Health-Related Quality of Life Among Spondyloarthritis and Chronic Low Back Pain Patients: Results from a Nationwide Population-Based Survey

Word Count: 5046

List of Authors:

Helena Santos

helenasantoscc@gmail.com

Instituto Português de Reumatologia, EpiDoc Unit/Comprehensive Health Research Center, Nova

Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1396-8988

Ana Rita Henriques

anarita.henriques@nms.unl.pt

EpiDoc Unit/Comprehensive Health Research Center, Nova Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4581-0843

Jaime Branco

jaime.branco@nms.unl.pt

EpiDoc Unit/Comprehensive Health Research Center, Nova Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7024-4375

Pedro M Machado

p.machado@ucl.ac.uk

Centre for Rheumatology and Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, University College of London,

London, United Kingdom

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-8411-7972

Helena Canhão

helenacanhao@gmail.com

EpiDoc Unit/Comprehensive Health Research Center, Nova Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-1894-4870

Fernando M Pimentel-Santos

pimentel.santos@gmail.com

CEDOC – Rheumatic Diseases Lab, Nova Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2816-7705

Ana Maria Rodrigues

anamfrodrigues@gmail.com

EpiDoc Unit/Comprehensive Health Research Center, Nova Medical School, Lisbon, Portugal Hospital dos Lusíadas, Lisbon, Portugal

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2046-8017

Corresponding author:

Helena Santos

helenasantoscc@gmail.com

Instituto Português de Reumatologia Rua da Beneficência, n 7, 1050-034 Lisboa

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the contribution of all members of EpiReumaPt study group. Helena Santos further acknowledges the support from Sociedade Portuguesa de Reumatologia.

STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

All authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest, financial or non-financial, related to this research.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Materials preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by Helena Santos, Ana Rita Henriques, and Ana Maria Rodrigues. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Helena Santos, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of NOVA Medical School (n°123/2020/CEFCM).

Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

ABSTRACT

Purpose

Both spondyloarthritis and chronic low back pain (CLBP) significantly impact health-related quality of life (HRQoL). It is important to clarify whether these disorders have different impacts on the several domains of HRQoL as different mechanisms may necessitate different treatment interventions. Moreover, the factors associated with HRQoL can inform more targeted group interventions to promote HRQoL.

Methods

We used data from EpiReumaPt, a population-based survey conducted from September 2011 to December 2013. HRQoL was assessed with EuroQoL-5-Dimensions (EQ-5D). Spondyloarthritis was diagnosed by expert opinion (rheumatologist) and predefined criteria. CLBP was diagnosed if low back pain was present on the day of the interview and persisted for >90 days. Univariable and multivariable linear regression analyses compared HRQoL among subjects with spondyloarthritis, CLBP, and no rheumatic diseases. Multivariable linear regression analyses evaluated HRQoL factors in spondyloarthritis and CLBP subjects. **Results**

We included 92 spondyloarthritis patients, 1376 CLBP patients, and 679 subjects without rheumatic diseases. HRQoL was similarly affected in spondyloarthritis and CLBP (β =-0.03, 95% CI [-0.08; 0.03]) in all EQ5D dimensions. A much lower HRQoL was found in spondyloarthritis and CLBP patients compared with subjects without rheumatic diseases (β =-0.14, 95% CI [-0.19; -0.10]; β =-0.12, 95% CI [-0.14; -0.09], respectively). In spondyloarthritis subjects, multimorbidity and active disease were associated with worse HRQoL (β =-0.18; 95% CI [-0.24; 0.03]; β =-0.13; 95% CI [-0.29; -0.05], respectively), and regular physical exercise was associated with better HRQoL (β =0.18; 95% CI [-0.11; 95% CI [-0.14; -0.08]), obesity (β =-0.04; 95% CI [-0.08; -0.01]), and low back pain intensity (β =-0.02; 95% CI [-0.03; -0.02]) were associated with worse HRQoL, and regular physical exercise (β =0.08; 95% CI [0.05; 0.11]) was significantly associated with better HRQoL.

Conclusion

Spondyloarthritis and CLBP subjects reported similar levels of impairment in the mental, physical, and social domains of HRQoL. Future health plans should address modifiable factors associated with HRQoL in these conditions to achieve better outcomes.

Keywords

Health-related quality of life; EQ5D; Chronic low back pain; Spondyloarthritis

1 INTRODUCTION

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is a subjective assessment of the impact of a disease and its treatment [1]. It is a broad, multidimensional concept that includes patient perspectives of life's positive and negative aspects in the physical, mental, and social domains [2]. HRQoL assessment is now a mandatory aspect of disease burden evaluation, so patient-oriented treatments can be developed to promote HRQoL.

7 Musculoskeletal disorders are the second most common cause of disability worldwide [3,4]. These 8 disorders place a significant burden on patients [5–9], seeming to mainly impact the physical domain but 9 also, to a lesser extent, the mental and social domains of HRQoL [5,7,9]. Moreover, musculoskeletal 10 disorders are associated with poorer HRQoL than several other chronic medical conditions [10-14]. 11 Within musculoskeletal disorders, lower back and neck pain are the leading global cause of disability in 12 most countries [3,4]. Epidemiological studies have reported a median global prevalence of low back pain 13 of 15.0%, although there is substantial heterogeneity in the results [15]. A study in Portugal found that 14 self-reported low back pain was the most common rheumatic condition and that chronic low back pain 15 (CLBP) had a national prevalence of 10.4% [16]. CLBP harms both physical and mental health [16–19], and 16 its impact on HRQoL increases with both the duration of back pain [20] and pain severity. Irrespective of 17 medication use [18], CLBP has been compared to the pain experienced by people diagnosed with life-18 threatening diseases [21].

Spondyloarthritis is a chronic inflammatory rheumatic disease affecting the axial (spine and sacroiliac joints) and peripheral skeleton; according to the cardinal manifestations of the disease, it can therefore be classified as axial [22] or peripheral spondyloarthritis [23]. Inflammatory low back pain is the most common manifestation of axial spondyloarthritis but is frequently seen in peripheral spondyloarthritis as well, and a recent study showed axial involvement in 55% of the included patients [24]. Axial and peripheral spondyloarthritis are potentially disabling conditions as the resulting inflammation and structural damage lead to pain and stiffness that can impair physical function and HRQoL [25–32].

26 Although both spondyloarthritis and CLBP affect the axial skeleton and share low back pain as the main 27 symptom, they produce low back pain via different mechanisms (inflammatory vs. mechanical), leading 28 to significant differences in treatment options. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, disease-modifying 29 anti-rheumatic drugs, and biologic treatments are recommended to reduce symptoms and improve 30 HRQoL in spondyloarthritis [33,34], whereas a biopsychosocial framework of patient education, exercise, 31 and self-management that avoids excessive pharmacological solutions is recommended for CLBP [35–37]. 32 Given the differences in physiopathology, prognosis, and treatment options between spondyloarthritis 33 and CLBP, one could expect that these disorders would have different impacts on HRQoL and different 34 links between the physical, mental, and social domains of HRQoL. Salaffi et al. evaluated HRQoL in 35 different rheumatic disorders [5] and found that patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases (including 36 spondyloarthritis) had poorer self-reported health in all domains of HRQoL than those without arthritis.

However, Kreis et al. found that spondyloarthritis and CLBP similarly affected the physical and mentalcomponents of HRQoL [38].

39 It is important to clarify whether spondyloarthritis and CLBP have different impacts on HRQoL and its

40 domains as their shared symptom—low back pain—may benefit from different pharmacologic and non-

41 pharmacologic interventions depending on the specific factors that impact HRQoL. Moreover, knowledge

42 of the factors associated with HRQoL will allow HRQoL to be promoted in targeted group interventions.

43 In this population-based study, we assessed and compared the HRQoL of subjects with spondyloarthritis,

44 those with CLBP, and those without rheumatic or musculoskeletal disorders (RMDs). We then investigated

45 the factors associated with HRQoL in spondyloarthritis and CLBP subjects.

46

47 **METHODS**

48 Data source and study population

We collected data from the EpiReumaPt, a large, population-based, observational study conducted from September 2011 to December 2013, to estimate the prevalence of RMDs in Portugal and determine their impact on HRQoL, physical function, and mental health. To obtain a representative sample of the Portuguese population, participants were selected by multistage random sampling. The sampling was stratified in seven regions across the country according to the Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics II (NUTS II): Norte, Centro, Lisboa e Vale do Tejo, Alentejo, Algarve, Região Autónoma dos Açores (the Azores), and Região Autónoma da Madeira (Madeira).

56 We used a three-stage approach to capture and characterize all cases of RMDs within the adult 57 Portuguese population (Figure 1). First, interviewers (non-physicians, trained for this purpose) performed 58 face-to-face interviews with a computer-assisted personal interview system at each participant's 59 household. The detailed and comprehensive questionnaire included RMD symptom screening wherein 60 participants were asked about self-reported RMDs and, subsequently, about specific rheumatic and 61 musculoskeletal symptoms. An algorithm was applied to the survey data to screen for specific RMDs. 62 Second, rheumatologists performed a clinical evaluation with a physical examination for all participants 63 identified in the first interview as potentially having an RMD as well as for 20% of the asymptomatic 64 individuals. Finally, three rheumatologists revised the gathered information and defined the final 65 diagnoses. For this analysis, all participants from phase two were included. The study methodology has 66 been described in detail elsewhere [39,40].



Fig 1 Flo

68

67

Fig 1 Flowchart of recruitment in the EpiReumaPt study

- RMD, rheumatic and musculoskeletal disorders; CLBP, chronic low back pain
- 70

71 Case definition

Spondyloarthritis diagnosis was established after the second-phase clinical appointment based on expert opinion (in total, 95 rheumatologists were involved) and fulfillment of validated classification criteria [22,23]. Subtypes, such as ankylosing spondylitis, psoriatic arthritis, and other spondyloarthritis, were defined by expert opinion. CLBP was self-reported and defined as pain between the lower margin of the twelfth ribs and the lower gluteal folds (with or without pain referred to the lower limbs) that was present on the day of the interview and experienced most of the time for the previous 90 days. The population without RMDs was also identified by expert opinion after clinical history and physical examination.

80 Variables

Sociodemographic data were collected for all groups, including age, gender (male, female), ethnicity (Caucasian, other), marital status (married, other), and education level (0–4 years, 5–9 years, 10–12 years, >12 years). Lifestyle habits were also queried, including alcohol intake (daily, occasional, never), daily coffee intake (none, 1–3 cups, >3 cups), smoking habits (daily, occasionally, never), and regular physical exercise (defined as physical activity >1 hour/week; yes, no). Employment status (full-time active worker, part-time active worker, domestic worker, unemployed, retired, student, temporary work disability, other) was also registered.

88 Anthropometric data were collected (weight [kg], height [cm], body mass index [BMI; kg/m²]), as were 89 self-reported noncommunicable chronic diseases, including high cholesterol, high blood pressure, 90 allergies, gastrointestinal disease, mental disorders, cardiac disease, diabetes, thyroid and parathyroid 91 disease, renal disease, pulmonary disease, hyperuricemia, cancer, neurologic disease, and hypogonadism. 92 HRQoL data were collected using the EQ-5D, 3-level, Portuguese version (hereafter EQ-5D) [41]. The EQ-93 5D comprises a health descriptive component and a visual analog scale (VAS). The descriptive component 94 evaluates five dimensions, each describing a different aspect of health: mobility, self-care, usual activities, 95 pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has three levels: no problems, some problems, 96 and extreme problems (labeled 1–3, respectively) [41,42]. For the analyses, we aggregated the "some 97 problems" and "extreme problems" levels, thus considering only two levels in each domain. Scores from 98 the three items can be used to derive a single utility score. The descriptive system was converted into a 99 summary index score ranging from -1 (states worse than death, with 0 equivalent to death) to 1 (full 100 health) [43]. The VAS is a 20-centimeter vertical scale of 0–100 points, where, similarly, scores of 0 and 101 100 correspond to the "worst imaginable health state" and the "best imaginable health state," 102 respectively [42]. Subjects with CLBP recorded pain intensity on the interview day using a numeric rating 103 scale of 0–10, and question 2 of the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) was used 104 to evaluate back pain intensity in spondyloarthritis.

105 In the clinical appointment in the second phase of the EpiReumaPt study, spondyloarthritis subjects were 106 evaluated with disease-specific assessments, including the Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score 107 (ASDAS), BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), and patient's global assessment 108 of the disease in the last week (PtGA), registered on a VAS (0–100 mm). Furthermore, a blood sample was 109 drawn to measure C-reactive protein (CRP; mg/L). A physician's global assessment of the disease (PhGA) 110 was also registered (VAS, 0-100 mm). In spondyloarthritis, remission/inactive disease was defined 111 according to the predominant phenotype: ASDAS \leq 1.3 defined inactive axial spondyloarthritis, and PhGA 112 \leq 20 mm was used for other forms of spondyloarthritis.

113

114 Statistical methods

115 Descriptive data for each categorical variable are presented as the absolute frequency and the 116 corresponding proportion. Mean and standard deviation (SD) are shown for each continuous variable. 117 Subjects with spondyloarthritis were compared with subjects with CLBP and subjects without RMDs, and 118 CLBP patients were also compared with subjects without RMDs. Comparisons were made using the Chi-119 square test and Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and the independent t-test for continuous 120 variables. To assess HRQoL differences (measured by EQ-5D) between the three groups, univariable and 121 multivariable linear regression analyses were used for continuous outcomes and univariable or 122 multivariable logistic regression analyses were used for binary outcomes. According to the results of the 123 univariable analyses, the following confounders were included: for comparison between spondyloarthritis 124 and CLBP subjects—gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, employment status, BMI, and 125 number of noncommunicable chronic diseases; for comparison between spondyloarthritis subjects and 126 those without RMDs-gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, marital status, and 127 noncommunicable chronic diseases; for comparison between CLBP subjects and those without RMDs— 128 gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, employment status, marital status, BMI, regular 129 physical exercise, and number of noncommunicable chronic diseases.

130 To assess the determinants of HRQoL (evaluated by EQ-5D) in subjects with spondyloarthritis, univariable 131 linear regression was first performed to select the variables to include in the final model, considering a 132 significance level of 0.2 to avoid an early exclusion of potentially important variables. The individual 133 variables tested were: gender, age group (18–35 years, 36–55 years, 56–75 years, ≥76 years), education 134 level (0-4 years, 5-9 years, 10-12 years, >12 years), NUTS II region (Norte, Centro, Lisboa, Alentejo, 135 Algarve, Azores, Madeira), marital status (married, other), employment status (active worker [full and 136 part time], unemployed, retired, other [domestic, student, temporary work disability]), BMI (normal, 137 overweight, obese), daily coffee intake (none, 1-3 cups, >3 cups), alcohol intake (daily, occasionally, 138 never), smoking habits (smoker, non-smoker), regular physical exercise (yes, no), number of 139 noncommunicable diseases $(0-2, \ge 3)$, and disease activity (active, inactive). After selecting the variables 140 to include in the multivariable model, we sequentially excluded non-statistically significant variables 141 through a backward conditional method and compared the models through ANOVA.

We followed the same methodology to assess determinants of HRQoL in subjects with CLBP. The independent variables tested were the same, except for disease activity, which was replaced by low back pain intensity (0–10).

145 The significance level was set at 0.05. All analyses were performed using Stata/IC (v.16.1).

146

147 Ethical framework

148 EpiReumaPt was performed according to the principles established by the Declaration of Helsinki. The

149 study was reviewed and approved by the National Committee for Data Protection and the NOVA Medical

150 School Ethics Committee. Participants signed informed consent documents before participation [39].

152 **Results**

153 The analyses included 92 subjects with spondyloarthritis, 1376 with CLBP, and 679 without RMDs. Of the

154 92 subjects with spondyloarthritis, 32 had ankylosing spondylitis, 20 had psoriatic arthritis, and 40 had

155 other forms of spondyloarthritis.

Spondyloarthritis subjects had a mean PtGA of 5.2±2.7, PhGA of 3.8±2.2, global spine pain (in the last 48
hours) of 4.6±2.8, BASDAI score of 5.9±3.1, ASDAS-CRP of 2.6±1.0 and BASFI score of 4.8±3.6. The mean

158 low back pain intensity in subjects with CLBP was 5.5±2.2.

159

Sociodemographic, lifestyle, and health characteristics of subjects with spondyloarthritis, CLBP, or noRMDs

162 The mean age was 48.4±13.7 years for spondyloarthritis subjects, 58.8±14.6 years for CLBP subjects, and

163 45.9±15.6 years for subjects without RMDs. All three groups had a female predominance (64.1%, 70.3%,

 $164 \qquad \text{and 53.9\%, respectively}. Anthropometric data and sociodemographic, lifestyle, and health characteristics$

- 165 of the three groups are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.
- $166 \qquad {\rm Compared \ with \ spondyloar thritis \ subjects, \ CLBP \ subjects \ were \ older \ and \ had \ a \ lower \ level \ of \ education,}$

167 a higher proportion of retired individuals (Table 1), more overweight or obese individuals, and a higher

168 number of self-reported noncommunicable diseases, namely high blood pressure, diabetes, and high

169 cholesterol (Table 2). They also had a lower coffee intake than subjects with spondyloarthritis. However,

170 there were no differences in alcohol consumption, smoking habits, or regular physical exercise between

171 these two groups (Table 2).

Sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics were similar between spondyloarthritis subjects and those
 without RMDs, except for marital status, as the former had a higher proportion of married individuals;
 regarding noncommunicable chronic diseases (self-reported), spondyloarthritis patients had higher

175 proportions of pulmonary, gastrointestinal, renal, and thyroid diseases (Tables 1 and 2).

176

177 HRQoL in subjects with spondyloarthritis, CLBP, or no RMDs

178 Spondyloarthritis subjects had much lower HRQoL than subjects without RMDs, reflected by the EQ-5D 179 index score (0.69±0.25 and 0.86±0.21, respectively; β =-0.14, 95% CI [-0.19; -0.10]; p<0.001). The same

180 was found when comparing CLBP subjects and those without RMDs (0.66±0.27 and 0.86±0.2, respectively;

- 181 β=-0.12, 95% CI [-0.14; -0.09]; p<0.001) (Table 3).
- 182 Spondyloarthritis and CLBP subjects had similar HRQoL (0.69 \pm 0.25 and 0.66 \pm 0.27, respectively; β =-0.03,

183 95% CI [-0.08; 0.03]; p=0.33). Subjects with spondyloarthritis and CLBP reported problems in all EQ-5D

184 dimensions in similar proportions but to a much greater extent than subjects without RMDs. Almost 60%

185 of spondyloarthritis subjects reported pain (moderate or extreme), and approximately one-third reported 186 some or extreme problems with mobility and usual activities. Some or extreme problems with self-care 187 were also more common in spondyloarthritis and CLBP subjects compared with subjects without RMDs.

Spondyloarthritis subjects showed a lower individual perception of health, measured by EQ-5D VAS (higher scores correspond to better health) than subjects without RMDs (65.28 ± 18.1 and 75.69 ± 17.64 , respectively; β =-7.49, 95% CI [-11.2; -3.78]; p<0.001), and the same relationship was found for CLBP subjects and those without RMDs (60.92 ± 19.86 and 75.69 ± 17.64 , respectively; β =-9.07, 95% CI [-10.96; -7.18]; p<0.001) (Table 3). After we adjusted for confounders, spondyloarthritis and CLBP subjects showed similar individual perceptions of health (65.28 ± 18.1 and 60.92 ± 19.86 , respectively; β =0.20, 95% CI [-3.88; 4.27]; p=0.925).

195

196 Determinants of HRQoL in spondyloarthritis

197 After univariable linear regression analysis (Supplementary Table 1) we performed a multivariable model 198 to assess determinants of HRQoL in subjects with spondyloarthritis (Table 4). Having three or more 199 comorbidities was negatively associated with HRQoL (β =-0.18, 95% CI [-0.24; 0.03]; p<0.001). Specifically, 200 patients with multimorbidity (\geq 3 noncommunicable diseases) had a mean EQ-5D score reduced by 0.18 201 points compared with patients with up to two noncommunicable diseases, holding all the other variables 202 constant. Moreover, subjects with active disease also showed a worse HRQoL (β =-0.13, 95% CI [-0.29; 203 -0.05]; p=0.036), with a mean EQ-5D score reduced by 0.13 points compared with patients with inactive 204 disease, holding all the other variables constant. Regular physical exercise was significantly associated 205 with better HRQoL (β =0.18, 95% CI [0.10; 0.30]; p<0.001), and patients who performed regular physical 206 activity had a mean EQ-5D score increased by 0.18 points compared with patients who did not, holding 207 all the other variables constant.

208

209 Determinants of HRQoL in CLBP

210 After univariable linear regression analysis (Supplementary Table 1) we performed a multivariable model 211 to assess determinants of HRQoL in subjects with CLBP (Table 4). Several variables were significantly 212 associated with HRQoL. Age \geq 76 years (β =-0.09; 95% CI [-0.17; -0.01]; p=0.022), non-married marital 213 status (β =-0.03; 95% CI [-0.06; -0.005]; p=0.024), retirement (β =-0.07; 95% CI [-0.12; -0.03]; p<0.001) 214 or other employment status (β =-0.06; 95% CI [-0.11; -0.02]; p=0.007), obesity (β =-0.04; 95% CI [-0.08; 215 -0.01]; p=0.022), multimorbidity (β =-0.11; 95% CI [-0.14; -0.08]; p<0.001), and low back pain intensity 216 $(\beta = -0.02; 95\% \text{ Cl} [-0.03; -0.02]; p < 0.001)$ were significantly associated with worse HRQoL in CLBP 217 subjects. Patients who were 76 years old or more had a mean EQ-5D score reduced by 0.09 points 218 compared with 18–35-year-old patients; non-married patients had a mean EQ-5D score reduced by 0.03 219 points compared with married patients; retired patients and patients with other work statuses (domestic

220 worker, student, temporary work disability) had mean EQ-5D scores reduced by 0.07 and 0.06 points, 221 respectively, compared with full-time workers, holding all the other variables constant; obese patients 222 had a mean EQ-5D score reduced by 0.04 points compared with normal-weight patients; patients with 223 multimorbidity had a mean EQ-5D score reduced by 0.11 points compared with patients with up to two 224 noncommunicable diseases; and for each centimeter increase in low back pain VAS, the mean EQ-5D score 225 was reduced by 0.02 points, holding all the other variables constant. By contrast, patients from Alentejo 226 (β=0.08; 95% CI [0.01; 0.14]; p=0.020), or Algarve (β=0.17; 95% CI [0.05; 0.28]; p=0.004) and those with 227 daily alcohol intake (β =0.07; 95% CI [0.03; 0.10]; p<0.001) and regular physical exercise (β =0.08; 95% CI 228 [0.05; 0.11]; p<0.001) had better HRQoL. Patients living in Alentejo or Algarve had EQ-5D scores increased 229 by 0.08 and 0.17 points, respectively, compared with Lisbon residents. Daily alcohol intake was associated 230 with an EQ-5D increase of 0.07 points compared with patients who did not drink alcohol, holding all the 231 other variables constant. Moreover, regular physical exercise was significantly associated with better 232 HRQoL, with a 0.08 increase in the mean EQ-5D score compared with patients who did not exercise 233 regularly, holding all the other variables constant.

234

235 DISCUSSION

HRQoL in subjects with spondyloarthritis, CLBP, or no RMDs

Our study showed that spondyloarthritis and CLBP patients had a significantly decreased HRQoL compared with the population without RMDs. We used EQ-5D to assess HRQoL because it is one of the most commonly used generic instruments for this purpose in general population surveys and has been used in several RMD surveys [5,9,44]. Moreover, the validity and reliability of EQ-5D have been proven for spondyloarthritis [45–47] and CLBP [48–50].

242 Previous studies have also reported poorer HRQoL in spondyloarthritis patients compared with the 243 general population [27,51,52]. In this study, spondyloarthritis patients showed worse HRQoL in all 244 domains compared with adults without RMDs. However, after adjustment for confounders, 245 anxiety/depression was no longer significantly different. Sixty-two percent of our patients reported 246 moderate or extreme pain/discomfort, and 31.5% reported problems with mobility and usual activities, 247 suggesting that there is inadequate disease control and significant disease burden despite the several 248 different treatment approaches available to these patients [33,34]. Our findings regarding the impact on 249 HRQoL are in line with a recent meta-analysis by Yang et al., who analyzed 38 studies on HRQoL in 250 ankylosing spondylitis. These authors included studies that evaluated HRQoL by the Short-Form-36 251 questionnaire (SF-36) and concluded that the disease significantly impaired all SF-36 dimensions, although 252 physical health was more likely to be affected than mental health [25].

Our results showed that HRQoL was globally impaired in CLBP compared with an adult population without
 RMDs. Previous cross-sectional and prospective studies also showed lower HRQoL in CLBP, with a

255 significant negative impact on both the physical and mental domains [16-20]. In our study, more than 256 half of the patients reported moderate to extreme pain/discomfort and 38% reported mobility limitations; 257 however, even less-affected domains (e.g., self-care) showed a significant difference from the population 258 without RMDs. These findings suggest that particular attention should be given to pain control in CLBP 259 patients to improve HRQoL. The need for a biopsychosocial approach to CLBP, with patient education, 260 exercise, and self-management [35-37], has been universally accepted; however, this approach may 261 undervalue pain control—a major driver of HRQoL—resulting in a higher disease burden. Eusébio et al. 262 [53] concluded that intake of analgesics and other pain relief drugs was very low among 1487 patients 263 with active CLBP, even for those who reported severe pain, confirming the insufficient pain control in 264 these patients.

265 Direct comparison of HRQoL in spondyloarthritis with that in other rheumatic conditions is scarce and has 266 focused mainly on rheumatoid arthritis, where substantial differences do not seem to exist [27,51,54– 267 56]. In our study, spondyloarthritis and CLBP showed similar impacts on HRQoL. We found no differences 268 in the physical, emotional, and social HRQoL domains between spondyloarthritis and CLBP despite 269 different physiopathology, prognosis, and treatment options. Because low back pain is the leading cause 270 of years lived with disability in most countries [3], these results are perhaps not unexpected. However, 271 HRQoL has rarely been compared among spondyloarthritis patients, and this is the first study to make a 272 direct comparison between spondyloarthritis and CLBP at a population level. Kreis et al. [38] used the 273 Short-Form 12 survey to compare HRQoL in 199 axial spondyloarthritis and 89 CLBP patients, finding 274 similar HRQoL for both diseases. This aligns with our results, as the EQ-5D index scores we obtained for 275 spondyloarthritis and CLBP were not significantly different between conditions. Still, the scores were 276 significantly lower than those of the adult Portuguese population without RMDs, confirming poorer 277 HRQoL in both diseases [43].

278 Individual perception of health, assessed by EQ-5D VAS, is a much broader concept including both 279 rheumatic problems and the general state of health. In our study, individuals with spondyloarthritis 280 showed a worse perception of health than the population without RMDs, likely related to their rheumatic 281 condition as we found no significant differences in the other variables. CLBP patients also showed worse 282 perceptions of health than the population without RMDs; however, in this group, factors other than the 283 rheumatic condition—e.g., older age, a higher proportion of retired subjects, a higher prevalence of 284 overweight/obesity, and more self-reported chronic noncommunicable diseases like high blood pressure, 285 diabetes, and high cholesterol—could have substantially influenced health perception. Spondyloarthritis 286 and CLBP patients did not show significant differences in individual perceptions of health. Hence, these 287 two chronic disorders are associated with a significantly low individual perception of health independent 288 of the different physiopathology, prognoses, and treatment options.

289

290 Determinants of HRQoL in spondyloarthritis

291 This study also identified determinants of HRQoL. We found that higher disease activity was an 292 independent factor associated with HRQoL among spondyloarthritis patients. Previous studies have also 293 found disease activity to be an independent determinant of HRQoL in early and advanced forms of axial 294 spondyloarthritis [28,57,58]. This finding is clinically relevant as it suggests that strict control of disease 295 activity is crucial to achieving better HRQoL in spondyloarthritis patients. Furthermore, we found that 296 multimorbidity was associated with worse HRQoL in spondyloarthritis patients. Fitzgerald et al. [59] found 297 a similar association between multimorbidity and worse HRQoL, evaluated by a disease-specific 298 instrument (Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life questionnaire), in the Ireland national registry, although 299 they defined multimorbidity as the presence of two or more chronic noncommunicable diseases. There 300 has been a growing interest in the prevalence and impact of comorbidities in spondyloarthritis in recent 301 years. Several studies have found a higher prevalence of comorbidities, specifically cardiovascular and 302 metabolic disorders [59,60], in spondyloarthritis patients than in the general population, which was 303 reinforced in a recent meta-analysis that identified a higher prevalence of hypertension, dyslipidemia, and 304 obesity in axial spondyloarthritis patients [61]. Our results emphasize the importance of addressing 305 multimorbidity to minimize its impact on HRQoL.

306 Regular physical exercise was strongly associated with better HRQoL in spondyloarthritis. Even 307 considering that regular exercise was self-reported and prone to recall and reporting bias, this result likely 308 reflects the positive effect of exercise on overall well-being (not only in specific aspects of HRQoL, such as 309 function) and emphasizes the benefit of exercise in different health dimensions. Although patients with 310 lower disease activity may have better HRQoL and be able to exercise more regularly due to experiencing 311 less pain, these two factors were independently associated with HRQoL in our study. Nevertheless, the 312 cross-sectional design of our study does not allow us to infer a causal effect of the benefit of regular 313 exercise on HRQoL, and a follow-up study of this cohort would be valuable. Exercise as a recreational 314 activity is less well studied in spondyloarthritis than physical therapy or therapeutic exercise, and previous 315 work has mainly addressed the effect of exercise on physical function, specifically associating exercise 316 with improved function [62,63].

317

318 Determinants of HRQoL in CLBP

319 Our results show that being female was negatively associated with HRQoL, in line with previous studies 320 showing that HRQoL was lower in female subjects than in male subjects with CLBP [64,65]. However, other 321 authors did not find any association between HRQoL and gender in this population [66–69]. Age has been 322 linked to HRQoL in other cohorts with contradictory results. As in our study, some research [64,66,70] has 323 found that older age was associated with a worse HRQoL, but other authors have come to different 324 conclusions [65,71]. For example, Wettstein et al. evaluated 228 patients with CLBP and found that HRQoL 325 was the same or higher in older patients compared with younger patients and that increasing age was 326 mainly associated with disability [71]. Most other studies [17,66,69,71–73] have shown that low back pain

327 intensity negatively influenced HRQoL, and this finding is corroborated by our results; by contrast, Aminde 328 et al. did not find any association between low back pain intensity and HRQoL [67]. We found an 329 association between not being married and worse HRQoL, confirming data from the literature [20,65]. 330 Uchmanowicz et al. [65] similarly found that single, divorced, or widowed people had worse HRQoL than 331 people who were married or in a relationship, with the social domain being the most affected. The same 332 authors also showed improvements in the social domain of HRQoL for professionally active individuals 333 compared with unemployed people. In our study, retirement and other employment statuses (including 334 domestic workers, students, and those with a temporary work disability) were also associated with a 335 worse HRQoL.

336 As in the spondyloarthritis subjects, we found an association between worse HRQoL and multimorbidity 337 in people with CLBP. Comorbidities are being increasingly recognized as an important aspect of patients' 338 conditions as they influence several disease outcomes, including HRQoL [59,61,74,75] We found an 339 association between obesity and worse HRQoL in CLBP patients. It is well known that the population 340 incidence of CLBP is directly associated with BMI [76] and that overweight and obesity are risk factors for 341 CLBP [77]; moreover, obesity impairs HRQoL [78], and higher degrees of obesity are associated with 342 greater impairment [79]. Our results were therefore somewhat excepted, but they have not been 343 previously reported. The positive association of two NUTS II regions (Alentejo and Algarve) with HRQoL is 344 intriguing because no regional differences in HRQoL were found in the EpiDoc cohort [6]. Other authors 345 have reported a better HRQoL in people living in cities compared with those living in villages or small 346 towns, contradicting our results [65]. Also intriguing is the positive association between daily alcohol 347 intake and HRQoL as alcohol consumption is usually associated with worse HRQoL [80]. This might suggest 348 that a small daily consumption is associated with a more positive psychological profile, but this is purely 349 speculative. Finally, as in the spondyloarthritis subjects, regular physical exercise was positively associated 350 with better HRQoL in CLBP. Several studies have addressed the effects of exercise in CLBP patients, but 351 their results are considerably heterogeneous and mainly focus on pain, for which exercise seems 352 beneficial [81]. In line with our findings, Schaller et al. [82] reported that patients achieving the World 353 Health Organization recommendation for leisure time physical activities (≥600 metabolic equivalent of 354 task minutes/week) had a better HRQoL than those reporting no such activities. As previously mentioned, 355 patients with lower pain intensity may be able to exercise more regularly, so only a future longitudinal 356 study that follows up with these patients will be able to confirm our findings on the benefits of exercise 357 for HRQoL.

358

359 Strengths and limitations

Our study has several strengths. First, it is a population-based study with a representative sample of the
 Portuguese adult population, minimizing the risk of biased selection. Second, we compared HRQoL in a

large sample of adults with spondyloarthritis, CLBP, or no RMDs. To our knowledge, this has never beendone before.

364 Our study also has limitations. First, the number of spondyloarthritis participants was small and the 365 disease type was heterogeneous (i.e., including both the axial and peripheral forms) as a result of the low 366 prevalence of spondyloarthritis (1.6%) in the Portuguese population [83]. Also, the study methodology 367 and population recruitment led to a smaller group of adults without RMDs than the CLBP group. 368 Nevertheless, this allowed us to include a control group and make direct comparisons of HRQoL between 369 the participants without RMDs and those with spondyloarthritis/CLBP without extrapolating the 370 necessary data from other studies. Second, we used PhGA as a surrogate marker of disease activity instead 371 of a disease-specific validated score, like BASDAI or ASDAS. Although this is not ideal, previous research 372 has demonstrated that this measure is a simple and reliable instrument to evaluate disease activity in an 373 outpatient setting, using a cut-off of <20 mm to define inactive disease [84]. Third, the cross-sectional 374 design limits the prognostic value of our analyses and does not allow us to draw conclusions about causal 375 relationships. Only a future longitudinal study that follows up with these patients will allow us to draw 376 more robust conclusions.

377

378 Conclusions

379 In summary, we have shown that spondyloarthritis and CLBP patients experience significantly impaired 380 HRQoL compared with a population without RMDs. However, we found no differences between 381 spondyloarthritis and CLBP in the physical, mental, and social aspects of HRQoL. Our data also suggest 382 that disease activity, exercise, and the presence of chronic noncommunicable diseases are important 383 determinants of HRQoL in spondyloarthritis patients. Considering that one of the primary goals of treating 384 spondyloarthritis is to maximize long-term HRQoL, we should pay careful attention to controlling disease 385 activity, identifying and treating chronic noncommunicable diseases, and promoting regular physical 386 exercise from disease onset. Our study further highlights several important interventions that can target 387 modifiable factors associated with HRQoL in CLBP patients: identifying and treating chronic 388 noncommunicable diseases, promoting weight reduction and regular physical exercise, and assessing and 389 controlling low back pain with pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions.

390 These results are valuable for rheumatologists, as they enable a better understanding of the burden 391 associated with CLBP and spondyloarthritis, as well as for policymakers and national healthcare systems, 392 as they can inform adjustments to future health plans.

393

394 References

- 3951Beaudart C, Biver E, Bruyère O, et al. Quality of life assessment in musculo-skeletal health. Aging396Clin Exp Res 2018;30:413-8. doi:10.1007/s40520-017-0794-8
- 3972Kotsis K, Voulgari P V., Drosos AA, *et al.* Health-related quality of life in patients with ankylosing398spondylitis: A comprehensive review. *Expert Rev Pharmacoeconomics Outcomes Res*

399 2014;14:857-72. doi:10.1586/14737167.2014.957679 400 3 Vos T, Allen C, Arora M, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years 401 lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the 402 Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 2016;388:1545-602. doi:10.1016/S0140-403 6736(16)31678-6 404 Sebbag E, Felten R, Sagez F, et al. The world-wide burden of musculoskeletal diseases: A 4 405 systematic analysis of the World Health Organization Burden of Diseases Database. Ann Rheum 406 Dis 2019;78:844-8. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215142 407 5 Salaffi F, Di Carlo M, Carotti M, et al. The impact of different rheumatic diseases on health-408 related quality of life: A comparison with a selected sample of healthy individuals using SF-36 409 questionnaire, EQ-5D and SF-6D utility values. Acta Biomed 2018;89:541–57. 410 doi:10.23750/abm.v89i4.7298 411 6 Branco JC, Rodrigues AM, Gouveia N, et al. Prevalence of rheumatic and musculoskeletal 412 diseases and their impact on health-related quality of life, physical function and mental health in 413 Portugal: results from EpiReumaPt- a national health survey. *RMD Open* 2016;**2**:e000166. 414 doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2015-000166 415 7 Roux CH, Guillemin F, Boini S, et al. Impact of musculoskeletal disorders on quality of life: An 416 inception cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:606-11. doi:10.1136/ard.2004.020784 417 8 Carmona L, Ballina J, Gabriel R, et al. The burden of musculoskeletal diseases in the general 418 population of Spain: Results from a national survey. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60:1040–5. 419 doi:10.1136/ard.60.11.1040 420 9 Picavet HSJ, Hoeymans N. Health related quality of life in multiple musculoskeletal diseases: SF-421 36 and EQ-5D in the DMC3 study. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:723-9. doi:10.1136/ard.2003.010769 422 10 Saarni SI, Härkänen T, Sintonen H, et al. The impact of 29 chronic conditions on health-related 423 quality of life: A general population survey in Finland using 15D and EQ-5D. Qual Life Res 424 2006;15:1403-14. doi:10.1007/s11136-006-0020-1 425 11 Sprangers MAG, De Regt EB, Andries F, et al. Which chronic conditions are associated with 426 better or poorer quality of life? J Clin Epidemiol 2000;53:895-907. doi:10.1016/S0895-427 4356(00)00204-3 428 12 Lyons RA, Lo S V., Littlepage BNC. Comparative health status of patients with 11 common 429 illnesses in Wales. J Epidemiol Community Health 1994;48:388–90. doi:10.1136/jech.48.4.388 430 13 Johnson JA, Coons SJ. Comparison of the EQ-5D and SF-12 in an adult US sample. Qual Life Res 431 1998;**7**:155–66. doi:10.1023/A:1008809610703

432 14 Kempen GIJM, Ormel J, Brilman EI, et al. Adaptive responses among Dutch elderly: The impact of 433 eight chronic medical conditions on health-related quality of life. Am J Public Health 434 1997;**87**:38–44. doi:10.2105/AJPH.87.1.38 435 15 Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay 436 attention. Lancet 2018;391:2356-67. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X 437 16 Gouveia N, Rodrigues A, Eusébio M, et al. Prevalence and social burden of active chronic low 438 back pain in the adult Portuguese population: results from a national survey. Rheumatol Int 439 2016;**36**:183–97. doi:10.1007/s00296-015-3398-7 440 17 Husky MM, Ferdous Farin F, Compagnone P, et al. Chronic back pain and its association with 441 quality of life in a large French population survey. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2018;16:195. 442 doi:10.1186/s12955-018-1018-4 443 18 Perrot S, Doane MJ, Jaffe DH, et al. Burden of chronic low back pain: Association with pain 444 severity and prescription medication use in five large European countries. Pain Pract 445 2022;**22**:359–71. doi:10.1111/papr.13093 446 19 Cedraschi C, Luthy C, Allaz AF, et al. Low back pain and health-related quality of life in 447 community-dwelling older adults. Eur Spine J 2016;25:2822-32. doi:10.1007/s00586-016-4483-7 448 20 Járomi M, Szilágyi B, Velényi A, et al. Assessment of health-related quality of life and patient's 449 knowledge in chronic non-specific low back pain. BMC Public Health 2021;21:1-8. 450 doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09506-7 451 21 Fredheim OMS, Kaasa S, Fayers P, et al. Chronic non-malignant pain patients report as poor 452 health-related quality of life as palliative cancer patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2008;52:143-453 8. doi:10.1111/j.1399-6576.2007.01524.x 454 22 Rudwaleit M, Van Der Heijde D, Landewé R, et al. The development of Assessment of 455 SpondyloArthritis international Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): 456 Validation and final selection. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:777-83. doi:10.1136/ard.2009.108233 457 23 Rudwaleit M, Van Der Heijde D, Landewé R, et al. The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis 458 international Society classification criteria for peripheral spondyloarthritis and for 459 spondyloarthritis in general. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:25–31. doi:10.1136/ard.2010.133645 460 24 López-Medina C, Molto A, Sieper J, et al. Prevalence and distribution of peripheral 461 musculoskeletal manifestations in spondyloarthritis including psoriatic arthritis: results of the 462 worldwide, cross-sectional ASAS-PerSpA study. RMD Open 2021;7:e001450. 463 doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001450 464 25 Yang X, Fan D, Xia Q, et al. The health-related quality of life of ankylosing spondylitis patients

465 466		assessed by SF-36: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Qual. Life Res. 2016; 25 :2711–23. doi:10.1007/s11136-016-1345-z
467 468 469	26	Van Den Bosch F, Mease PJ, Sieper J, <i>et al.</i> Long-term efficacy and predictors of remission following adalimumab treatment in peripheral spondyloarthritis: 3-year results from ability-2. <i>RMD Open</i> 2018; 4 :1–10. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2017-000566
470 471 472	27	Ovayolu N, Ovayolu O, Karadag G. Health-related quality of life in ankylosing spondylitis, fibromyalgia syndrome, and rheumatoid arthritis: A comparison with a selected sample of healthy individuals. <i>Clin Rheumatol</i> 2011; 30 :655–64. doi:10.1007/s10067-010-1604-2
473 474 475 476	28	López-Medina C, Garrido-Castro JL, Castro-Jiménez J, <i>et al.</i> Evaluation of quality of life in patients with axial spondyloarthritis and its association with disease activity, functionality, mobility, and structural damage. <i>Clin Rheumatol</i> 2018; 37 :1581–8. doi:10.1007/s10067-018-4112-4
477 478 479	29	Macfarlane GJ, Rotariu O, Jones GT, <i>et al.</i> Determining factors related to poor quality of life in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register (BSRBR-AS). <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2020; 79 :202–8. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216143
480 481 482	30	Rosenbaum JT, Pisenti L, Park Y, <i>et al.</i> Insight into the Quality of Life of Patients with Ankylosing Spondylitis: Real-World Data from a US-Based Life Impact Survey. <i>Rheumatol Ther</i> 2019; 6 :353–67. doi:10.1007/s40744-019-0160-8
483	31	Boonen A, Van Der Linden SM. The burden of ankylosing spondylitis. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 2006; 33 :4–11.
484 485 486 487	32	Haugeberg G, Michelsen B, Kavanaugh A. Impact of skin, musculoskeletal and psychosocial aspects on quality of life in psoriatic arthritis patients: A cross-sectional study of outpatient clinic patients in the biologic treatment era. <i>RMD Open</i> 2020; 6 :1–9. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001223
488 489 490	33	Van Der Heijde D, Ramiro S, Landewé R, <i>et al.</i> 2016 update of the ASAS-EULAR management recommendations for axial spondyloarthritis. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2017; 76 :978–91. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210770
491 492 493 494	34	Ward MM, Deodhar A, Akl EA, <i>et al.</i> American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network 2015 Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. <i>Arthritis</i> <i>Rheumatol</i> 2016; 68 :282–98. doi:10.1002/art.39298
495 496	35	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Low back pain and sciatica in over 16s: assessment and management (NG59). <i>Nice</i> 2016;:1–18.
497	36	Wambeke P. Desomer A. Ailliet L. <i>et al.</i> Low Back Pain and Radicular Pain: Assessment and

498	Management 2017.	2017.
-----	------------------	-------

499	37	Stochkendahl MJ, Kjaer P, Hartvigsen J, et al. National Clinical Guidelines for non-surgical
500		treatment of patients with recent onset low back pain or lumbar radiculopathy. Eur Spine J
501		2018; 27 :60–75. doi:10.1007/s00586-017-5099-2

- 50238Kreis S, Molto A, Bailly F, *et al.* Relationship between optimism and quality of life in patients with503two chronic rheumatic diseases: Axial spondyloarthritis and chronic low back pain: A cross504sectional study of 288 patients. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2015;**13**:1–6. doi:10.1186/s12955-505015-0268-7
- S06 39 Rodrigues AM, Gouveia N, da Costa LP, *et al.* EpiReumaPt- the study of rheumatic and
 musculoskeletal diseases in Portugal: a detailed view of the methodology. *Acta Reumatol Port* 2015;40:110–24.
- 50940Gouveia N, Rodrigues AM, Ramiro S, *et al.* EpiReumaPt: how to perform a national population510based study a practical guide. *Acta Reumatol Port* 2015;**40**:128–36.
- 51141Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Pereira LN, *et al.* The valuation of the EQ-5D in Portugal. *Qual Life Res*5122014;23:413-23. doi:10.1007/s11136-013-0448-z
- 51342Group TE. EuroQol a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. Health514Policy (New York) 1990;16:199–208. doi:10.1016/0168-8510(90)90421-9
- 51543Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Pereira LN, *et al.* EQ-5D Portuguese population norms. *Qual Life Res*5162014;23:425–30. doi:10.1007/s11136-013-0488-4
- 51744Wolfe F, Hawley DJ. Measurement of the quality of life in rheumatic disorders using the518EuroQol. Br J Rheumatol 1997;36:786–93. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/36.7.786
- 45 Benjamin Seng JJ, Kwan YH, Fong W, *et al.* Validity and reliability of EQ-5D-5L among patients
 520 with axial spondyloarthritis in Singapore. *Eur J Rheumatol* 2020;**7**:71–8.
 521 doi:10.5152/eurjrheum.2020.19043
- 52246Tsang HHL, Cheung JPY, Wong CKH, et al. Psychometric validation of the EuroQoL 5-dimension523(EQ-5D) questionnaire in patients with spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther 2019;21:1–14.524doi:10.1186/s13075-019-1826-x
- 52547Tsang HHL, Wong CKH, Cheung PWH, et al. Responsiveness of the EuroQoL 5-Dimension (EQ-5D)526questionnaire in patients with spondyloarthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2021;22:1–14.527doi:10.1186/s12891-021-04315-4
- 52848Garratt AM, Furunes H, Hellum C, et al. Evaluation of the EQ-5D-3L and 5L versions in low back529pain patients. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2021;19:1–9. doi:10.1186/s12955-021-01792-y

530 531 532	49	Cheung PWH, Wong CKH, Cheung JPY. Differential Psychometric Properties of EuroQoL 5- Dimension 5-Level and Short-Form 6-Dimension Utility Measures in Low Back Pain. <i>Spine (Phila Pa 1976)</i> 2019; 44 :E679–86. doi:10.1097/BRS.00000000002939
533 534 535	50	Poder TG, Wang L, Carrier N. EQ-5D-5L and SF-6Dv2 utility scores in people living with chronic low back pain: a survey from Quebec. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2020; 10 :e035722. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035722
536 537 538	51	Salaffi F, Carotti M, Gasparini S, <i>et al</i> . The health-related quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and psoriatic arthritis: A comparison with a selected sample of healthy people. <i>Health Qual Life Outcomes</i> 2009; 7 :1–12. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-7-25
539 540 541	52	Hamdi W, Azzouz D, Ghannouchi MM, <i>et al.</i> Health-related quality of life assessment on 100 Tunisian patients with ankylosing spondylitis using the SF-36 survey. <i>Oman Med J</i> 2012; 27 :455– 60. doi:10.5001/omj.2012.109
542 543 544	53	Gouveia N, Rodrigues A, Ramiro S, <i>et al.</i> The Use of Analgesic and Other Pain-Relief Drugs to Manage Chronic Low Back Pain: Results from a National Survey. <i>Pain Pract</i> 2017; 17 :353–65. doi:10.1111/papr.12455
545 546 547	54	Husted JA, Gladman DD, Farewell VT, <i>et al.</i> Health-related quality of life of patients with psoriatic arthritis: A comparison with patients with rheumatoid arthritis. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2001; 45 :151–8. doi:10.1002/1529-0131(200104)45:2<151::aid-anr168>3.0.co;2-t
548 549 550	55	Chorus AMJ, Miedema HS, Boonen A, <i>et al.</i> Quality of life and work in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis of working age. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2003; 62 :1178–84. doi:10.1136/ard.2002.004861
551 552 553	56	Hyphantis T, Kotsis K, Tsifetaki N, <i>et al.</i> The relationship between depressive symptoms, illness perceptions and quality of life in ankylosing spondylitis in comparison to rheumatoid arthritis. <i>Clin Rheumatol</i> 2013; 32 :635–44. doi:10.1007/s10067-012-2162-6
554 555 556	57	Fernández-Carballido C, Navarro-Compán V, Castillo-Gallego C, <i>et al.</i> Disease Activity As a Major Determinant of Quality of Life and Physical Function in Patients With Early Axial Spondyloarthritis. <i>Arthritis Care Res</i> 2017; 69 :150–5. doi:10.1002/acr.22908
557 558	58	Machado P, Landewé R, Braun J, <i>et al</i> . A stratified model for health outcomes in ankylosing spondylitis. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2011; 70 :1758–64. doi:10.1136/ard.2011.150037
559 560 561	59	Fitzgerald G, Gallagher P, O'Shea FD. Multimorbidity in Axial Spondyloarthropathy and Its Association with Disease Outcomes: Results from the Ankylosing Spondylitis Registry of Ireland Cohort. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 2020; 47 :218–26. doi:10.3899/jrheum.181415
562	60	Moltó A, Etcheto A, Van Der Heijde D, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and evaluation of their

563 564		screening in spondyloarthritis: Results of the international cross-sectional ASAS-COMOSPA study. <i>Ann Rheum Dis</i> 2016; 75 :1016–23. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-208174
565 566 567	61	Zhao SS, Robertson S, Reich T, <i>et al.</i> Prevalence and impact of comorbidities in axial spondyloarthritis: Systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Revmatol</i> 2020; 59 :IV47–57. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keaa246
568 569	62	Santos H, Brophy S, Calin A. Exercise in ankylosing spondylitis: how much is optimum? <i>J Rheumatol</i> 1998; 25 :2156–60.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9818658
570 571 572	63	Patterson SL, Re JD, Lee M, <i>et al.</i> Better Outcomes in Ank losing Spond litis : The S nergistic Association Between Exercise and Tumor Necrosis Factor nhibitors. <i>Arthritis Rheumatol (Hoboken, NJ)</i> 2014; 66 :S250–1.
573 574 575	64	Jung SH, Kwon OY, Yi CH, <i>et al.</i> Predictors of dysfunction and health-related quality of life in the flexion pattern subgroup of patients with chronic lower back pain: The STROBE study. <i>Med (United States)</i> 2018; 97 . doi:10.1097/MD.00000000011363
576 577 578	65	Uchmanowicz I, Kołtuniuk A, Stępień A, <i>et al</i> . The influence of sleep disorders on the quality of life in patients with chronic low back pain. <i>Scand J Caring Sci</i> 2019; 33 :119–27. doi:10.1111/scs.12610
579 580	66	Stefane T, Dos Santos AM, Marinovic A, <i>et al</i> . Chronic low back pain: Pain intensity, disability and quality of life. <i>ACTA Paul Enferm</i> 2013; 26 :14–20. doi:10.1590/S0103-21002013000100004
581 582 583	67	Aminde JA, Aminde LN, Bija MD, <i>et al</i> . Health-related quality of life and its determinants in patients with chronic low back pain at a tertiary hospital in Cameroon: A cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> 2020; 10 . doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035445
584 585 586	68	Darzi MT, Pourhadi S, Hosseinzadeh S, <i>et al</i> . Comparison of quality of life in low back pain patients and healthy subjects by using WHOQOL-BREF. <i>J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil</i> 2014; 27 :507–12. doi:10.3233/BMR-140474
587 588 589	69	Klemenc-Ketis Z. Predictors of health-related quality of life and disability in patients with chronic non-specific Low back pain. <i>Zdr Vestn</i> 2011; 80 :379– 85.http://vestnik.szd.si/index.php/ZdravVest/article/view/166
590 591 592	70	Hadziomerovic AM, Vilic M, Ajnadzic N, <i>et al</i> . The effects of age and gender on the quality of life of people with chronic back pain in Bosnia and Herzegovina. <i>Disabil CBR Incl Dev</i> 2017; 28 :129– 38. doi:10.5463/DCID.v28i2.631
593 594 595	71	Wettstein M, Eich W, Bieber C, <i>et al.</i> Pain intensity, disability, and quality of life in patients with chronic low back pain: Does age matter? <i>Pain Med (United States)</i> 2019; 20 :464–75. doi:10.1093/pm/pny062

596 72 Mutubuki EN, Beljon Y, Maas ET, et al. The longitudinal relationships between pain severity and 597 disability versus health-related quality of life and costs among chronic low back pain patients. 598 Qual Life Res 2020;29:275-87. doi:10.1007/s11136-019-02302-w 599 73 Guclu DG, Guclu O, Ozaner A, et al. The relationship between disability, quality of life and fear-600 avoidance beliefs in patients with chronic low back pain. Turk Neurosurg 2012;22:724-31. 601 doi:10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.6156-12.1 602 74 Nikiphorou E, Ramiro S, van der Heijde D, et al. Association of Comorbidities in Spondyloarthritis 603 With Poor Function, Work Disability, and Quality of Life: Results From the Assessment of 604 SpondyloArthritis International Society Comorbidities in Spondyloarthritis Study. Arthritis Care 605 Res 2018;70:1257-62. doi:10.1002/acr.23468 606 75 Zhao SS, Radner H, Siebert S, et al. Comorbidity burden in axial spondyloarthritis: A cluster 607 analysis. Rheumatol (United Kingdom) 2019;58:1746-54. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kez119 608 76 Heuch I, Heuch I, Hagen K, et al. Body mass index as a risk factor for developing chronic low back 609 pain: A follow-up in the nord-trøndelag health study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2013;38:133–9. 610 doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182647af2 611 77 Heuch I, Heuch I, Hagen K, et al. A comparison of anthropometric measures for assessing the 612 association between body size and risk of chronic low back pain: The HUNT study. PLoS One 613 2015;10:1-15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141268 614 78 Fontaine KR, Barofsky I. Fontaine2001. 2001;:173-82. 615 79 Kolotkin RL, Andersen JR. A systematic review of reviews: exploring the relationship between 616 obesity, weight loss and health-related quality of life. *Clin Obes* 2017;7:273-89. 617 doi:10.1111/cob.12203 618 80 Ugochukwu C, Bagot KS, Delaloye S, et al. The importance of quality of life in patients with 619 alcohol abuse and dependence. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2013;**21**:1–17. 620 doi:10.1097/HRP.0b013e31827fd8aa 621 81 Searle A, Spink M, Ho A, et al. Exercise interventions for the treatment of chronic low back pain: 622 A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Clin Rehabil 623 2015;29:1155-67. doi:10.1177/0269215515570379 624 82 Schaller A, Dejonghe L, Haastert B, et al. Physical activity and health-related quality of life in 625 chronic low back pain patients: A cross-sectional study Rehabilitation, physical therapy and 626 occupational health. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2015;16:1-8. doi:10.1186/s12891-015-0527-0 627 83 Rodrigues J, Rodrigues AM, Dias SS, et al. Psoriatic arthritis and Ankylosing Spondylitis Impact on 628 Health-related Quality of Life and Working Life: A Comparative Population-Based Study. Acta

Reumatol Port Published Online First: 2019.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32008031
Lubrano E, Perrotta FM, Parsons WJ, *et al.* Patient's global assessment as an outcome measure
for psoriatic arthritis in clinical practice: A surrogate for measuring low disease activity? J *Rheumatol* 2015;**42**:2332–8. doi:10.3899/jrheum.150595

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of	of participants with	spondyloarthritis,	those with	chronic low	back pain,	and those
without rheumatic or musculoskeletal disor	lers					

	Spondyloarthritis	CLBP	noRMD	p-value	p-value	p-value
	n=92	n=1376	n=679	(SpA/noRMD)	(CLBP/noRMD)	(SpA/CLBP)
Female	59 (64.13%)	965 (70.13%)	366 (53.90%)	0.074ª	<<0.001 ^a	0.241ª
Age (mean \pm SD)	48.4 ± 13.7	58.8 ± 14.6	45.9 ± 15.6	0.145 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	<<0.001 ^b
Age group				0.546°	<<0.001°	<<0.001°
18–35 years	19 (20.65%)	88 (6.4%)	187 (27.54%)			
36–55 years	47 (51.09%)	446 (32.41%)	311 (45.80%)			
56–75 years	23 (25.00%)	654 (47.53%)	159 (23.42%)			
≥76 years	3 (3.26%)	188 (13.66%)	22 (3.24%)			
Education level				0.709°	<<0.001°	<<0.001°
0–4 years	32 (34.78%)	811 (59.24%)	207 (30.53%)			
5–9 years	22 (23.91%)	275 (11.69%)	138 (20.35%)			
10-12 years	20 (21.74%)	160 (20.09%)	179 (26.40%)			
>12 years	18 (19.57%)	123 (8.98%)	154 (22.71%)			
NUTS II region				0.075°	<<0.001°	0.085°
Norte	21 (22.83%)	425 (30.89%)	196 (28.87%)			
Centro	27 (29.35%)	349 (25.36%)	122 (17.97%)			
Lisboa	12 (13.04%)	232 (16.86%)	122 (17.97%)			
Alentejo	7 (7.60%)	92 (6.69%)	39 (5.74%)			
Algarve	6 (6.52%)	25 (1.82%)	27 (3.98%)			
Azores	11 (11.96%)	140 (10.17%)	74 (10.90%)			
Madeira	8 (8.70%)	113 (8.21%)	99 (14.58%)			
Marital status				0.043 ^a	0.001 ^a	0.500 ^a
Married	63 (68.48%)	890 (64.68%)	388 (57.31%)			
Other	29 (31.52%)	486 (35.32%)	289 (42.69%)			
Employment status				0.406 ^c	<<0.001°	<<0.001°
Full-time worker	48 (52.75%)	400 (29.52%)	352 (52.93%)			
Unemployed	14 (15.38%)	132 (9.74%)	93 (13.98%)			
Retired	23 (25.27%)	649 (47.90%)	142 (21.35%)			
Other	6 (6.59%)	174 (12.84%)	78 (11.73%)			

^aFisher's exact test; ^bt-test; ^cChi-square test SD, Standard deviation; SpA, Spondyloarthritis; CLBP, Chronic low back pain; noRMD, Without rheumatic or musculoskeletal disorders; NUTS II, Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics II.

	Spondyloarthritis n=92	CLBP n=1376	noRMD n=679	p-value (SpA/noRMD)	p-value (CLBP/noRMD)	p-value (SpA/CLBP)
BMI (kg/m ²)				0.585ª	<<0.001ª	0.003ª
Normal	41 (46.07%)	372 (29.11%)	315 (47.51%)			
Overweight	32 (35.96%)	527 (41.24%)	255 (38.46%)			
Obese	16 (17.98%)	379 (29.66%)	93 (14.03%)			
Daily coffee intake (cups)			× /	0.141ª	<<0.001 ^a	<<0.001 ^a
None	23 (25%)	512 (37.21%)	179 (26.36%)			
1–3	53 (57.61%)	785 (57.05%)	429 (63.18%)			
>3	16 (17.39%)	79 (5.74%)	71 (10.46%)			
Alcohol intake		· · · ·	· · · ·	0.194 ^a	<<0.001 ^a	0.959ª
Daily	20 (21.74%)	285 (20.73%)	132 (19.44%)			
Occasionally	30 (32.61%)	426 (30.98%)	288 (42.41%)			
Never	42 (45.65%)	664 (48.29%)	259 (38.14%)			
Smoking habits	· · · ·		· · · · ·	0.468^{a}	<<0.001 ^a	0.339 ^a
Daily	14 (15.22%)	160 (11.63%)	140 (20.62%)			
Occasionally	2 (2.17%)	20 (1.45%)	16 (2.36%)			
Non-smoker	76 (82.61%)	1196 (86.92%)	523 (77.03%)			
Regular physical exercise			()	0.165 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.812 ^b
Yes	27 (29.35%)	388 (28.20%)	253 (37.32%)			
No	65 (70.65%)	988 (71.80%)	425 (62.68%)			
Chronic	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	<<0.001 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.048 ^b
noncommunicable						
diseases, n (self-reported)						
0-2	51 (55.43%)	617 (44.84%)	517 (76.37%)			
≥3	41(44.57%)	759 (55.16%)	160 (23.63%)			
Chronic						
noncommunicable						
diseases (self-reported)	_					
High blood pressure	20 (21.74%)	610 (44.65%)	158 (23.51%)	0.793 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	<<0.001 ^b
Diabetes	4 (4.35%)	211 (15.45%)	63 (9.36%)	0.165 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.002 ^b
High cholesterol	31 (34.07%)	615 (45.52%)	181 (27.05%)	0.171 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.038 ^b
Pulmonary disease	11 (11.96%)	116 (8.49%)	41 (6.07%)	0.045 ^b	0.063 ^b	0.251 ^b
Cardiac disease	11 (12.09%)	267 (19.62%)	56 (8.33%)	0.237 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.097^{b}
Gastrointestinal	23 (25.56%)	407 (29.86%)	78 (11.61%)	0.001 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.474 ^b
Neurological	1 (1.09%)	64 (4.69%)	22 (3.27%)	0.344 ^b	0.159 ^b	0.121 ^b
Allergy	23 (25.00%)	364 (26.67%)	145 (21.61%)	0.502 ^b	0.014 ^b	0.808^{b}
Mental	14 (15.38%)	332 (19.62%)	71 (10.52%)	0.159 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.056 ^b
Cancer	3 (3.26%)	67 (4.91%)	36 (5.33%)	0.611 ^b	0.669^{b}	0.619 ^b
Thyroid	14 (15.22%)	193 (14.26%)	51 (7.55%)	0.025 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.759 ^b
Hypogonadism	1 (1.11%)	13 (0.97%)	7 (1.04%)	0.953 ^b	0.878^{b}	0.599 ^b
Hyperuricemia	5 (5.43%)	137 (10.18%)	24 (3.60%)	0.382 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.203 ^b
Renal	11 (12.09%)	167 (12.31%)	38 (5.66%)	0.036 ^b	<<0.001 ^b	0.951 ^b

Table 2 Anthropometric data and lifestyle and health characteristics among participants with spondyloarthritis, those with chronic low back pain, and those without rheumatic or musculoskeletal disorders

^a Chi-square test; ^b Fisher's exact test

SpA, Spondyloarthritis; CLBP, Chronic low back pain; noRMD, Without rheumatic or musculoskeletal disorders; BMI

	SpA n=92	CLBP n=1376	noRMD n=679	Crude OR SpA/noRMD 195% CII	Crude p-value SnA/noRMD	Adjusted OR SpA/noRMD ^a 195% CII	Adjusted p-value SpA/noRMD ^a	Crude OR CLBP/noRMD 195% CI1	Crude p-value CLBP/noRMD	Adjusted OR CLBP/noRMD ^b 195% CII	Adjusted p-value CLBP/noRMD ^b	Crude OR SpA/CLBP 195% CII	Crude p-value SnA/CLBP	Adjusted OR SpA/CLBP ^c 195% CI1	Adjusted p-value SnA/CLBP ^c
EQ5D					opinionali	[/0/00]	Sprinorani	[sever]	enprime	[////01]	Charling	[,0,0 01]	opinional	[10/004]	oprioliti
Mobility	-			4.34 [2.59; 7.18]	<0.001	4.54 [2.50; 8.21]	<0.001	5.86 [4.47; 7.79]	<0.001	3.11 [2.28; 4.31]	<0.001	0.74 [0.47; 1.16]	0.196	1.37 [0.81; 2.28]	0.229
No problems, n (%)	63 (68.5)	849 (61.7)	613 (90.4)			. , ,		. / 3		. , ,		. , ,		. , ,	
Some problems/	29	527	65												
extreme problems, n (%)	(31.5)	(38.3)	(9.6)	4.00	.0.001	1.07	0.001	6.54	-0.001	2.21	.0.001	0.65	0.004	1.27	0.007
Self-care				4.23 [1.83; 9.23]	<0.001	4.86 [1.85; 1.26]	0.001	6.54 [4.16; 10.90]	<0.001	3.21 [1.90; 5.78]	<0.001	0.65	0.204	[0.63; 2.76]	0.397
No problems, n (%)	82	1156	659	. , ,		. , ,		. / .		. , ,		. , ,		. , ,	
	(89.1)	(84.1)	(97.2)												
Some problems/	10	218	19												
Extreme problems, n (%)	(10.8)	(15.9)	(2.8)												
Usual activities				4.42	<0.001	4.65	< 0.001	4.62	<0.001	2.50	<0.001	0.96	0.849	1.59	0.075
No mobleme a (9/)		027	(15	[2.64; 7.34]		[2.56; 8.44]		[3.51; 6.17]		[1.83; 3.47]		[0.60; 1.49]		[0.94; 2.64]	
No problems, n (%)	(68 5)	927	(00.6)												
Some problems/	29	446	(90.0)												
extreme problems, n (%)	(31.5)	(32.5)	(9.4)												
Pain/discomfort	(****)	(*=:*)	(,)	5.15	< 0.001	4.73	< 0.001	4.80	< 0.001	3.46	< 0.001	1.07	0.748	1.35	0.213
				[3.28; 8.20]		[2.94; 7.70]		[3.91; 5.92]		[2.75; 4.37]		[0.70; 1.67]		[0.85; 2.19]	
No pain or discomfort, n (%)	35	546	516												
	(38.0)	(39.7)	(76.0)												
Moderate/extreme	57	828	163												
pain or discomfort, n (%)	(62.0)	(60.3)	(24.0)												
Anxiety/depression				1.961	0.007	1.50	0.135	2.02	<0.001	1.58	<0.001	0.97	0.908	1.14	0.604
				[1.18; 3.17]		[0.87; 2.49]		[1.61; 2.54]		[1.21; 2.07]		[0.60; 1.53]		[0.68; 1.88]	
Not anxious or depressed, n (%)	65	958	557												
	(70.7)	(70.1)	(82.5)												
moderately/extremely	(29.4)	(20.0)	(17.5)												
anxious of depressed, if (76)	(27.4)	(2).))	(17.5)	Crude ß	Crude	Adjusted B	Adjusted	Crude ß	Crude	Adjusted B	Adjusted	Crude ß	Crude	Adjusted B	Adjusted
				SpA/ noRMD	p-value	SpA/noRMD ^a	p-value	CLBP/noRMD	p-value	CLBP/noRMD ^b	p-value	SpA vs.	p-value	SpA/CLBP ^c	p-value
				[95% CI]	SpA/noRMD	[95% CI]	SpA/noRMD ^a	[95% CI]	CLBP/noRMD	[95% CI]	CLBP/noRMD ^b	CLBP	SpA/CLBP [95% CI]		SpA/CLBP ^c
EQ-5D score (mean ± SD)	0.69 ±	0.66 ±	0.86 ±	-0.17	<0.001	-0.14	<0.001	-0.20	<0.001	-0.12	<0.001	0.03	0.300	-0.03	0.33
FO-5D VAS (mean + SD)	65.28 +	60.92 +	75.69 +	-10.41	<0.001	-7 49	<0.001	-14 77	<0.001	-9.07	<0.001	4 36	0.040	0.03	0.989
$E_{2} = 5D + AO (mean \pm 5D)$	18.1	19.86	17.64	[-14.34: 6.49]	-0.001	[-11.20:	~0.001	[-16.57:	~0.001	[-10.96: -7.18]	-0.001	[0.11: 8.60]	0.040	[-4.06: 4.12]	0.767
				[-3.78]		-12.97]				[,	

Table 3 Comparison of health-related quality of life by diagnosis (spondyloarthritis, chronic low back pain, or no rheumatic/musculoskeletal disorders)

EQ-5D, EuroQoL 5 dimensions; EQ-5D-VAS, EQ5D Visual Analogue Scale; SpA, Spondyloarthrits; CLBP, Chronic low back pain; noRMD, Without rheumatic or musculoskeletal diseases; SD, Standard deviation; CI, Confidence interval; OR, Odds ratio; "OR adjusted for: gender, age group, NUTS II region, marital status, number of noncommunicable diseases; "OR adjusted for: gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, employment status, marital status, body mass index category, regular physical exercise, number of noncommunicable diseases; "OR adjusted for: gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, employment status, body mass index category, number of noncommunicable diseases; "OR adjusted for: gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, employment status, body mass index category, number of noncommunicable diseases;" or adjusted for: gender, age group, NUTS II region, education level, employment status, body mass index category, number of noncommunicable diseases.

)9 3 3 8 7 1 3	$\begin{array}{r} n = 1376 \\ \hline 95\% \text{ CI} \\ \hline \\ $	p-value 0.022 0.158 0.132	β _ -	n = 92 95% CI	p-value - -
09 3 3 8 7 1 3	95% CI [-0.17; -0.01] [-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	p-value 0.022 0.158 0.132	β - -	95% CI	p-value - -
09 3 3 8 7 1 3	[-0.17; -0.01] [-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.022 0.158 0.132	-	-	-
09 3 3 8 7 1 3	[-0.17; -0.01] [-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.022 0.158 0.132	- -	- -	-
3 3 8 7 1 3	[-0.17; -0.01] [-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.17] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.022 0.158 0.132	-	-	-
3 3 8 7 1 3	[-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.158 0.132	-	-	-
3 3 8 7 1 3	[-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.158 0.132			
3 3 8 7 1 3	[-0.01; 0.07] [-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.158 0.132			
3 8 7 1 3	[-0.01; 0.07] [0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]	0.132			
8 7 1 3	[0.01; 0.14] [0.05; 0.28]				
7 1 3	[0, 05, 0, 28]	0.020			
1 3	[0.05, 0.20]	0.004			
3	[-0.04; 0.06]	0.667			
	[-0.03; 0.08]	0.350			
)3 [[-0.06; -0.005]	0.024	-	-	-
			-	-	-
)7	[-0.12; -0.03]	< 0.001			
)6	[-0.11; -0.02]	0.007			
)4	[-0.08; -0.01]	0.022	-	-	-
7	[0.03; 0.10]	< 0.001	-	-	-
8	[0.05; 0.11]	< 0.001	0.18	[0.10; 0.30]	<0.001
11	[-0.14; -0.08]	< 0.001	-0.18	[-0.24; -0.03]	<0.001
	-	-	-0.13	[-0.29; -0.05]	0.036
)2	[-0.03; -0.02]	< 0.001	-	-	-
	04 7 8 11 02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ [-0.08; -0.01] \\ 0.022 \\ 0.001 $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 4 Factors associated with health-related quality of life (EQ5D), stratified by diagnostic category (multivariable model)

EQ5D, European Quality of Life Questionnaire Five Dimensions; NUTS II, Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics II; BMI, Body mass index; β , Parameter estimates; CI, Confidence interval; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis