Loss of brainstem white matter predicts onset and motor neuron symptoms in *C9orf*72 expansion carriers: a GENFI study

Agnès Pérez-Millan^{1,2*}, Sergi Borrego-Écija^{1*}, John C. van Swieten³, Lize Jiskoot^{3,4}, Fermin Moreno^{5,6}, Robert Laforce⁷, Caroline Graff^{8,9}, Mario Masellis¹⁰, Maria Carmela Tartaglia¹¹, James B. Rowe¹² ·Barbara Borroni¹³, Elizabeth Finger¹⁴, Matthis Synofzik^{15,16}, Daniela Galimberti^{17,18}, Rik Vandenberghe^{19,20}, Alexandre de Mendonça²¹, Chris R. Butler^{22,23}, Alexander Gerhard^{24,25}, Simon Ducharme^{26,27}, Isabelle Le Ber^{28,29}, Isabel Santana^{30,31}, Florence Pasquier^{32,33}, Johannes Levin^{34,35}, Markus Otto³⁶, Sandro Sorbi³⁷, Pietro Tiraboschi³⁸, Harro Seelaar³, Tobias Langheinrich³⁹, Jonathan D. Rohrer⁴, Roser Sala-Llonch^{2,40}#, Raquel Sánchez-Valle¹#, The Genetic FTD Initiative, GENFI

Affiliations

1 Alzheimer's Disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer, University of Barcelona, Villarroel, 170, 08036 Barcelona, Spain

2 Department of Biomedicine, Faculty of Medicine, Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, 08036 Barcelona, Spain

3 Department of Neurology and Alzheimer Center Erasmus MC, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

4 Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK

5 Cognitive Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Donostia University Hospital, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

6 Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Institute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

7 Département des Sciences Neurologiques, Clinique Interdisciplinaire de Mémoire, CHU de Québec, and Faculté de Médecine, Université Laval, Quebec City, QC, Canada

8 Division of Neurogeriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Bioclinicum, Center for Alzheimer Research, Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden

9 Unit for Hereditary Dementias, Theme Aging, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden

10 Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

11 Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

12 Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust and Medical Research Council Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

13 Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Centre for Neurodegenerative Disorders, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

14 Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada

15 Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research and Center of Neurology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

16 Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Tübingen, Germany

17 Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

18 Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

19 Laboratory for Cognitive Neurology, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

20 Neurology Service, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

21 Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

22 Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

23 Department of Brain Sciences, Imperial College London, London, UK

24 Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology, Wolfson Molecular Imaging Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

25 Department of Geriatric Medicine and Nuclear Medicine, Center for Translational Neuroand Behavioral Sciences, University Medicine Essen, Essen, Germany

26 Department of Psychiatry, Douglas Mental Health University Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

27 Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

28 Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HPHôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France

29 Département de Neurologie, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France

30 Neurology Service, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital of Coimbra (HUC), University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

31 Center for Neuroscience and Cell Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

32 Univ Lille, Lille, France

33 CHU, CNR-MAJ, Labex Distalz, LiCEND, Lille, France

34 Neurologische Klinik und Poliklinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-U niversität, Munich, Germany

35 German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Munich, Germany

36 Department of Neurology, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

37 Department of Neurofarba, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

38 Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy

39 Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology, Wolfson Molecular Imaging Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

40 Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN), Barcelona, Spain

* have contributed equally # have contributed equally

nave contributed equally

Corresponding Author: Raquel Sánchez-Valle rsanchez@clinic.cat

Abstract

Background and objectives The *C9orf72* expansion is the most common genetic cause of frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and/or motor neuron disease (MND). Corticospinal degeneration has been described in post-mortem neuropathological studies in these patients, especially in those with MND. We used MRI to analyze white matter (WM) volumes in presymptomatic and symptomatic *C9orf72* expansion carriers and investigated whether its measure may be helpful in predicting the onset of symptoms.

Methods We studied 102 presymptomatic *C9orf72* mutation carriers, 52 symptomatic carriers: 42 suffering from FTD and 11 from MND, and 75 non-carriers from the Genetic Frontotemporal dementia Initiative (GENFI). All subjects underwent T1-MRI acquisition. We used FreeSurfer to estimate the volume proportion of WM in the brainstem regions (midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata). We calculated group differences with ANOVA tests and performed linear and non-linear regressions to assess group-by-age interactions.

Results A reduced WM ratio was found in all brainstem subregions in symptomatic carriers compared to both noncarriers and pre-symptomatic carriers. Within symptomatic carriers, MND patients presented a lower ratio in pons and medulla oblongata compared with FTD patients. No differences were found between presymptomatic carriers and non-carriers. Clinical severity was negatively associated with the WM ratio. *C9orf72* carriers presented greater age-related WM loss than non-carriers, with MND patients showing significantly more atrophy in pons and medulla oblongata.

Discussion We find consistent brainstem WM loss in *C9orf72* symptomatic carriers with differences related to the clinical phenotype supporting the use of brainstem measures as neuroimaging biomarkers for disease tracking.

Introduction

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) refers to a heterogeneous group of neurodegenerative disorders that mainly affects the frontal and temporal lobes of the brain producing behavioral and language impairment [1]. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is the most frequent motor neuron disease. It is caused by the neurodegeneration of motor neurons and the corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts leading to progressive weakness and muscular atrophy [2]. Due to the scientific advances in the last decades, it is now recognized that FTD and ALS are part of a clinical, neuropathological, and genetic continuum [3–6].

Although frequency varies geographically, the pathological hexanucleotide expansion in the chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9orf72) gene is the most common genetic cause of FTD, and ALS [7, 8]. The C9orf72 repeat expansion is inherited with an autosomal dominant pattern with almost full penetrance leading to disease onset at a mean age of 58 years, although a wide range of age of onset (20–90 s) has been described [9]. The correlation between parental age at onset and individual age at onset for C9orf72 expansion carriers is weak (r = 0.32), and thus, not useful for individual predictions [9]. In the same way, whether the symptom onset would appear in form of FTD, or ALS remains unpredictable. However, future disease-modifying drugs might be useful for both clinical phenotypes and treatments might be more useful when used in early or even presymptomatic phases of the disease. For that reason, there is a need for biomarkers that are able to provide information about the proximity of onset and track disease progression in both phenotypes. In this sense, cohorts of mutation carriers, such as the genetic frontotemporal initiative (GENFI), provide the opportunity to study the first stages of the disease and to identify markers of symptom onset and progression [10].

Previous studies have described structural changes in presymptomatic FTD subjects using brain MRI [11–15]. Concerning C9orf72 carriers, previous studies have shown presymptomatic brain changes in the thalamus, cerebellum, hippocampus, amygdala, and hypothalamus [16, 17]. Most of these studies have focused on grey matter. In contrast, white matter (WM) degeneration has received comparatively less attention but demonstrates early and widespread WM integrity loss in C9orf72 carriers [18].

The neuropathological examination of ALS patients reveals loss of motor neurons and the consequent degeneration of the corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts [19, 20]. This degeneration leads to lateral sclerosis of the spinal cord which gives the name to the disease. In addition to spinal cord changes, ALS patients also present relevant atrophy of the white matter areas that contain the corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts at the brainstem, especially the pyramids in the medulla oblongata. Previous work has demonstrated that changes in the spinal cord and brainstem in ALS can be detected in vivo using structural MRI [21, 22]. In a recent study, Querin et al. reported significant WM reduction in the spinal cord of presymptomatic C9orf72 carriers using cervical cord MRI [23]. Assessing WM changes in the brainstem presents some potential benefits from cervical spinal cord evaluation, as the possibility of being measured with other brain changes in the brain MRI.

In this work, we investigate the utility of brainstem WM loss as a biomarker for C9orf72 patients. We hypothesize that symptomatic C9orf72 carriers would present more WM loss in the brainstem compared to non-carriers, especially in those patients with motor neuron symptoms. We also aim to study whether WM loss is identifiable in presymptomatic C9orf72 carriers.

Materials and methods

Participants

Two hundred thirty-five participants' data were obtained from the data freeze 4 (DF4) of the GENFI, an international multicenter study of known carriers of a pathogenic mutation or at risk of carrying a mutation because a first-degree relative was a known symptomatic carrier [11].

Symptomatic subjects were FTD or ALS patients carrying the C9orf72 pathogenic expansion. Presymptomatic and noncarriers subjects were all first-degree relatives of C9orf72 mutation carriers who consent to be tested for their genetic status.

All participants' imaging data were acquired at each time point using 3T on scanners from three different manufacturers: Philips Healthcare (Koninklijke Philips NV, Amsterdam, Netherlands), GE Healthcare Life Sciences (General Electric, Boston, MA, USA) and Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Protocols were designed to harmonize across scanners and sites as much as possible [11]. Subjects were classified into four groups according to their genetic status (carriers or non-carriers) and their clinical diagnosis as follows: (a) non-carriers; (b) presymptomatic C9orf72 carriers if no diagnostic criteria were fulfilled, (c) symptomatic C9orf72 carriers with FTD presentations in the form of behavioral variant FTD [24] or primary progressive aphasia [25] and (d) symptomatic C9orf72 carriers with MND presentation in form of ALS or ALS-FTD [26, 27]. The disease stage of all participants was scored following the global and sum of boxes Clinical Dementia Rating adapted to FTD patients (CDR® + NACC-FTLD) rating scale [28]. The severity of motor neuron symptoms was scored with the ALS Functional Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFRS-R), a validated rating instrument for monitoring the progression of disability in ALS patients [29]. The ALSFRS-R obtains a final index of disability by scoring 12 different motor and respiratory items from 4 (no disability) to 0 (marked disability). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. All procedures were approved by local ethics committees at each site.

MRI acquisition and processing

Participants underwent a 1.1-mm isotropic resolution volumetric T1 MRI imaging on a 3T scan using the sequences defined within the GENFI consortium. Nineteen scanners were used across different sites. MRIs of all subjects were downloaded from GENFI database and processed using FreeSurfer version 6.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) in the same center.

After the standard FreeSurfer segmentation and parcellation [30–32], we used an additional FreeSurfer pipeline to segment the brainstem region and its three main structures (midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata) [33]. Figure 1 represents the imaging methodology to obtain the brainstem region segmentation. We assessed the WM parcel for the brainstem structures by multiplying each of the regions by the WM mask. To remove the effect of brain size, we calculated the ratio of WM for each of its structures (midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata) using the total volume of the corresponding region (region-WM volume/region-whole volume). All images were visually inspected and manually corrected when needed.

Statistical analysis

Differences in demographic data between groups were assessed using ANOVA test for continuous variables and Fisher test for dichotomous data. Post-hoc studies were assessed for both cases to identify the pair-wise group differences, using *T*-tests or Fisher test accordingly. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05, with corrections for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure.

We used ANOVA test to study group differences in the WM ratio for the brainstem subregions. Age at baseline, sex and scanner were used as covariates. Then, Tukey's HSD test was used to identify pairwise differences between groups with Benjamini–Hochberg corrections for multiple comparisons. We compared the non-carriers, the presymptomatic carriers, carriers with FTD, and carriers with MND with the same procedure. Differences in the WM ratio between CDR® + NACC-FTLD global stages were assessed using Kruskal–Wallis test for all carriers, while Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to study the relationship between the WM ratio and the CDR® + NACC-FTLD sum of boxes and the ALSFRS-R. We evaluated multiple linear and non-linear regressions (logarithmic, polynomial to the second, third and fourth order) to test the association between the WM ratio (dependent variable) and the genetic status, age, and their

interaction. For these analyses we added scanner and sex as covariates. Models were compared using R2 and the Akaike information criterion (AIC). R (https://www.r-project.org/) version 4.0.5 was used for all analyses.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants

After the data quality assessment, the sample was reduced to 229 participants due to the segmentation problems identified. The final sample used in the analyses included: 102 presymptomatic carriers, 52 symptomatic carriers (41 FTD and 11 ALS or ALS-FTD), and 75 non-carriers (Table 1). Some of the acquisitions (N = 43 subjects) had a limited Field of View, so it was not possible to measure the entire medulla oblongata ROI. Thus, these images were not included in the sub-analyses of this region (21 presymptomatic, 7 symptomatic, and 15 non-carriers).

We found significant differences between the four groups (non-carriers, presymptomatic, symptomatic-FTD, symptomatic-ALS) in sex and age. Both symptomatic groups were older than the non-carriers and presymptomatic groups (p < 0.0001). Therefore, these variables were included as covariates in all further analyses. No significant differences were found in any demographic or clinical variables between non-carriers and presymptomatic carriers (Table 1).

Group differences in brainstem WM ratio

Non-carriers showed WM ratios very close to 1 (0.96 for the midbrain, 0.99 for the pons, and 0.97 for the medulla). No differences were found in any region between the presymptomatic and the non-carrier groups. The C9orf72 FTD group showed a lower WM ratio than non-carriers and presymptomatic carriers in all regions (p < 0.01 in the medulla, and p < 0.0001 in the midbrain and pons). The C9orf72 MND group showed a lower WM ratio than the non-carriers and the presymptomatic carriers in all regions (p < 0.0001 in all comparisons). The MND group also showed a lower WM ratio than the FTD group in the medulla (p < 0.0001), and pons (p < 0.0001; (Fig. 2).

WM ratio across the severity of cognitive and motor symptoms

When studying the relationship between the WM ratio with the global CDR® + NACC-FTLD rating scale for all carriers, we observed that higher clinical scores were significatively associated with lower WM ratios in all brainstem regions (Kruskal–Wallis p < 0.001 for all regions; Fig. 3A). Pairwise comparisons between CDR®+NACC-FTLD stages were performed for consecutive stages, depicting significant differences between the CDR = 0.5 and CDR = 1 stages in the midbrain (p < 0.05). Additionally, moderate significant negative correlations between the WM ratio and the CDR® + NACC FTLD sum of boxes were also found for all brainstem regions (midbrain r = -0.57, pons r = -0.49 and medulla oblongata r = -0.45; p < 0.0001 all; Fig. 3B).

To assess if the WM ratio was correlated to the severity of the motor neuron symptoms, we evaluate its relationship with the ALSFRS-R score in C9or72 carriers (Fig. 3C). We found a weak negative correlation in pons (r =– 0.37, p < 0.05), but a moderate negative correlation in midbrain (r =– 0.45; p < 0.001) and medulla (r =– 0.46, p < 0.01).

Brainstem WM ratio and age trajectories according to the genetic status

When comparing the relationship between the WM ratio and age, we found that carriers and noncarriers showed similar trajectories until the 6th decade of life. After this age, carriers presented a greater loss of WM ratio than noncarriers, especially in the midbrain (Fig. 4A). The multiple linear regression comparing carriers and non-carriers showed similar results (Table 2). For both groups, age was related to lower WM ratios in the midbrain (p < 0.001).

Carriers showed a greater loss of WM ratio by age than non-carriers in the midbrain (p < 0.05), suggesting a further loss of WM due to neurodegeneration. No other statistical differences were found between carriers and non-carriers. Due to the distribution of the trajectories, we also explored non-linear regressions, but they did not improve the linear model significatively.

Brainstem WM ratio and age trajectories according to the clinical status

Finally, we assessed the brainstem WM trajectories by age according to the clinical status to evaluate if subjects with different clinical diagnoses present different trajectories of brainstem WM during the disease. In that sense, the MND group showed a greater loss of WM by age in all regions compared to FTD patients, the medulla being the region with the highest effect of age in WM loss for this group of patients (Fig. 4B; Table 3).

Discussion

In the present study, we used brain MRI scans from the GENFI consortium to investigate whether corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts neurodegeneration is measurable in the brainstem structures of C9orf72 carriers. Symptomatic C9orf72 expansion carriers showed consistent alterations in brainstem WM that correlated with clinical severity. Subjects with motor neuron symptoms presented more WM loss in the brainstem than those without motor symptoms.

Brainstem neuroimaging abnormalities have been investigated by means of semi-automated volumetry methods, especially in progressive supranuclear palsy [21, 34]. Concerning C9orf72 expansion carriers, previous work found no structural volumetric gray matter (GM) impairment in the brainstem [16, 35]. However, the evaluation of brainstem WM in C9of72 was lacking. Here, we developed a measure of WM degeneration consisting of the proportion of the brainstem volume occupied by WM. We chose the proportion of WM instead of its whole volume to avoid differences due to different brain sizes. Assessed in the noncarriers as controls, this WM ratio showed values close to 1, reflecting that, in normal conditions, the relative GM volume in the brainstem is scarce. However, these high values might reflect an overestimation of the WM volumes. Previous neuroimaging studies have shown that small brainstem pathways might be artificially enlarged due to the inclusion of crossing fibers [36, 37]. Despite this limitation, our work found differences between groups, reflecting the utility of this measure as a neuroimaging biomarker.

We found a lower brainstem WM ratio in symptomatic C9orf72 carriers compared to non-carriers regardless of their clinical phenotype. These differences were found in the three sub-structures (midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata), suggesting widespread neurodegeneration of the corticospinal tracts. No differences were found between presymptomatic carriers and controls. This finding would suggest that the neurodegeneration of the WM tracts appears near the onset of the symptoms, pointing to the brainstem WM ratio as a biomarker of conversion in C9orf72 carriers. Whether the WM neurodegeneration occurs before or after the symptom's onset remains unclear. Our study did not show WM changes in the presymptomatic carriers' group. By contrast, Querin et al. recently observed spinal cord WM atrophy in presymptomatic C9orf72 carriers who were older than 40 years [23]. This could suggest that the spinal cord would show signs of WM alterations before the brainstem, or it could be the result of including participants who were far from the estimated year of onset in our study. The observed relationship between the brainstem WM ratio and age sheds light on this point. Overall, all subjects showed a mild loss of WM over the years with both groups, carriers, and noncarriers, showing no differences until the 6th decade of life when C9orf72 carriers suffer a greater WM loss, especially in the midbrain. Of note, this decade of life coincides with the onset of symptoms reported recently by Moore et al., reinforcing the idea of the brainstem WM ratio as a possible biomarker of conversion [9].

In consonance with neuropathological studies, patients with MND showed significantly more atrophy in the pons and especially in the medulla oblongata compared to FTD. Similar results were found in the multivariate analyses, where patients presenting in form of MND suffered further loss of WM ratio than the other groups, particularly in the medulla oblongata (Fig. 4B). We hypothesize that this greater loss of WM in C9orf72 carriers is due to the neurodegeneration of the corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts in patients who develop motor neuron symptoms. These

results suggest that the brainstem WM ratio, especially in the medulla oblongata, could be an interesting biomarker to predict motor neuron symptoms in C9orf72 carriers. This finding is particularly relevant because the form of onset in C9orf72 carriers is highly unpredictable, and patients with motor neuron symptoms have a worse overall prognosis. Moreover, most neuroimage biomarkers studied in C9orf72 carriers have focused on cortical atrophy, but MND patients may present only subtle cortical atrophy, especially in those with bulbar onset where, theoretically, brainstem changes were supposed to be more remarkable.

Additionally, we evaluated if the WM ratio could monitor the disease progression. For this purpose, we assessed the WM ratio across the different disease stages measured with the CDR® + NACC-FTLD scale. Here, a biological gradient was found, with patients in more advanced stages showing lower WM ratios. This loss of WM was greater in the midbrain with significant differences between the CDR = 0.5 and the CDR = 1 stages in the region. We also found a negative correlation between the CDR® + NACC-FTLD sum of boxes and the WM ratio in the brainstem. This correlation was, again, strongest in the midbrain (r = -0.60). We also evaluated the correlation between the WM ratio and the severity of the motor neuron symptoms in C9orf72 carriers. A negative correlation between the WM ratio and the ALSFRS-R was found in all the brainstem regions. However, this correlation was highly influenced by subjects without motor neuron symptoms.

Our study has some limitations. First, it is important to consider that the brainstem WM visualization is challenging due to the small size of the pathways, the high density of their distributions, lower contrast, and image distortions associated with in vivo acquisitions. As mentioned before, brain volumetry could overestimate WM volumes. Despite this possible limitation, we found that our methodology is valid to find differences between groups. To support and complement our results, other MRI modalities such as Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) may be studied in the future. Another limitation is the relatively small sample size. Even

using data from a multicentric study, in some analyses, especially for the MND subgroup, the number of subjects was low, due to the low prevalence of the disease. This small number of MND patients did not allow us to study differences between subjects with bulbar or spinal onset.

In conclusion, our data suggest that WM loss in the brainstem might be a marker of clinical conversion and disease progression monitoring in C9orf72 carriers, especially in carriers presenting with motor neuron symptoms. Additional studies with extended follow-up data might be needed to confirm these findings.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the volunteers for their participation in this study. SBE is a recipient of the Joan Rodés Josep Baselga grant from the FBBVA. This study was partially funded by Fundació Marató de TV3, and Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain (grant no. 20143810 and PI20/0448 to RSV). The GENFI study has been supported by the Medical Research Council UK, the Italian Ministry of Health and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research as part of a Centres of Excellence in Neurodegeneration grant, as well as other individual funding to investigators. KM has received funding from an Alzheimer's Society PhD studentship. JDR acknowledges support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Queen Square Dementia Biomedical Research Unit and the University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, the Leonard Wolfson Experimental Neurology Centre, the UK Dementia Research Institute, Alzheimer's Research UK, the Brain Research Trust and the Wolfson Foundation. JCvS was supported by the Dioraphte Foundation grant 09-02-03-00, the Association for Frontotemporal Dementias Research Grant 2009, The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) grant HCMI 056-13-018, ZonMw Memorabel (Deltaplan Dementie, project number 733 051 042), Alzheimer Nederland and the Bluefield project. CG have received funding from JPND-Prefrontals VR Dnr 529-2014-7504, VR:

2015-02926, and 2018-02754, the Swedish FTD Initiative-Schörling Foundation, Alzheimer Foundation, Brain Foundation and Stockholm County Council ALF. DG has received support from the EU Joint Programme – Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND) and the Italian Ministry of Health (PreFrontALS) grant 733051042. JBR is funded by the Wellcome Trust (103838) and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. MM has received funding from a Canadian Institutes of Health Research operating grant and the Weston Brain Institute and Ontario Brain Institute. RV has received funding from the Mady Browaeys Fund for Research into Frontotemporal Dementia. EF has received funding from a CIHR grant #327387. JDR is an MRC Clinician Scientist (MR/M008525/1) and has received funding from the NIHR Rare Diseases Translational Research Collaboration (BRC149/NS/MH), the Bluefield Project and the Association for Frontotemporal Degeneration. MS was supported by a grant 779257 "Solve-RD" from the Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.

Funding

The funding sources have no role in the design of this study, its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or the decision to submit results.

Data availability statement

The dataset analyzed for the current study is from the research consortia GENFI. Data will be shared according to the GENFI data sharing agreement, after review by the GENFI data access committee with final approval granted by the GENFI steering committee.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest

JBR reports consultancy for Asceneuron, Biogen, UCB, and SV Healthcare and research grants from Janssen, Lilly, and AZ-Medimmune. JL reports speakers fees from Bayer Vital, consulting fees from Axon Neuroscience, nonfinancial support from Abbvie, compensation for part time CMO from MODAG, author fees from Thieme medical publishers and from W. Kohlhammer GbmH medical publishers, all outside the submitted work. JDR Rohrer has served as a consultant for Biogen, Ionis, Alector, Wave Life Sciences, and Astex. RSV has served in Advisory boards Meetings for Wave Life Sciences, Ionis and Novo Nordisk and received personal fees for participating in educational activities from Janssen, Roche Diagnostics and Neuroxpharma and funding to her institution for research projects from Biogen and Sage Pharmaceuticals. The other authors report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript.

References

1. Bang J, Spina S, Miller BL (2015) Frontotemporal dementia. Lancet 386:1672–1682. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15) 00461-4

2. Hardiman O, Al-Chalabi A, Chio A et al (2017) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrdp.2017.71

3. Burrell JR, Halliday GM, Kril JJ et al (2016) The frontotemporal dementia-motor neuron disease continuum. Lancet 388:919–931. 471 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00737-6

4. Borrego-Écija S, Turon-Sans J, Ximelis T et al (2021) Cognitive decline in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Neuropathological substrate and genetic determinants. Brain Pathol 31:e12942. https://doi.org/10.1111/BPA.12942

5. Rademakers R, Neumann M, MacKenzie IR (2012) Advances in understanding the molecular basis of frontotemporal dementia. Nat Rev Neurol 8:423–434. https://doi.org/10.1038/NRNEUROL. 2012.117

6. Čerami C, Marcone A, Crespi C et al (2015) Motor neuron dysfunctions in the frontotemporal lobar degeneration spectrum: a 76 clinical and neurophysiological study. J Neurol Sci 351:72–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JNS.2015.02.039

7. DeJesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF et al (2011) Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Neuron 72:245–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.011

8. Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A et al (2011) A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21linked ALS-FTD. Neuron 72:257–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2011.09.010

9. Moore KM, Nicholas J, Grossman M et al (2020) Age at symptom onset and death and disease duration in genetic frontotemporal dementia: an international retrospective cohort study. Lancet Neurol 19:145–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30394-1

10. Rohrer JD, Warren JD, Fox NC, Rossor MN (2013) Presymptomatic studies in geneticfrontotemporaldementia.RevueNeurologiquehttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurol.2013.07.010

11. Rohrer JD, Nicholas JM, Cash DM et al (2015) Presymptomatic cognitive and neuroanatomical changes in genetic frontotemporal dementia in the Genetic Frontotemporal dementia Initiative (GENFI) study: a cross-sectional analysis. Lancet Neurol 14:253–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70324-2

12. Walhout R, Schmidt R, Westeneng H-J et al (2015) Brain morphologic changes in asymptomatic C9orf72 repeat expansion carriers. Neurology 85:1780–1788. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000 00000002135

13. Cash DM, Bocchetta M, Thomas DL et al (2018) Patterns of gray matter atrophy in genetic frontotemporal dementia: results from the GENFI study. Neurobiol Aging 62:191–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.10.008

14. Bertrand A, Wen J, Rinaldi D et al (2018) Early cognitive, structural, and microstructural changes in presymptomatic C9orf72 carriers younger than 40 years. JAMA Neurol 75:236–245. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMANEUROL.2017.4266

15. Panman JL, Jiskoot LC, Bouts MJRJ et al (2019) Gray and white matter changes in presymptomatic genetic frontotemporal dementia: a longitudinal MRI study. Neurobiol Aging 76:115–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.12.017

16. Bocchetta M, Todd EG, Peakman G et al (2021) Differential early subcortical involvement in genetic FTD within the GENFI cohort. NeuroImage: Clin. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102646 17. Papma JM, Jiskoot LC, Panman JL et al (2017) Cognition and gray and white matter characteristics of presymptomatic C9orf72 repeat expansion. Neurology 89:1256–1264. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000004393

18. Jiskoot LC, Bocchetta M, Nicholas JM et al (2018) Presymptomatic white matter integrity loss in familial frontotemporal dementia in the GENFI cohort: a cross-sectional diffusion tensor imaging study. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 5:1025–1036. https://doi.org/10.1002/ACN3.601

19. Brown RH, Al-Chalabi A (2017) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. N Engl J Med 377:162–172. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1603

20. Grinberg LT, Rueb U, Heinsen H (2011) Brainstem: neglected locus in neurodegenerative diseases. Front Neurol. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/FNEUR.2011.00042

21. Bede P, Chipika RH, Finegan E et al (2019) Brainstem pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and primary lateral sclerosis: a longitudinal neuroimaging study. Neuroimage Clin 24:102054. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.102054

22. Pioro EP, Turner MR, Bede P (2020) Neuroimaging in primary lateral sclerosis. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 21:18–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/21678421.2020.18371

23. Querin G, Bede P, El Mendili MM et al (2019) Presymptomatic spinal cord pathology in c9orf72 mutation carriers: a longitudinal neuroimaging study. Ann Neurol 86:158–167. https://doi.org/10. 1002/ANA.25520

24. Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D et al (2011) Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. Brain 134:2456–2477. https://doi.org/10. 1093/brain/awr179

25. Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S et al (2011) Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. Neurology 76:1006–1014. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0B013E3182 1103E6

26. Strong MJ, Abrahams S, Goldstein LH et al (2017) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis frontotemporal spectrum disorder (ALS-FTSD): revised diagnostic criteria. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 18:153–174. https://doi.org/10.1080/21678421.2016. 1267768

27. Brooks BR (1994) El Escorial World Federation of Neurology criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Subcommittee on Motor Neuron Diseases/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Neuromuscular Diseases and the El Escorial "Clinical limits of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis" workshop contributors. J Neurol Sci 124(Suppl):96–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510x(94) 90191-0

28. Miyagawa T, Brushaber D, Syrjanen J et al (2020) Use of the CDR® plus NACC FTLD in mild FTLD: data from the ARTFL/ LEFFTDS consortium. Alzheimer's Dement 16:79–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JALZ.2019.05.013

29. Cedarbaum JM, Stambler N, Malta E et al (1999) The ALSFRS-R: a revised ALS functional rating scale that incorporates assessments of respiratory function. J Neurol Sci 169:13–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-510X(99)00210-5

30. Reuter M, Schmansky NJ, Rosas HD, Fischl B (2012) Within-subject template estimation for unbiased longitudinal image analysis. Neuroimage 61:1402–1418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2012.02.084

31. Fischl B, Salat DH, van der Kouwe AJW et al (2004) Sequenceindependent segmentation of magnetic resonance images. Neuroimage 23(Suppl 1):S69-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2004.07.016

32. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E et al (2002) Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. Neuron 33:341–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00569-x

33. Iglesias JE, Van Leemput K, Bhatt P et al (2015) Bayesian segmentation of brainstem structures in MRI. Neuroimage 113:184–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.065

34. Bocchetta M, Iglesias JE, Chelban V et al (2020) Automated brainstem segmentation detects differential involvement in atypical Parkinsonian syndromes. J Mov Disord 13:39–46. https://doi.org/10.14802/jmd.19030

35. Bocchetta M, Malpetti M, Todd EG et al (2021) Looking beneath the surface: the importance of subcortical structures in frontotemporal dementia. Brain Commun 3:fcab158. https://doi.org/10. 1093/braincomms/fcab158

36. Salamon N, Sicotte N, Alger J et al (2005) Analysis of the brainstem white-matter tracts with diffusion tensor imaging. Neuroradiology 47:895–902. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00234-005-1439-8 37. Ford A, Colon-Perez L, Triplett WT et al (2013) Imaging white matter in human brainstem. Front Hum Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNHUM.2013.00400 **Figure 1** The brainstem segmentation for all the matters for two different views. Orange represents the midbrain region, yellow represents the pons region and blue represents the medulla oblongata region. In this case, this subject is a healthy control



Figure 2 Boxplot of the WM ratio volume of each brainstem region at baseline. Indicates *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001



Clinical Diagnosis

Figure 3 A Boxplot of WM ratio across the CDR® + NACC-FTLD Global stages for the carriers' participants. Pairwise comparisons between stages were performed only for consecutive stages, finding significant differences between the 0.5 and the 1 stages in the midbrain: *p < 0.05, B Scatter plots of WM ratio by the CDR® + NACC-FTLD Sum Of Boxes. Red lines represent the correlation analyses, C Scatter plots of WM ratio by the ALSFRS-R in the different regions for the carriers' participants. Red lines represent the correlation analyses



A) WM ratio across the CDR+NACC-FTLD Global stages

Figure 4 Scatter plot showing the correlation between the WM ratio and age for each of the studied groups: the whole brainstem, the midbrain, the pons, and the medulla oblongata



A) WM ratio by Age according the Genetic Status



Table 1. Baseline demographics for controls, presymptomatic and both symptomatic carriers groups. Brainstem subregions volumes and WM ratio. Show the group differences for the whole volume/WM ratio; EYO estimated years to onset, FTD frontotemporal dementia, f female, m male, MND motor neuron dis- ease, sd standard deviation; *Statistical differences (p < 0.05) compared with non-carriers and presymptomatic carriers: **Statistical differences (p < 0.0001) compared with non-carriers and presymptomatic carriers

	Non- carriers	<i>C9orf7</i> 2 presymptomatic carriers	C9orf72 FTD carriers	C9orf72 MND carriers
Number of participants	75	102	41	11
Sex (f/m)	48/27	63/39	16/25*	4/7*
Age, years Mean(sd)	45.2 (12.6)	44.9 (11.8)	62.8 (8.4)**	62.6 (6.4)**
Age at onset, years Mean (sd)	-	-	57.2 (9.5)	59.5 (6.1)
EYO, years Mean (sd)	- 15.0 (11.6)	- 13.8 (11.9)	5.1 (6.1)**	1.4 (4.0)**
CDR®+NACC-FTLD Global Median (range)	-	_	2 (1–3)	2 (1-3)
CDR®+NACC-FTLD Sum of Boxes Median (range)	-	_	12.5 (1–22)	7.5 (1–18)

Table 2. Multiple linear regression coefficients for comparing carriers and non-carriers

	Midbrain			Pons			Medulla		
	β	sd	p	β	sd	p	β	sd	β
Intercept	1.0121	0.0146	< 0.0001	1.0149	0.0126	< 0.0001	1.0284	0.0319	< 0.0001
Age	- 0.0010	0.0003	< 0.001	- 0.0003	0.0002	0.183	- 0.0007	0.0006	0.282
Scanner	- 0.0004	0.0004	0.257	- 0.0006	0.0003	0.076	- 0.0010	0.0009	0.261
Sex									
Female vs male	- 0.0056	0.0042	0.196	- 0.0050	0.0037	0.177	- 0.0159	0.0095	0.096
Genetic status									
Carriers vs noncarriers	0.02865	0.0172	0.098	0.0194	0.0148	0.192	0.0247	0.0368	0.502
Age × genetic status									
Carriers vs noncarriers	- 0.0008	0.0004	0.019	- 0.0005	0.0003	0.080	- 0.0007	0.0007	0.342

	Midbrain			Pons			Medulla		
	β	sd	р	β	sd	р	β	sd	р
Intercept	1.0110	0.0137	< 0.0001	1.0110	0.0116	< 0.0001	1.0146	0.0271	< 0.0001
Age	- 0.0010	0.0003	< 0.001	- 0.0003	0.0002	0.151	- 0.0006	0.0005	0.274
Scanner	- 0.0005	0.0004	0.149	- 0.0003	0.0003	0.307	- 0.0002	0.0008	0.822
Sex									
Female vs male	0.0001	0.0004	0.998	- 0.0004	0.0034	0.895	- 0.0033	0.0084	0.691
Clinical group									
Presymptomatic vs control	 0.0083	0.0176	0.638	_ 0.0056	0.0143	0.694	_ 0.0175	0.0341	0.608
FTD vs control	- 0.0045	0.0380	0.905	- 0.0061	0.0308	0.841	- 0.1444	0.0730	0.049
MND vs control	0.1992	0.0931	0.033	0.3871	0.0756	< 0.0001	0.6220	0.1735	< 0.001
Age × clinical group									
Presymptomatic vs control	0.0001	0.0004	0.729	0.0001	0.0003	0.773	0.0004	0.0007	0.553
FTD vs control	- 0.0005	0.0006	0.369	0.0003	0.0005	0.500	0.0019	0.0012	0.118
MND vs control	- 0.0037	0.0015	0.013	- 0.0070	0.0012	< 0.0001	- 0.0122	0.0028	< 0.0001

Table 3. Multiple linear regression coefficients for assessing the brainstem WM trajectories by age according to the clinical status. *Significant group differences (p<0.05) are highlighted in bold*

Appendix

List of GENFI consortium authors

• Abbe Ullgren, Center for Alzheimer Research, Division of Neurogeriatrics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

• Adeline Rollin, CHU, CNR-MAJ, Labex Distalz, LiCEND Lille, France

• Agnès Camuzat, Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

• Aitana Sogorb Esteve, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Alazne Gabilondo, Cognitive Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Donostia University Hospital, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain; Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Insitute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Albert Lladó, Alzheimer's disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

• Alberto Benussi, Centre for Neurodegenerative Disorders, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

• Alexis Brice, Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

• Ana Gorostidi, Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Insitute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Ana Verdelho, Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte Hospital de Santa Maria & Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

• Andrea Arighi, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Neurodegenerative Diseases Unit, Milan, Italy

• Anna Antonell, Alzheimer's disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

• Anne Bertrand, Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

• Annerose Engel, Clinic for Cognitive Neurology, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

• Annick Vogels, Department of Human Genetics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

• Arabella Bouzigues, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Aurélie Funkiewiez, Centre de référence des démences rares ou précoces, IM2A, Département de Neurologie, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

• Benedetta Nacmias, Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

• Benjamin Bender, Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

• Camilla Ferrari, Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

• Carlo Wilke, Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research and Center of Neurology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Tübingen, Germany

• Carolin Heller, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Carolina Maruta, Laboratory of Language Research, Centro de Estudos Egas Moniz, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

• Caroline V. Greaves, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Carolyn Timberlake, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

• Catarina B. Ferreira, Laboratory of Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

• Catharina Prix, Neurologische Klinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

• Chiara Fenoglio, University of Milan, Centro Dino Ferrari, Milan, Italy

• Christen Shoesmith, Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

• Cristina Polito, Department of Biomedical, Experimental and Clinical Sciences "Mario Serio", Nuclear Medicine Unit, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

• Daisy Rinaldi, Centre de référence des démences rares ou précoces, IM2A, Département de Neurologie, AP-HP -Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France

• Dario Saracino, Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France

• David Cash, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• David L. Thomas, Neuroimaging Analysis Centre, Department of Brain Repair and Rehabilitation, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK

• David Tang-Wai, The University Health Network, Krembil Research Institute, Toronto, Canada

• Diana Duro, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Ekaterina Rogaeva, Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

• Elio Scarpini, University of Milan, Centro Dino Ferrari, Milan, Italy

• Elisabeth Wlasich, Neurologische Klinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

• Emanuele Buratti, Molecular Pathology Laboratory, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB), 34149 Trieste, Italy

• Emily Todd, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

- Enrico Premi, Stroke Unit, ASST Brescia Hospital, Brescia, Italy
- Frederico Simões do Couto, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Católica Portuguesa
- Gabriel Miltenberger, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal
- Gemma Lombardi, IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Florence, Italy
- Giacomina Rossi, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy

• Giorgio Fumagalli, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Neurodegenerative Diseases Unit, Milan, Italy

• Giorgio Giaccone, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy

- Giuseppe Di Fede, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy
- Gregory Kuchcinski, Univ Lille, France

• Hanya Benotmane, UK Dementia Research Institute at University College London, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Henrik Zetterberg, UK Dementia Research Institute at University College London, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Jennifer Nicholas, Department of Medical Statistics, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

• João Durães, Neurology Department, Centro Hospitalare Universitario de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Jolina Lombardi, Department of Neurology, University of Ulm, Ulm

• Jordi Juncà-Parella, Alzheimer's disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

• Jordi Sarto, Alzheimer's disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

• Jorge Villanua, OSATEK, University of Donostia, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Kiran Samra, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Koen Poesen, Laboratory for Molecular Neurobiomarker Research, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

• Linn Öijerstedt, Center for Alzheimer Research, Division of Neurogeriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Bioclinicum, Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden

• Lisa Graf Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research and Center of Neurology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

• Lucia Giannini, Department of Neurology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands

• Lucy L. Russell, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Maria João Leitão, Centre of Neurosciences and Cell Biology, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Maria Rosario Almeida, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Maria Serpente, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Neurodegenerative Diseases Unit, Milan, Italy

• Marisa Lima, Neurology Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Marta Cañada, CITA Alzheimer, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Martina Bocchetta, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Maxime Bertoux, Inserm 1172, Lille, France

• Michele Veldsman, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

• Miguel Castelo-Branco, Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Miguel Tábuas-Pereira, Neurology Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitario de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal • Mikel Tainta, Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Insitute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Mircea Balasa, Alzheimer's disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

• Miren Zulaica, Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Insitute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Morris Freedman, Baycrest Health Sciences, Rotman Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada • Myriam Barandiaran, Cognitive Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Donostia University Hospital, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain; Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Insitute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain

• Nuria Bargalló, Imaging Diagnostic Center, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

• Olivia Wagemann, Neurologische Klinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

• Olivier Colliot, Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

• Paola Caroppo, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy

• Patricia Alves, Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Insitute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain; Department of Educational Psychology and Psychobiology, Faculty of Education, International University of La Rioja, Logroño, Spain

• Paul Thompson, Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology, Wolfson Molecular Imaging Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

• Pedro Rosa-Neto, Translational Neuroimaging Laboratory, McGill Centre for Studies in Aging, McGill University, Montreal, Québec, Canada

• Philip Van Damme, Neurology Service, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium; Laboratory for Neurobiology, VIBKU Leuven Centre for Brain Research, Leuven, Belgium

• Imogen J. Swift, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Jackie Poos, Department of Neurology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands

• Janne M. Papma, Department of Neurology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands

• Maryna Polyakova, Department for Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences and Clinic for Cognitive Neurology, University Hospital Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

• Mathieu Vandenbulcke, Geriatric Psychiatry Service, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium; Neuropsychiatry, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

• Pietro Tiraboschi, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy

• Rachelle Shafei, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Rhian S. Convery, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

• Rick van Minkelen, Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands

• Robart Bartha, Department of Medical Biophysics, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; Centre for Functional and Metabolic Mapping, Robarts Research Institute, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

• Roberto Gasparotti, Neuroradiology Unit, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

- Ron Keren, The University Health Network, Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Toronto, Canada
- Rosa Rademakers, Center for Molecular Neurology, University of Antwerp
- Rose Bruffaerts, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

• Sabrina Sayah, Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau – ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

• Sandra Black, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

• Sandra Loosli, Neurologische Klinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

• Sara Mitchell, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

• Sara Prioni, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy

• Sarah Anderl-Straub, Department of Neurology, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

• Serge Gauthier, Alzheimer Disease Research Unit, McGill Centre for Studies in Aging, Department of Neurology & Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Québec, Canada

• Sónia Afonso, Instituto Ciencias Nucleares Aplicadas a Saude, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

• Sonja Schönecker, Neurologische Klinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

• Stefano Gazzina, Neurophysiology Unit, ASST Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy

• Thibaud Lebouvier, Univ Lille, France

• Thomas Cope, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

• Timothy Rittman, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

• Tobias Hoegen, Neurologische Klinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

• Valentina Bessi, Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

• Valentina Cantoni, Centre for Neurodegenerative Disorders, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

• Veronica Redaelli, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milano, Italy

- Vesna Jelic, Division of Clinical Geriatrics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- Vincent DeramecourtUniv Lille, France

• Vittoria Borracci, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Neurodegenerative Diseases Unit, Milan, Italy