Appendix A

Proof of Proposition 1 (Equation 5 with cost function 4)

The proof follows from Proposition 2 by replacing the cost functions of Proposition 2, and the geometric sum D_n by arithmetic cost functions and an arithmetic sum, since the case enumerations for Propositions 1 and 2 are exactly the same. Although not central to the argument, the replacement cost functions are as follows.

For *n*-non-service players $P_2,...,P_n$ with values v_2 - ε ,..., v_n - ε define a cost function by replacing the geometric term $d^{|C|}$ in (6) by the arithmetic term d.

 $v(CUP_r) = v(C) + v(P_r) - dv_r - dm = v(C) + v_r - \varepsilon - dv_r - dm \text{ where } 0 < d < 1 \text{ is a constant factor and } m \text{ is the median of } v_2, \dots, v_n.$ (A1)

Similarly, the Service player P₁ has value $(n-1)\varepsilon$, and its cost function is derived by replacing the geometric term $d^{|C|}$ in (6a) by the arithmetic term *d*.

$$v(CUP_1) = v(C) + (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)dm$$
 (A1a)

The proof then uses result B8, with the replacement $D_n \rightarrow d + d + ... + d = (n-1)d$,

For the non-service cases, $2 \le r \le n$

$$SH(n,r) = v_r - \varepsilon - (m + v_r)D_n/n \rightarrow v_r - \varepsilon - (m + v_r)(n-1)d/n = v_r - \varepsilon - dv_r(1 - 1/n) - dm(1 - 1/n).$$
(A2)

Similarly, for the service case

$$SH(n,1) = (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)mD_n/n \rightarrow (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)m(n-1)d/n = (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)dm(1-1/n).$$
(A2a)

This completes the proof of *Proposition 1*. Equations A2 and A2a correspond to (5).

Appendix **B**

Proof of Proposition 2 (Equation 7 with cost function 6)

We consider enumerations for four cases.

Case 1: P_1 is first in the permutation: allocation is to the service P_1

P₁ is first (*n*-1)! times out of *n*!, and the marginal allocation to P₁ each time is (*n*-1) ϵ (from Equation 7). The total marginal allocation for this case is

$$M_{(1)}(P_1) = (n-1)! \times (n-1)\varepsilon$$
 (B2)

Case 2: P_1 is not first in the permutation: allocation is to the service P_1

P₁ is not first [n! - (n-1)!] times. When P₁ joins the coalition, P₁ receives a marginal allocation $(n-1)\varepsilon$. Additionally there are (n-1)! diversification cases of each of the following, to be added:

(n-1)md, $(n-1)md^2$, ..., $(n-1)md^{n-1}$.

Therefore the total marginal allocation for this case is (with $D_n = d + d^2 + ... + d^{n-1}$)

$$M_{(2)}(\mathbf{P}_1) = [n! - (n-1)!] \times (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)! (n-1)m(d+d^2 + \dots + d^{n-1})$$
$$= [n! - (n-1)!] \times (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)! (n-1)mD_n$$
(B3)

Case 3: P_r (a non-service) is first in the permutation: allocation is to P_r

 P_r is first in (n-1)! cases, each with marginal allocation $v_r - \varepsilon$. There is no diversification. The total marginal allocation for this case is

$$M_{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_r) = (n-1)! \times (v_r - \varepsilon) \tag{B4}$$

Case 4: P_r is not first in the permutation: allocation is to P_r

 P_r is not first in [n! - (n-1)!] cases. When P_r joins the coalition, P_r receives a marginal allocation $(v_r - \varepsilon)$ in all of those cases. Additionally there are (n-1)! diversification cases of each of the following, to be subtracted:

$$v_r d$$
, $v_r d^2$, ..., $v_r d^{n-1}$,
md, md², ..., mdⁿ⁻¹.

The total marginal allocation for this case is then (with $D_n = d + d^2 + ... + d^{n-1}$ as in Case 2)

$$M_{(4)}(\mathbf{P}_r) = [n! - (n-1)!] \times (v_r - \varepsilon) - (n-1)!(v_r + m)(d + d^2 + \dots + d^{n-1})$$

= [n! - (n-1)!] \times (v_r - \varepsilon) - (n-1)!(v_r + m)D_n (B5)

By symmetry, all non-service players can be analysed in the same way and have the results that follow the same pattern.

The total marginal allocation for P_1 , $M(P_1)$ is the sum of the marginal in (B2) and (B3).

$$M(\mathbf{P}_{1}) = M_{(1)}(\mathbf{P}_{1}) + M_{(2)}(\mathbf{P}_{1})$$

= $(n-1)! \times (n-1)\varepsilon + [n! - (n-1)!] \times (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)! (n-1)mD_{n}$
= $n! \times (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)m(n-1)!D_{n}$ (B6)

The total marginal allocation for P_r , $M(P_r)$ is the sum of the marginal in (B4) and (B5).

$$M(\mathbf{P}_{r}) = M_{(3)}(\mathbf{P}_{r}) + M_{(4)}(\mathbf{P}_{r})$$

= $(n-1)! \times (v_{r} - \varepsilon) + [n! - (n-1)!] \times (v_{r} - \varepsilon) - (n-1)! (v_{r} + m)D_{n}$
= $n! \times (v_{r} - \varepsilon) - (v_{r} + m)D_{n}(n-1)!$ (B7)

The final stage in the proof is to calculate the mean marginal allocation by dividing (B6) and (B7) by the total number of permutations, n!

$$SH(n,1) = (n-1)\varepsilon + (n-1)mD_n/n$$
(B8a)

$$SH(n,r) = v_r - \varepsilon - (v_r + m)D_n/n \qquad (2 \le r \le n)$$
(B8)

This completes the proof of *Proposition 2*, and Equations (B8, B8a) correspond to (7).

Appendix C

Summary of the LDA algorithm (Frachot et al 2001)

The algorithm was designed to estimate value-at-risk (VaR) in the context of operational risk losses. Therefore we use to the term 'loss' in this appendix, although, in principle, the data can originate from elsewhere. LDA is a Monte Carlo process with T trials. Given a list of N losses covering a period Y years, the algorithm requires a pre-calculated severity distribution D for the losses (typically fat-tailed such a Lognormal).

Algorithm LDA(*T*):

- 1. Calculate frequency f = N/Y
- 2. For trial t from 1 to T do
 - a. Generate a loss number n from a Poisson(f) distribution. This represents an annual number of losses
 - b. Generate a random sample of size n from D
 - c. Calculate the sum S(t) of the elements in the random sample in the previous step
- 3. End_For
- 4. Calculate VaR = the 99.9 percentile of the S(t)