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The treatment of social anxiety through digital exposure therapy is challenging due to the cognitive properties of social anxiety—individuals need to be fully engaged in the task and feel themselves represented in the social situation; however, avatar customization has been shown to increase both engagement and social presence. In this paper, we harness techniques used in commercial games, and investigate how customizing self-representation in a novel digital exposure task for social anxiety influences the experience of social threat. In an online experiment with 200 participants, participants either customized their avatar or were assigned a predefined avatar. Participants then controlled the avatar through a virtual shop, where they had to solve a math problem, while a simulated audience within the virtual world observed them and negatively judged their performance. Our findings show that we can stimulate the fear of evaluation by others in our task, that fear is driven primarily by trait social anxiety, and that this relationship is strengthened for people higher in trait social anxiety. We provide new insights into the effects of customization in a novel therapeutic context, and embed the discussion of avatar customization into related work in social anxiety and human-computer interaction.

CCS Concepts: •Human-centered computing–Human computer interaction (HCI) -Empirical studies in HCI •Applied computing–Life and medical sciences–Consumer health •Applied computing–Computers in other domains–Personal computers and PC applications–Computer games

KEYWORDS: Social Anxiety, Gaming, Intervention, Avatar Customization, Social Phobia

ACM Reference format:

1 INTRODUCTION
Social anxiety is characterized by the fear of being evaluated by others [114], which pushes affected individuals to avoid or withdraw from social interactions, and leads to elevated stress when social interactions are not avoided [6,153]. However, the fear of evaluation within social situations stands in opposition to the universal human need to form and maintain meaningful
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relationships [141,157]. Social anxiety is one of the most common mental illnesses and is highly prevalent world-wide [60,154], especially affecting children and adolescents [77,123]. Given the social inhibition and withdrawal of affected individuals, social anxiety symptoms are often mistaken for shyness by others or perceived as a character flaw by the individual [124]. Furthermore, due to the characteristic fear of negative or positive evaluation by others, individuals with social anxiety may avoid consulting mental health workers about their concerns [91]. Prior work shows that only 35% of individuals who are affected by social anxiety receive treatment [139]. Besides the challenges of the mental illness, other barriers [88], such as sociocultural (e.g., discrimination of mental health problems) [7], economic (e.g., lack of financial coverage for mental health interventions) [43], or geographical barriers (e.g., limited access in remote communities) [30] may lower the accessibility of treatment and interventions.

A large body of literature supports cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) as an effective nonpharmacological treatment for social anxiety [8,69,103]. CBT includes different components in sessions guided by a therapist (e.g., psychoeducation, cognitive restructuring) as well as self-guided assignments (e.g., worksheets and homework exercises) for the patient. One central treatment component of CBT for social anxiety is controlled exposure [56], in which the patient faces feared stimuli in a safe environment to challenge their thoughts about the stimulus and to train less maladaptive behaviour [136]. Through different exposure techniques, such as “in vivo” exposure (e.g., roleplaying with the therapist) or “in virtuo” approaches, such as using immersive virtual reality [28,34], the patient interacts with the feared object (e.g., a spider) or in a simulated context (e.g., a social encounter). Exposure therapy is thought to help the patient in several ways, including through habituation (decrease the reaction to feared stimuli), extinction (weakening of previously learned assumptions about the stimuli), self-efficacy (training how to behave in an encounter with the feared stimuli), and improved emotional processing (gaining new beliefs about feared stimuli) [160]. Digital exposure therapies are a particularly promising technology to tackle the rising need for the treatment of anxieties and phobias, as they offer equitable access, regardless of geography or income, can be tailored for individual patients, and scale better in terms of needs than in vivo exposure therapies [162].

Although virtual exposure therapies have been shown effective at treating a variety of anxiety disorders and phobias (e.g., arachnophobia, fear of heights, agoraphobia, claustrophobia [25,26,47,149]), social anxiety may be more challenging to treat with in virtuo exposure using digital technologies. Cognitive models of social anxiety suggest that an effective exposure needs to simulate an audience, the perception of negative evaluation by an audience, and the perception that it is the patient themselves who is being evaluated. However, the feeling of being evaluated by others can be difficult to achieve in a digital simulation, because participants may not be completely immersed or believe in the simulated content. Prior work shows that the behaviour of the simulated audience is more essential than the visual representation [121,151]. However, the accurate simulation of these behaviours may become a challenge within the development of digital interventions due to the more complex nature of the simulation (e.g., a convincing implementation of a rejection gesture or facial expression). To be effective, an exposure therapy for social anxiety must completely engage the patient, capturing their focus [58] and engaging them enough to invoke increased arousal and anxiety [70]. Because exposure is an uncomfortable experience, patients sometimes cope by cognitively disengaging, diverting their attention, or distracting themselves [70]. As such, an exposure task must maintain the patient’s attention and focus over the duration of the exposure [168], perhaps by being sufficiently engaging, attentionally demanding, or through mechanisms that increase their investment in the experience. Further, the
exposure needs to remain salient for long enough to support cognitive reframing (via habituation, extinction, self-efficacy, or emotional processing). Finally, the user needs to repeatedly feel as if they are being actively judged, which can be difficult to achieve in a system where they are represented by an avatar and other participants are simply virtual representations.

Video games are digital contexts in which players are often represented in the world by an avatar, and in which their interactions with the environment and with other players and non-player characters in the environment often generate emotional responses (e.g. [40]), physiological responses (e.g., [101]), and social interactions (e.g., [75,86]) that are as intense and real as those that take place in the physical world. Games are known to capture attention [142] through cognitive, emotional, physical, and social demands [27]. Further, previous work has shown that harnessing the game-based technique of avatar customization is one way to increase engagement with a system: avatar customization has been shown to increase identification with the avatar (and resulting engagement with the system) not only in digital games [98], but also in serious games [17], and in therapeutic scenarios [21]. In the context of social anxiety, customization may elevate the experience of fear in a social simulation that involves performance and potential judgement from others. The inner conflict between the mental self-representation and perceived social norms may be intensified through an elaborated self-representation, which would justify how an audience evaluates not only the person’s performance, but also the appearance or characteristics of the avatar. Early evidence within the context of virtual reality simulations, in which the face of participants was mapped onto an avatar, showed that individuals experienced higher levels of social anxiety if they saw a character with a mapped photo of their face as the facial texture [10]. However, systems for large-scale exposure therapy may not be able to represent the participant at a high fidelity, so the question remains: Does customization of self-representation increase expected and experienced fear within an in virtuo digital exposure task for social anxiety?

In this paper, we conducted an online experiment with 200 participants in which participants had to complete a task inducing social stress. In four trials, participants controlled an avatar through a virtual shop. In this shop, they had to solve a math problem at the checkout counter, while a simulated audience within the virtual world observed them and negatively judged their performance. Before the exposure, we randomly assigned participants into two groups: one with predefined avatars and one in which they were asked to design their own avatar representation using a customization tool. We estimated the participant’s level of social anxiety using the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS), a commonly used tool for subjectively assessing social anxiety in the clinical context, and we measured both the subjective expected and experienced fear score for each of the four repeated exposures. Further, we used the Player-Identification Scale (PIS) to measure the participants’ identification with their avatars and explored whether the customization amplifies the relationship between social anxiety and fear (both expected and experienced). We further explored whether customization helps prevent instant habituation within an immediately repeated exposure.

We show that we can stimulate the fear of evaluation by others in a digital task that is inspired by game interactions. Our results suggest that participants who customized their avatar experienced higher identification, and that the elevated levels of expected and experienced fear stemming from customization were driven by those participants highest in trait social anxiety. Additionally, we show that the level of stimulated fear corresponds with trait social anxiety, and that this relationship between trait social anxiety and fear is amplified for those who used customized avatars as opposed to predefined avatars. We further inspected the changes in experienced fear
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over repeated iterations and found that customized avatars may help maintain fear over multiple exposures, although longer-term studies are needed. We discuss potential explanations of why avatar customization increases the manifestation of social anxiety in a web-based exposure, and how this may benefit digital exposure therapies for social anxiety. Additionally, we contribute suggestions on how customization may be applied in a gradual exposure to increase the efficacy of an exposure therapy while lowering entrance barricades (e.g. fear of being overwhelmed by the procedure [135]) to the exposure therapy.

Through this paper, we contribute evidence that customizing avatars can amplify the experience of fear in a digital game-like exposure—a necessary condition for efficacy in the development of a virtual therapy to treat social anxiety.

2 RELATED WORK

This research analyses whether the player’s representation affects the experience of social anxiety within digital applications, which may be an essential component in the development of digital interventions.

2.1 Characteristics of Social Anxiety

One of the defining characteristics of social anxiety is the fear of social interactions due to the exposure to potential scrutiny from others [70,85,145]. As a consequence, socially-anxious individuals withdraw from these fear-inducing social interactions, sometimes accompanied by physical symptoms such as blushing, heavily trembling, or sweating [1]. With a prevalence of 2.0 % [53,54] in the general population, social anxiety is one of the most prevalent anxiety disorders. The severity of social anxiety is best expressed on a severity continuum where one can experience a high degree of social anxiety but not reach the threshold for a clinical diagnosis [153]. Individual factors (e.g., genetics, temperament and cultural background) and contextual factors (e.g., the social context and the physical environment) affect an individual’s likelihood of developing social anxiety. Due to the high variability of individual and contextual factors, the experience of social anxiety varies. A person might, for example, experience high levels of social anxiety only when presenting in an unfamiliar context (e.g., a talk at a conference), whereas the same person experiences less distress when presenting in a familiar environment (e.g., in front of family members).

Socially-anxious individuals face greater difficulties maintaining relationships with others [130]. Therefore, affected individuals tend to have fewer close friends [150,163], and are at higher risk of being rejected by their peers. Furthermore, they may be at higher risk of being victimized by others due to the potential deficiencies in social competence [109]. Previous research emphasizes adolescence as a critical phase for the development of social anxiety [39]. If untreated, social anxiety follows a chronic and unremitting course through the lifespan. As a result, social anxious individuals may adapt coping strategies with known negative effects, such as substance abuse [164] and social withdrawal [167], or may develop clinical levels of social anxiety or comorbid mental disorders, such as depression [115,155]. The harmful consequences of social anxiety also extend beyond the individual, due to a higher risk of unemployment [42] and absenteeism from work [49,159] among the socially anxious, which may increase the financial dependency on public support systems [159]. However, due in part to the fear of evaluation by medical professionals, many socially-anxious individuals never seek treatment [43,76,91].
As previous work introduced, social anxiety is thought to be more cognitive in nature [70,130,131,145] compared to other specific anxiety disorders, which are based in the interaction with either certain animals [24,149] or physical aspects of the world, such as height or space [47,126,128]: Cognitive models of social anxiety [37,70,71,145] depict this anxiety as a reaction to a mismatch between the individual’s cognitive self-image, and the perceived expectations of the surrounding social context [70]. Socially-anxious individuals tend to overestimate the expectations of social observers and fear that they will not satisfy these high standards [72,73]; biased by previous ‘failures’, they are quick to judge that a social interaction or performance in front of others will go poorly [29]. The effects of social anxiety are explained by emotional processing theory [58,106], which describes dysfunctional fear as a memory network comprising information about the feared stimulus, the fear response, and propositions of meaning. This network can be (partly) activated by matching inputs, which results in an experience of fear. There are at least two activation paths explored: the perceptual (e.g., visual-fear-related cues) and the conceptual (i.e., fear-related information) path. Previous research [46] shows that patients with specific phobias (e.g., spider phobia) seem to be particularly sensitive to perceptual activations (e.g., seeing a spider), while socially-anxious individuals were not. Furthermore, cognitive models [37,70] of social anxiety highlight several aspects for a successful exposure, which require information cues and perceptual cues. In these models, social anxiety is depicted as a reaction to a mismatch between the patient’s own cognitive self-image, and the perceived social expectations of a given context. Socially-anxious individuals tend to be concerned with how they may fit into the existing context, rather than their own actual performance [23]. Therefore, the models suggest that an effective exposure needs to stimulate not only the perception of an audience but also the perception of negative or positive evaluation by others, depending on the characteristics of social anxiety for a specific individual.

2.2 Digital Interventions for Social Anxiety

Besides medication that reduces the symptoms of anxiety [103,120,169], cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) has shown consistently positive effects on reducing symptoms of anxiety [103,137,152] and providing long-term relief for affected individuals [92]. In comparison to medication, psycho-social intervention strategies have fewer side-effects [103] and the likelihood of relapses because they teach patients flexible cognitive strategies. Exposure therapy in particular has shown consistent positive treatment effects and is considered one of the most efficient treatment strategies for anxiety [116]. Trained experts expose patients to either “in vivo” (e.g., roleplay) [165] or “in virtuo” (e.g., imagination) [35] anxiety-inducing situations, and guide patients to restructure their cognitive responses [64] and gain control over their physiological responses [66]. Although effective, CBT approaches face several limitations stemming from geographical restrictions and available resources but also the requirement for individualized content due to the characteristics of social anxiety (e.g., presenting in front of a large audience) [14]. Further, being with a mental health professional itself can become a stressful experience for socially-anxious individuals due to the fear of being negatively judged by the professional [76,91]. Digital solutions have shown promise in lowering access barriers: immersive media, for example, allows patients to experience anxiety-inducing situations which the therapist can control and manipulate in virtuo, i.e., in virtual reality. Through immersive media, the experience reduces the cost [32] but also increases the patient’s feeling of safety [34].
A similar option to deliver treatments are Internet-Mobile-based Interventions (IMI) [50,81]. IMIs promise to lower barriers of access to interventions by offering anonymous, flexible, and effective treatment options. IMIs guide patients through experience either guided with a mental health professional or they offer unguided help to help patients with their anxiety symptoms [15]. However, research shows that while digital solutions are promising in research studies, the effects are diminished in the wild, because participants are not using technology as required, e.g., participants stop using the technology after a period of time [2,52]. High levels of attrition undermine even the best designed approach, because participants simply do not use the intervention.

However, intervention adherence, either digital or traditional, has been shown to be instrumental for the effectiveness of almost every intervention protocol, e.g., taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle changes [144]. To combat attrition and to increase training adherence, designers rely on a variety of methods such as peer-support, and oral or written contracts [138]. Considering the interactive nature of IMIs, their potential to respond to individual differences [111], and their flexibility in protocol and presentation, IMIs show promising characteristics to help intervention designers combat attrition through design [22].

Several approaches for sustaining interest and engagement have shown promising results: notifications have increased the return of clients in the short-term [74] and interface design strategies based on game-based techniques have increased user engagement [97]. Prior research shows that the lack of personalization, interactivity, and support, increases the risk for attrition for mental health apps [5]. Due to their engaging nature and the high potential to define interactive scenarios, video games and the use of game-design approaches within the intervention context have received attention by intervention designers [100].

To keep players engaged in the long-term and focused in the short-term, avatar customization has been shown to be a promising interface manipulation that reduces attrition in IMIs [22]. While positive results have been shown for increasing engagement in a variety of interventions (see next section), the use of customized avatars for improving exposure therapy for social anxiety has not yet been explored.

### 2.3 The Positive Effects of Avatar Customization

As previously introduced, the perception of being with other individuals is a key component for the experience of social anxiety. During exposure therapy patients are exposed, either in vivo or in virtuo, to a fear inducing situation with the goal to train less harmful responses as well as to help patients to restructure personal thoughts about the situation.

Avatar customization promises three advantages over design strategies that assign an avatar to a patient. First, avatar customization increases identification with the avatar [20,21], which may increase the perception that it is the patient themselves who is being evaluated [70]. The degree we are identifying with an avatar—commonly measured using the Player Identification Scale (PIS) [99]—is predictive of play experience [62,95,98], time spent in a game [21], and enjoyment [68]. The PIS measures similarity identification, wishful identification, and embodied identification—who we are and how we feel represented in a game influences our experience [18,118].

Second, avatars can increase the experience of social presence, which is particularly relevant when designing IMIs focused on training resilience to situations known to trigger social anxiety [55]. Previous work on avatars and social presence suggests that dissimilar avatars reduce anxiety [10] compared to similar avatars. The implications of avatars on behaviours and attitudes in and outside of virtual worlds has been shown in several studies [84,173], and a meta-study confirms...
small-to-medium effect sizes [132]. Avatars have been used to reduce anxiety, e.g., by destroying a carefully created “anxiety” avatar as an intervention [122] or to reduce speech anxiety [9]. On an individual level, avatars can model behaviour and represent different user-states well. In online environments, they fulfil an important role to foster a sense of presence for the “owner” of an avatar, but also provides affordances for other players to interact with the avatar “owner”. In social contexts, avatars have been shown to increase presence and foster trust [16], and are valued by players for several social characteristics, including sociability and social communication [98]. Non-player characters, such as bystanders in games, affect our player experience and the believability of game worlds and contribute to the experience of presence in a game [11,31,90].

And third, avatar customization has the potential to increase momentary engagement [22], potentially improving the patient’s attention and focus during the intervention [37,58]. Avatar customization has been shown to increase task engagement, and the efficacy of digital tasks that benefit from momentary focus [22]. Previous work suggests that avatar customization increases the experience of acting under our own volition [146] and alters how we experience play [171], but that the experience of autonomy is mediated by individual differences such as self-esteem [166]. Across studies, avatar customization has been shown to have positive effects on engagement with a task, which is relevant in studies that rely on participant engagement to investigate differential effects of design elements.

As shown, avatar customization may offer great potential in various directions for games but also for digital mental health interventions. However, prior work strongly emphasizes several aspects that designers need to be aware of in the process of creating avatar customization interfaces [104], such as the selection of gender, skin colour, the amount of customization, as well as to increase ways to create non-binary and diverse avatars [104,105]. Furthermore, prior research highlights the overwhelming desire for more diversity within the self-representation in-game [61].

In the context of our study, avatar customization is the primary manipulation of the experience. By enabling participants to customize their avatar, we aim to intensify the experience of social anxiety within the exposure task. Furthermore, the representation of observers through non-player characters (NPCs) is also relevant, as bystanders increase social pressure and induce judgement from an audience.

3 METHODS

3.1 Exposure Task and Experimental Conditions

3.1.1. Avatar Customization

Prior to the task, participants were randomly assigned (between-subjects) to either a Predefined Character or a Customized Character (see Figure 1):

**Customized Character:** In this condition, participants could adjust the appearance of their avatar. Participants first selected the gender of their avatar (woman or man), then adjusted the height, weight, muscles, head offset, and breast size as well as the skin colour, eye colour, hairstyle (11 different styles per gender), and hair colour of their avatar. Due to technical limitation of the framework used for the character design process, a non-binary representation could not be created. Participants could then shape the head of their avatar via 34 sliders to define the shape of the face, eye, brows, nose, mouth, chin, jaw, ear, neck. Next, participants could choose the outfit of their avatar by choosing the style and colour of clothing on the upper body, lower body, and shoes, as well as through head accessories, such as glasses, headphones, or hats (13 different
options per element). To further increase the player's identification with their avatar [99], we asked participants to set the personality of their avatar, by adjusting five 7-point Likert scales, which each described one personality trait, based on the 10-item short version of the Big Five Inventory (BFI-10) [129]. The 10 items were paired into 5 categories and participants had to choose between the elements (e.g., anxious versus calm).

**Predefined Character:** In this condition, participants could choose the gender representation of their avatar. However, all other characteristics were pre-selected by the system to be as generic as possible (e.g., mid-tone hair colour, mid-tone skin colour, generic clothing, no accessories, mid-range slider values) and could not be customized. Average options were chosen to most broadly represent a range of people using a single predefined character. Participants were asked to focus on the selected predefined character, once they were finished with the selection.

![Predefined Avatar Selection](image1)

![Customized Avatar Creation](image2)

**Figure 1:** Avatar Creator User Interface for the Selection of Predefined (left) and Customization of Player's Avatar

To make sure that exposure to the avatar did not bias results, both groups had to spend at least four minutes inside of the character creator. After four minutes, participants could move on to the next step of the experiment by clicking a button on the screen.

In both avatar conditions, participants were asked to answer the Player Identification Scale (PIS) [99] to determine how much participants associated their avatar with themselves on three dimensions: Similarity, embodied, and wishful identification. Because there are limitations of the character creator to fully represent all people, such as the lack of a non-binary gender option and limited selection of headgear or hair styles, we gathered avatar identification to help explain variance when participants may have felt they were limited in their self-expression.

### 3.1.2 The Shopping Task (Exposure)

To create a relatable experience that has commonly been reported as anxiety inducing by people with social anxiety, we created a virtual grocery store and designed an interactive purchase scenario (Figure 2). This scenario is based on the Trier-Social-Stress-Test (TSST) in which participants have to present in front of an audience of judges [4]. Participants were instructed to go to the check-out of the store. Participants controlled their avatar using the keyboard (WASD or arrows), and the mouse to control the camera. The scenario featured three non-player characters (NPCs): the cashier, and two observing characters marked with “Observer” tagged with a random number to indicate different observers per round, as well as an icon indicating their emotional state (see Figure 2). The two observers were included to add an element of social evaluation to the
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exposure task, as potential social evaluation by others plays an essential role for the experience of fear. These observers were introduced as representations for other human observers, observing the participant through a text notification in the beginning as well as a separate screen, which indicates that external observers are joining the session. Prior work suggests that the social stress effect of being observed is also present with two instead of the three observers of the original TSST [48].

We decided to have participants virtually purchase a product associated with potential embarrassment (toilet paper) [94] to foster a situation that would be likely to induce an experience of social anxiety. The players only interacted with the cashier. Once they approached the cashier (the toilet paper was visible at the checkout), a dialog would start:

Cashier: “How many roles of toilet paper do you want to buy?”

After answering the question with a number, the cashier names a price and offers a percentage discount that the player needs to calculate and respond to within 8 seconds.

Cashier: “Thank you very much. That costs $86.45. But today we offer you a discount of 8%. Could you help me and name the price for your purchase?”

During this interaction, the observers are visible, responsive, and are watching the participant complete the task. Similar to previous work, we selected a task to simulate the experience that participants have to perform a hard task in front of other individuals (the observers) [44,125]. We chose a math task because math has shown to be relevant for our self-perception [36] and is a known source of performance-based anxiety [59]. We chose an 8-second window, as it was too short to use an external calculation tool, but long enough to be answerable. While the calculation was chosen to be difficult, independent of the answer being correct or not, the player receives a negative response by the cashier and the NPCs after entering a number. The cashier shows no reaction to the player’s performance and looks towards the player’s avatar. The NPCs are facing each other while the player approaches, but both turn towards the player while they answer the math question and show a 5-second animation of disappointment once an answer has been given. The price and discount were randomly selected per trial. In total, participants played through the shopping task four times. As previous work suggested [44], the four trials were included to evaluate how repeated exposure affects the experience of social anxiety in an online task, and to determine whether or not participants habituated to the exposure.

Figure 2: The four steps of the task (from left to right: Approach the situation; Introduction to problem; Math riddle; Exposure)

The task was implemented using Unity Engine [158] combined with the Asset-Bundle “Advanced People Pack 2” [93] and deployed using the Bride of Frankenstein framework [79]. The Advanced People Pack 2 offers prepared 3D-objects with blend-shapes, which allow to adjust the body shape (weight and muscularity) and the skin colour. These 3D objects are mapped either to the basic shape of either a female or male character. The characters implement blend-shapes as well to
manipulate different features of the face. Based on these 3D assets, we created our character editors that allow for customization based on the previously introduced conditions.

3.2 Participants and Procedure

We deployed our experiment to participants recruited using Amazon’s Mechanical Turk (MTurk). MTurk is an online platform where Human Intelligence Tasks can be posted by requesters and workers can opt-in to complete them. Data collected from MTurk has been successfully used for different research projects in the human-computer interaction (HCI) community [45,80,119]. But this approach requires some special care to ensure that bots or negligent workers are removed from the final analysis [67]. Participants who were not able to perform a 3D interaction task on their computers, as well as those who abandoned the experiment were excluded from analysis. For this experiment, we used the cover story that the experiment aims to explore the effects of gamified elements in an immersive shopping experience. The cover story was used to reduce the risk that participants behaved differently (e.g., white coat hypertension [147]) as a result of knowing the goal of the study. This experiment as well as the usage of the cover story was approved by Ethics board of the University of Saskatchewan. The real intention of the study was revealed in the debrief of the study. Requesters can invite participants based on given filters on the MTurk platform, such as demographics, prior work experience as well as the used technology and custom filters (e.g., if participants participated in prior work). For this study we recruited participants, who indicate that they live either in Canada or the United States of America as well as have a high approval rate (95%) and successfully participated in at least 100 other tasks before.

We collected data from 213 participants. After removing suspected bots (n = 13), we conducted our analysis with the remaining 200 participants (72 woman, 126 men, 2 non-binary) aged 21-70 (M = 36.6, SD = 9.6). Participants were randomly assigned to either the “Predefined Character” condition, n = 102; or the “Customized Character” condition, n = 98 (See Table 1 for further details). All participants received $6 compensation; the study took approximately 20 minutes to be completed.

After providing consent, participants were introduced to the cover story and had to answer several questionnaires to assess trait social anxiety as well as several questionnaires about the shopping preferences to distract users from the main goal of this study. After finishing the avatar creation/selection participants had to answer the Player Identification Scale (PIS) [99]. Following this, we introduced the controls and that external observers would evaluate the participants performance via a text tutorial. As prior introduced, we further emphasised the illusion of being watched by showing a loading screen that indicated a waiting period while observers were being connected to the current session with the participant. This screen lasted for 5 seconds before the tasks started. Four repeated trials of the shopping exposure task were conducted using randomized values for the percentage discount and the asked price. Expected fear was assessed prior to each trial and experienced fear was assessed directly following each trial. After the trials, demographic data were recorded and participants were debriefed about the false cover story and the real goal of this study. Then, we provided additional support resources such as contact information to a crisis hotline, and a link to pictures of baby animals to reduce the potential negative effect on participants.
3.3 Measures

3.3.1 Trait Social Anxiety
Participants answered the self-report version of the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS) [12,96]: The LSAS consists of 24 items divided into two subscales: social interactions (11 items) and public performance (13 items). The same items are then rated on a 4-point scale for fear (0 = "none" to 3 = "severe") and avoidance (0 = "never/0 %" to 3 = “usually/68–100 %”). The sum of all scores is computed and the level of social anxiety defined between 0 and 144, where higher values indicate greater social anxiety. A threshold score of 30 distinguishes between non-anxious and anxious people whereas a score of 60 provides the best balance to classify between generalized and non-generalized social anxiety [143]. In our sample, LSAS scores ranged from 0 to 141 (M = 58.95, SD = 31.403) with an excellent internal consistency (Cronbach’s-α = 0.97). LSAS was higher on average in our sample than in a study of 31,243 cross-cultural participants (M=44.07) [33] or in a study of 1007 UK college students (M=34.7) [140], but is in line with previous work examining a US MTurk sample (M=51.24) [45].

3.3.2 Avatar Identification
We used the avatar-related subscales of similarity identification, embodied identification, and wishful identification from the Player Identification Scale (PIS) [99]. Participants rated their agreement to different statements such as “My Character is like me in many ways” on a 5-pt Likert Scale from 0 (“strongly disagree”) to 4 (“strongly agree”). As previously introduced, avatar identification is an important aspect for the various effects of avatar customization [22,98]. Internal consistency was excellent for all scales: similarity (Cronbach’s-α = 0.93), embodied (Cronbach’s-α = 0.94), and wishful (Cronbach’s-α = 0.90) identification.

3.3.3 Expected and Experienced Fear Ratings
We asked participants to rate their expected fear prior to being exposed to the shopping task using a single text field and the following prompt: “In the following scene you have to buy some groceries in a virtual shop. Other people will join you in this task and evaluate your performance. Please indicate on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 100 (very high level of fear) how much fear you feel in expectation of completing the described task.”. Experienced fear was assessed after exposure to the Shopping Task, using the following prompt: “Please indicate on a scale from 0 (not at all) to 100 (very high level of fear) how much fear you actually felt in completing the task.”.

3.3.4 Demographics
We gathered a variety of demographic factors, including: age, gender, income, marital status and ethnicity.

3.4 Data Analyses
Data were gathered and stored using an on-premises server and then exported once data collection was complete. All data were analyzed using SPSS 26; moderated regressions used the Process 3.4 integration. Statistical tests are described prior to reporting the results.
4 RESULTS

4.1 RQ0: Characterizing the Sample

We first looked to see whether random assignment to condition yielded differences between the groups. Independent-samples t-tests showed that there was no difference in terms of age ($t_{198}=.954, p=.341$) or LSAS ($t_{198}=.558, p=.577$). Both were slightly skewed in terms number of men (see xx) We control for age and gender in all subsequent analyses.

Table 1: Overview per condition of demographic information, LSAS, and PIS measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Predefined Avatar</th>
<th>Customized Avatar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>35.99</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Binary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSAS Score</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>60.16</td>
<td>32.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarity Identification</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embodied Identification</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wishful Identification</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 RQ1: Can We Confirm that Customization Increases Avatar Identification?

Based previous work (e.g.,[18,22,117]), we expected to see higher avatar identification among the group who were able to customize their avatar, as opposed to being assigned a predefined generic avatar. To confirm whether these effects appear in our sample we used a MANCOVA on similarity, wishful, and embodied Identification with avatar customization as a between-subjects factor and co-variates of age, gender, and LSAS, which was significant ($F_{3,195}=26.0; p<.001, \eta^2=.29$). Results showed that identification was higher for customized than predefined avatars in term of Similarity ($F_{1,195}=68.3; p<.001, \eta^2=.26$), Wishful ($F_{1,195}=22.9; p=.001, \eta^2=.05$), and Embodied ($F_{1,195}=11.2; p<.001, \eta^2=.11$) identification. This suggests that even though avatars were low-poly graphics, our avatar customization manipulation was successful at fostering identification among participants.

4.3 RQ2: Does Customization Amplify the Relationship between LSAS and Expected Fear?

We expected that participants who used a customized avatar would have a stronger relationship between LSAS and the fear that was expected prior to an exposure. A moderated regression with $X=LSAS$, $Y=mean$ expected fear, $W=dummy$-coded condition (Customized=1, Predefined=-1), with continuous variables mean-centered, and controlling for age, gender, and the three forms of avatar identification shows a significant model ($R^2=.318, F_{8,191}=11.1, p<.001$). The significant effect of LSAS ($\beta=.350, p<.001, LLCI=.232, ULCI=.468$) shows that LSAS predicts expected fear. The effect of customization on prediction of expected fear is not significant ($\beta=-.7.5, p=.059, LLCI=-15.3, ULCI=-0.3$), suggesting that at mean LSAS, the increased fear from customizing fails to reach significance. However, the significant interaction of LSAS and customization on expected fear ($\beta=.128, p=.026, LLCI=.016, ULCI=.241$) suggests that the prediction of expected fear by LSAS depends on customization. The conditional effects show that the linear relationship between social anxiety and expected fear is larger for customized avatars.
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(β=.479, p<.001, LLCI=.310, ULCI=.647) than for predefined avatars (β=.222, p=.006, LLCI=.065, ULCI=.379). Figure 3 shows these relationships.

Figure 3: The comparison between predefined (red) and customized (blue) avatars for expected and experienced fear.

4.4 RQ3: Does Customization Amplify the Relationship between LSAS and Experienced Fear?

We expected that participants who used a customized avatar would have a stronger relationship between LSAS and the fear that was experienced during an exposure. A moderated regression with X=LSAS, Y=mean experienced fear, W=dummy-coded condition (Customized=1, Predefined=-1), with continuous variables mean-centered, and controlling for age, gender, and the three forms of player-avatar identification shows a significant model (R2=.272, F8,191=8.92, p<.001). The significant effect of LSAS (β=.279, p<.001, LLCI=.150, ULCI=.409) shows that LSAS predicts experienced fear. The significant effect of customization on experienced fear (β=-10.1, p=.022, LLCI=-18.6, ULCI=-1.5) identifies that at mean LSAS, experienced fear is higher in the customized avatar condition than in the predefined avatar condition (because LSAS was mean-centered). The significant interaction of LSAS and customization on experienced fear (β=.153, p=.016, LLCI=.029, ULCI=.276) shows that the prediction of experienced fear by LSAS depends on customization. The conditional effects show that the linear relationship between social anxiety and experienced fear is larger for customized avatars (β=.432, p<.001, LLCI=.247, ULCI=.617) than for predefined avatars (β=.127, p=.150, LLCI=-.046, ULCI=.299), which does not reach significance. Figure 3 (right) shows these relationships.

4.5 RQ4: Does customization help prevent immediate habituation within repeated exposure?

For digital exposure therapy to be a successful treatment, the virtual exposure needs to maintain its capacity to produce a fear response in people with that anxiety. That is, there cannot be instant and rapid habituation to the virtual stimuli; habituation happens over time through repeated exposure and cognitive restructuring (see Related Work). If habituation is instant, it implies that stimuli is failing to evoke a fear response, not that the treatment has been instantly successful. Figure 4 shows the mean expected fear response over each of the 4 iterations for participants with
LSAS over 60 (the threshold used to indicate social anxiety [143]), whereas Figure 4 (right) shows the mean experienced fear over the four iterations for this same group. In all cases, there is not a rapid drop after the initial exposure. In terms of expected fear, both customized and predefined avatars follow the same trend with decreasing differences in fear from one iteration to the next. This suggests that participants are getting more comfortable with their expectation of fear from the exposure. In terms of experienced fear, the mean ratings for the group using customized avatars is monotonically increasing; however the mean ratings for the group using predefined avatars is decreasing over several iterations. Although preliminary, and based only on visual inspection, these results suggest that there is no instant habituation in either condition, or that customizing avatars may help maintain fear within the exposure over multiple iterations; however, more research is needed to systematically investigate fear responses over time.

Figure 4 The mean score of expected and experienced fear over the four exposure rounds, for participants with LSAS > 60 (threshold for elevated social anxiety [143]).

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Summary of the Results

In this study we evaluated the effects of avatar customization on the experience of social anxiety in a task that induces social stress. We found the following results:

- **RQ1**: Customization of the avatar results in increased feelings of similarity, wishful, and embodied identification in comparison to using a predefined avatar.
- **RQ2**: LSAS predicts expected fear scores; however, the strength of this prediction is larger when participants customized their avatar.
- **RQ3**: LSAS predicts experienced fear scores; however, the strength of this prediction only reaches significance when participants customized their avatar.
- **RQ4**: Preliminary evidence suggests that customized avatars may help maintain fear within the exposure over multiple sessions more effectively than with predefined avatars.

Overall, participants expected, and experienced, fear in this web-based exposure task for social anxiety. Furthermore, customizing the self-representation heightened the experience of fear, in particular for those higher in trait social anxiety. Also, visual inspection shows that the experience of social anxiety does not drop over time, suggesting that the sensation of fear may be maintained over multiple exposure trials.
In the following we provide potential explanations of why avatar customization increases the manifestation of social anxiety in a web-based exposure, and how this may benefit digital exposure therapies for social anxiety. We will give suggestions how customization may be applied in a gradual exposure to increase the efficacy of an exposure therapy while lowering the level of difficulty for the patient.

5.2 Explanation of the Findings

To explain our results, we need to consider the primary manipulation of our study, i.e., avatar customization, the designed context of our study, i.e., the Shopping Task, and the larger study context, i.e., MTurk, and finally, the interaction of all three contexts.

Avatar customization has been shown to increase the effects of online inductions before [21,22], but not in the context of inducing social anxiety. Engagement with the task, explained through an increase in motivation due to social-contextual choice (customization) of the individual is one potential explanation [21]. However, another potential explanation of the increased experience of social anxiety is the heightened relevance of the task itself by feeling increased ownership over the task through having actively contributed to the design of a task element [82]. The increased motivation to engage with the task and the heightened task ownership, would suggest increased task focus, which is particularly relevant in the MTurk study context, in which participants are susceptible to distraction and attention-loss.

Our system featured the following elements that aimed to heighten participant responses to the task which likely played a role in the success of the task at eliciting fear and anxiety: Task Design. We included a number of general design considerations. First, the focus on performing in front of others, one of the commonly feared situations [51] while interacting with others. Through the repetition we ensured to distinct the experience of social anxiety from the experience of embarrassment [110]. Second, the usage of a third-person camera to display the player’s avatar as a way to heighten self-awareness within the task, similar to the effects of a mirror in the physical world [63]. Audience Observers. As suggested by similar experiments [44,134], the design of the simulated audience focused on providing a negative evaluation to further highlight the socially threatening nature of the task. Prior research suggests, that the experience of social presence [55], the feeling that other individuals are within a digital interaction, plays an essential role for the experience of social anxiety in a virtual exposure. We intensified the experience of social presence through the illusion that actual observers are observing the participant through the description as well as the connection screen in the beginning of the exposure. Avatar Customization. Customization in itself is particularly effective in the context of social anxiety because customization reveals personal preferences, can be interpreted by others, and potentially results in the dreaded social rejection by others. Especially in online environments, customization is powerful because it is uncertain who really sees and evaluates our choices—e.g., is a negative response simulated or is it indeed the result of social observation and judgment, potentially even by many others [113].

Considering the distracting context of online crowd work, we show that a well-considered digital task, combined with a socially relevant manipulation of our digital representation has implications for our experience, and the potential to be foundational in designing future induction paradigms using avatar customizations.
5.2.1 The Explicit Influence of Customization

As our results show, character customization affects the subjective measurements of expected and experienced fear in a web-based exposure. Consistent with prior work [10], we saw that customized avatars increased the subjective ratings of expected and experienced fear. One explanation may be the identification with their own avatar: with a customized character, it is not only their own performance, but also their own design choices for their avatar that may be evaluated by others. Prior work in digital games shows that customizing an avatar increases overall player engagement and enjoyment of the game [99]. Furthermore, customization allows players to express themselves through their avatar while hiding personal flaws [171]. But as our results show, customization may also increase the personal concern that the audience may not only judge the user’s performance, the main source for experiencing social anxiety, but also the user’s appearance or self-expression through the avatar. Current models about the experience of social anxiety [70,153] offer a potential explanation. The dominant factor for the experience of social anxiety is the perception of an audience. We intensified this experience through our intervention design by representing a simulated audience (the judging characters at the other side of the counter) into the gaming context. These representations also always gave negative feedback to a highly challenging task. Through the contextualization of the game, where we mentioned that actual persons are watching the performance, the illusion of a potential audience was created. Our results show that participants with higher trait social anxiety gave higher fear ratings, which may suggest that this simulation of an evaluating audience was playing a role. As models of social anxiety suggest (see Related Work), once an audience is perceived, two major behaviours are triggered [38,70,156]. First, the individual scans the context for more social clues and potential social threats. These clues are used to model an image of oneself in the current context as well as the social expectations. In our experiment, the emotional indicators and the emotional response of the avatars satisfied this aspect, resulting in an elevated score of experienced as well as expected fear. Second, memories of past events are used to evaluate the current social context. Social anxiety arises from the mismatch of the expectation and the self-image of the individual [70,161]. Through the actual self-expression through an avatar, the individual reveals personal information about oneself. But previous work suggests that socially anxious individuals tend to avoid talking about oneself [63] and prefer anonymity in online communication [3,41,127]. However, the customized avatar may have reduced the anonymity in the context and led to an increased experience of social anxiety in comparison to predefined avatars, where no personal preferences are expressed through the avatar. Our results suggest that an immersive web application has the potential to expose individuals to social anxiety threats, but that further studies are required to confirm and understand these effects. In our study, we show (in both conditions) that participants were responsive to the social threat, in degrees that were associated with their own trait social anxiety (see Figure 3), but that customized avatars heightened the exposure.

5.3 Implications for Design of Exposure Therapies

Our results provide insights into how to further enhance existing techniques for digital exposure, namely the platform, the scenario, and the self-representation: As our results show, we were able to create an exposure through a game-related web-based interface. In comparison to existing virtual reality applications, the web application can be used without the need for expensive hardware. Our results suggest that interventions can be delivered on a computer platform and therefore allows therapists to choose a suitable solution for the needs
of the patient. While one patient may require more immersive virtual reality solutions and an on-site training, others may not have the resources to set up immersive hardware but can access an intervention delivered online. However, in either case, exposure therapy should happen under the guidance of a mental health professional [120]. The digital accessibility of a system like ours should not automatically imply that self-guided treatment is effective. As an accessible “training exercise”, exposure may be more harmful due to the lack of guidance helping patients to restructure their thoughts [64] and may in fact increase the salience of social threats.

But designers of interventions need to carefully craft the scenario and need to be aware of the representation of the participant. As our results show, the representation of the player affects the experience of social anxiety. Allowing the participant to customize the own representation may bias the experience of social anxiety. On one side, if participants customize their self-representation, they may experience elevated levels of social anxiety due to additional potential threats. But our results suggest that the inverted effect could be used as well—by giving a non-customizable avatar, the experience of social anxiety may be reduced. This can be helpful in the beginning of an exposure; guidelines for exposure therapy note that a slow increase of the level of potential social threats is a helpful strategy to balance the efficacy of the exposure with the potential risks of withdraw from the patient [35]. Using non-customized characters may allow participants to explore different, predefined roles, as prior research shows that individuals maintain self-perception and skills obtained through a digital persona even beyond the game [19,173]. This effect is referred as the “Proteus Effect” [173]. After gaining resilience through exploring another perspective of a scene, individuals may enable a customized avatar to map the gained insights from being another person into the “own” body.

Through the customization of the avatar, the individual may not only experience a more intense experience, but also feel more committed to the intervention. As prior work shows, customized avatars increased the user’s motivation and persistence, but also performance and overall satisfaction [65,133]. Furthermore, the customization increased the invested effort in the short-term [22]. These aspects may further help to tackle attrition in digital interventions.

5.4 Ethical Considerations

While internet and mobile based interventions may increase the accessibility of treatment, this increased access also raises several issues. As prior work suggests, cognitive behavioural therapies aim to restructure the patient’s own thoughts and behaviours to increase resilience towards a feared stimulus [8,116]. While exposure is a powerful tool it can become overwhelming for users to successfully complete the intervention [135]. As earlier work shows, both patients and mental health professionals tend to avoid exposure therapies due to the elevated stress for the patient and the clinician. By lowering the barriers to access, one must ensure that the exposure to social threat does not become harmful for patients or clinicians. Further, earlier work suggests that the combination of exposure with cognitive restructuring techniques is a more effective approach [13]. Instead of only exposing and training patients how to behave in the feared situation, the individual reflects on the experienced situation, provoked thoughts, and their behaviours, and may practice behaviour strategies, such as avoiding using safety behaviours. Finally, previous research suggests that CBT approaches may be an effective tool, but not for every patient. Other techniques may still be helpful, such as the usage of medication may be helpful for patients which cannot bear the challenges of CBT techniques.

When implementing a digital intervention that leverages digital data, designers must always be aware of ethical considerations such as inferring identity of the user, the secure handling personal data, and the communication of very personal information with the users and how the...
intervention may affect not only the patient but also their social connections. The protection of the privacy of the patient, ensuring a safe space in which to play, and the legality of gathering data unobtrusively are also important aspects to be considered [102]. The use of data derived from digital sources is part of a growing discussion [57,83,108,112]. Prior work emphasizes the importance of the therapeutic relationship between the therapist and the patient for the success of the intervention. Therefore, designers need to make sure that the proposed solution can be only accessed within a safe space for the therapist and the patient [78,172]. Overall, the use of internet-based interventions should be seen as an additional tool, which can address some shortcomings of existing solutions by lowering barriers, such as cost, distribution, and availability. But like other interventions, this approach needs to be used with the support of a therapist, who can help the individual to restructure their own thoughts and behaviour to grow resilient against social anxiety.

5.5 Limitations and Future Research

There are several limitations to the interpretation of our results that can be addressed by future work. First, our evaluation analyzed only the effects of one session with four trials. However, exposure techniques require repetition over multiple sessions with different stimuli [148,170], and potentially personalization to individual factors that trigger social anxiety through more adaptable scenarios [120], such as giving a talk at a conference. Second, we only exposed individuals to the stimuli but did not try to restructure their own thoughts about the experienced situation. Future work may include this approach in a clinical trial, combined with restructuring exercises in a long-term study. Third, we only measured the subjective score of expected as well as experienced social anxiety. Future work may leverage the measurement of physiological data, such as heart rate or galvanic skin response (e.g., [76,87,89,107]) to gain more insights about the experience of social anxiety in the exposure as well as additional measurement tools for trait social anxiety. Fourth, the avatar customizing tool did not provide sufficient options to equally represent different people. Due to the underlying software architecture, participants chose a binary gender as a starting point; however, there were two non-binary participants in our sample. Although options could be adjusted (e.g., facial hair, breast size), the pre-defined avatars were limited in this regard. Further, the technical aspects of the system could not represent what may be felt as defining characteristics for a participant, such as curly hair or freckled skin. These technical limitations as well as the selected graphical low poly style may also explain the overall low-to-neutral scoring on the Player Identification Scale in the Predefined Avatar condition and the neutral-to-above-neutral scores in the Customized Avatar condition (See Table1 for results). Fifth, we only used one standardized questionnaire (LSAS) to assess the trait social anxiety. Although standard in clinical assessment, the LSAS is generally combined with interviews, observation, and interaction with the patient’s social circle to effectively assess the presence and degree of social anxiety. Sixth, the sample: while our contribution shows that avatar customization may be more influential for individuals higher in social anxiety, future work may focus only on socially anxious individuals and explore additional aspects of customizing the avatar (e.g., the effects of experiencing anonymity with customized avatars). Seventh, the engagement with their own character: While participants in the customized avatar condition were allowed to craft their character for four minutes, participants with predefined avatars were asked to focus for 4 minutes on their selection. This may form a gap between the conditions, as participants engaged more with their character while creating a customized version in comparison to the predefined avatar selection, where players were asked to look at the selected figure instead of interacting with it. As previously explained, the missing
interaction with the avatar may affect how players connect to their avatar, which may in turn cause the reduced experience of social anxiety within the game. Future work may use a more equally engaging approach for both conditions, to investigate the effects of engagement with their own avatar on the experience of social anxiety within games.

Through our research, future researchers may further enhance existing exposure techniques to support existing interventions. By altering and expanding on an existing technique, future researcher may tweak the representation of the surrounding environment, for example the representation of the audience, the clerk, or the environment.

6 CONCLUSION

Cognitive behavioral therapies (CBT) in general, and exposure therapy in particular, are effective nonpharmacological treatments for social anxiety [103]. However, digital implementations of existing exposures must stimulate the experience of an audience and the judgement of this audience, but also need to ensure that the individual with social anxiety experiences social threat. One way to foster this threat is the use of a customized avatar. In this study we explored whether the customization of avatars affects the experience of fear in a social stress task among participants with varying levels of social anxiety. Our results show that the experience of fear is mainly driven by trait social anxiety. However, we found that the effects of trait social anxiety on expected and experienced fear are stronger when using customized avatars. Further, we explored whether the customization affects how quickly participants are desensitized to the exposure over repeated iterations, suggesting that customized avatars demonstrate potential to maintain fear over multiple exposures. We provide new insights about how avatar customization affects the experience of social anxiety, which helps designers of interventions to increase the efficacy of their digital implementations of exposure therapies.
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