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\section*{ABSTRACT}

We present an open-source differentiable acoustic simulator, j-Wave, which can solve time-varying and time-harmonic acoustic problems. It supports automatic differentiation, which is a program transformation technique that has many applications, especially in machine learning and scientific computing. j-Wave is composed of modular components that can be easily customized and reused. At the same time, it is compatible with some of the most popular machine learning libraries, such as JAX and TensorFlow. The accuracy of the simulation results for known configurations is evaluated against the widely used k-Wave toolbox and a cohort of acoustic simulation software. j-Wave is available from https://github.com/ucl-bug/jwave.
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\section*{1. Motivation and significance}

\subsection*{1.1. Background}

The accurate simulation of wave phenomena has many interesting applications, from medical physics to seismology and electromagnetics. The aim is usually either forecasting, such as predicting an ultrasound field inside the brain [1], or performing parametric inference, such as recovering material properties from acoustic measurements using full-waveform inversion (FWI) [2]. Many numerical techniques for solving the wave equation have been developed over the years, including pseudospectral algorithms [3], finite differences [4,5], angular spectrum methods [6] and boundary element methods [7], to name a few.

Recently, there has been a growing body of research at the intersection of numerical simulation and machine learning [8–10].

The critical observation is that the machine learning community has developed many tools and techniques for high-dimensional inference. In particular, automatic differentiation, the class of algorithms often employed for neural network training and generally for automatic analytical gradient estimation, can be used to differentiate for any continuous parameter involved in a simulator [11,12]. This enables optimization or parameter identification of all simulator parameters, including the simulated field and other parameters that appear in the governing partial differential equation (PDE), as well as numerical parameters such as the finite difference stencil used to compute gradients.

Simulators that allow for automatic differentiation can also be used inside a machine learning model. Examples include implementing implicit layers [13], reinforcement learning [14,15], parameter identification [16], inverse problems [17], optimal control [18], construction of physics-based loss functions [18–20], and research into novel discretizations or neural network augmented simulators [21].
1.2. Aim

Here we present j-Wave: a customizable Python simulator, written on top of the JAX library [12] and the discretization framework JaxDF [22], for fast, parallelizable, and differentiable acoustic simulations. j-Wave solves both time-varying and time-harmonic forms of the wave equation with support for multiple discretizations, including finite differences and Fourier spectral methods, in 1D, 2D and 3D. Custom discretizations, including those based on neural networks, can also be utilized via the JaxDF framework. The use of the JAX library gives direct support for program transformations, such as automatic differentiation, Single-Program Multiple-Data (SPMD) parallelism, and just-in-time compilation. Lastly, since j-Wave is written in a language that follows the NumPy [23] syntax, it is easy to adapt, enhance or re-implement any simulator stage.

1.3. Related software

There is a range of related software that can be used to simulate acoustic fields, and that can be used as an alternative or to complement j-Wave. In the Julia language, the SciML ecosystem has a variety of tools that can be used to construct differentiable acoustic simulators [9]. In particular, the ADSeismic.jl [24] library focuses on seismic wave propagation and several inversion algorithms commonly used in the seismic field, and also includes the support for neural network representation of velocity models [25]. In Python, the Devito package [26] and the recently published Stride [27] library can be used to solve acoustic optimization problems that scale over large supercomputing clusters, while SimPEG [28] can be used for geophysical parameter estimation. In JAX, several recent works have developed tools for simulation-based inference and differentiable simulations. These range from integrating it with FEniCS for finite elements simulations [29], to differentiable molecular dynamics [30] and fluid dynamics [31] simulators.

2. Software description

2.1. Governing equations

j-Wave solves two different forms of the wave equation for time-varying and time-harmonic (i.e., single frequency) problems. For time-varying problems, j-Wave solves a linear system of coupled first-order PDEs that represent the conservation of mass and momentum, and a pressure density relation [32]:

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\rho_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + S_M \tag{2}
\]

\[
p = c_0^2 \rho. \tag{3}
\]

Here \(u\) is the acoustic particle velocity, \(p\) is the acoustic pressure, and \(\rho\) is the acoustic density. The acoustic medium is characterized by a spatially varying background density \(\rho_0\) and sound speed \(c_0\). The term \(S_M\) represents a mass source field.

For time-harmonic simulations, j-Wave solves a form of the Helmholtz equation constructed from the second-order wave equation including Stokes absorption:

\[
\frac{1}{c_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} = \nabla^2 p - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla p + \frac{2\alpha_0}{c_0} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S_M}{\partial t} \tag{4}
\]

A time-harmonic solution is obtained by substituting \(p = \rho_0 e^{-i\omega t}\), where \(\omega\) is frequency in units of rad s\(^{-1}\), giving

\[
\frac{-\omega^2}{c_0^2} p = \nabla^2 p - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla p + \frac{2\omega^2 \alpha_0}{c_0} p - i\omega S_M. \tag{5}
\]

This equation accounts for acoustic absorption of the form \(\alpha = \alpha_0 \omega^2\), where the absorption coefficient prefactor \(\alpha_0\) has units of Np(rad/s)^{-2} m^{-1}.

2.2. Numerical methods

Solvers for the two governing equations given in Section 2.1 are constructed using JaxDF [22]. This is a discretization framework that decouples the mathematical definition of the problem from the underlying discretization. Currently, implementations of the differential operators are available for spectral and finite difference discretizations on a regular Cartesian grid. Alternatively, the user can provide a custom discretization compatible with the underlying operations required by the PDEs. That is, only linear discretizations are compatible with time-stepping and Krylov solvers, while non-linear discretizations can be used as physics informed models [9,10].

For time-varying problems, the wave equation is solved by integrating the first-order system of equations with a semi-implicit first-order Euler integrator. If a spectral or finite difference discretization is used, the fields are defined on a staggered grid to improve long-range accuracy [33] and avoid checker-board artifacts. Radiating boundary conditions are enforced by embedding the effect of a split-field perfectly matched layer (PML) on the time-stepping scheme [3]. When using a Fourier discretization, j-Wave is equivalent to the implementation in the open-source k-Wave toolbox [32,33], including the use of a dispersion-corrected finite difference scheme for time integration. The user can further specify a generic measurement operator \(f(u, \rho, p)\) to extract instantaneous values from the wavefield at each time step.

For time-harmonic problems, the underlying discretization of the Helmholtz operator is linear (for example, using Fourier or finite difference methods), the solver is a special case of linear inversion. In this case, j-Wave uses either GMRES or Bi-CGSTAB to compute the solution. These are matrix-free methods, meaning that the numerical matrix that represents the linear operator is never explicitly constructed. Again, radiating boundary conditions are imposed using a PML, by modifying the spatial gradients as in [34]:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\gamma_s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tag{6}
\]

where

\[
\gamma_s(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| < a \\ 1 + \frac{a}{\sigma} \sigma(x), & \text{if } a \leq |x|, \end{cases} \tag{7}
\]

and \(\sigma\) follows a power-law profile.

2.3. JAX and automatic differentiation

The fundamental idea of j-Wave is to provide a suite of differentiable, parallelizable and customizable acoustic simulators. These requirements are accomplished, in first instance, by writing the simulator in JAX [12], which provides a growing suite of tools for large-scale differentiable computations, including a flexible automatic differentiation (AD) engine, single-device parallelization, multi-device parallelization, and just-in-time compilation [35]. Furthermore, JAX can be considered an adaptable Python compiler that translates and transforms code. This allowed us to define a series of custom classes that can be over-written or adapted by the user, while still being amenable to transformation.

All forward operators and simulation functions in j-Wave are differentiable through the use of JaxDF using both forward and backward AD. This allows the user to obtain gradients for any continuous parameter in the model. This includes both physical
parameters, such as the acoustic pressure or sound speed, and numerical parameters, such as the stencils for finite differences or the filters used in Fourier methods. The gradient rules used for computation can also be freely customized.  

Solving a linear system, such as the discretized Helmholtz equation, using an iterative solver is also beneficial for gradient calculation. JAX takes advantage of the implicit function theorem to differentiate through fixed-point algorithms with O(1) memory requirements (that is, the intermediate steps of the iterative solver are not stored to compute the gradient). This is a major advantage when gradients of large-scale simulations are needed. See [36] and references therein for a recent discussion of this topic.

### 2.4. Software architecture

The architecture of j-Wave can be divided into three main kinds of components: objects, operators, and solvers.

**Objects:** Objects are variables that contain the numerical data that is used during the simulations. They are defined as classes registered to the JAX compiler as a custom pytree node. The primary objects are:

- **Domain:** Defines a regular Cartesian grid with the specified grid spacing and number of points.
- **Medium:** Defines the sound_speed and density represented on the specified domain along with the pml_size.
- **Sources:** Defines the positions and signals for time varying mass sources within the specified domain.
- **Sensors:** Defines the positions of detectors placed on the grid.
- **TimeAxis:** Defines the time steps used for time-varying simulations.

Objects can be used as input variables to any JAX function and gradients can be taken with respect to their continuous parameters. They can be unpacked into their constituent numpy-like arrays using the jax.tree_util.tree_flatten utility and constructed inside pure functions.

Some parameters are defined as Field objects from JaxDF which define underlying discretizations. This includes medium.sound_speed and the initial conditions p0 and u0. The discretization used for the input objects governs the discretization used during the calculations. Currently, JaxDF supports FourierSeries, FiniteDifferences and Continuous discretizations. However, it is straightforward to define custom field discretizations which are automatically compiled into their corresponding numerical implementations.

Note, that whenever possible j-Wave uses duck-typing, meaning that each kind of object used only needs to provide specific methods and characteristics to be used. So, for example, a valid source only needs to provide a method on_grid(n) that returns the field on the grid at the n-th iteration, as for example does the Sources class. A valid sensor is instead any object that can be called with the signature (p: Field, u: Field, rho: Field), as it is implemented in the Sensors class. An example of using the flexibility of duck-typing is in the Transducer class, which can work both as a source and as a sensor object.

---

**Operators:** Operators are defined via JaxDF and implement a numerical algorithm that translates a mathematical operator into its corresponding numerical implementation, for a given type of input discretization. The implementation of the same operator for different discretizations is done using multiple-dispatch via plum [37], a programming technique that has been heavily popularized by C#, Lisp and Julia [38], using the operator decorator of JaxDF.

For example, a custom Laplacian operator for a 1D FiniteDifferences field can be implemented using type hints, as shown in Listing 1.

```
@operator
def laplacian(u: FiniteDifferences, params =\{1, -2, 1\}):
  # Extract the stencil from the parameters
  k = params
  # Get the field on the domain grid
  _u = u.on_grid
  # Add zero-padding
  _u = jnp.pad(_u, (1,1), 'constant', 0)
  # Apply the stencil
  v = k[0]*_u[-2] + k[1]*_u[1:-1] + k[0]*_u[2:]
  # Update the field values and return with
  # the operator parameters
  return u.replace_params(v), params
```

Listing 1: Redefining the Laplacian operator for finite differences.

Every function that uses the laplacian function will then utilize the custom user implementation if the input field is of the type FiniteDifferences.

**Solvers:** There are two main solvers in j-Wave which solve the equations outlined in Section 2.1. These are also implemented as operators for convenience.

- **simulate_wave_propagation:** Takes a medium object (which internally defines the Domain), along with Sources, Sensors, and TimeAxis objects, and initial conditions p0 and u0 if non-zero, and computes the time varying acoustic field over the specified domain.
- **helmholtz Solver:** Takes a medium object (which internally defines the Domain), source field, and frequency omega and computes the complex field over the specified domain. The source field for the helmholtz_solver is a Field defined over the entire domain, and can be extracted from Sources objects.

Simulations using these functions can be performed on CPUs, GPUs, and TPUs, with efficient just-in-time compilation, natively compatible with the JAX ecosystem. The functions are also amenable to same-device or multiple-device parallelization, via the JAX decorators vmap and pmap [12]. Check-pointing can also be applied at each step to reduce the memory requirements for back-propagation.

### 2.5. Accuracy

The accuracy of the pseudo-spectral and finite difference solvers has been evaluated both for time-varying and for time-harmonic problems. In the first case, the pseudo-spectral numerical solver is equivalent to k-Wave [32,33] and numerical...
simulations agree to machine precision. When finite difference methods are employed, the simulation error is dependent on many factors, other than the implementation itself, such as the number of grid points per wavelength, the finite difference coefficients, etc. An illustrative comparison of the wavefields produced for an initial value problem in a medium with a heterogeneous sound speed is shown in Fig. 1.

For the Helmholtz equation, a comprehensive comparison of j-Wave against other wave models (including k-Wave) was conducted as part of the inter-comparison effort described in [1]. For homogeneous material properties, the maximum difference against k-Wave is typically much less than 1%. For heterogeneous properties, the difference depends on which parameters are heterogeneous and the strength of the heterogeneity. Differences are slightly larger for a heterogeneous density (compared to heterogeneous sound speed or absorption). This is likely due to the different way the ambient density term is treated and evaluated on a staggered grid between the two softwares. A representative example showing results for a 3D simulation using j-Wave and k-Wave is given in Fig. 2. This example includes a bone layer with an incident field produced by a focused transducer driven at 500 kHz (Benchmark 7 of [1]). In this case, the difference between the two simulations inside the brain is within 3%.

3. Illustrative examples

3.1. Initial value problems and image reconstruction using time reversal

To demonstrate the process of defining and running a simulation using j-Wave, we start with a simple initial value problem in a homogeneous medium as encountered in, e.g., photoacoustics [39]. Similarly to k-Wave [33], j-Wave requires the user to specify a computational domain where the simulation takes place. This is done using the Domain data class inherited from JaxDF as shown in Listing 2. The inputs for the constructor are the size of the domain in grid points in each spatial direction and the corresponding discretization step.

```python
from jwave.geometry import Domain
N, dx = (256, 256), (0.1e-3, 0.1e-3)
domain = Domain(N, dx)
```

Listing 2: Defining the simulation domain.

The next step is to define the medium properties. This is done using the Medium class as shown in Listing 3.

```python
from jwave.geometry import Medium
medium = Medium(domain=domain, sound_speed=1500.0)
```

Listing 3: Defining the medium properties.

For time-varying problems, a TimeAxis object also needs to be defined, which sets the time steps used in the time-stepping scheme of the numerical simulation. This object can be constructed from the medium for a given Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy (CFL) number as shown in Listing 4 to ensure that the time-stepping scheme is stable.

```python
from jwave.geometry import TimeAxis
```

Listing 4: Defining the time axis.
sensors are defined, the code returns a Field for defining sensors on grid-points, as shown in Listing 5. If no sensors are defined, the code returns a Field for each time-step.

```python
from jwave.geometry import TimeAxis
time_axis = TimeAxis.from_medium(medium, cfl=0.3)
```

**Listing 4:** Defining the time axis.

The next optional step is to define some sensors. Any kind of sensor can be used, where a custom sensor is any object or function that can be called. When called, it must take the current velocity, density and pressure fields as inputs, and return a PyTree. For convenience, j-Wave provides the Sensors class for defining sensors on grid-points, as shown in Listing 5. If no sensors are defined, the code returns a Field for each time-step.

```python
from jwave.geometry import _points_on_circle, Sensors
num_sensors, radius, center = 48, 100, (128, 128)
x, y = _points_on_circle(num_sensors, radius, center)
sensors = Sensors(positions=(jnp.array(x), jnp.array(y)))
```

**Listing 5:** Defining sensors.

Finally, the initial pressure distribution must be defined. This is done by populating a jax.numpy.ndarray the same size as the domain, and then passing this to the appropriate discretization. In Listing 6, the initial pressure is set to the weighted sum of four binary disks and defined as a FourierSeries field. The disks are generated using the custom function _circ_mask which generates circular binary masks of a given radius and location, but any numpy array (however constructed) can be used as the initial pressure. The field information is used when calling operators to choose the correct numerical implementations. The simulation setup is depicted in Fig. 3 (left).

```python
from jwave.geometry import _circ_mask
from jwave import FourierSeries
mask1 = _circ_mask(N, 16, (100, 100))
mask2 = _circ_mask(N, 10, (160, 120))
mask3 = _circ_mask(N, 20, (128, 128))
mask4 = _circ_mask(N, 60, (128, 128))
p0 = 5. * mask1 + 3. * mask2 + 4. * mask3 + 0.5 * mask4
p0 = FourierSeries(p0, domain)
```

**Listing 6:** Defining the initial pressure distribution as a Fourier series Field.

To run the simulation, the solver simulate_wave_propagation is called with the appropriate inputs as shown in Listing 7. Here, a wrapper is defined around it, to highlight how to create arbitrary callables that are just-in-time compiled using jax.jit. The jit compilation of the entire computational graph makes the solver computationally very efficient: on a modern GPU, the user can expect to run 1000 time-steps on a $128 \times 128$ domain in about 50 ms. The recorded acoustic signals are shown in Fig. 3 (center).

```python
from jwave.acoustics import simulate_wave_propagation
@jit
def compiled_simulator(medium, p0):
    return simulate_wave_propagation(medium, time_axis, p0=p0, sensors=sensors)
sensors_data = compiled_simulator(medium, p0)
```

**Listing 7:** Just-in-time compiling and running the simulation.

3.2. Automatic differentiation

As mentioned, gradients can be evaluated with respect to any input parameters: all that is needed is to define a scalar loss function. In Listing 8, the use of the wave equation adjoint as a simple imaging algorithm for the forward problem defined in Section 3.1 is demonstrated following the discretize-then-optimize approach [9,40]. Note that the user can always define a custom adjoint function for the forward operator if required.

Gradients for the initial pressure alone can be easily computed by wrapping a new function around the simulator and using the jax.grad decorator. In this example, noise is added to the data before inverting the model.

```python
def solver(p0):
    return simulate_wave_propagation(medium, time_axis, p0=p0, sensors=sensors)
@jit # Compile the whole algorithm
def lazy_imaging_algorithm(measurements):
    p_pred = solver(p0)
    return 0.5 * jnp.sum((p_pred - measurements)**2)
# Start from an empty field
p0 = FourierSeries.empty(domain)
# Take the gradient
p_grad = grad(mse_loss)(p0, measurements)
return -p_grad
# Reconstruct initial pressure distribution
recon_image = lazy_time_reversal(noisy_data)
```

**Listing 8:** Use of the adjoint model as a simple imaging algorithm.

The reconstructed initial pressure is shown in Fig. 3 (right).

3.3. Prototyping full-waveform inversion algorithms

One of the most exciting features of j-Wave is the possibility to obtain gradients with respect to any continuous real parameter of the computational graph directly exposed to the user. Besides applications in machine learning, differentiability means that full waveform inversion methods can be easily prototyped. For example, to mitigate cycle skipping it has been proposed to use an $\ell_2$ loss on the modulus of the complex analytic signal associated with the data residual [41,42]. This can be implemented by defining an appropriate objective function as shown in Listing 9.

```python
from jwave.signal_processing import analytic_signal
def loss_func(params, source_num):
    # This contains the simulator function
    p = single_source_simulation(get_sound_speed(params), source_num)
    # Get envelopes of data and simulated signals
    p_data = jnp.abs(analytic_signal(p_data[source_num], 0))
    loss_with_grad = jax.value_and_grad(loss_func)
    loss_with_grad = jax.value_and_grad(loss_func)
```

**Listing 9:** Defining an objective function for full-wave inversion.
Here, we use the following parameterization:

\[ a_i = \rho_i e^{i\theta_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \]

such that \( \phi(a) \) is the transmitted wavefield. The unit norm constraint is needed to enforce the fact that each transducer has an upper limit on the maximum power it can transmit. One could use several methods to represent this vector and its constraint. Here, we use the following parameterization:

\[ a_j(\rho_j, \theta_j) = \frac{\rho_j e^{i\theta_j}}{1 + \rho_j^2}, \]

where \( \rho_j \) and \( \theta_j \) are real variables.

Often, one wants to find an apodization vector which returns a field having certain properties. For example, in transcranial neurostimulation one may want to maximize the acoustic power delivered to a certain location: this is the setup that we will use in this example (see Fig. 5(a)).

Let us call \( p \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) the point where we want to maximize the wavefield. For a field \( \phi(x, a) \) generated by the apodization \( a \), the optimal apodization is then given by

\[ \hat{a} = \arg \max_a \| \phi(p, a) \|. \]  

This defines the loss function that we are going to minimize using gradient descent. The full code for this example is given in the notebook helmholtz_solver_differentiable.ipynb, in the examples folder. The resulting wavefield after the optimization is shown in Fig. 5(b).

Time harmonic solvers also benefit from the jit compilation capabilities. For example, the time required to solve a 128 \( \times \) 256 problem on a modern GPU is about 200 ms. However, due to the use of matrix-free operations, currently j-Wave does not implement preconditioning for the solution of the Helmholtz solver. Therefore the performance of the GMRES solver will degrade for large 3D problems. This could be amended by using domain decomposition methods [44], which will be the focus of future package releases.

4. Impact

j-Wave combines several ideas from the machine learning and inverse problems communities, and can be used to investigate numerical and physical problems revolting around acoustic phenomena. The software is open-source and is based on JAX, which uses an interface that closely follows the widely used NumPy package [23]. This means that interested researchers can customize the software to their needs using a familiar syntax.

As a forward solver, j-Wave can be used as a simple acoustic simulator to perform numerical acoustic experiments. The software can simulate wave propagation in homogeneous and heterogeneous media, both in the frequency domain and in the time domain.

The differentiability of the solver can be exploited for a variety of tasks. By taking gradients with respect to the acoustic parameters, j-Wave can perform discrete sensitivity analyses or can be used to learn machine-learning models that perform model-based image inversion. Similarly, gradients with respect to the source parameters can be used for model-based optimal control and training reinforcement learning agents that interact with an acoustic setup.

j-Wave as a differentiable forward model can also be exploited for uncertainty quantification. Besides Monte Carlo methods that...
can be accelerated in j-Wave using single-device and multiple-device parallel transformations, there is a growing body of techniques that are being developed to exploit simulation gradients for simulation-based inference [8,45]. For example, in [46], the use of linear uncertainty propagation (LUP) was proposed as a meta-programming method to endow arbitrary (differential) simulations with uncertainty propagation in the Julia language [47]. Supporting forward automatic differentiation allows LUP to be implemented with minimal memory requirements for simulations that depend on a small number of parameters (e.g., uncertainty on the background speed of sound). An example of using LUP with j-Wave is included in one of the example notebooks provided with the package.

Since the operators relevant for acoustic simulations are implemented with JaxDF [22], it is possible to experiment with arbitrary discretizations that contain tunable parameters. This could be leveraged for a variety of tasks such as reduction of memory requirements, computational acceleration, or parameter inference. This is further aided by the possibility of overriding the behavior of operators for existing or user-defined discretizations. For example, a similar approach has been used recently in computational fluid dynamics, where the authors trained a neural network-based adaptive finite-difference scheme to perform accurate simulations on coarser collocation grids [31]. Alternatively, one could employ learned error-correction schemes [21], directly optimize the stencils of a finite difference scheme [48], or learn a preconditioner for the discretized Helmholtz equation [49].

Operators that represent a PDE, such as the Helmholtz operator, can also be constructed for arbitrary nonlinear discretizations, allowing the application of Physics Informed Neural Networks to solve the acoustic problem [10].

While the software offers a complete set of tools for accurately simulating wave phenomena, the user should remember that it has been designed mainly to allow flexible experimentation in the context of learned algorithms, such as machine learning. Therefore, it is likely prohibitive to use the software out of the box for large-scale problems such as 3D seismic imaging and medical tomography on real data, as it would require a prohibitive amount of memory for a consumer computer. However, it is possible to use the components of j-Wave to research algorithms for reducing memory requirements.

Similarly, in its current state, j-Wave does not support MPI [35], although some operations could be easily implemented using the MPI protocol. Also, domain decomposition methods are
not implemented; hence multi-GPU hosts can only be exploited for the concurrent solution of distinct problems.

Lastly, even though the iterative solvers used for the solution of harmonic problems allow for the use of preconditioners, most of the acoustic operators are implemented as matrix-free and therefore do not allow for immediate applications of preconditioners, especially for the back-propagation step. This means that for large-scale problems, the solvers will require a large number of iterations to converge, which may justify switching to a time-domain solver run to a steady state. We, however, note that the satisfactory preconditioning of the Helmholtz equation is still an active area of research [50], and each computation step in j-Wave can always be overwritten with a more effective algorithm by the user.

5. Conclusions

An open-source differentiable acoustic simulator called j-Wave is presented that solves both time-harmonic and time-varying forms of the wave equation, with potential applications in medical ultrasound, non-destructive testing, acoustic material design, seismic modeling and general machine learning research on acoustics. The simulator is written in JAX and is compatible with machine learning libraries. Furthermore, it provides a differentiable implementation of the time-harmonic acoustic operator (Helmholtz operator) that can be used with both linear and non-linear arbitrary discretizations, including ones depending on a set of tunable parameters. We expect j-Wave to be a useful tool for a wide range of acoustic-related lines of research: from the investigation of numerical algorithms and machine learning ideas, to the design of acoustic imaging techniques and materials.
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