#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

#### Alzheimer's & Dementia<sup>®</sup> THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

# Plasma biomarkers identify older adults at risk of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in a real-world population-based cohort

Pamela C. L. Ferreira<sup>1</sup> Vingjin Zhang<sup>2</sup> | Beth Snitz<sup>3</sup> | Chung-Chou H. Chang<sup>2,4</sup> | Bruna Bellaver<sup>1</sup> | Erin Jacobsen<sup>1</sup> | M. Ilyas Kamboh<sup>5</sup> | Henrik Zetterberg<sup>6,7,8,9,10,11</sup> | Kaj Blennow<sup>6,7</sup> | Tharick A. Pascoal<sup>1,3</sup> | Victor L. Villemagne<sup>1</sup> | Mary Ganguli<sup>1,3,12</sup> | Thomas K. Karikari<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Human Genetics, School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, The Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden

<sup>7</sup>Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>8</sup>Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

<sup>9</sup>UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL, London, UK

<sup>10</sup>Hong Kong Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hong Kong

<sup>11</sup>UW Department of Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

#### Correspondence

Thomas K. Karikari, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, 3811 O'Hara St, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. Email: karikari@pitt.edu

Pamela C. L. Ferreira and Yingjin Zhang are joint first authors.

#### **Funding information**

NIH, Grant/Award Number: R37 AG023651; Alzheimer's Association, Grant/Award Numbers: AARFD-22-923814, AARFD-22-974627, AACSF-20-648075, #AARF-21-850325; CAPES, Grant/Award Number: 88887.336490/2019-00; National Institute on Aging, Grant/Award Numbers: R01

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Plasma biomarkers—cost effective, non-invasive indicators of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and related disorders (ADRD)—have largely been studied in clinical research settings. Here, we examined plasma biomarker profiles and their associated factors in a population-based cohort to determine whether they could identify an at-risk group, independently of brain and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers. **Methods:** We measured plasma phosphorylated tau181 (p-tau181), neurofilament light chain (NfL), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), and amyloid beta (A $\beta$ )42/40 ratio in 847 participants from a population-based cohort in southwestern Pennsylvania. **Results:** K-medoids clustering identified two distinct plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 modes, further categorizable into three biomarker profile groups: normal, uncertain, and abnormal. In different groups, plasma p-tau181, NfL, and GFAP were inversely correlated with

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2023 The Authors. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association.

## Alzheimer's & Dementia<sup>®</sup>

AG023651, R01AG075336, R01AG073267; Swedish Research Council, Grant/Award Numbers: #2018-02532, Vetenskapsrådet #2021-03244: European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation, Grant/Award Number: 101053962; Swedish State Support for Clinical Research, Grant/Award Number: #ALFGBG-71320; Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF). Grant/Award Number: #201809-2016862; AD Strategic Fund and the Alzheimer's Association, Grant/Award Numbers: #ADSF-21-831376-C, #ADSF-21-831381-C, #ADSF-21-831377-C; Hiärnfonden, Grant/Award Number: #FO2022-0270: Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant/Award Number: 860197; European Union Joint Programme-Neurodegenerative Disease Research, Grant/Award Number: JPND2021-00694; UK Dementia Research Institute, Grant/Award Number: UKDRI-1003

A $\beta$ 42/40, Clinical Dementia Rating, and memory composite score, with the strongest associations in the abnormal group.

**Discussion:** Abnormal plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio identified older adult groups with lower memory scores, higher dementia risks, and higher ADRD biomarker levels, with potential implications for population screening.

#### KEYWORDS

aging, Alzheimer's disease and related disorders, cluster modeling, cognitive impairment, epidemiology, Monongahela-Youghiogheny Healthy Aging Team (MYHAT), plasma biomarker, population-based cohort

#### Highlights

- 1. Population-based plasma biomarker studies are lacking, particularly in cohorts without cerebrospinal fluid or neuroimaging data.
- 2. In the Monongahela-Youghiogheny Healthy Aging Team study (n = 847), plasma biomarkers associated with worse memory and Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR), apolipoprotein E  $\varepsilon$ 4, and greater age.
- Plasma amyloid beta (Aβ)42/40 ratio levels allowed clustering participants into abnormal, uncertain, and normal groups.
- 4. Plasma Aβ42/40 correlated differently with neurofilament light chain, glial fibrillary acidic protein, phosphorylated tau181, memory composite, and CDR in each group.
- Plasma biomarkers can enable relatively affordable and non-invasive community screening for evidence of Alzheimer's disease and related disorders pathophysiology.

#### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is characterized by brain deposition of amyloid beta (A $\beta$ ) plaques and tau neurofibrillary tangles.<sup>1</sup> Additional pathophysiological features include neurodegeneration/axonal damage and glial activation.<sup>2,3</sup> While brain A $\beta$ , tau, neurodegeneration, and glial activation are quantifiable in vivo using established neuroimaging and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers,<sup>4-6</sup> their prohibitive costs and limited availability hinder population-level applications.<sup>7</sup> Plasma biomarkers are accurate, more accessible, and cost-effective methods that can circumvent these limitations.<sup>7</sup> Multiple independent studies have demonstrated that plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  and phosphorylated tau (ptau)181 are associated with brain A $\beta$  and tau.<sup>8,9,10-14</sup> Furthermore, plasma neurofilament light chain (NfL) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) associate with brain degeneration and glial activation, respectively, which are found in both AD and related neurodegenerative disorders (ADRDs).<sup>3,12,15,16</sup> Nonetheless, previous investigations were limited mostly to clinical research cohorts with CSF/neuroimaging biomarkers categorization and also lacked diversity/heterogeneity in terms of social, economic, and geographic origins.<sup>7,17</sup> It is essential to assess plasma biomarker performance in population-based cohorts to: (1) verify their utility in less homogeneous groups of older adults,<sup>18</sup> (2) understand biomarker associations with cognitive impairment and demographic characteristics, and (3) ascertain the potential generalizability of results documented in earlier studies.

There is general agreement that plasma biomarkers will be pivotal in community screening to identify at-risk individuals.<sup>7</sup> However, there is, as yet, no identified strategy for doing so. In this study, we investigated plasma  $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$  ratio, p-tau181, GFAP, and NfL in a population-based cohort of older adults from medically under-served small towns of relatively low socio-economic status. We subsequently applied a novel clustering approach to categorize the participants into groups of distinct plasma  $A\beta 42/A\beta 40$  profiles. We hypothesized that associations between plasma biomarkers and memory will enable the identification of individuals at risk of ADRD in the community.

#### 2 | METHODS

#### 2.1 Study setting and participants

The Monongahela-Youghiogheny Healthy Aging Team (MYHAT) is an ongoing population-based study cohort drawn from a Rust Belt region of southwestern Pennsylvania, USA. These are formerly vibrant steelmanufacturing towns that never recovered from the economic blows of the steel industry's collapse in the 1970s. MYHAT participants are followed annually for the development of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and dementia. Participants were selected by age-stratified random sampling from the publicly available voter registration lists over two time periods: 2006 through 2008 and 2016 through 2019. Inclusion criteria at study entry included: (1) 65+ years old, (2) living in a designated town, (3) not residing in long-term care settings, (4) having sufficient hearing and vision to complete neuropsychological testing, and (5) having decisional capacity. Recruitment procedures in 2016 for the new cohort were identical to those of 2006, except that participants were limited to the 65 to 74 age group, to replenish the cohort with participants 10 years younger than the youngest members of the initial cohort. At initial recruitment, n = 2036 and n = 708 participants provided written informed consent in the first and second recruitment phases, respectively. All participants were briefly assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).<sup>19</sup> Because the study investigates the epidemiology of MCI, we screened out those who already showed substantial cognitive impairment by scoring <21/30 on the age-education-corrected MMSE.<sup>20</sup> The full assessment was then administered to n = 1982 and n = 703 participants in the original and second recruitment cohorts, respectively. All study procedures were approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board and all participants provided written informed consent.

#### 2.2 Study assessments

#### 2.2.1 Detailed assessment interviews

Assessment interviews included demographics collection, Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) assessment, neuropsychological tests, and blood collection. Demographics collected included age, sex, education (less than eighth grade or eighth to eleventh grade [< HS]; graduated from high school or General Educational Development test [ = HS]; graduated from college, 4-year college program, or graduate school [> HS]), and self-identified race/ethnicity (White; Black or African American, more than one race, unknown, or not reported [non-White]).

At each annual assessment, certified research interviewers rated participants based on independence in cognitively driven everyday activities using the CDR.<sup>21</sup> CDR was categorized into three groups:  $0 = \text{normal}, 0.5 = \text{MCI}, \ge 1 = \text{dementia}.$ 

At each visit, participants were administered a battery of neuropsychological tests tapping five cognitive domains: memory, attention, language, executive function, and visuospatial ability. Here, we focus on the memory domain. A composite score for the memory domain was generated by first standardizing each individual test score (Fuld Object Memory Evaluation,<sup>22</sup> Wechsler Memory Scale–Revised Logical Memory and Visual Reproduction,<sup>23</sup> and modified 12-item Face–Name Associative Memory Exam<sup>24</sup>) and then calculating the mean of all the standardized scores in the memory domain.

For those recruited in the initial 2006 through 2008 cohort, blood samples were collected during the annual assessments in 2014 or later. For the new cohort participants, blood was collected at visits in 2016 or later. Venous blood was collected in the morning following overnight

#### **RESEARCH IN CONTEXT**

- 1. Systematic Review: We searched PubMed for plasma biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease and related disorders (ADRDs). Dozens of studies have shown that: plasma amyloid beta ( $A\beta$ )42/40, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), and phosphorylated tau (p-tau)181 associate with brain  $A\beta$  pathology; p-tau181 correlates with brain tau pathology; and neurofilament light chain (NfL) is a strong indicator of neurodegeneration. Consequently, we sought to apply these tools to identify communitydwelling older adults with at-risk biomarker and clinical profiles.
- 2. Interpretation: Bimodal distribution of plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio allowed classification of *n* = 847 population-based participants into three main groups. Plasma NfL, GFAP, and p-tau181 correlated most strongly with A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio and memory composite in the abnormal group. Furthermore, significant associations were observed in the normal and uncertain A $\beta$ 42/40 groups, suggesting sensitivity to identify individuals with emerging ADRD pathophysiology.
- Future Directions: Future studies are needed to validate these results in other population-based cohorts and examine the capacity of these biomarkers to identify people with incipient ADRD for clinical monitoring and/or inclusion in therapeutic trials.

fasting into purple-top ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 to 45 minutes, then centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 minutes, 4°C. The plasma was collected into polypropylene tubes and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use. Less than 10% of the participants (n = 87) self-reported that they did not follow the overnight fasting procedure. However, we have shown that this does not significantly affect plasma biomarkers,<sup>25</sup> and we confirmed that the results for these 87 participants did not differ from the rest of the cohort.

#### 2.2.2 | Apolipoprotein E genotyping

Genotyping was performed using blood or saliva specimens. Genotypes for the apolipoprotein E (APOE)/rs429358 (APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4) and APOE/rs7412 (APOE  $\varepsilon$ 2) single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were determined using TaqMan genotyping assays. Because of the strong linkage disequilibrium between the two SNPs, this is also treated as a three-allele APOE polymorphism: APOE  $\varepsilon$ 2, APOE  $\varepsilon$ 3, and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4, resulting in six genotypes ( $\varepsilon$ 2/ $\varepsilon$ 2,  $\varepsilon$ 2/ $\varepsilon$ 3,  $\varepsilon$ 2/ $\varepsilon$ 4,  $\varepsilon$ 3/ $\varepsilon$ 3,  $\varepsilon$ 3/ $\varepsilon$ 4,  $\varepsilon$ 4/ $\varepsilon$ 4).<sup>26</sup> Individuals with any  $\varepsilon$ 4 allele ( $\varepsilon$ 2/ $\varepsilon$ 4,  $\varepsilon$ 3/ $\varepsilon$ 4,  $\varepsilon$ 4/ $\varepsilon$ 4) were classified as APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 carriers and those without an  $\varepsilon$ 4 allele as non-carriers.

A | Alzheimer's & Dementia

#### 2.3 Plasma biomarker measurements

Plasma biomarker concentrations were measured in singlicates using Single molecule array (Simoa) methods on an HD-X instrument (Quanterix) at the Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, USA. All frozen samples underwent a single thawing cycle. Plasma p-tau181 was measured with the p-tau181 V2 Advantage (#103714) while NfL, GFAP, A $\beta$ 42 and A $\beta$ 40 concentrations were measured with the Neurology 4-Plex E (#103670) commercial assays from Quanterix. For each assay, two or three quality control samples of different concentrations were analyzed in duplicate both at the start and the end of each technical run to estimate reproducibility. The pooled quality control data showed that the within-run (p-tau181 = 4.6%– 8.9%, NfL = 10.9%–17.7%, GFAP = 6.6%–13.2%, A $\beta$ 42 = 5.0%–12.7%, and A $\beta$ 40 = 5.8%–13.5%) and between-run (p-tau181 = 10.8%–13.5%, NfL = 17.1%–19.5%, GFAP = 12.4%–23.2%, A $\beta$ 42 = 9.0%–17.0% and A $\beta$ 40 = 12.1%–17.1%) variations in signal were mostly <20%.

#### 2.4 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R 4.1.3.<sup>27</sup> We first compared the demographics for participants whose plasma samples were available versus not available. We then examined descriptive statistics of biomarkers and baseline demographics overall and by CDR group or a binary CDR variable (CDR = 0 normal vs. CDR  $\geq$ 0.5, MCI/dementia). Medians and interquartile ranges were calculated for each continuous variable; frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables. We performed Kruskal–Wallis tests for continuous variables and Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables to compare biomarker distributions among CDR groups.

We further performed Kruskal–Wallis tests to compare biomarker distributions among age (65–74, 75–84, and 85 + years old) and education (<HS: less than high school, = HS: high school, >HS: higher than high school) groups, and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to compare biomarker distributions between sex and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 carrier and non-carrier groups.

To classify individuals into homogeneous plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  and  $A\beta 42$ groups, we applied an unsupervised clustering method: K-medoids,<sup>28</sup> a more robust version of K-means, which minimizes the distance between points labeled as being in the same cluster. All biomarker values were given as natural log-transformed; the distance matrix was calculated using the Euclidean distance, and the number of clusters was fixed to two because we aimed to find the threshold of two one-directional groups. We tested the difference in characteristics (demographics and biomarkers) among groups. For each cluster, we further examined the correlations between pairs of biomarkers and between the memory composite and biomarkers using Spearman's correlation.<sup>29</sup> We additionally tested the above-mentioned associations by stratifying according to age, sex, education, or *APOE*  $\varepsilon$ 4 allele individually using Spearman's correlation. To find the directions and magnitude of the above-mentioned associations overall or by strata, we fit robust linear regression, an alternative to least squares regressions when data are contaminated with outliers or influential observations, for each pair of variables of which we examined correlations. The associations of the memory composite score and biomarkers were similarly examined by CDR group.

#### 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Participant and plasma biomarker characteristics

Among the total of 2685 participants in the MYHAT study, plasma samples were available from 920 participants. The distributions of age at study entrance, sex, race, and education were all significantly different between people who gave plasma samples versus those who did not. However, the demographic characteristics of the participants who consented to blood collection and were thus included in this study agreed with previous reports:<sup>30,31</sup> younger, more females, more self-identified White, and more highly educated (Table S1 and Figure S1 in supporting information). After further excluding 19 participants missing one or more biomarker values, we had data from 901 participants. Biomarker levels below the assays' quantification limits were assigned the manufacturer-provided lower limits of detection values ( $A\beta40 = 0.384$ ,  $A\beta42 = 0.136$ , NfL = 0.09, GFAP = 0.441, and p-tau181 = 0.028). In this way, we reassigned two values for A $\beta40$ , five values for A $\beta42$ , and two values for p-tau181.

No biomarker presented a normal distribution without transformation (Figure S2 in supporting information). While A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio, GFAP, NfL, and p-tau181 were unimodal and right-skewed, A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42 showed bimodal distributions. After natural log transformations (Figure S3 in supporting information), A $\beta$ 42/40 was still slightly right-skewed; p-tau181, NfL, and GFAP were normally distributed. We removed n = 54 outliers (red rectangles; Figure S3) that were out of the outer fence (Q1–3 x interquartile range [IQR], Q3 + 3 x IQR) and separated from the bulk values in the histograms; A $\beta$ 42/40 (n = 2), p-tau181 (n = 31), NfL and GFAP (identical n = 21), leaving n = 847 participants for the final analyses.

The characteristics of the 847 MYHAT participants by CDR groups are presented in Table 1. There were 125 (14.8%) participants with MCI and 10 (1.2%) with dementia, with the rest being cognitively normal individuals ( $\approx$ 84%). The median (Q1, Q3) age of the cohort was 74.0 (69.0, 83.0) years; 465 (54.9%) were aged 65 to 74 years, 216 (25.5%) aged 75 to 84 years, and the rest above 85 years. Three hundred six (36.1%) were male; 809 (95.5%) were White; and 179 (21.1%) were *APOE*  $\varepsilon$ 4 carriers. Sex and race distributions were similar among the three CDR groups. The participants in the CDR  $\ge$ 0.5 group were significantly older, less educated, and had a higher proportion of *APOE*  $\varepsilon$ 4 carriers than the CDR = 0 group. The median A $\beta$ 42/40 for the CDR = 0 was slightly higher versus the CDR  $\ge$ 0.5 group. Median A $\beta$ 40 was significantly lower in the CDR  $\ge$ 1 group. Plasma p-tau181, NfL, and GFAP were each higher in the CDR  $\ge$ 0.5 group (Table 1).

#### **TABLE 1**Participant characteristics, median (Q1, Q3) or N (%), by CDR scores.

5

an (Q1, Q3) or N (%), by CDR scores.	

	Normal (N = 712) (CDR = 0)	MCI (N = 125) (CDR = 0.5)	Dementia ( $N = 10$ ) (CDR $\ge 1$ )	Total (N = 847)	P-value*
Age, years					<0.001
Median	73.00	80.00	89.50	74.00	
Q1, Q3	69.00, 81.00	70.00, 87.00	88.00, 91.00	69.00, 83.00	
Age group, N (%)					<0.001
65-74	414 (58.1%)	50 (40.0%)	1 (10.0%)	465 (54.9%)	
75-84	186 (26.1%)	30 (24.0%)	0 (0.0%)	216 (25.5%)	
85±	112 (15.7%)	45 (36.0%)	9 (90.0%)	166 (19.6%)	
Sex, N (%)					0.829
Male	260 (36.5%)	43 (34.4%)	3 (30.0%)	306 (36.1%)	
Female	452 (63.5%)	82 (65.6%)	7 (70.0%)	541 (63.9%)	
Race, N (%)					0.272
White	683 (95.9%)	116 (92.8%)	10 (100.0%)	809 (95.5%)	
Non-White	29 (4.1%)	9 (7.2%)	0 (0.0%)	38 (4.5%)	
Education, N (%)					<0.001
< High school	26 (3.7%)	16 (12.8%)	2 (20.0%)	44 (5.2%)	
= High school	250 (35.1%)	51 (40.8%)	4 (40.0%)	305 (36.0%)	
> High school	436 (61.2%)	58 (46.4%)	4 (40.0%)	498 (58.8%)	
ΑΡΟΕ ε4, Ν (%)					<0.001
Non-carriers	577 (81.0%)	88 (70.4%)	3 (30.0%)	668 (78.9%)	
Carriers	135 (19.0%)	37 (29.6%)	7 (70.0%)	179 (21.1%)	
A $\beta$ 40, pg/mL					0.069ª
Median	4.60	4.63	2.89	4.60	
Q1, Q3	3.93, 4.77	4.37, 4.86	0.75, 4.89	4.04, 4.78	
A $\beta$ 42, pg/mL					0.323ª
Median	1.86	1.86	1.22	1.86	
Q1, Q3	1.18, 2.07	1.55, 2.06	-0.77, 2.02	1.28, 2.07	
A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio					0.023ª
Median	-2.66	-2.72	-2.69	-2.67	
Q1, Q3	-2.80, -2.51	-2.87, -2.59	-2.85, -2.16	-2.81, -2.52	
p-tau181, pg/mL					<0.001a
Median	0.45	0.77	0.86	0.49	
Q1, Q3	0.11, 0.83	0.40, 1.11	0.45, 1.14	0.14, 0.89	
NfL, pg/mL					<0.001ª
Median	3.11	3.41	3.96	3.15	
Q1, Q3	2.81, 3.51	2.94, 3.73	3.49, 4.24	2.83, 3.57	
GFAP, pg/mL					<0.001ª
Median	4.85	5.07	5.51	4.88	
Q1, Q3	4.48, 5.23	4.68, 5.48	5.21, 5.66	4.50, 5.29	

Abbreviations: Aβ, amyloid beta; APOE, apolipoprotein E; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NfL, neurofilament light chain; p-tau181, phosphorylated-tau 181.

<sup>a</sup>Plasma biomarkers natural log transformed to better approximate normality and variance homogeneity. <HS: less than 8th grade or 8th to 11th grade; = HS: graduated from high school or General Educational Development test; >HS: graduated from college, 4-year college program or graduate school. Non-White: White; Black or African American, more than one race, unknown or not reported.

\*Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for continuous variables with non-parametric distributions, whereas Fisher exact tests were used for categorical variables.



**FIGURE 1** Top: Clustering results and modes/groups for log-transformed plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio. A, Distribution for  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio filled by its K-medoids clustering result; the cutoff point is -2. B, Scatterplot for plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio and p-tau181 colored by their K-medoids clustering result. The black dashed line is the cutoff point for  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio mapped to two dimensions. The scatterplot in (C) shows the association between  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio and p-tau181 colored by three groups. The groups (normal: < -2.3, uncertain: [-2.3, -1.7], and abnormal: > -1.7) are defined based on the plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio values. The black solid lines are the boundaries of modes or groups. The light blue rectangles are the uncertain group. Bottom: Distributions of log-transformed plasma biomarkers. The histograms of plasma (D)  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio, (E)  $A\beta 40$ , (F)  $A\beta 42$ , and (G) p-tau181 filled by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio groups.  $A\beta$ , amyloid beta; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light; p-tau181, tau phosphorylated at threonine 181. Plasma biomarker distributions without log transformation are shown in Figure S2 in supporting information.

# 3.2 Distributions of plasma biomarkers according to demographics

As shown in Figure S4A in supporting information,  $A\beta 40$  and  $A\beta 42$ were each significantly higher and  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio significantly lower in the 65- to 74-year-olds compared to the older age groups, whereas the levels were comparable between the 75 to 84 and 85+ age groups. Conversely, plasma p-tau181, GFAP, and NfL were each higher in the 75 to 84 and 85+ age groups versus the 65- to 74-year-olds. A $\beta$ 42/40, GFAP, and NfL were significantly higher, whereas p-tau181 was lower, in females versus males (Figure S4B). Stratified according to education (Figure S4C), there was no significant difference in  $A\beta 42/40$ . However, NfL, GFAP, and p-tau181 were each lower in the = HS and >HS groups compared to the <HS group . Adjusting for age, there were no differences in the distributions of plasma biomarkers among education levels except NfL was still significantly lower in the  $\geq$ HS education individuals compared to the <HS education group among people aged 65 to 74. APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 carriers had significantly lower A $\beta$ 42, A $\beta$ 42/40, and NfL values, but non-significantly higher GFAP and p-tau181 levels (Figure S4D).

#### 3.3 | Clustering according to plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio and p-tau181 identifies two separate modes that reveal an intermediate group

The clustering result for plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  (Figure 1A) identified a bimodal distribution for both AB42 and AB40 (Figure 1E-F), in agreement with previously reported CSF A $\beta$  results.<sup>32,33</sup> The optimal plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  threshold to differentiate the two clusters was -2, resulting in two A $\beta$ 42/40 modes: normal (N = 730) and abnormal (N = 117). Plotting plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio against p-tau181 also identified an abnormal and a normal group, which showed pathophysiological AD and biomarker-negative profiles, respectively (since p-tau181 is specifically higher according to AD pathology<sup>10,34-37</sup>), according to associations between the plasma biomarkers. The boundary of the new clusters became a diagonal line with a positive slope instead of a vertical line at the -2 threshold for A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio alone (Figure 1B), suggesting that the clustering result of the  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio depended on p-tau181. Some participants with slight  $A\beta 42/40$  suprathreshold and subthreshold values might still be in the normal versus abnormal cluster, respectively. This allowed the definition of a third, "uncertain," group (blue rectangle in Figure 1C) to include these individuals. As a result, the participants were clustered into three groups based on the log-transformed A $\beta$ 42/40: normal (-4, -2.3), uncertain (-2.3, -1.7), and abnormal (-1.7, 1). The uncertain group was established as +/-0.3units around the original -2 threshold. Table 2 shows the characteristics of participants by those three groups. There were 40 participants in the uncertain group, 97 in the abnormal group, and 710 in the normal group. Participants in the non-normal groups were older and less educated and included more females compared to those in the normal group. Figure 1B-C illustrate that data points in the uncertain group were more spread out (similar to those in the abnormal group and contrary to the closely packed normal group), suggesting that individuals in the uncertain group were in an intermediate state. Figure 1D-G show the histograms of log-transformed A $\beta$ 42/40, A $\beta$ 40, A $\beta$ 42, and ptau181, respectively, color-filled according to the different groups of A<sup>β</sup>42/40. While the color-coded A<sup>β</sup>40 and A<sup>β</sup>42 distributions showed overlaps between groups, a clear separation of all three groups was observed when using the  $A\beta 42/40$ .

## 3.4 $\mid$ Associations between plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 modes and other plasma biomarkers

After determining the distinct modes of plasma A $\beta$ 42/40, we tested associations between plasma biomarker values for each A $\beta$ 42/40 mode (Figure 1C and Figure 2). Considering the two modes, there were significant inverse associations between A $\beta$ 42/40 and each of p-tau181 ( $\rho = -0.11$ , P = 0.002; Figure 1B), NfL ( $\rho = -0.11$ , P = 0.002), and GFAP ( $\rho = -0.17$ , P < 0.001; Figure 2C-D) in the normal mode. Split into three groups, the significant negative correlations between A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 and each of p-tau181 (normal:  $\rho = -0.12$ , P = 0.001; abnormal:  $\rho = -0.21$ , P = 0.042) and NfL (normal:  $\rho = -0.18$ , P < 0.001; abnormal:  $\rho = -0.26$ , P = 0.011) were strongest in the abnormal group while the association with GFAP (normal:  $\rho = -0.24$ , P < 0.001; abnormal:  $\rho = -0.21$ , P = 0.043) was similar in the normal versus abnormal groups. No significant associations between plasma A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 and other biomarkers were recorded in the uncertain group, potentially because of its comparatively small size.

# 3.5 | Associations between plasma biomarkers and memory composite score by plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 mode groups

Among the five cognitive domains (attention, executive, language, memory, and visuospatial), memory deficit defines "amnestic" MCI.<sup>38</sup> We therefore focused on the association between the memory composite score and plasma biomarkers. Organized by  $A\beta 42/40$  groups (Figure 3A–D), the memory composite score showed a stronger negative correlation with p-tau181 in the abnormal ( $\rho = -0.33$ , P < 0.001) versus the normal mode ( $\rho = 0.09$ , P = 0.042). For NfL, the inverse association was stronger in the uncertain versus abnormal group (abnormal:  $\rho = -0.23$ , P = 0.026; uncertain:  $\rho = -0.40$ , P = 0.017) but

non-existent in the normal group. Association between memory composite score and GFAP was limited to the abnormal group ( $\rho = -0.22$ , P = 0.036). Similar results were obtained when considering the two modes only (without the uncertain group; Figure 3E-H). There were no significant associations between the memory composite score and plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 after adjusting for age, sex, education, or APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 (Figure S5A in supporting information). However, there was a significantly negative association between memory composite score and p-tau181 (Figure S5B) in the A $\beta$ 42/40 abnormal group in females, non-APOE £4 carriers, and people above high school education. The memory composite score of A $\beta$ 42/40-abnormal females, >HS educated people, and non-APOE £4 carriers was inversely associated with NfL after the adjustments (Figure S5C). The negative association was also present among 85±-year-olds with normal Aβ42/40 profiles. Controlled for all covariates except age, >75-year-olds and with a normal A $\beta$ 42/40 profile showed inverse association between GFAP and memory composite score; additionally, females or highly educated individuals within the abnormal mode showed associations between memory composite score and GFAP (Figure S5D).

# 3.6 Associations between plasma biomarkers and memory composite score in CDR groups by plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 mode

In CDR = 0 individuals, plasma NfL and GFAP were each positively associated with the memory composite score in the normal A $\beta$ 42/40 group (Figure S6A in supporting information). Plasma ptau181 showed an inverse association with memory composite score in the abnormal A $\beta$ 42/40 group (Figure S5B). Among CDR  $\geq$ 0.5 individuals with normal A $\beta$ 42/40 profiles, p-tau181 was negatively correlated with memory composite score (Figure S6A-B). Results of plasma A $\beta$ 42 modes are present in supplementary results (Figure S7-S10 in supporting information).

#### 4 DISCUSSION

We have described the profiles of ADRD plasma biomarkers in the population-based MYHAT cohort. Plasma p-tau181, GFAP, and NfL levels were higher in older individuals. The bimodal  $A\beta$ 42/40 (or  $A\beta$ 42) profiles (in agreement with CSF results<sup>32,33</sup>) separated the population into two modes: participants with an abnormal  $A\beta$ 42/40 profile had stronger associations with plasma NfL, p-tau181, and GFAP compared to those with a normal profile. Furthermore, plasma p-tau181 was associated with composite memory score, pointing to its utility to identify potentially at-risk individuals with or without cognitive impairment. Additionally, combining plasma  $A\beta$ 42/40 with p-tau181 allowed us to apply the model more specifically to detect probable biomarker evidence of AD. Associations of NfL and GFAP with the memory composite score in the normal  $A\beta$ 42/40 modes/groups provide some validation to the notion that a negative  $A\beta$ 42/40 profile might also be a potential marker for non-AD neurodegenerative diseases, and thus

THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

#### **TABLE 2**Participant characteristics, median (Q1, Q3) or N (%), by three A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio groups.

1552:
5279,
0, Do
wnloa
ded fr
om ht
tps://a
ulz-jou
rmals.
online
librar
y.wile
y.con
ı∕doi/
10.10
)2/alz.
.1298
5 by L
Iniver
sity C
ollege
Lond
lon U
CL Li
brary
Servi
ces, W
/iley (
Online
Libra
ary on
22/0
3/202
3]. Se
e the
Ferms
and (
Condi
tions (
https:
//onli
libra
ary.wi
ley.co
m/ter
ms-an
d-con
litions
i) on V
Wiley
Onlin
e Libi
ary fo
r rule
s of us
;e;0/
artic
les are
) gove
rnedt
by the
applic
cable v
Creati
ve Co
mmon
s Lice

	Normal (N = 710) (-4, -2.3)	Uncertain (N = 40) (-2.3, -1.7)	Abnormal (N = 97) (-1.7, 1)	P-value*
Age, years				<0.001
Median	73.00	82.00	83.00	
Q1, Q3	69.00, 80.00	77.00, 87.00	77.00, 87.00	
Age group, N (%)				<0.001
65-74	445 (62.7%)	8 (20.0%)	12 (12.4%)	
75-84	155 (21.8%)	16 (40.0%)	45 (46.4%)	
85±	110 (15.5%)	16 (40.0%)	40 (41.2%)	
Sex, N (%)				0.036
Male	269 (37.9%)	13 (32.5%)	24 (24.7%)	
Female	441 (62.1%)	27 (67.5%)	73 (75.3%)	
Race, N (%)				0.363
White	675 (95.1%)	39 (97.5%)	95 (97.9%)	
Non-White	35 (4.9%)	1 (2.5%)	2 (2.1%)	
Education, N (%)				0.044
< High school	34 (4.8%)	2 (5.0%)	8 (8.2%)	
= High school	243 (34.2%)	17 (42.5%)	45 (46.4%)	
> High school	433 (61.0%)	21 (52.5%)	44 (45.4%)	
APOE ε4, N (%)				0.333
Non-carriers	554 (78.0%)	32 (80.0%)	82 (84.5%)	
Carriers	156 (22.0%)	8 (20.0%)	15 (15.5%)	
Aβ40, pg/mL				<0.001ª
Median	4.66	1.24	0.64	
Q1, Q3	4.46, 4.83	0.55, 1.95	-0.96, 1.11	
Aβ42, pg/mL				<0.001ª
Median	1.93	-0.66	-0.43	
Q1, Q3	1.71, 2.11	-1.42, -0.11	-0.97, -0.12	
Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio				<0.001ª
Median	-2.71	-2.00	-1.06	
Q1, Q3	-2.83, -2.61	-2.11, -1.83	-1.42, -0.63	
p-tau181, pg/mL				<0.001ª
Median	0.52	0.36	0.34	
Q1, Q3	0.19, 0.91	-0.27, 0.73	-0.22, 0.74	
NfL, pg/mL				<0.001ª
Median	4.80	5.21	5.40	
Q1, Q3	4.44, 5.16	4.98, 5.58	4.94, 5.75	
GFAP, pg/mL				<0.001ª
Median	3.06	3.62	3.70	
Q1, Q3	2.78, 3.44	3.15, 3.92	3.35, 3.97	

Abbreviations: A $\beta$ : amyloid beta; APOE, apolipoprotein E; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light chain; p-tau181, phosphorylated-tau 181.

<sup>a</sup>Plasma biomarkers natural log transformed to better approximate normality and variance homogeneity.

\*Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for continuous variables with non-parametric distributions, whereas chi-square tests were used for categorical variables.



**FIGURE 2** Associations between plasma biomarkers by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio modes and groups. The upper panel shows the association between log-transformed plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio and (A) NfL and (B) GFAP by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio groups (normal, uncertain, and abnormal; defined using pre-defined cutoffs). The lower panel shows the association between log-transformed plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio and (C) NfL and (D) GFAP by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio modes (normal and abnormal; defined based on previous clustering results). All statistical associations were tested using Spearman's correlation. Each individual point is colored based on plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio modes or groups. All statistical tests were two-sided with no adjustment for multiple comparisons. Shaded areas represent 95% confidence intervals of the robust linear regression lines. The side-by-side presentation of plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio associations with the other plasma biomarkers in the three- versus two-group clusters allows for a demonstration of how consideration of the intermediate zone affects these associations.  $A\beta$ , amyloid beta; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light.

might help identify older adults at risk of those conditions. Future work expanding our investigations into additional cognitive domains might help further clarify this issue.

The age-, cognition-, and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 carriership-associated higher levels in plasma p-tau181, GFAP, and NfL and lower levels in A $\beta$ 42/40 are in line with recent CSF/neuroimaging studies.<sup>10,12,39</sup> Notably, females showed higher GFAP and NfL levels, also corroborating recent findings.<sup>40</sup> Lower plasma p-tau181 in females has also been reported.<sup>41</sup> While A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio was not affected by education, NfL, GFAP, and p-tau181 were lower in the more-educated groups. Education can increase brain reserve, that is, resistance to brain pathology, and could be reflected in plasma biomarker abnormalities.<sup>42</sup> Because the older participants were from a less-educated generation, this could be simply age driven. After adjusting for age, the difference among dif-

ferent education levels mostly disappeared, suggesting that age has minimal effects on the results.

One of the most promising potential and achievable goals of plasma biomarkers is population screening to identify at-risk older adults for further clinical and/or research evaluations.<sup>7,43</sup> However, despite dozens of reports that plasma biomarkers associate strongly with CSF/neuroimaging biomarkers<sup>9,10,44</sup> and can even predict neuropathologic diagnosis,<sup>34,45</sup> studies examining their utility at the population level are lacking. Plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 were bimodally distributed, just as in CSF and A $\beta$ -positron emission tomography (PET),<sup>7</sup> enabling identification of two A $\beta$ 42/40-dependent modes. Associations with memory composite scores and other plasma biomarkers suggested that the abnormal mode was enriched for individuals at risk for AD irrespective of cognitive status while the normal mode included CDR = 0 and



**FIGURE 3** Associations between memory composite score and plasma biomarkers by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio modes and groups. The upper panel shows the association between memory composite score with log-transformed plasma (A)  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio, (B) p-tau181, (C) NfL, and (D) GFAP by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio groups (normal, uncertain, and abnormal; defined using pre-defined cutoffs). The lower panel shows the association between memory composite score with plasma (E)  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio, (F) p-tau181, (G) NfL, and (H) GFAP by  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio modes (normal and abnormal; defined based on previous clustering results). All figures are annotated with Spearman's rho rank correlations and corresponding unadjusted two-sided *P*-values. Points are colored by plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  groups or modes. The regression lines are fitted by robust linear regression and shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals. The side-by-side presentation of plasma  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio associations with composite memory scores in the three- versus two-group clusters enabled evaluation of how the intermediate zone alters the relationships.  $A\beta$ , amyloid  $\beta$ ; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NfL, neurofilament light; p-tau181, tau phosphorylated at threonine 181.

CDR  $\geq$ 0.5 participants potentially affected by non-AD neurodegenerative diseases. Clustering jointly with A $\beta$ 42/40 and p-tau181 allowed for validation, given the specificity of p-tau181 to AD.<sup>10,34–37</sup> The strength of associations of the modes/groups with NfL, GFAP, and p-tau181 were higher according to A $\beta$ 42/40 abnormality. Similarly, Giudici et al. showed that plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 classifies older adults into low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups of A $\beta$ -PET abnormalities.<sup>50</sup> Our clustering efficiency and interdependence with p-tau181 were stronger with A $\beta$ 42/40 versus A $\beta$ 42 alone in line with CSF results.<sup>47,48</sup>

In plasma, A\u00c640, A\u00f642, and the A\u00f642/40 ratio have inverse relationships with their equivalent levels in the brain as measured with A $\beta$ -PET.<sup>7,8,49</sup> This suggests that individuals with higher levels of these plasma Aß peptide levels have lower brain amyloidosis while those with lower plasma A $\beta$  levels have higher brain amyloidosis.<sup>7,8,49</sup> In agreement with previous reports,<sup>50,51</sup> our findings suggest that cognitively impaired groups demonstrated lower plasma A\u00df42/40 suggesting higher likelihood of brain amyloidosis. Furthermore, plasma NfL, GFAP, and p-tau181 showed stronger associations with memory performance in the abnormal compared with the normal and intermediate mode/groups, also indicating that participants with an abnormal A $\beta$ 42/40 have higher odds for neurodegeneration, glial activation, and AD pathophysiology. These results persisted in the CDR = 0 participants, suggesting that plasma biomarker changes occur before cognitive symptoms appear,<sup>39</sup> and thus demonstrating potential effectiveness to identify at-risk community-dwelling individuals without cognitive concerns.

In AD, being the leading cause of cognitive impairment,<sup>52</sup> one of the earliest pathophysiological changes is a decrease of  $A\beta 42/40$  ratio lev-

els in plasma.<sup>8,49,51,53,54</sup> Other biological changes including abnormal tau phosphorylation, neurodegeneration, and inflammatory alterations tend to be evident after A $\beta$ 42/40 reduction.<sup>39,54,55</sup> This explains why A $\beta$ 42/40 ratio clustering identified groups of individuals with different plasma biomarker and cognitive profile associations. The results corroborate what has been shown for CSF A $\beta$ 42/40 and A $\beta$ -PET.<sup>55,56</sup> The findings indicate that plasma A $\beta$ 42/40 has a high screening value in identifying both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals at significant risk of AD, to be eventually confirmed by CSF/neuroimaging tests if needed. Confirmatory tests on this enriched subpopulation would significantly reduce the number of individuals and the associated time and costs compared to assessing the entire cohort with CSF/neuroimaging tests.

An additional strength is that we used A $\beta$ 42 and A $\beta$ 40 immunoassay methods from Quanterix, that are more widely available, cost-effective, and easier-to-implement alternatives to the immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry (IP-MS) methods only accessible in a few research and clinical laboratories. Although previous studies suggested that immunoassay A $\beta$  methods perform less favorably than IP-MS Aß assays, we used improved immunoassays on the Neurology 4-plex E with improved antibody performances.<sup>39</sup> The A $\beta$ 42 and A $\beta$ 40 assays were shown in a recent study to have superior performance to plasma p-tau181, GFAP, and NfL to identify abnormal brain  $A\beta$  status in a cohort of cognitively normal older adults.<sup>39</sup> Furthermore, our clustering method is independent of age and APOE  $\varepsilon$ 4 genotype, making it more practical compared to other approaches like the Amyloid Probability Score developed using an IP-MS plasma A $\beta$  method.<sup>46</sup>

11

The clustering method may also be useful for the differential prognosis of AD from other neurodegenerative diseases; older adults with abnormal A $\beta$ 42/40 or A $\beta$ 42 profiles and high p-tau181 should be at higher odds for AD while the normal profiles may include participants with non-AD neurodegenerative diseases in addition to unaffected individuals. Associations between plasma NfL and GFAP in the normal A $\beta$ 42/40 mode/group will be important to evaluate neurodegeneration and glial activation independent of AD.

The key novelty of this report is the data-driven approach that separates participants into plasma  $A\beta42/40$ - or  $A\beta42$ -dependent clusters with distinct p-tau181, NfL, and GFAP association profiles according to the cohort characteristics. This approach can be applied to other cohorts to accelerate threshold generation for plasma biomarkers, just as was done for CSF biomarkers. Our findings should be replicated in other population-based studies, particularly those with greater racial/ethnic diversity.

The study's main strength is the use of a well-characterized community-based cohort. The three-group approach described also has an advantage of identifying individuals with incipient disease compared to the two-group approach that only classifies individuals as positive and negative. Moreover, as the study sample was randomly sampled from the voter registration list, it is not subject to the selection bias typical of studies conducted in clinical settings. However, because the sample is of largely European ancestry, our findings should be replicated in population samples with greater racial and ethnic diversity.<sup>57</sup>

In conclusion, we have shown, in the population-based MYHAT cohort, that plasma biomarkers associate with cognitive impairment, *APOE*  $\varepsilon$ 4 carriership, and older age. Additionally, we demonstrate a clustering model to identify individuals at risk of AD pathophysiology. Once replicated in other population-based cohorts, these results will be important to screen for biomarker evidence of AD in older adults with or without cognitive concerns. This strategy will help enrich for individuals with biological evidence of disease for inclusion in intervention trials, early detection, and longitudinal monitoring campaigns.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank all MYHAT study personnel for their efforts and all MYHAT participants for their time, data, and specimens. MG is supported by the NIH (R37 AG023651). PCLF is supported by Alzheimer's Association (AARFD-22-923814). BB is supported by CAPES (88887.336490/2019-00) and Alzheimer's Association (AARFD-22-974627). BS is supported by the National Institute on Aging (R01 AG023651). TAP is supported by the National Institute on Aging (R01AG075336, R01AG073267) and the Alzheimer's Association (AACSF-20-648075). HZ is a Wallenberg Scholar supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (#2018-02532), the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programmed under grant agreement No 101053962, Swedish State Support for Clinical Research (#ALFGBG-71320), the Alzheimer Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), USA (#201809-2016862), the AD Strategic Fund and the Alzheimer's Association (#ADSF-21-831376-C, #ADSF-21831381-C, and #ADSF-21-831377-C), the Bluefield Project, the Olav Thon Foundation, the Erling-Persson Family Foundation, Stiftelsen för Gamla Tjänarinnor, Hjärnfonden, Sweden (#FO2022-0270), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 860197 (MIRIADE), the European Union Joint Programme-Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND2021-00694), and the UK Dementia Research Institute at UCL (UKDRI-1003). TKK was funded by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet #2021-03244), the Alzheimer's Association (#AARF-21-850325), the Swedish Alzheimer Foundation (Alzheimerfonden), the Aina (Ann) Wallströms and Mary-Ann Sjöbloms stiftelsen, and the Emil och Wera Cornells stiftelsen.

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

HZ has served on scientific advisory boards and/or as a consultant for Abbvie, Acumen, Alector, ALZPath, Annexon, Apellis, Artery Therapeutics, AZTherapies, CogRx, Denali, Eisai, Nervgen, Novo Nordisk, Passage Bio, Pinteon Therapeutics, Red Abbey Labs, reMYND, Roche, Samumed, Siemens Healthineers, Triplet Therapeutics, and Wave; has given lectures in symposia sponsored by Cellectricon. Fuiirebio, Alzecure, Biogen, and Roche; and is a co-founder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB (BBS), which is a part of the GU Ventures Incubator Program (outside submitted work). KB has served as a consultant, on advisory boards, or on data monitoring committees for Abcam, Axon, Biogen, JOMDD/Shimadzu, Julius Clinical, Lilly, MagQu, Novartis, Prothena, Roche Diagnostics, and Siemens Healthineers. MG has given lectures at University of Connecticut and is Associate Editor honorarium at Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. PCLF, YZ, BS, C-CHC, BB, EJ, MIK, TAP, VLV, TKK report no disclosures. Author disclosures are available in the supporting information.

#### ORCID

Pamela C. L. Ferreira b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2134-9829 Thomas K. Karikari b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1422-4358

#### REFERENCES

- Jack CR Jr, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12:207-216.
- 2. Khalil M, Pirpamer L, Hofer E, et al. Serum neurofilament light levels in normal aging and their association with morphologic brain changes. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11:812.
- Benedet AL, Milà-Alomà M, Vrillon A, et al. Differences between plasma and cerebrospinal fluid glial fibrillary acidic protein levels across the Alzheimer disease continuum. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78:1471-1483.
- 4. Molinuevo JL, Ayton S, Batrla R, et al. Current state of Alzheimer's fluid biomarkers. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2018;136:821-853.
- Klunk WE, Engler H, Nordberg A, et al. Imaging brain amyloid in Alzheimer's disease with Pittsburgh compound-B. Ann Neurol. 2004;55:306-319.
- Villemagne VL, Fodero-Tavoletti MT, Masters CL, Rowe CC. Tau imaging: early progress and future directions. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015;14:114-124.
- Karikari TK, Ashton NJ, Brinkmalm G, et al. Blood phospho-tau in Alzheimer disease: analysis, interpretation, and clinical utility. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2022;18:400-418.

THE JOURNAL OF THE ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION

- Schindler SE, Bollinger JG, Ovod V, et al. High-precision plasma β-amyloid 42/40 predicts current and future brain amyloidosis. *Neurology*. 2019;93:e1647-e1659.
- Ashton NJ, Pascoal TA, Karikari TK, et al. Plasma p-tau231: a new biomarker for incipient Alzheimer's disease pathology. Acta Neuropathol. 2021;141:709-724.
- Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ, et al. Blood phosphorylated tau 181 as a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease: a diagnostic performance and prediction modelling study using data from four prospective cohorts. *Lancet Neurol.* 2020;19:422-433.
- 11. Palmqvist S, Janelidze S, Quiroz YT, et al. Discriminative accuracy of plasma phospho-tau217 for Alzheimer disease vs other neurodegenerative disorders. *Jama*. 2020;324:772-781.
- Ashton NJ, Janelidze S, Al Khleifat A, et al. A multicentre validation study of the diagnostic value of plasma neurofilament light. *Nat Commun*. 2021;12:3400.
- Simpson JE, Ince PG, Lace G, et al. Astrocyte phenotype in relation to Alzheimer-type pathology in the ageing brain. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2010;31:578-590.
- Medeiros R, LaFerla FM. Astrocytes: conductors of the Alzheimer disease neuroinflammatory symphony. *Exp Neurol.* 2013;239:133-138.
- Mattsson N, Cullen NC, Andreasson U, Zetterberg H, Blennow K. Association between longitudinal plasma neurofilament light and neurodegeneration in patients with Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol. 2019;76:791-799.
- Pereira JB, Janelidze S, Smith R, et al. Plasma GFAP is an early marker of amyloid-β but not tau pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2021;144:3505-3516.
- Karikari TK. Blood tests for Alzheimer's disease: increasing efforts to expand and diversify research participation is critical for widespread validation and acceptance. J Alzheimers Dis. 2022;90(3):967-974.
- Mielke MM, Dage JL, Frank RD, et al. Performance of plasma phosphorylated tau 181 and 217 in the community. *Nature Medicine*. 2022;28:1398-1405.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12:189-198.
- Mungas D, Marshall SC, Weldon M, Haan M, Reed BR. Age and education correction of Mini-Mental State Examination for English- and Spanish-speaking elderly. *Neurology*. 1996;46:700-706.
- Morris JC. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology*. 1993;43:2412-2414.
- 22. Stoelting Company. Fuld object-memory evaluation. 1977.
- Wechsler D. Psychological Corporation. Wechsler memory scalerevised. 1987.
- Papp KV, Amariglio RE, Dekhtyar M, et al. Development of a psychometrically equivalent short form of the Face-Name Associative Memory Exam for use along the early Alzheimer's disease trajectory. *Clin Neuropsychol.* 2014;28:771-785.
- Meyer PF, Ashton NJ, Karikari TK, et al. Plasma p-tau231, p-tau181, PET biomarkers, and cognitive change in older adults. *Ann Neurol.* 2022;91:548-560.
- Kamboh MI. Genomics and Functional Genomics of Alzheimer's Disease. Neurotherapeutics, 2021;19(1):152-172. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s13311-021-01152-0
- 27. Team RC, R Foundation for Statistical Computing. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna, 2022.
- Kaufman LR, Peter J. Partitioning around medoids (program PAM). Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis. Wiley; 1990:68-125.
- 29. Spearman C. The proof and measurement of association between two things. *Am J Psychol*. 1904;15:72-101.

- Milani SA, Swain M, Otufowora A, Cottler LB, Striley CW. Willingness to participate in health research among community-dwelling middleaged and older adults: does race/ethnicity matter? J Racial Ethn Health Disparities. 2021;8:773-782.
- Ashford MT, Eichenbaum J, Williams T, et al. Effects of sex, race, ethnicity, and education on online aging research participation. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)*. 2020;6:e12028.
- 32. Buchhave P, Minthon L, Zetterberg H, Wallin AK, Blennow K, Hansson O. Cerebrospinal fluid levels of  $\beta$ -amyloid 1-42, but not of tau, are fully changed already 5 to 10 years before the onset of Alzheimer dementia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69:98-106.
- Gobom J, Parnetti L, Rosa-Neto P, et al. Validation of the LUMIPULSE automated immunoassay for the measurement of core AD biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2022;60:207-219.
- Lantero Rodriguez J, Karikari TK, Suárez-Calvet M, et al. Plasma ptau181 accurately predicts Alzheimer's disease pathology at least 8 years prior to post-mortem and improves the clinical characterisation of cognitive decline. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2020;140:267-278.
- Janelidze S, Mattsson N, Palmqvist S, et al. Plasma P-tau181 in Alzheimer's disease: relationship to other biomarkers, differential diagnosis, neuropathology and longitudinal progression to Alzheimer's dementia. *Nat Med.* 2020;26:379-386.
- Thijssen EH, La Joie R, Wolf A, et al. Diagnostic value of plasma phosphorylated tau181 in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Nat Med.* 2020;26:387-397.
- Thijssen EH, La Joie R, Strom A, et al. Plasma phosphorylated tau 217 and phosphorylated tau 181 as biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a retrospective diagnostic performance study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2021;20:739-752.
- Arvanitakis Z, Shah RC, Bennett DA. Diagnosis and management of dementia: review. JAMA. 2019;322:1589-1599.
- Milà-Alomà M, Ashton NJ, Shekari M, et al. Plasma p-tau231 and p-tau217 as state markers of amyloid-β pathology in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med.* 2022;28:1797–1801
- 40. Kivisäkk P, Sweeney T, Carlyle BC, et al. Plasma biomarkers for diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease and prediction of cognitive decline in individuals with mild cognitive impairment. *medRxiv*. 2022. https://doi. org/10.1101/2022.04.18.22272912
- Tsiknia AA, Edland SD, Sundermann EE, et al. Sex differences in plasma p-tau181 associations with Alzheimer's disease biomarkers, cognitive decline, and clinical progression. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2022;27(10):4314-4322.
- Rosselli M, Uribe IV, Ahne E, Shihadeh L. Culture, Ethnicity, and level of education in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2022;19: 26-54.
- Ashton NJ, Hye A, Rajkumar AP, et al. An update on blood-based biomarkers for non-Alzheimer neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2020;16:265-284.
- Teunissen CE, Chiu MJ, Yang CC, et al. Plasma amyloid-β (Aβ42) correlates with cerebrospinal fluid Aβ42 in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018;62:1857-1863.
- Smirnov DS, Ashton NJ, Blennow K, et al. Plasma biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease in relation to neuropathology and cognitive change. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2022;143:487-503.
- Hu Y, Kirmess KM, Meyer MR, et al. Assessment of a Plasma amyloid probability score to estimate amyloid positron emission tomography findings among adults with cognitive impairment. JAMA Network Open. 2022;5:e228392-e228392.
- Janelidze S, Zetterberg H, Mattsson N, et al. CSF Aβ42/Aβ40 and Aβ42/Aβ38 ratios: better diagnostic markers of Alzheimer disease. Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2016;3:154-165.
- Lewczuk P, Matzen A, Blennow K, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid Aβ42/40 corresponds better than Aβ42 to amyloid PET in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017;55:813-822.

- Nakamura A, Kaneko N, Villemagne VL, et al. High performance plasma amyloid-β biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*. 2018;554:249-254.
- 50. Giudici KV, de Souto, Barreto P, et al. Assessment of Plasma amyloid- $\beta$ 42/40 and cognitive decline among community-dwelling older adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3:e2028634.
- 51. de Wolf F, Ghanbari M, Licher S, et al. Plasma tau, neurofilament light chain and amyloid- $\beta$  levels and risk of dementia; a population-based cohort study. *Brain.* 2020;143:1220-1232.
- 52. Gauthier S, Webster C, Servaes S, Morais JA, Rosa-Neto P. World Alzheimer Report 2022: Life after Diagnosis: Navigating Treatment, Care and Support. Alzheimer's Disease International; 2022.
- Schindler SE, Karikari TK, Ashton NJ, et al. Effect of race on prediction of brain amyloidosis by plasma Aβ42/Aβ40, phosphorylated tau, and neurofilament light. *Neurology*. 2022;99:e245.
- 54. Ashton NJ, Janelidze S, Mattsson-Carlgren N, et al. Differential roles of  $A\beta$ 42/40, p-tau231 and p-tau217 for Alzheimer's trial selection and disease monitoring. *Nat Med.* 2022;28:2555-2562.
- 55. Palmqvist S, Insel PS, Stomrud E, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid and plasma biomarker trajectories with increasing amyloid deposition in Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO Molecular Medicine*. 2019;11:e11170.

- 56. Young AL, Oxtoby NP, Daga P, et al. A data-driven model of biomarker changes in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2014;137:2564-2577.
- Kukull WA, Ganguli M. Generalizability: the trees, the forest, and the low-hanging fruit. *Neurology*. 2012;78:1886-1891.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Ferreira PCL, Zhang Y, Snitz B, et al. Plasma biomarkers identify older adults at risk of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias in a real-world population-based cohort. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2023;1-13. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12986