you feel the following are important to you?

	Important	Not sure	Not important
Ability to sit no more than the minimum number of days	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ability to hold multiple fee-paid judicial posts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ability to gain experience to allow me to apply for a salaried judicial post	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ability to sit as a fee-paid judge without having to eventually apply for a salaried post	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to sit more than the minimum number of days	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Training opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Flexible work pattern	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

12. Please rate the information you receive in advance of your sitting days at your court/tribunal:

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Non-existent	Not applicable to me
Administrative arrangements for my sitting days	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Key personnel I need to know at the court/tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Contact details for key personnel at the court/tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

13. How welcome are you made to feel by the following colleagues at your main court or tribunal centre?

	Extremely welcome	Generally welcome	Not welcome at all	Not applicable to me
Local leadership/presiding judge or other senior leadership judge on site	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other judicial office holders	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court or tribunal staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Judicial Welfare

14. In the last 2 years have you been concerned about your personal security as a result of your judicial role?

(Please select as many options as apply to you)

- Yes, sometimes when I am in court/tribunal
- Yes, sometimes outside of court/tribunal
- Yes, sometimes on social media
- No

Please feel free to provide any further comments

15. Do you feel you are treated with respect as a judicial office holder by the following?

	Yes	Not sure	No	Not applicable to me
Judicial colleagues at my court or tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My immediate leadership/presiding judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Senior leadership in the judiciary	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

16. To what extent are you familiar with the following aspects of judicial welfare support?

	Yes	Not sure	No	Not applicable to me
I am aware of the range of welfare support available to me as a judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I know how to access this welfare support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

17. If you have used any of the following support services for judicial office holders in the last 2 years, please indicate how helpful the service was for you:

If you have not used any of the following support services in the last 2 years, please skip this question by clicking on the NEXT button at the bottom of this page.

	Helpful	Not sure	Not helpful
Counselling through PRRT	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
LMS e-learning on managing stress and building resilience	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
LMS e-learning on mindful judging and guided meditation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Conversation with my leadership judge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
External support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Bullying, Harassment and Discrimination

The questions in this section of the survey are about any personal experience of bullying, harassment or discrimination you may have had in your work as a judicial office holder in the last 2 years.

These 3 things are defined as:

- **Bullying** is behaviour from a person or group that is unwanted and makes you feel uncomfortable, fearful, disrespected, ridiculed or upset.
- **Harassment** is bullying or unwanted behaviour, because of or about a protected characteristic, that violates dignity and/or creates an intimidating, hostile, degrading humiliating or offensive environment (the full legal definition of harassment can be found within the Equality Act 2010).
- **Discrimination** is being treated differently or unfairly due to a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010.

PLEASE NOTE: Like all questions in the survey, you are not required to answer this question and any answers you do provide are completely anonymous. It is not possible to trace any response to this survey back to any individual.

18. In the last 2 years, have you personally experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in your work as a judicial office holder?

- Yes I have experienced bullying in the last 2 years
- Yes I have experienced harassment in the last 2 years
- Yes I have experienced discrimination in the last 2 years
- No I have not experienced bullying, harassment or discrimination in the last 2 years [If you answered NO, please skip to the next section of the survey by clicking on the NEXT button at the bottom of this page]

Please feel free to provide any further comments

19. From whom did you experience this?

- A more senior judicial office holder (other than my leadership judge)
- My leadership/presiding judge
- A judicial office holder in my court/tribunal
- A judicial office holder not in my court/tribunal
- Counsel
- Solicitor
- Litigant
- Civil servant
- Politician
- Other (please feel free to specify in the box below)

20. Did you report it?

- Yes and it is currently being dealt with
- Yes and it was resolved to my satisfaction
- Yes but it was not resolved to my satisfaction
- No [If you answered NO please feel free to say in the box below why you did not make a report, but you are not required to say and you should not identify an individual in a comment]

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Pay and Pensions

21. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Not sure	Disagree	Strongly disagree
I am paid a reasonable rate for a day's work as a judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The pension I receive for my part-time judicial work is an important aspect the job for me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I rely heavily on the expenses I receive when I work as a judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The amount of time required to do my judicial work that is outside of sitting hours is reasonable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I worry about the lack of secure income	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I worry about becoming sick as this affects my income stream	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

22. In any non-judicial work I do, I earn:

- Substantially less than my day rate for my judicial work
- Less than my day rate for my judicial work
- About the same as my day rate for my judicial work
- More than my day rate for my judicial work
- Substantially more than my day rate for my judicial work
- Not applicable to me - I do not do any non-judicial work

Please feel free to provide any further comments

23. What work does your daily sitting fee cover?

- Preparation (eg, reading papers in advance)
- Attendance at court/tribunal and conducting hearings
- Time for writing up decisions

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Digital Working

24. Please share your view of the following aspects of working digitally as a judicial office holder:

	Agree	Not sure	Disagree
The increase in remote hearings has been beneficial to my work as a judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am finding the switch to judicial working from screens challenging	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am finding the change from paper based to digital working to be more efficient for hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am finding the change from paper based to digital work to be more efficient for administrative non-court matters	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

25. Please provide an assessment of the following IT resources available to you at the main court or tribunal where you work and when working remotely:

	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor	Non-existent
Standard of IT equipment provided for you personally to use	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Internet access in your court or tribunal building	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Availability of administrative support for arranging and managing hybrid/remote hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of the technology in court for hybrid/remote hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Availability of technical support for you at court or tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of technical support	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Speed of technical support once requested	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Standard of IT equipment available to you for working remotely	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Internet access when working remotely	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
IT support when working remotely	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

26. How often in the last 12 months have you needed IT support in order to do your job as a judicial office holder?

- Daily
 Weekly
 Monthly
 A few times during the year
 Never

27. In your experience, what effect(s) do you feel the use of hybrid/remote hearings has on the following?

	Positive effect	Negative effect	Both positive & negative effects	No effect at all
the interaction between parties	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the quality of advocacy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the time it takes to conduct hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the way I deal with a case as a judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the way parties behave during hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the need for adjournments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the number of hearings that can be completed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the resolution of cases	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the ability of vulnerable parties to participate in hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the ability of unrepresented parties to participate in hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
the ability of others to observe hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Job Satisfaction and Training

28. How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your work as a judicial office holder?

	Completely satisfied	Satisfied	Could be better	Not satisfied at all
Sense of achievement in the job	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Variety of work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Challenge of the job	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

29. To what extent are the following important to you in your judicial role?

	Important	Not sure	Not important	Not applicable to me
Opportunities to make use of the authorisations I already hold	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cross deployment opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for regular personal review of my judicial role with someone in a leadership position	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Support and mentorship for becoming a salaried judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to expand my knowledge of a specialist area of work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

30. To what extent are you satisfied with the following aspects of your judicial role?

	Completely satisfied	Satisfied	Could be better	Not satisfied at all	Not applicable to me
Opportunities to make use of the authorisations I already hold	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cross deployment opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities for regular personal review of my judicial role with someone in a leadership position	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Support and mentorship for becoming a salaried judicial office holder	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Opportunities to expand my knowledge of a specialist area of work	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

31. To what extent are you satisfied with the following aspects of judicial training?

	Completely satisfied	Satisfied	Could be better	Not satisfied at all
Range of judicial training courses available to me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Quality of judicial training I undertake	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Number of training courses I am required to attend each year	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Time I have to prepare for training courses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

32. The judicial training I have undertaken in the last 2 years:

	Agree	Not sure	Disagree
has helped me to adjust to the changing ways of working in the last 2 years	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
has helped me to keep up to date on developments in the law	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
has helped me to keep up to date on changes in practice and procedures in my court/tribunal	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Change and Communications

33. How concerned are you by each of these changes in the judiciary?

	Not concerned at all	Only slightly concerned	Not sure	Somewhat concerned	Extremely concerned
Increase in litigants in person	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Loss of respect for the judiciary by government	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Personal safety for judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Low judicial morale	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Attacks on the judiciary in the media	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Reduction in face-to-face hearings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Loss of judicial independence	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

34. Please share your views on the communications you receive about change in the judiciary:

	Agree	Not sure	Disagree
The senior leadership in the judiciary is handling change in judicial working life well	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judicial office holders in my part of the judiciary are handling changes to our working lives well	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I receive timely communications about changes in the judiciary that affect me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I feel that changes are imposed on judicial office holders in my position without adequate explanation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am able to easily find information about my job as a judicial office holder when I need it			
I receive helpful communications about my judicial role (ie, information on the Judicial Intranet and direct emails about your judicial role)			

Please feel free to provide any further comments

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Joining the Salaried Judiciary

35. Might you consider applying for a salaried judicial post?

- Yes I am already considering this
- Yes but not at this point in my career
- I am currently undecided about this
- No I am not interested in a salaried judicial post
- There are no salaried posts available in my jurisdiction
- I am too close to retirement age to consider this
- Other (please specify in the box below)

36. The reasons I would consider applying to join the salaried judiciary are:

(Please select as many options as reflect your view)

- Challenge of the work
- Sense of collegiality
- Job security
- Intellectual satisfaction
- Salary
- Public service
- Respect in the community
- Pension
- Administrative support
- Less pressurised environment than practice
- Prestige of the job
- Chance to contribute to justice being done
- Other (please specify in the box below)

37. The reasons I would not consider applying to join the salaried judiciary are:

(Please select as many options as reflect your view)

- Isolation of the job
- Lack of variety in the work
- Lack of respect for judges
- Experience of changes to pension entitlements
- Lack of personal control over working time
- Reduction in income
- Lack of administrative support
- Poor quality of physical work environment
- Feeling of being an employee or civil servant
- Judicial appointments process
- Too much out of hours work required to do the job
- Rigid hierarchical work environment
- Too few opportunities for promotion
- Increase in litigants in person
- Loss of travel expenses
- Uncertainty over where I'd be required to sit
- Being required to do work outside of my area of expertise
- Other (please specify in the box below)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Being a Member of the Judiciary

38. As a judicial office holder, to what extent do you feel valued by the following groups?

	Greatly valued	Generally valued	Not sure	Generally not valued	Not valued at all
Public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Government	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Legal Profession	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Parties in cases that appear before me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court or tribunal staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Media	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judicial colleagues at my court	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Senior leadership in the judiciary	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please feel free to provide any further comments

39. As a judicial office holder I feel I provide an important service to society.

Strongly Disagree Disagree Not sure Agree Strongly Agree

40. I feel a strong personal attachment to being a member of the judiciary.

Strongly Disagree Disagree Not sure Agree Strongly Agree

41. Members of the judiciary are respected by society at large:

- Less than they were 2 years ago
- About the same as they were 2 years ago
- More than they were 2 years ago

Please feel free to provide any further comments

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Recommending others to join the fee-paid judiciary

42. Would you encourage suitable people to apply for a Fee-paid judicial post?

- Yes
- No
- Not sure

Please feel free to provide any further comments

43. The reasons I would encourage suitable people to apply for a Fee-paid judicial post are:

(Please select as many options as apply to you)

- Challenge of the work
- Intellectual satisfaction
- Public service
- Respect in community
- Chance to contribute to justice being done
- Prestige of the job
- Variety of the work
- Flexibility in sitting time
- Chance to consider whether a salaried judicial post is right for them
- Other (please specify in the box below)

44. The reasons I would discourage suitable people from applying for a Fee-paid judicial post are:

(Please select as many options as apply to you)

- Rate of pay
- Pension issues
- Not enough sitting days available
- Too many sitting days required
- Lack of control over location where sitting
- Lack of administrative support
- Lack of sense of belonging to the rest of the judiciary
- Other (please specify in the box below)

Back

Next

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

General Information

45. What is your current non-judicial employment?
(Please select as many answers as apply to you)

- Barrister
- Employed lawyer
- Legal academic
- Legal executive
- Solicitor
- Other (please specify in the box below if you would like to)

46. Have you been appointed a QC?

- No
- Yes

47. Are you:

- Male
- Female
- Other

48. What is your age group?

- Under 35
- 35-39
- 40-44
- 45-49
- 50-54
- 55-59
- 60-62
- 63-65
- 66-67
- 68-69
- 70 or over

49. Do you have any of the following?

- Children you support financially
- Caring responsibilities for a family member(s)?

50. Do you have a disability?

- No
- Yes [If you answered YES, please feel to indicate in the box below (1) whether you have asked for any reasonable adjustments to be made and (2) whether these have been satisfactorily implemented]

51. This question asks about your education experience:

(Please tick as many boxes as apply to you)

- Secondary education - I attended a UK state school
- Secondary education - I attended a UK independent/fee-paying school
- Secondary education - I attended a UK independent/fee-paying school with a full bursary
- Secondary education - I attended school outside the UK
- Secondary education - Other (please specify in the box below)
- University - I was part of the first generation of my family to attend university
- University - I was not part of the first generation of my family to attend university
- University - Other (please specify in the box below)

52. What is your ethnic group?

- White - Northern Irish/English/Welsh/Scottish/British
- White - Irish
- White - Gypsy/Irish Traveller
- White - Other
- Mixed - White and Black Caribbean
- Mixed - White and Black African
- Mixed - White and Asian
- Mixed - any other Mixed background
- Asian - Indian
- Asian - Pakistani
- Asian - Bangladeshi
- Asian - any other Asian background
- Asian - Chinese
- Black - Caribbean
- Black - African
- Black - any other Black background
- Arab
- Any other ethnic group

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

The Survey

53. This survey was:

- Too long
- About the right length
- Not long enough

54. How long did it take you to complete this survey?

- No more than 5 minutes
- Less than 10 minutes
- Less than 15 minutes
- Less than 20 minutes
- Less than 30 minutes
- 30 minutes or longer

[Back](#)

[Finish](#)

Judicial Attitude Survey 2022

Thank you for taking part in the 2022 Judicial Attitude Survey. Your answers have now been received.

Your participation has been extremely valuable and very much appreciated.

Powered by
[Opinio Survey Software](#)