On-demand cell-autonomous gene therapy for brain circuit disorders
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Several neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders are characterized by intermittent episodes of pathological activity. Although genetic therapies offer the ability to modulate neuronal excitability, a limiting factor is that they do not discriminate between neurons involved in circuit pathologies and “healthy” surrounding or intermingled neurons. We describe a gene therapy strategy that down-regulates the excitability of overactive neurons in closed loop, which we tested in models of epilepsy. We used an immediate early gene promoter to drive the expression of Kv1.1 potassium channels specifically in hyperactive neurons, and only for as long as they exhibit abnormal activity. Neuronal excitability was reduced by seizure-related activity, leading to a persistent antiepileptic effect without interfering with normal behaviors. Activity-dependent gene therapy is a promising on-demand cell-autonomous treatment for brain circuit disorders.

**One-Sentence Summary:** Expressing a transgene that reduces neuronal excitability using an activity-dependent promoter stops seizures in closed loop.
Many neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric circuit disorders are characterized by intermittent episodes of pathological activity (1-3). Pharmacotherapy is focused on reducing the frequency and/or severity of such episodes, but often with limited success. For instance, 30% of people with epilepsy are refractory to pharmacological treatment, even with drugs that act in a use-dependent manner on their molecular targets (4). Genetic therapies are promising strategies to treat these pathologies because of their ability to modulate neuronal excitability in a region- and cell-type specific manner (5-9). However, current experimental genetic therapies do not discriminate between neurons involved in triggering circuit paroxysms and healthy surrounding or intermingled neurons (10-16). There is a need to develop methods that select and treat only those neurons involved in the generation of crises (17, 18). In the case of epilepsy, sub-populations of neurons exhibit stereotypical patterns of pathological discharges during seizures (19, 20). Targeting these neurons specifically would be an important step towards a rational treatment with minimal side effects. Activity-dependent promoters, typically of immediate early genes (IEGs), respond rapidly to increases in neuronal activity (21-23), and have been used to identify hyperactive cells recruited during seizures (24). Although seizures often start and terminate abruptly, they can occur in clusters with intervals comparable to the kinetics of IEGs (25). We therefore asked if an activity-dependent promoter could be used to drive a therapeutic transgene to reduce neuronal excitability in closed loop. Epileptiform hyperactivity should reduce their likelihood to fire while leaving other neurons unaffected (Fig.1A). Once seizures resolve, the genetic therapy should switch itself off, unless and until excessive activity occurs again (Fig.1B).

Results

Activity-dependent gene therapy follows neuronal dynamics and decreases network hyperexcitability in vitro
We used an adeno-associated virus (AAV) to express a destabilized version of the fluorescent reporter GFP (dsGFP) under the promoter of an extensively characterized IEG, c-Fos (AAV9 cfos-dsGFP, shortened to cfos-dsGFP) (21-24), and verified that dsGFP expression dynamically follows epileptiform network activity in vitro. Primary neuronal cultures were infected at 7 days in vitro (DIV) and grown either on multielectrode arrays (MEAs) to record network activity, or on coverslips for imaging (Fig.1C-F). At 18DIV we blocked GABA receptors with picrotoxin (PTX) to mimic an epileptic seizure and then recorded network activity or, in parallel, fixed a subset of coverslips, at 2, 6, 24 and 48hrs in the continued presence of PTX. MEA recordings revealed an increase in network activity that peaked 6hrs after addition of PTX and then returned to baseline after 48hrs (Fig. 1D, Fig.S1). c-Fos expression and dsGFP fluorescence followed a similar time-course (Fig. 1E, F and Fig.S2).
We next placed a transgene that decreases neuronal and network activity under the same cfos promoter. We used a codon-optimized version of EKC, which encodes the potassium channel Kv1.1, with an Ile400Val mutation to bypass the need for posttranscriptional editing and facilitate recovery from inactivation (15). We refer to this engineered potassium (K+) channel as EKC. Kv1.1 overexpression decreases both neuronal excitability and synaptic neurotransmitter release (26). Kv1.1 overexpression using EKC, EKC, or transcriptional upregulation has also been used in experimental antiepileptic gene therapies targeting excitatory neurons (11, 12, 15, 27, 28). Because of the innate propensity of primary neuronal cultures in vitro to exhibit bursting activity as they mature, we transduced neurons plated on MEAs at 7DIV with either cfos-dsGFP or AAV9 cfos-EKC (cfos-EKC), and recorded network activity at 21DIV (Fig. 2A, B). As hypothesized, cultures treated with cfos-EKC showed less network activity compared to cultures treated with cfos-dsGFP (Fig. 2C). Spike frequency, burst frequency, and number of spikes per burst were all significantly lower in cultures treated with cfos-EKC (Fig. 2D and Fig. S3). We also asked if an experimental perturbation aimed at transiently increasing activity is followed by a rapid decrease in neuronal excitability, as would be expected from the activity-dependent promoter driving
EKC expression (Fig. S5). We increased spontaneous activity by adding PTX for 30 min, and then silenced network activity for 2 hrs with tetrodotoxin (TTX) before washing off the blockers and recording neuronal firing. We observed a net decrease in firing rate in dsGFP positive neurons treated with cfos-EKC compared to cfos-dsGFP (Fig. S5).

We asked if the results with cfos-EKC would generalize to other activity-dependent promoters and transgenes (Fig. S6). We compared the promoters of several IEGs (cfos, arc and egr1) as well as synthetic activity-dependent promoters (ESARE and NRAM), which have different properties (21, 29-31) (Table S2), in combination with three transgenes: the control reporter (dsGFP), EKC as above, or another potassium channel gene KCNJ2, which encodes the muscle inward-rectifier Kir2.1 (32, 33). Although some promoter/transgene combinations were effective in reducing spiking and/or bursting, none was as consistent across the different electrophysiological measures as cfos-EKC (Fig. S6).

We sought to determine whether cfos-EKC dampens the effect of a proconvulsant manipulation in vitro. However, the lower baseline activity in cfos-EKC-treated cultures (Fig. 2D) precluded a simple comparison with cfos-dsGFP. Instead, we modified a previously described method, CRISPR activation (CRISPRa), based on dual-AAV9 delivery of a single guide RNA (sgRNA) and a nuclease-defective ‘dead’ Cas9 (dCas9) controlled by doxycycline (14, 34). One AAV9 carried a TeT-ON promoter driving dCas9 fused to a transcriptional activator. The other AAV9 expressed the cfos promoter driving the tetracycline trans-activator rtTa linked to EGFP with a T2A peptide, as well as a U6 promoter driving either an sgRNA targeting the endogenous Kcna1 promoter or an sgRNA designed to target the yeast LacZ gene as control (14, 34) (Fig. 2E). In this system, endogenous Kcna1 overexpression was designed to be switched on only when all three of the following conditions are met: (i) neurons are co-transduced with both AAV9s, (ii) doxycycline is
applied, and (iii) activity is sufficient to activate the c fos promoter. Primary cultures plated on
MEAs were treated with both AAVs at 7DIV. We then obtained an initial (baseline) recording of network activity at 14DIV without doxycycline treatment. There was no difference in network excitability between cultures transduced with either cfos-dsGFP as control or cfos-EKC. C. MEA traces. D. The effect of cfos-EKC compared to cfos-dsGFP on spike frequency, mean bursting rate and average number of spikes per burst. Student’s t test corrected for multiple comparisons, \( \alpha = 0.02 \). E. Timeline of MEA recordings in primary cultures transduced with dual AAVs controlled by doxycycline: one expressing an inducible dCAS9 fused to a transcriptional activator and the other carrying an sgRNA targeting either the \( Kcna1 \) promoter or a LacZ sequence. F. Spike frequency prior to PTX addition was similar between neurons transduced with \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_LacZ and \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_\( Kcna1 \). G. Spike frequency over time normalized to network activity recorded at 14DIV. \( \ast p < 0.05 \); Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni multiple-comparison test. Interaction Time x sgRNA, \( p = 0.006 \). Panels F and G use the same color scheme.

MEAs were treated with both AAVs at 7DIV. We then obtained an initial (baseline) recording of network activity at 14DIV without doxycycline treatment. There was no difference in network excitability between cultures transduced with either \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_\( Kcna1 \) or \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_LacZ (Fig. 2F). We then added doxycycline to activate CRISPRa and recorded from the same cultures 4 days later. We again observed no significant difference in network activity. Finally, we added PTX and recorded from the same cultures 2, 6, 24 and 48hrs later. This revealed a clear difference between cultures treated with \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_\( Kcna1 \) and \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_LacZ. Indeed, PTX failed to increase network activity in cultures treated with \( cfos \)-CRISPRa_\( Kcna1 \) (Fig. 2G, Fig. S7).

Activity-dependent gene therapy decreases neuronal excitability in a transient, cell-autonomous, on-demand fashion

We next asked if the strategy is effective in vivo. In the first set of experiments, we asked how the \( cfos \) promoter behaves in response to chemoconvulsant-evoked seizures. Mice were
injected with either *cfos*-dsGFP or AAV9 *CaMKII*-dsGFP (shortened to *CaMKII*-dsGFP) in the visual cortex. The *CaMKII* promoter was chosen as a control because it has previously been used to bias expression to forebrain principal-cells in constitutive anti-epileptic gene therapies (10, 15). Two weeks later, we injected either pilocarpine into the same brain region
to induce a focal seizure (35), or saline as a control (Fig.S8) and sacrificed the mice 2 hours later. Animals treated with CaMKII-dsGFP showed widespread expression of GFP whether saline or pilocarpine was injected. In contrast, mice treated with cfos-dsGFP showed focal expression of GFP only after pilocarpine injection (Fig.S8).

To test whether activity–dependent expression of EKC driven by the cfos promoter can affect neuronal excitability, we injected either cfos-dsGFP or cfos-EKC in the hippocampus of adult mice. Two weeks later we elicited a single generalized seizure with an intraperitoneal (IP) pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) injection. Mice were monitored to verify that they reached stage 5
on a revised Racine scale (36) (equivalent to a generalized tonic-clonic seizure) and were sacrificed after 2 hours to prepare acute hippocampal slices for electrophysiological recordings from dsGFP-positive CA1 pyramidal neurons (Fig. 3A). dsGFP-positive neurons treated with cfos-EKC exhibited a profound decrease in excitability when compared to cfos-dsGFP treated neurons (Fig. 3B, C), with robust decreases in the slope relating action potential frequency to depolarizing current injection, and in the maximal firing frequency. There was also an increase in current threshold for triggering the first action potential and an increase in the size of the afterhyperpolarization but other parameters were not impacted (Fig. 3C, Fig. S9). The effect of activity-dependent EKC expression was more pronounced than previously observed with constitutive expression (11, 14). We were unable to measure the effect of cfos-EKC in the absence of seizures because we could not identify the transduced neurons without activation of the fluorescent reporter.

We also tested other promoter/transgene combinations. These revealed a consistent effect of EKC overexpression on action potential firing, independent of the promoter (Fig. S10, S11). When the KCNJ2 transgene was used, however, neurons exhibited a hyperpolarized resting membrane potential, as expected from the intrinsic properties of this channel (32), but inconsistent changes in firing (Fig. S10, S11; Supplementary Text).

To better understand which neurons were activated after a single generalized PTZ-evoked seizure, we performed immunohistochemical analysis in different hippocampal regions (CA1/2, CA3 and hilus) in animals treated with different IEG promoters driving dsGFP in the hippocampus (Fig. 3D and Figs. S12-S17). dsGFP driven by cfos was mainly expressed in excitatory neurons, with a very low percentage of inhibitory neurons or of parvalbumin-positive neurons expressing the reporter (Fig. 3D and Fig. S15). Although ESARE-driven dsGFP expression was mainly in excitatory neurons, dsGFP driven by a minimal arc promoter (mArc) showed a significantly higher percentage of expression in inhibitory
neurons (≈12%) (Fig.S14, S16, S17). With cfos-dsGFP there was a trend for a lower percentage of excitatory neurons in CA1/2 to express dsGFP compared to CA3 and hilus (Fig.3D).

We hypothesized that expression of EKC in response to an evoked generalized seizure should attenuate the effect of a second chemoconvulsant challenge if delivered during the time that potassium channel overexpression persists, but that this protective effect should fade with time. We therefore performed three consecutive IP PTZ injections in the same animals (Fig. 3E). We first expressed either cfos-dsGFP or cfos-EKC in both hippocampi, and after 2 weeks gave the first PTZ injection. This injection triggered a single generalized seizure with no difference in severity between the two groups (Fig. 3E-H), as expected because the cfos promoter is normally inactive under baseline condition. We then administered a second PTZ injection 24hrs later. This led to markedly attenuated seizures in the animals injected with cfos-EKC compared to those injected with cfos-dsGFP, with the majority of animals failing to reach a Racine score of 4 (Fig. 3E-H). Finally, to test whether this effect persists, we gave a third PTZ injection after 2 weeks, by which time we anticipated that overexpression of Kv1.1 channels had returned to baseline, as expected from their membrane persistence (37). At this time-point no difference was observed between the two groups (Fig. 3E-H). Activity-dependent induction of EKC expression thus protects against generalized convulsions, which are associated clinically with mortality and morbidity, in a time-limited manner.

Activity-dependent gene therapy does not affect normal behavior

A potential limitation of cfos-EKC treatment is that it might interfere with normal brain function. Indeed, the cfos promoter is recruited during physiological behaviors such as memory formation and learning (38). We therefore asked whether salient sensory and aversive stimuli could lead to the overexpression of Kv1.1 sufficient to interfere with normal
behavior. *Cfos* activation has previously been harnessed to manipulate a memory trace or engram, with behavioral read-out in a contextual fear conditioning (CFC) task: chemogenetic or optogenetic modulation of neurons ‘tagged’ in a *cfos*-dependent manner during this test can alter fear recall 24hrs after memory consolidation (39-41). We repeated this behavioral paradigm following bilateral intra-hippocampal expression of either *cfos*-dsGFP or *cfos*-EKC, and performed the CFC test 2 weeks later (Fig. 4A). We tested this paradigm both in naïve animals and in animals that had received a single PTZ injection 24hrs before fear
Conditioning (leading to a Stage 5 seizure in all such animals). In the naïve animals, no difference in freezing behavior was observed between cfos-dsGFP and cfos-EKC treated groups, either during fear conditioning or 24hrs later during fear recall, or when animals were exposed to a new context (Fig. S18). In animals that had received a PTZ injection we observed a non-significant trend for greater freezing during fear recall in the cfos-EKC group than in the cfos-dsGFP group (Fig. 4B). When animals were tested in several other behavioral tasks, cfos-EKC expressing animals again performed similarly to cfos-dsGFP expressing animals: no differences were observed before or after viral injection (Fig. 4C, D and Fig. S19) in an open field test to monitor anxiety and locomotor activity (Fig. 4C), a T-Maze test to assess working memory (Fig. 4D), or in an olfactory discrimination test (Fig. S19).

Activity-dependent gene therapy suppresses spontaneous seizures and interictal activity in a chronic model of intractable epilepsy

Although treatment with cfos-EKC is effective in protecting against a second chemoconvulsant challenge delivered shortly after a first, it remained to be determined whether it could modify the disease course in epilepsy, where seizures occur sporadically. We therefore switched to a model of chronic limbic epilepsy. We used a clinically relevant model of drug resistant epilepsy that consists of an intra-amygdala kainic acid (KA) injection
to induce a period of status epilepticus (14, 42, 43). Mice started to exhibit spontaneous seizures after 2 weeks and were implanted with wireless electrocorticogram (ECoG) transmitters (Fig. 5A). We recorded spontaneous generalized seizures for 2 weeks and then randomized animals for injection with either cfos-dsGFP or cfos-EKC in both hippocampi.
Two weeks later, after waiting for viral expression, the ECoG was recorded for two more weeks (Fig. 5A). *cfos*-EKC treated animals showed a robust decrease in the number of spontaneous seizures when compared to animals receiving *cfos*-dsGFP (Fig. 5B-D).

We also analyzed interictal spike activity in the same animals (Fig. 5E). We observed a net decrease in spike activity in animals treated with *cfos*-EKC compared to *cfos*-dsGFP (Fig. 5E), with a trend to more consistently follow a circadian rhythm (Fig. S20). Despite a slight decrease in seizure frequency with time in *cfos*-dsGFP treated animals, interictal spike frequency increased, suggesting that this phenomenon is a natural evolution of this chronic model. In contrast, animals treated with *cfos*-EKC showed significant decreases in both...
spontaneous seizures and interictal spike activity, suggesting a broader impact of the treatment than only raising the seizure threshold. Indeed, treatment efficacy was reflected in significant decreases in ECoG coastline (a measure of overall activity), and in total power, especially at higher frequencies (Fig. 5 F, G).

We also asked whether the activity-dependent transgene expression was maintained in the absence of overt seizures. cfos-dsGFP was injected bilaterally in the dentate gyrus. Two weeks later KA was injected unilaterally, also in the dentate gyrus. After 5 days, at which stage interictal spikes occur without seizures (and dsGFP induced by KA has been degraded), we sliced the brain and observed ipsilateral cfos-dsGFP expression (Fig. S21). This finding suggests that interictal activity is sufficient for activation of the treatment.

At the end of the chronic epilepsy study, we asked whether the treatment protected animals from a lethal dose of PTZ (Fig. 5H). We observed a significant survival advantage in animals injected with cfos-EKC compared to cfos-dsGFP, with a survival rate close to that of cfos-dsGFP transduced naïve animals injected with the same PTZ dose (85%) (Fig.5H).

We also tested the effect of cfos-KCNJ2 on spontaneous seizures in the same chronic epilepsy model (Fig. S22). In contrast to cfos-EKC, no impact on seizure frequency was observed in animals injected with cfos-KCNJ2 when compared to cfos-dsGFP (Fig. S22), implying that transient Kir2.1 expression does not have an antiepileptic action.

Finally, we asked whether treatment with cfos-EKC affected performance in behavioral tests that are affected by chronic epilepsy. Having quantified several behavioral defects in chronic epileptic animals compared to naïve animals, we injected either cfos-dsGFP or cfos-EKC in epileptic animals and waited 4 weeks to measure the same behavioral parameters (Figs. S23-S25). We observed no worsening in animals treated with cfos-EKC compared to cfos-GFP in either T-Maze, Open Field or Olfactory Discrimination tests (Figs.S23-S25).
Activity-dependent gene therapy suppresses epileptiform activity in human neurons

We asked if cfos can be activated by epileptiform activity in human neurons. We used an induced pluripotent stem cell line to derive human cortical assembloids (hCA) by fusing cortical spheroids (hCS) with subpallial spheroids (hSS) (44-47) (Fig. 6A).

Immunofluorescence analysis at different time points showed expression of hCS and hSS region-specific transcription factors (FOXG1, PAX6, NKX2.1) and mature neuronal markers.
We demonstrated successful fusion of hCSs and hSSs - with inhibitory neurons migrating to, and integrating with, the cortical side (Fig. 6B). We then performed electrophysiological recordings in neurons located in the hCS area of acute hCA slices and showed that neurons can fire trains of action potentials and exhibit spontaneous PTX-sensitive inhibitory postsynaptic currents, confirming that interneurons ...
integrate in the network (Fig. 6C, D). We treated mature hCAs with 4-aminopyridine (4AP) and PTX to increase network excitability and recorded epileptiform activity using local field potentials (Fig. 6E, F). Four hours later we observed cfos-positive neurons that were not present when hCAs were treated with a control solution (Fig. 6G). Finally, we transduced hCAs with AAV9 cfos-dsGFP and after 12 days incubated them in either a control solution or 4AP and PTX (Fig.6H). No dsGFP positive neurons were present in control condition (Fig.6I). In contrast, we observed dsGFP-positive neurons in hCAs treated with 4AP and PTX, suggesting that this minimal promoter is also activated in human neurons following epileptiform activity (Fig. 6J, K). Finally, we tested the ability of cfos-EKC to suppress epileptiform activity in hCAs. We transduced hCAs with a lentivirus expressing either cfos-dsGFP or cfos-EKC, and after 10 days treated them for 1 hour and 45 minutes with 55mM KCl (Fig. S27). Four hours later, we recorded baseline local field potential activity and then added 55mM KCl a second time (Fig. 6L). We observed a clear reduction in overall network activity in hCA transduced with cfos-EKCompared to cfos-dsGFP (Fig. 6M-Q).

Discussion

We have reported an activity-dependent cell-autonomous on-demand gene therapy that follows network dynamics, driving the expression of a transgene that in turn decreases neuronal excitability (Fig. 1A, B). This approach is specific for neurons that participate in pathological network activity but is also time-limited in that transgene expression persists only for as long as neurons are hyperactive (Fig. 3E-H). In a chronic model of epilepsy, we achieved a greater decrease in seizure frequency than previously reported for gene therapy with constitutive promoters or with widely used anti-seizure drugs (11, 12, 13, 43), and without deleterious effects on normal behaviors (Figs. 4 and 5). Given that the components of activity-dependent gene therapy are normal mammalian genetic elements packaged in a
well-tolerated viral vector that is already in the clinic, there is a relatively straightforward path to first-in-human studies. The translational potential is underlined by the preliminary evidence of effectiveness in human cortical assembloids (Fig.6).

*Cfos* tagging has been used to track the recruitment of neurons in pathological behaviors, and as a test of causality in contextual fear conditioning (39-41). The combination of *cfos* with EKC proved highly effective in down-regulating neuronal excitability following chemoconvulsants, and in suppressing spontaneous seizures, without deleterious effects in a battery of behavioral tests. We observed less consistent effects on neuronal excitability with other promoters. Why other IEG promoters, and synthetic promoters, were less effective is unclear. Among factors determining their potential utility are the different time-courses of activation and deactivation, and the triggering mechanisms for each promoter. For example, mArc activation has been linked to synaptic transmission and plasticity processes rather than simply responding to increases in neuronal activity, as is the case for *cfos*, although the intermediate intracellular signaling cascades overlap (21). We also found that *KCNJ2* could not be substituted for EKC, even though it profoundly hyperpolarized neurons when driven in an activity-dependent manner (Fig.S4). If anything, animals treated with AAV9 *cfos-KCNJ2* had a worse outcome in the chronic epilepsy study when compared to animals injected with *cfos-dsGFP* (Fig.S10). A possible explanation for the efficacy of Kv1.1 overexpression is that it reduces not only excitability but also neurotransmitter release via a modulatory effect on the presynaptic action potential waveform (26, 48) (Supplementary Text).

It remains to be determined whether the powerful anti-epileptic effect of *cfos-EKC* depends on suppressing the excitability of a small number of ‘detonator’ or ‘hub’ neurons responsible for triggering seizures, or of a network of neurons whose activity is prone to reaching a critical point (20, 49). The data are therefore silent on the question whether successive
seizures arise from a stereotypical or variable pattern of neuronal recruitment in an ictogenic network.

Because patients with focal epilepsy often experience two or more seizures within 24 hours (25), cfas-EKC would be expected to abort such clusters. However, a striking observation from the present study is the persistence of the antiepileptic treatment in the chronic model despite evidence from the PTZ injections that the effect of a single evoked seizure faded by 2 weeks (Figs. 3 and 5). A possible explanation is that, in the chronic epilepsy model, interictal discharges repeatedly re-activated the cfos promoter, such that the epileptiform activity remained below threshold for generalization. This is supported by the finding that interictal activity is sufficient to activate cfos-driven dsGFP expression (Fig. S21), and that treated animals were relatively protected from the effects of a chemoconvulsant challenge despite a prolonged absence of overt seizures (Fig. 5H).

A cell-autonomous self-regulated tool that normalizes network dynamics has potential clinical applications beyond epilepsy. Several other neuropsychiatric diseases are characterized by circuit hyperactivity, including early stages of schizophrenia (where anterior hippocampal hyperactivity has been implicated (50)), Parkinson’s disease (subthalamic nucleus (51)), migraine and cluster headache (posterior hypothalamus (52)), and obsessive-compulsive disorder (anterior cingulate cortex (53)). Early hyperactivity has also been documented in mouse models of Alzheimer’s disease, and is associated with high levels of arc expression (54). In this case arc or ESARE could represent appropriate therapeutic activity-dependent promoters.
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