## De novo TNPO2 variants are associated with developmental delays, neurologic deficits and dysmorphic features in humans and alter TNPO2 activity in Drosophila.
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#### Abstract

Transportin-2 (TNPO2) mediates multiple pathways including non-classical nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of >60 cargoes, including developmental and neuronal proteins. We identified fifteen individuals carrying de novo coding variants in TNPO2 who presented with global developmental delay (GDD), dysmorphic features, ophthalmologic abnormalities, and neurological features. To assess the nature of these variants, functional studies were performed in Drosophila. We found that fly dTnpo (orthologous to TNPO2) is expressed in a subset of neurons. dTnpo is critical for neuronal maintenance and function as downregulating dTnpo in mature neurons using RNAi disrupts neuronal activity and survival. Altering the activity and expression of dTnpo using mutant alleles or RNAi causes developmental defects, including eye and wing deformities and lethality. These effects are dosage-dependent as more severe phenotypes are associated with stronger $d$ Tnpo loss. Interestingly, similar phenotypes are observed with dTnpo upregulation and ectopic expression of TNPO2, showing that loss and gain of Transportin activity causes developmental defects. Further, proband-associated variants can cause more or less severe developmental abnormalities compared to wild-type TNPO2 when ectopically expressed. The impact of the variants tested seems to correlate with their position within the protein. Specifically, those that fall within the RAN binding domain cause more severe toxicity and those in the acidic loop are less toxic. Variants within the cargo binding domain show tissue-dependent effects. In summary, dTnpo is an essential gene in flies during development and in neurons. Further, proband-associated de novo variants within TNPO2 disrupt the function of the encoded protein. Hence, TNPO2-variants are causative for neurodevelopmental abnormalities.
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## INTRODUCTION

Genomic sequencing in combination with functional investigations in model organisms has led to the discovery of numerous novel Mendelian diseases ${ }^{1,2}$. Functional investigations may be particularly impactful when considering contributions of potential disease-associated variants that occur in genes encoding pleiotropic proteins ${ }^{3,4}$, defined as proteins that function in a diverse number of unrelated pathways.

Here, we identified Transportin-2 (TNPO2 [MIM: 603002]; Importin-3; Karyopherin- $\beta 2 b$ ) as a disease associated gene. TNPO2 primarily mediates a non-classical nucleocytoplasmic shuttling pathway ${ }^{5,6}$. TNPO2 activity is dependent on the Ras-related nuclear protein (RAN) GTP/GDP gradientT. During nucleocytoplasmic shuttling, TNPO2 is bound by RAN-GDP at its N -terminus, promoting interactions with cytoplasmic protein cargoes at its C-terminus ${ }^{5,6}$. Subsequently, the RAN-GDP:TNPO2:cargo complex is shuttled into the nucleus via the nuclear pore complex (NPC). Conversion of RAN-GDP to RAN-GTP in the nucleus causes a conformational change in TNPO2's acidic loop - a flexible domain found between the RAN and cargo binding domains. This releases the cargo. RAN-GTP:TNPO2 is then shuttled back to the cytoplasm, destined to repeat the process.

TNPO2 is closely related to Transportin-1 (TNPO1 [MIM: 602901]; Importin-2; Karyopherin$\beta 2)^{5}$ and neither gene has been associated with a Mendelian disease. TNPO2 is the less studied of the two as it was discovered later. Human TNPO2 and TNPO1 protein sequences are $84 \%$ identical and $92 \%$ similar ${ }^{5}$. Differences primarily occur in their flexible acidic loops and, to a lesser extent, their cargo-binding domains ${ }^{8}$. Current data support that TNPO2 and TNPO1 are functionally redundant ${ }^{5}$. Although the two genes are expressed ubiquitously, they differ in their expression levels in different tissues. Expression profiling data in mice demonstrated that TNPO2 is more highly expressed in the brain than TNPO1 $^{9}$. These results are consistent with other mammalian datasets ${ }^{5}$. At the protein level, TNPO2 is more abundant in cultured neurons, astrocytes, and neural stem cells than TNPO1 ${ }^{10}$. TNPO2 may also be more critical in muscles
as TNPO1 is not detected in cultured myoblasts ${ }^{11}$. TNPO2 is required during myoblast differentiation into myotubes ${ }^{11}$.

More than 150 proteins are predicted to interact with TNPO1/2 based on high-throughput studies and over 60 proteins have been confirmed as TNPO1-cargoes ${ }^{5,12,13}$. Cargoes confirmed to be shuttled by TNPO2 include FUS (MIM: $\underline{137070})^{14}$, HuR/ElavL1 (MIM: $\left.\underline{603466}\right)^{8,11,15,16}$, hnRNPA1 (MIM: $\underline{164017})^{8,17}$, and NF-кB Essential Modulator (NEMO [MIM: $\left.\underline{300248]}\right)^{18}$. All of these are also TNPO1-cargoes. Recent high-throughput studies have detected rare proteins that uniquely interact with TNPO2 ${ }^{12,13}$ but direct investigations are needed to confirm them as TNPO2-specific cargoes.

The majority of TNPO1/2 cargoes carry a non-canonical nuclear-localization signal (NLS), a PY-NLS, defined as a C-terminal R/H/K-X $\mathrm{X}_{2-5} \mathrm{PY}$ motif ${ }^{17}$. However, a large number of cargoes do not have a PY-NLS and are simply described as being structurally disordered and having a hydrophobic or basic N -terminal sequence ${ }^{5,19}$. RNA-binding proteins and transcription factors needing import into the nucleus to regulate expression of a diverse number of genes are common targets of TNPO1/2 ${ }^{5}$. Other nucleus-bound cargoes include histones, splicing factors, and ribosomal proteins ${ }^{5,12,13}$. TNPO1/2 also interacts with ciliary proteins ${ }^{20-22}$, spindle assembly factors ${ }^{23,24}$, and nucleoporins ${ }^{23,25,26}$, shuttling these cargoes to the appropriate region of the cell for them to function. This means TNPO1/2 activity directly impacts ciliogenesis, mitotic spindle assembly, and nuclear envelope and pore assembly. Last, TNPO1/2 has been implicated in mechanisms that promote aging and neurodegenerative diseases ${ }^{14,27-29}$.

Here we characterize a cohort that carry de novo variants within the TNPO2 gene, finding that common features include developmental and neurological abnormalities. Using Drosophila to perform functional studies, we provide evidence that de novo, pathogenic variants in TNPO2 are the genetic causes of individuals' symptoms.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Recruitment and sequencing of individuals

Fifteen individuals were recruited through the Undiagnosed Diseases Network (UDN) ${ }^{30}$ and GeneMatcher ${ }^{31}$. The procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national). Proper informed consent was obtained from family members for all probands in this study. All of the UDN work, including clinical and model organism work, and coordination for this publication, was performed under NIH IRB protocol 15-HG-0130.

Sequencing details for each proband can be found in Data S1. Briefly, trio (proband and both biological parents) whole exome sequencing (WES) was done in 14 of 15 cases as previously described ${ }^{32-34}$. Trio whole genome sequencing (WGS) used the Illumina Novaseq 6000 platform. Sequencing libraries were generated using the Truseq Nano DNA HT Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, USA). Alignment of 150bp paired-end reads to the hg19 reference genome was performed using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) software, before sorting with samtools and marking duplicates with Picard. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertions/deletions (indels) were labelled using Genome Analysis Tool Kit (GATK v3.1), structural variants (SVs) were detected using DELLY (v0.7.3) software and copy number variants (CNVs) were detected using the control-FREEC (v9.9) tool. Following genomic variants detection, variants were annotated using ANNOVAR. Identification of genomic regions affected by each variant and possible changes in protein was performed using RefSeq and Gencode databases. The presence of the variants were assessed in dbSNP, GnomAD, 1000 Genomes Project, Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC), exome sequencing project (ESP) and Clinvar. Databases dbSNP, COSMIC, OMIM, GWAS Catalog and HGMD were used to find reported information of variants. SIFT, PolyPhen, MutationAssessor, LRT and CADD scores were used to predict the deleteriousness of mutations and GERP++ scores were used to access the conservation of mutations.

## Drosophila husbandry and established fly lines

All fly lines were raised and maintained as described ${ }^{35}$. Publicly available fly lines are detailed in Table S1 and were obtained from Vienna Drosophila Research Center (VDRC), Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC), and Kyoto Stock Center (Kyoto). Rh1-GAL4 on II ( $w^{*}$; Rh1-GAL4;), elav-GAL4 on II ( $y^{*} w^{*}$; elav-GAL4) and UAS control ( $y$ w; PBac\{UASempty\}VK37/SM6a) were published previously ${ }^{36,37}$. da-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ ( $w^{*}$; P\{da-GSGAL4.T\};) was generously provided by H. Tricoire ${ }^{38}$.

## dTnpo mutant alleles and genomic rescue line

$d T n p o^{\text {Gly736Asp }}, \operatorname{Tnpo-RA~(NM~058020.4):c.2207G>A~(p.Gly736Asp),~was~identified~in~a~}$ forward genetic screen of $F R T 80 B$ isogenized flies ${ }^{39}$. $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ is an imprecise excision line derived from P\{GawB\}NP440840 (Kyoto \#104668). A dTnpo genomic rescue construct, GR ${ }^{\text {dTnpo }}$, was cloned from the endogenous dTnpo gene using genomic DNA from isogenized FRT80B and inserted into the VK37 docking site using $\phi$ C31 mediated transgenesis as described ${ }^{41}$. The dTnpo CRIMIC (T2A-GAL4) allele was designed as part of the Gene Disruption Project (construct CR92235) as described ${ }^{42}$ using sgRNA 5' - CAAGCGTAATTTAAGAGTAATGG - 3'.

## UAS-hTNPO2 lines

UAS-hTNPO2 lines were developed as described ${ }^{35}$. Q5 site directed mutagenesis (NEB \# E00554S) was done on a pDONR223-hTNPO2 cDNA construct (NM 001136196.1; Horizon Discovery \# OHS1770-202312693) to introduce a stop codon and variants. Primers are detailed in Table S2. LR clonase II (ThermoFisher \# 11791020) was used to transfer the cDNA sequence to a pGW-attB-3xHA destination vector ${ }^{43}$, creating pGW-hTNPO2 constructs. All clones were PCR and sequence confirmed. Sequencing primers included ones specific to the hTNPO2 sequence (Table S2) and M13 primers. pGW-hTNPO2 constructs were inserted into
the VK37 docking site using $\phi$ C31 mediated transgenesis ${ }^{41}$. Final genotype: $w^{1118}$; PBac\{UAShTNPO2\}VK37/SM6a.

## GeneSwitch-driven transgene expression and lifespan

da-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ and elav-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ assays were performed as previously described ${ }^{44}$ with the following changes. 1-2 day post-eclosion animals were placed onto $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for elav-GAL4 ${ }^{\mathrm{GS}}$ or $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for da-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ RU486-containing food. RU486-food was prepared by mixing molten (60$65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) food with 10 mM RU486 (Sigma \#M8046; prepared using 200pf ethanol) to the desired concentration at 2 mL per vial. Molten food was solidified for $1-24 \mathrm{~h}$ in a fume hood. For elav$G A L 4^{G S}$ lifespan assays, female flies were maintained at $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For da-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ studies, female flies were maintained for 4 days on RU 486 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reactions (qPCR)

qPCR was performed as previously described ${ }^{44}$ with the following changes. The iScript gDNA Clear cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad \#1725034), iTaq Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (BioRad \#1725120) and a BioRad C1000 Touch Cycler were used. Multiple housekeeping genes (RP49, RPS20, and Tubulin) were included for normalizing the data. qPCR primers are described in Table S2 and those for housekeeping genes were previously published ${ }^{44}$.

## Immunofluorescence (IF) and confocal microscopy

IF for L3 larval CNS and adult brains was performed as described ${ }^{45,46}$. Primary antibodies: anti-Fasll (DSHB \#7G10; 1:100), anti-Elav (DSHB \#7E8A10; 1:500), anti-Repo (DSHB \#8D12; 1:60), anti-mCherry (Genetex \# GTX59788; 1:200; also targets RFP). Goat-derived secondary antibodies were used at 1:500 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). A Leica Sp8x with lightning deconvolution was used for confocal microscopy. Images were taken with a 20x oil immersion Leica objective (HC PL APO 20x/0.75 IMM CORR CS2).

## Western Immunoblots (WBs)

The BioRad Mini-PROTEAN Electrophoresis System was used with 4-20\% Mini-PROTEAN TGX™ Precast Gel (BioRad \#4561095), 1x Tris/Glycine/SDS running buffer, 1x Tris/Glycine transfer buffer with 10\% methanol, and PVDF membrane. For lysates, whole frozen flies were homogenized as described ${ }^{44,47}$ into $1 x$ SDS sample buffer at $50 \mu l$ per animal. For $1 x$ SDS sample buffer, $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\beta$-mercaptoethanol was added to 1 mL of diluted $6 x$ SDS sample buffer [0.35M Tris-HCI (pH 6.8), 10\% SDS, 30\% glycerol, 30\% $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, 1\% Bromophenol Blue]. 10 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of lysate was loaded per lane. Membranes were stained and reprobed as described ${ }^{44,47}$. Antibodies: anti-hTNPO1/2[A11] (1:1000, Santa Cruz \#sc-365179), anti-mouseHRP (1:5000), and anti- $\alpha$-Tubulin[11H10]-HRP (1:2000, Cell Signaling \#9099). HRP activity was measured using SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Sci \#34577) and a BioRad Chemidoc MP Imaging System.

## RESULTS

## Coding variants in TNPO2 are associated with global developmental delay, dysmorphic features, ophthalmologic abnormalities, and neurological features.

Fifteen individuals who primarily presented with feeding difficulties and developmental delays during infancy or in early childhood were evaluated clinically by their providers in the respective institution (Data S1). Trio (proband and both biological parents) sequencing, primarily whole exome sequencing (WES), was performed by these clinical sites and results showed that these individuals carry a potentially pathogenic, heterozygous coding-variant in TNPO2 (NM 001136196.1) (Table 1; extended data in Data S1). Based on the presence of this variant, individuals were recruited to this study through the Undiagnosed Diseases Network (UDN) ${ }^{44}$ and GeneMatcher ${ }^{45}$, independent of their respective clinical features.

All variants are de novo except the one in proband 13, whose mother was low-level mosaic. The variant is in $1 \%$ of NGS reads in the mother by WES. To learn more about TNPO2 and potential impact of these variants, we used information accumulated into the Model organism Aggregated Resources or Rare Variant ExpLoration (MARRVEL) tool, v2 ${ }^{48}$. MARRVEL is a valuable resource that brings together multiple sources of information for the investigation of human and model organism based disease research. Here, we found that TNPO2 is highly constrained, having a missense constraint (misZ) score ${ }^{49}$ of 5.88 (observed/expected (o/e) = 0.28 ) and a probability of loss-of-function intolerance $(\mathrm{pLI})$ score ${ }^{49,50}$ of $1.00(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{e}=0.04)$ based on gnomAD (genome Aggregation Database), v2.1.1 ${ }^{51}$. Twelve probands carry single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in TNPO2 that are predicted to be deleterious using combined annotation dependent depletion (CADD) scores (phred $>20$ ), v1.4 ${ }^{52}$. Proband 5 carries a mosaic, in-frame deletion of p.Lys152del ( $16 \%$ by Sanger, $21 \%$ by WGS of reads, DNA from blood). Proband 9 carries a deletion-insertion of p.Lys491_Arg492delinsGInTrp. Proband 14 carries an in-frame deletion of p.Ala649_Leu652, removing four codons. None of these variants are found in genetic databases containing control populations, including information in gnomAD ${ }^{51}$.

To evaluate common features among probands, information was extracted from chart review as well as clinic visits at the respective institutions. We found that all probands present with global developmental delay (GDD), with either slow or plateaued developmental progress (Tables 1 and S1). Probands 2, 4 and 13 show regression of milestones, mostly transiently. All probands have delayed speech, with expressive language more severely impacted compared with receptive speech. Four individuals are nonverbal (+++) including proband 7 at age10 years and proband 12 at age 20 years. Motor impairments appear to be comparatively less severe in our cohort compared with speech delays, although probands 5, 7 and 12 are non-ambulatory (+++). Intellectual disability (ID) was assessed and found in nine probands, ranging from mild (+) to severe (+++). ID is also suspected in another three individuals.

Behavioral deficits are observed in ten of fourteen probands with variable presentation (Tables 1 and S1). The most common neuropsychiatric concerns are inattention and autistic behaviors. Proband 12 is severely delayed so behavioral analysis was not done.

Gastrointestinal (GI) features appear to be shared within the cohort, impacting eleven of fifteen probands (Tables 1 and S1). The most common features include neonatal feeding difficulties and poor weight gain.

No single craniofacial dysmorphism is reported across the cohort, although dysmorphic features are noted in eleven of fifteen individuals (Fig. 1; Tables 1 and S1). The most common abnormalities include a broad or high nasal bridge, retrognathia, and a shortened philtrum. Skull and facial features include dolichocephaly, bitemporal narrowing or narrow face/high arched palate in four probands, and microcephaly (defined as less than -2 standard deviations; SD) in five probands. Noticeably, dysmorphisms surrounding the eye area are observed in five probands although presentation varies. This includes deep-set eyes and palpebral fissure length, spacing or slant irregularities. Dysmorphic ears are also noted in six probands.

Ophthalmologic abnormalities are reported within the cohort and impact ten of fifteen individuals (Tables 1 and S1). Strabismus is observed in seven probands. Saccadic and rapid eye movements are noted in three individuals, resolving in proband 5 by age 23 months. Myopia, hyperopia, or astigmatism are described in four probands.

Muscle tone abnormalities are described in eleven of fifteen individuals, primarily hypotonia. Interestingly, probands 6 and 10 show signs of both hypertonia and hypotonia. In addition, movement and neurological disorders, primarily tremors and ataxia, were seen in six of fifteen probands.

Neurologic impairments are detected in some probands (Tables 1 and S1). Six of the fifteen individuals had seizures starting between 1 to 2.5 years-of-age. Initial presentation in five of these individuals was febrile-induced and in four of five cases, individuals developed non-febrile seizures. Electroencephalograms (EEGs) were abnormal in three of ten probands assessed,
with proband 7 showing severe abnormalities consistent with epileptic encephalopathy. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain was done on thirteen probands and cerebellar hypoplasia or dysplasia were seen in three probands. Tnpo2 is highly expressed in this region in mice ${ }^{53}$. Other findings include white matter loss, mild ventricular dilation, hypoplastic caudate nuclei, thin corpus callosum as well as minor anomalies such as cavum septum pellucidum, enlarged Virchow Robin spaces, and borderline delay in myelination.

Other, less common features for individuals are also observed. This includes renal abnormalities (bilateral pyeloureteral junction stenosis requiring surgery at age 3 months in proband 4, left kidney agenesis in proband 8, and kidney stones in proband 12), nipple abnormalities, cardiac abnormalities (patent ductus arteriosus requiring transcatheter closure in proband 7, mild dilation of the aortic root in proband 12), finger anomalies, hip dysplasia, (kypho)scoliosis, and pes planus (Data S1).

Six of fifteen probands carry additional heterozygous, de novo genomic alterations which were not the primary candidate for further investigation (detailed in Note S1). Briefly, probands 6, 8, and 15 carry SNVs of uncertain significance (VUS) in Rabankyrin-5 (ANKFY1 [MIM: 607927]; no disease association), Armadillo repeat containing 9 (ARMC9 [MIM: 617612]; associated with autosomal recessive Joubert Syndrome 30 [MIM: 617622]), and $\alpha$ Internexin (INA [MIM: 605338]; no disease association), respectively. Importantly, these genes are less constrained than TNPO2 (see Note S1). Proband 5 carries two VUS that are not predicted to be pathogenic based on CADD and other information (see Note S1), including a SNV in Cut-like Homeobox 2 (CUX2 [MIM: 610648]; associated with autosomal dominant
 This individual also has a deletion-insertion in SET binding protein 1 (SETBP1 [MIM: 611060]) that occurs considerably further down in the gene from known pathogenic variants associated with mental retardation, autosomal dominant $29^{54}$ and has no suggestive features for SchinzelGiedion syndrome ${ }^{55}$ (MIM: 269150; autosomal dominant). Proband 10 carries multiple VUS (see

Note S1), most notably a 522 Kb gain in 1q21.1. No impacted genes were thought to explain the individual's features. Proband 14 carries a truncating SNV in the highly constrained gene Phosphodiesterase-4D (PDE4D [MIM: 600129]) and is diagnosed with acrodysostosis $2^{56}$ (ACRDYS2 [MIM: 614613]).

In summary, fifteen individuals were identified who carry potential disease-causing variants in TNPO2. All individuals present with global developmental delays. Speech abilities and intelligence are typically more impaired than motor abilities. Other common features between probands include variable dysmorphic features, ophthalmologic abnormalities (primarily strabismus), muscle tone abnormalities (primarily hypotonia), movement/neurological disorders, and neurological features.

## Drosophila Tnpo is orthologous to human TNPO2 and most proband variants affect evolutionarily conserved residues.

To investigate if the TNPO2 variants identified in our cohort underlie individuals' features, we utilized the model organism, Drosophila melanogaster. The fly orthologue to human TNPO2 (hTNPO2) is Drosophila Tnpo (dTnpo) and the encoded proteins from these two genes shuttle the same cargoes into the nucleus ${ }^{57-61}$. The amino acid sequences encoded by these two genes are $71 \%$ identical and $84 \%$ similar (Fig. 2A). The DRSC Integrative Ortholog Prediction Tool (DIOPT, v7.1) ${ }^{62}$ score between these genes is 13 of 16 , giving strong confidence that dTnpo is indeed orthologous to $h T N P O 2$. The sequences of the RAN binding and cargo binding domains are more conserved than that of the acidic loop. Specifically, sequences encoding the acidic loops are $59 \%$ identical and $70 \%$ similar compared to the RAN binding domain ( $69 \%$ identical, $84 \%$ similar) and cargo binding domain ( $74 \%$ identical, $85 \%$ similar) ${ }^{8}$. dTnpo is also orthologous to human TNPO1 (DIOPT score = 13/16), so one fly gene corresponds to two human genes.

Fourteen of fifteen variants found within our cohort occur at conserved amino acids between hTNPO2 and dTnpo (Fig. 2A, red). Five variants are within the RAN binding domain. Two
variants are at the same position within the acidic loop. Seven variants localize to the cargo binding domain. The p.Lys118Asn variant associated with proband 4 is not at a conserved amino acid (Fig. 2A, orange) and the amino acid within the fly protein is an asparagine (Asn; $\mathrm{N})$. This variant is at a conserved amino acid in vertebrate models (see data in MARRVEL).

## Developmental loss of dTnpo causes lethality and morphologic defects.

To gain an understanding of whether hTNPO2 may be essential during development, we assessed phenotypes associated with dTnpo loss in the fly. For this purpose, three dTnpo loss-of-function (LOF) mutant alleles were generated using different strategies (Fig. 2B). First, we previously identified $d T n p o^{G l y} 736 A s p$ in a genetic screen ${ }^{39}$. Second, a truncated dTnpo mutant, $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$, was generated by an imprecise excision of a P-element. Last, a CRISPR-Mediated Integration Cassette (CRIMIC) allele was created by insertion of a Splice Acceptor-T2A-GAL4-polyA sequence into a shared intron of all dTnpo transcripts, effectively disrupting the gene's expression by creating a truncated mRNA ${ }^{42}$. We also obtained two available UASRNAi fly lines designed to target $d T n p o^{63,64}$ (Fig. 2B). These RNAi lines effectively reduce expression of $d$ Tnpo based on qPCR. dTnpo RNAi-1 causes an $81 \pm 0.05 \%$ reduction and $d$ Tnpo RNAi-2 (previously used $\mathrm{in}^{60}$ ) causes a $58 \pm 0.16 \%$ reduction of $d$ Tnpo mRNA compared to control RNAi expressing animals (Fig. S1A).

The three dTnpo mutant alleles are homozygous lethal and no obvious phenotypes are observed in heterozygous animals. Notably, homozygous mutant animals do not survive beyond larval stages of development, shown in Fig. 2C. In rare cases, escaper puparia could be observed in $d T n p o^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ cultures and, less commonly, in $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ cultures. Based on a complementation test with a deletion line that lacks $d T n p o, D f(3 L)$ Exel8101, we conclude that $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ is the most severe LOF allele, causing lethality at larval stage 2 (L2). dTnpo ${ }^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ behaves as a hypomorph based on complementation failure with $\operatorname{Df}(3 L)$ Exel8101, causing death in larval stage 3 (L3). Finally, the dTnpo CRIMIC allele also behaves as a hypomorph,
causing lethality between L 2 and L 3 . The $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ and $d T n p o^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ alleles are rescuable by a genomic rescue line, $G R^{d T n p o}$, which carries an independent copy of $d$ Tnpo. Consistent with these data, ubiquitous expression of the strong UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 using da-GAL4 causes lethality at L2, similar to $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ mutants (Fig. S1B). Further, da-GAL4 driven expression of the weaker UAS-dTnpo RNAi-2 causes lethality at L3, similar to dTnpo ${ }^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$. Overall, these data show that $d T n p o$ is essential during fly development.

Since $h T N P O 2$ is likely required in multiple tissues and probands with $h T N P O 2$ coding variants have diverse features, we assessed if $d$ Tnpo loss impacts different tissues. Given that the majority of our cohort have ophthalmologic abnormalities, we first focused on the fly eye. The formation of this tissue is well studied and the developmental pathways required for proper eye formation are conserved ${ }^{65}$. The mutant alleles $d T n p o^{G 1 y 736 A s p}$ and $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ were recombined onto FRT80B-chromosomes. Using the FRT/FLP system ${ }^{66}$, we crossed these flies to ey-FLP GMR-lacZ;; RpS174 w+ FRT80B to create mosaic eyes that include either homozygous mutant clonal tissue (white) or wild-type clonal tissue (red) (Fig. 2D). Compared to FRT80B controls, $d T n p o^{G l y 736 A s p}$ FRT80B causes eye deformities, including disorganized ommatidia consistent with a rough eye phenotype and smaller eyes. Interestingly, no homozygous mutant tissue is seen in animals carrying the stronger mutation, $d T n p o^{\Delta 11} F R T 80 B$, demonstrating that $d T n p o$ is essential for eye development. Expression of dTnpo RNAi in the developing fly eye using eyGAL4 shows consistent results, with the stronger UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 causing developmental lethality and the weaker UAS-dTnpo RNAi-2 causing a rough eye phenotype and small eyes (Fig. S1D). Thus, effects of $d$ Tnpo loss on eye development seem to be dosage dependent. Interestingly, expressing dTnpo RNAi with GMR-GAL4, which expresses later in eye development, did not cause significant alterations to the external fly eye (Fig. S1E). These data argue that $d T n p o$ is required during early eye imaginal disc development but do not rule out a requirement at later stages.

We next tested for $d T n p o$ requirement during fly wing development, also a well-studied tissue that involves conserved signaling pathways for proper formation ${ }^{67,68}$. We created mosaic tissue in the wing disc of $d T n p o^{\text {Gly736Asp }}$ FRT80B larvae using Ubx-FLP;; Ubi-GFP FRT80B. Interestingly, wing notch phenotypes and large blisters can be observed in dTnpo ${ }^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ mutant animals (Fig. 2E). Taking an alternative approach, we used nub-GAL4 to express UAS-dTnpo $R N A i$ in the developing wing disc and partially in the thorax. The stronger RNAi-1 causes lethality, consistent with dTnpo being required for development. The weaker RNAi-2 causes severe defects in wing morphology with hardly any wing forming (Fig. S1C). Hence, dTnpo is required for wing development.

In sum, we found that $d T n p o$ is required in multiple fly tissues for proper development using dTnpo LOF reagents. Interestingly, dTnpo loss was dosage-dependent with the stronger mutant allele, $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$, and the stronger $d T n p o$ RNAi, causing more severe defects than other hypomorphic reagents during eye and wing development.

## dTnpo is expressed primarily in neurons of the fly CNS.

Given that the majority of the hTNPO2 cohort have features commonly associated with neurologic deficits and TNPO2 is highly expressed in the mammalian brain ${ }^{9,10}$, we explored the importance of dTnpo in this tissue. First, we defined dTnpo's expression pattern in the L3 larval central nervous system (CNS) and adult fly brain (Fig. 3). The dTnpo CRIMIC allele (see Fig. 2B) carries a T2A-GAL4 sequence that expresses a GAL4 transcription factor under control of $d T n p o$ 's regulatory elements ${ }^{42}$. This GAL4 can drive expression of any UAS-transgene in the same spatial and temporal pattern as $d T n p o^{69}$. Thus, we used the $d T n p o$ CRIMIC allele to express UAS-mCherry.NLS (mCherry fluorescent protein localized to the nucleus) (Fig. 3A-L). In larvae, mCherry (dTnpo) staining is most common in the central brain, including the cell bodies of mushroom body (MB) neurons, and ventral nerve cord (VNC; corresponding to the mammalian spinal cord) (Fig. 3A and G); a schematic of the larval CNS is shown in Fig. 3S for
reference. These are areas that harbor a high density of active neurons at this stage ${ }^{70}$. In adults, mCherry (dTnpo) staining shows the highest density in the optic lobe, MB cell bodies, and the central complex (Fig. 3D and J); a schematic of the adult brain is shown in Fig. 3T for reference.

To define localization to specific cell types, tissue were counterstained with Elav (predominantly marks neurons) or Repo (predominantly marks glia except midline glia) ${ }^{71-73}$. In both the larval CNS (Fig. 3B-C) and adult brain (Fig. 3E-F), not all Elav-positive cells stain positive for mCherry (dTnpo) in whole-mount, Z-stacked images, supporting that dTnpo is expressed in a subset of neurons. These findings were consistent when using single-slice images of regions that show high mCherry staining in both the larval CNS (Fig. 3A'-C') and adult brain (Fig. 3D'-F'). In whole mount with Z-stacked images, there is no obvious overlap with glia and cells expressing mCherry (dTnpo) in larvae (Fig. 3H-I) and adults (Fig. 3K-L). However, in single-slice images of larval CNS (Fig. 3G'-l') and adult brains (Fig. 3J'-L') some Repo-positive cells show overlap with mCherry-positive cells, arguing that a small subset of glia express dTnpo.

The MB is of interest as this is the primary learning and memory center in Drosophila ${ }^{74}$ and the individuals in our cohort present with intellectual disability. To confirm dTnpo expression in these cells, UAS-mCD8::RFP (RFP fluorescent protein localized to the membrane) was expressed using the dTnpo CRIMIC allele and tissue were counterstained with an established MB marker, FasII ${ }^{75}$, in larvae (Fig. 3M-O) and adults (Fig. 3P-R). Indeed, we see consistent overlap between RFP (dTnpo) and Fasll signal, supporting that dTnpo is expressed in these neurons.

In sum, we found that dTnpo is highly expressed in a subset of neurons, including those that mediate associative learning, in the larval CNS and adult brain.

## dTnpo is required for neuron function and maintenance.

We next examined if $d T n p o$ was essential in fly neurons. We first assessed if $d T n p o$ was required during neural development. When we express UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 in neuroblasts (neural stem cells) using insc-GAL4, no significant reductions in L3 larval CNS size are seen (Fig. S2). In contrast, expressing UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 using the pan-neuronal driver, elav-GAL4, is lethal (Fig. S1F).

To avoid developmental lethality caused by expressing UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 with elav-GAL4, we utilized a drug, RU486, inducible version of this neuronal driver, elav-GAL4[GeneSwitch] (elav-GAL4 $\left.{ }^{G S}\right)^{76}$ to express the dTnpo RNAi-1. 1-2d flies were transferred onto RU486containing food, thus avoiding RNAi expression prior to adulthood. These animals were maintained on RU486 and survival curves were calculated for animals expressing UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 compared to animals expressing UAS-control (Luciferase) RNAi. Interestingly, there is a significant decrease in survival when $d T n p o$ is downregulated using RNAi expression in the adult fly neurons (Fig. 4A). 50\% of UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 expressing animals die by 22d compared to 32d for control RNAi expressing animals. The max survival is also decreased by 20d with $100 \%$ of $d$ Tnpo RNAi-1 animals dying by 28d, compared to 48 d for control RNAi animals.

To examine if $d T n p o$ is required for neuronal activity, we performed electroretinograms (ERGs) on UAS-dTnpo RNAi-1 and UAS-control RNAi expressing animals (Fig. 4B-F). ERGs are an established method for measuring neuron dysfunction in the synaptic circuit that makes up the fly optic system as these allow for the quantification of light coincident receptor potentials (LCRP) and ON/OFF transients ${ }^{77-79}$. LCRP amplitudes measure the phototransduction pathway that is dependent on light exposure ${ }^{77,78}$. ON/OFF transients measure synaptic transmission between photoreceptor neurons and post-synaptic neurons in the lamina ${ }^{77,78}$. At 7 d , the downregulation of dTnpo by expressing RNAi in mature photoreceptor neurons using Rh1-GAL4 causes significant changes to the ON and OFF transient amplitudes (Fig. 4D-E), indicating a loss of synaptic activity. LCRP defects are also observed in dTnpo RNAi-1 expressing animals
based on reductions in depolarization amplitude (Fig. 4F). This impact seems to become stronger with age as there is a reduction of $24 \%$ in LCRP at 7 d when compared to control RNAi expressing flies. This reduction is more robust by 14 d and 22 d at $42 \%$ and $44 \%$, respectively.

In sum, dTnpo expression in neurons was found to be essential for animal survival. Further, neuronal function in the fly eye is disrupted by dTnpo loss, supporting that dTnpo is required in mature neurons.

## Upregulation of dTnpo causes similar phenotypes to dTnpo LOF mutants.

Thus far, we found that phenotypes associated with dTnpo loss are dosage-dependent. We therefore considered if $d$ Tnpo over-expression could also be detrimental. We obtained a fly line, UAS::dTnpo ${ }^{G S 11030}$, that contains a P-element insertion with a UAS element upstream of the $d T n p o$ gene $^{80}$. This allows us to upregulate dTnpo under control of the GAL4/UAS system ${ }^{69}$ by a $25 \pm 8.1$ fold increase in dTnpo mRNA levels (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, upregulation of $d$ Tnpo using the ubiquitous driver, da-GAL4, causes lethality after pupariation (Fig. 5B). Further, upregulation of dTnpo in both early (ey-GAL4) and late (GMR-GAL4) eye development causes rough eye phenotypes and reduced eye size (Fig. 5B-C). dTnpo upregulation in the wing using nub-GAL4 causes wing notching and large blisters in $100 \%$ of animals (Fig. 5D). In sum, we see that upregulating dTnpo causes similar phenotypes as dTnpo loss (see Fig. 2 and S1).

## Ectopic expression of human TNPO2 in flies causes toxicity in vivo.

We next aimed to define if proband-associated variants in hTNPO2 could alter the function of the encoded protein in vivo. For this purpose, we established a series of UAS-hTNPO2 fly lines expressing wild-type (WT; reference) or variant human TNPO2 cDNA (NM 001136196.1) under control of the GAL4/UAS system. We selected six of the fourteen variants that are at conserved amino acids for analysis, two from each protein domain. This included p.GIn28Arg and p.Asp156Asn, p.Trp370Arg, p.Trp370Cys, p.Ala546Val and p.Trp727Cys. We confirmed
that these lines properly express the UAS-hTNPO2 transgenes at comparable levels using western immunoblots and an antibody specific to human TNPO1/2 (Fig. 6A). We expressed UAS transgenes in 1-2d adult animals using the drug-inducible, ubiquitous driver, da-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$, to avoid any toxicity during development.

Previously, we had found that animals trans-heterozygous for the hypomorph alleles, $d T n p o^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ and $d$ Tnpo CRIMIC, did not survive past larval stage 3 (see Fig. 2C). Thus, we examined if expression of $h T N P O 2$ could rescue this phenotype. Using the dTnpo CRIMIC (T2A-GAL4) allele, we expressed UAS-hTNPO2:WT ${ }^{\text {HA }}$ (wild-type $h T N P O 2$ cDNA with a 3' 3xHA-tag) or control UAS-(empty) in these dTnpo trans-heterozygous hypomorph animals. No rescue is observed with the expression of $h T N P O 2$ cDNA in these mutant animals (Fig. S3A). We noted that $h T N P O 2: W T$ expression causes death earlier, at L2, rather than L3 when compared to UAS-(empty) control expressing flies, demonstrating that the expression of $h T N P O 2: W T$ increased, rather than reduced, toxicity in these $d T n p o$ mutant flies. We also tested if five of the variants found in our cohort could rescue lethality in dTnpo transheterozygous animals. Interestingly, the p.Trp370Cys and p.Ala546Val variants result in death at L 3 rather than L 2 when compared to $h T N P O 2: W T$. The other variants tested $-\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{Gln} 28 \mathrm{Arg}$, p.Asp156Asn, p.Trp727Cys - caused lethality at L2 like hTNPO2:WT.

We next considered if the lack of rescue seen with expression of $h T N P O 2$ in dTnpo transheterozygous hypomorph animals is due to the overexpression of $h T N P O 2$ in flies being toxic. This is because we found that robust upregulation of dTnpo was toxic (see Fig. 5) and the expression of UAS-hTNPO2 by the dTnpo CRIMIC allele will result in an overexpression of $h T N P O 2^{42}$, albeit likely at significantly lower levels than that caused by the UAS::dTnpo ${ }^{\text {GS11030 }}$ allele ${ }^{69}$. Thus, we would have two sources of toxicity in rescue experiments, that from the mutations in dTnpo and that from overexpressing hTNPO2. To test this hypothesis, Mendelian ratios were calculated for progeny from crosses between da-GAL4 and UAS fly lines, including UAS-hTNPO2 lines and the control UAS-(empty) line (Fig. 6B). As expected, $58 \pm 2.1 \%$ of
control progeny carry the UAS transgene. In contrast, only $28 \pm 6.4 \%$ of progeny from UAShTNPO2:WT ${ }^{\text {HA }}$ crosses carry the UAS transgene, showing that significant toxicity occurs during development (Fig. 6B). The presence of the HA-tag on the hTNPO2:WT transgene does not alter this effect (Fig. S3B). Overall, these data demonstrate that ubiquitous, ectopic expression of $h T N P O 2$ is toxic.

We also investigated if proband-associated variants could induce the same toxicity as wildtype hTNPO2. Notably, p.Gln28Arg and p.Asp156Asn are more toxic than hTNPO2:WT (Fig. 6B). Further, variants $p$.Trp370Arg, p.Trp370Cys, and p.Ala546Val cause similar toxicity compared to that caused by hTNPO2:WT expression (Fig. 6B). In contrast, the p.Trp727Cys variant is significantly less toxic than hTNPO2:WT, producing $50 \pm 8.2 \%$ of UAS carrying progeny (Fig. 6B).

In summary, ectopic expression of $h T N P O 2$ in flies causes toxicity consistent with phenotypes observed when upregulating dTnpo (see Fig. 5). As three of six proband-associated variants tested caused significant differences in the amount of toxicity than that caused by wildtype TNPO2, these data suggest that these variants alter the function of the hTNPO2 protein. Specifically, p.GIn28Arg and p.Asp156Asn may cause gain-of-function (GOF) effects and p.Trp727Cys may cause LOF effects.

## Toxicity caused by variants in the fly eye differ from that of wild-type hTNPO2.

Next, we assessed if ectopically expressing wild-type and variant $h T N P O 2$ in the fly eye can cause morphologic disruptions similar to wild-type dTnpo upregulation (see Fig. 5). Using eyGAL4, expression of hTNPO2:WT causes a smaller eye and a rough eye phenotype compared to animals expressing a UAS control (Fig. 6C). Expression of the p.Trp727Cys variant leads to eyes more similar to controls than hTNPO2:WT (Fig. 6C), consistent with it being less toxic than hTNPO2:WT during animal development (see Fig. 6B). Interestingly, variants p.Trp370Arg and p.Trp370Cys are also less toxic than hTNPO2:WT, suggesting that during early eye
development these variants act as LOF-variants. Expression of variants p.GIn28Arg, p.Asp156Asn, and p.Ala546Val cause similar eye phenotypes as hTNPO2:WT.

To further explore the impacts of variants at later stages of the developing eye than those affected by ey-GAL4, we expressed UAS transgenes using GMR-GAL4. Ectopic expression of hTNPO2:WT causes a moderate rough-eye phenotype and smaller eyes compared to animals expressing UAS control (Fig. 6D). Consistent with previous data using da-GAL4 (see Fig. 6B), expression of $p . G / n 28 A r g$ and $p . A s p 156 A s n$ cause more toxicity than hTNPO2:WT expression (Fig. 6D). Specifically, p.Gln28Arg causes a more robust rough eye phenotype and smaller eyes and p.Asp156Asn causes developmental lethality. GMR-GAL4 is expressed at low levels in the larval brain ${ }^{81,82}$ and has been reported to cause lethality in extremely toxic situations ${ }^{44,83}$. In contrast, eye phenotypes caused by expression of $p . \operatorname{Trp370Arg}, p$.Trp370Cys and p.Ala546Val are similar to hTNPO2:WT (Fig. 6D). In turn, expressing p.Trp727Cys causes a slightly more robust rough eye phenotype compared to $h T N P O 2: W T$ expressing animals.

In sum, ectopic expression of wild-type hTNPO2 in the fly eye causes morphologic defects. Interestingly, these defects are different when comparing animals expressing probandassociated variants versus $h T N P O 2: W T$. The specific effects of each variant are dependent on the developmental stage during which the transgenes are expressed in the fly eye, fitting with TNPO2 encoding a pleiotropic protein that may play different roles at different stages of development.

## Toxicity caused by variants in the developing wing differ from that of wild-type hTNPO2.

Thus far, we defined differences between toxicity induced by ectopically expressing wildtype and variant $h T N P O 2$ by expressing them ubiquitously during development or in the developing fly eye (see Fig. 6). Given that toxicity in response to expressing these proteins may differ depending on the tissue, we further analyzed impacts in the fly wing using nub-GAL4. When UAS-hTNPO2:WT ${ }^{H A}$ was ectopically expressed in the developing wing, progeny had
blister phenotypes, gain-of-vein phenotypes and the wings were smaller than control UAS wings (Fig. 7A). hTNPO2:WT phenotypes are $50 \pm 8.5 \%$ penetrant, allowing us to quantitatively analyze the effects of proband-associated variants in this tissue (Fig. 7B). Consistent with previous data, expression of $p$.GIn28Arg and p.Asp156Asn cause more severe wing phenotypes than hTNPO2:WT expressing animals (Fig. 7A). In addition to blisters and gain-ofvein phenotypes, wings from p.Gln28Arg and, particularly, p.Asp156Asn, commonly have notch phenotypes, are smaller, and have more disruptions to wing inflation than hTNPO2:WT animals. Further, blister and notch phenotypes are significantly more penetrant with these variants, at $99.6 \pm 0.2 \%$ and $98.8 \pm 0.5 \%$, respectively (Fig. 7B). In contrast, animals expressing variants p.Trp370Arg, p.Trp370Cys, and p.Ala546Val rarely have blister phenotypes (Fig. 7A) with a concomitant and significant reduction in phenotype penetrance compared to hTNPO2:WT expressing animals (Fig.7B). Interestingly, animals expressing p.Ala546Val, which had minimal effects in the developing animal and eye (see Fig. 6), showed few defects and phenotype penetrance was significantly lower than that for $h T N P O 2: W T$ expressing animals at $0.8 \pm 0.5 \%$. Last, expression of $p$.Trp727Cys, which had variable effects in other tissues, causes a more severe gain-of-vein phenotype compared to hTNPO2:WT expressing animals (Fig. 7A). However, penetrance of the blister and notch phenotypes is similar between animals expressing p.Trp727Cys and hTNPO2:WT (Fig. 7B).

In sum, ectopic expression of $h T N P O 2$ in the developing fly wing causes morphologic defects that are altered by proband-associated variants. Concomitantly, phenotype penetrance is significantly different for most variants.

## DISCUSSION

We identified fifteen individuals who carry pathogenic coding variants in the pleiotropic protein, TNPO2. Probands uniformly present with global developmental delay (GDD), including speech/motor deficits and intellectual disability. The majority also have behavioral deficits,
feeding difficulties, dysmorphic features, strabismus, and muscle tone abnormalities (primarily hypotonia). Movement and neurological disorders (e.g. tremors, ataxia) and neurological features, including seizures and abnormal MRIs, are also seen. Using Drosophila, we found that Transportin (dTnpo) is an essential gene during animal development and required for proper eye and wing formation. Malformations caused by decreasing dTnpo activity are dosagedependent with greater reductions in dTnpo activity causing more severe defects. Interestingly, upregulation of $d$ Tnpo causes similar defects as $d$ Tnpo loss. We further found that $d$ Tnpo is required in the fly nervous system. It is expressed mostly in neurons and abundant in mushroom body (MB) neurons. Downregulating dTnpo in mature neurons disrupts their function and reduces animal survival. Ectopic expression of wild-type, human TNPO2 causes similar phenotypes in the fly as gain and loss of $d$ Tnpo, suggesting that its function is evolutionarily conserved. Interestingly, ectopic expression of UAS-hTNPO2 transgenes carrying probandassociated variants cause different levels of toxicity compared to animals expressing wild-type UAS-hTNPO2, supporting that these variants disrupt the encoded protein's normal activity in vivo. Impacts seem to vary based on the variant's location within the protein (discussed below). In conclusion, these data demonstrate that de novo coding variants within TNPO2 can alter the function of the encoded, essential protein and are associated with developmental phenotypes in individuals.

## Potential roles of TNPO2 variants in disease.

While de novo variants in TNPO2 had been recorded in individuals with intellectual disability, previous studies did not find that TNPO2 variants were a significant cause of GDD in large datasets that included hundreds to thousands of cases ${ }^{84-87}$. Our independent identification of fifteen individuals with GDD that carry pathogenic TNPO2 variants and functional studies using Drosophila provide strong evidence of the important role this gene plays in human
development and in the nervous system. Together, these data demonstrate that while TNPO2 variants are associated with GDD, this is a rare cause of disabilities.

Our fly data demonstrate that all variants tested can alter the anticipated function of hTNPO2 in vivo (see Figs. 6-7). These data suggest that the impact of a variant depends on its position within the protein in addition to the tissue and developmental stage during which the transgenes are expressed. To better understand how these variants compare to wild-type TNPO2, we compiled a summary of our fly data that used ectopic expression of TNPO2 cDNA (Fig. 7C; extended data in Fig. S3C). Interestingly, expression of variants that fall within the RAN binding domain were significantly more toxic than hTNPO2:WT in almost all conditions. These data suggest these are GOF variants. In contrast, expression of variants that fall within the acidic loop of the protein tended to be less toxic than $h T N P O 2: W T$ expression, suggesting they are LOF variants. As these two variants fall at the same amino acid in the protein, future studies are needed to test additional variants from within this domain. Interestingly, we also observed that expression of variants in the cargo binding domain of hTNPO2 have more variable effects when compared to $h T N P O 2: W T$ expression. Generally, these have reduced toxicity compared to wild-type $h T N P O 2$, but the tissue assayed seems more critical in defining their impact. This fits with the known function of this domain as cargoes are likely to differ between tissues and ages. Specifically, in our fly data UAS-hTNPO2:p.Ala546Val (proband 11's variant) has no impact when ubiquitously expressed or when expressed in the fly eye compared to UAS-hTNPO2:WT ${ }^{H A}$. However, expression of this variant is significantly less toxic than hTNPO2:WT in the fly wing. Consistent with these data, proband 11 shows fewer phenotypes than other persons within our cohort (see Table 1, Data S1, and Fig. 1). Thus, this variant may be tissue-specific. In addition to p.Ala546Val, the current fly data argue that the impact of p.Trp727Cys is also tissue dependent. Specifically, expression of this variant is significantly less toxic than wild-type TNPO2 with da-GAL4 (ubiquitous) and ey-GAL4 (early eye formation) and only mildly more toxic than wild-type TNPO2 with GMR-GAL4 (late eye formation) and nub-

GAL4 (wing formation). In sum, these data suggest that it is more of a LOF-variant than a GOFvariant while mechanistic studies are needed in each tissue to strengthen these results.

Interestingly, disease presentation in proband 15 (carries p.Trp727Cys) seems to diverge from the majority of the cohort as this individual presents with hypertonia and no signs of hypotonia, is the only individual with notable sleep deficits, and is the only individual with a rigid gait. Also, this person does not have any known neurological features despite undergoing an EEG and MRI testing. Overall, more directed investigation is needed to better understand the different roles p.Ala546Val and p.Trp727Cys may play in different tissues and at different developmental stages.

Interestingly, proband 5's variant of p.Lys152del is only found at a $16 \%$ mosaicism by Sanger sequencing ( $21 \%$ by WGS) in blood. We believe that the deletion of p.Lys 152 within this critical domain can explain this individual's phenotype given similarities of this person's symptoms to others in the cohort, our fly data showing that the nearby variant of p.Asp156Asn significantly impacted the function of TNPO2 in multiple tissues (see Fig. 7C) and the fact that this variant is at a conserved amino acid in multiple organisms including mice (see data in MARRVEL ${ }^{48}$ ). It is also important to note that the amount of mosaicism in other tissues is not known.

Except for p.Ala546Val (proband 11), and potentially p.Trp727Cys (proband 15), no obvious association with the other variants tested in the fly and symptoms or severity of individual's features are observed. We hypothesize that this is due to the findings that both up- or downregulation of Transportin in the fly cause similar phenotypes (see Fig. 2, 5, and S1). It is likely that loss of dTnpo disrupts the shuttling of cargoes into the nucleus and this disrupts multiple pathways important during development and for neuron maintenance (see below). Speculatively, we predict that upregulation of dTnpo and ectopic expression of $h T N P O 2$ causes similar phenotypes as dTnpo loss as these would cause an accumulation of the Transportin protein intracellularly. This could sequester the dTnpo-cargos, making them unavailable to
perform their normal functions. Thus, gain-of-function associated toxicity would still result in similar phenotypes as loss-of-function mechanisms.

It is important to note that TNPO1/2 function in many pathways including ciliogenesis, mitotic spindle assembly, and nuclear envelope assembly. Further, it was recently shown that when TNPO1 binds the nuclear pore complex that RAN GTP was retained in the nucleus ${ }^{88}$. Thus, disrupting the function of TNPO2 could not only impact its cargoes but also the RAN GDP/GTP gradient used to drive the activity of this and other proteins ${ }^{7}$. Notably, due to this pleiotropic nature of TNPO2, the impact of up/downregulating the fly gene, the impact of ectopically expressing the human gene in the fly, and the impact of variants on the endogenous function of the protein encoded by human TNPO2 in individuals is likely to be very complex. Interestingly, phenotypic variability of monogenic causes of neurologic disorders has been described for other genetic conditions, such as those associated with EEF1A2 (MIM: 602959) ${ }^{89}$. Overall, our fly data demonstrate that Transportin's activity would likely need to be tightly regulated to prevent disease.

Supporting the hypothesis that both gain- or loss-of-function variants can contribute to TNPO2-associated disorder, it is notable that copy number variants (CNVs) that include the TNPO2 gene, both deletions and duplications, have both been reported as pathogenic in ClinVar ${ }^{90}$ by studies that evaluated CNVs in individuals with developmental delays, including accession numbers: VCV000059111.1 (SCV000080263.4; duplication) ${ }^{91}$ and VCV000153069.1 (SCV000182485.3; deletion) ${ }^{92}$. While the region of these CNVs includes other genes, it is intriguing to consider that the dosage-sensitivity of TNPO2 could contribute to developmental phenotypes in these individuals. In particular, the whole gene deletion of TNPO2 in these cases are consistent with a potential haploinsufficiency as a part of the disease mechanism(s) associated with this gene.

In sum, our fly data support that any disruptions to TNPO2 activity (gain or loss) in individuals is likely to cause similar symptoms. Further, coding variants in TNPO2 can alter the
function of the protein with ectopic expression of transgenes. Future mechanistic studies should focus on differences between the variants, considering the impact of variants as gain-offunction, loss-of-function, or dominant-negative mutations in multiple contexts and tissues. Further, additional variants will need to be tested to better understand the potential association between protein domain and a variant's impact on TNPO2's function. Last, as our studies depended on ectopic expression of human TNPO2, future studies should focus on defining the mechanisms underlying the impact of individual variants in an endogenous system.

## TNPO2 during development.

Consistent with TNPO2 being a pleiotropic protein, it is required in multiple tissues in the fly (see Fig. 2, 4, and S1). Impacts of losing Transportin during development are dosagedependent with stronger dTnpo mutants and dTnpo-targeting RNAi causing more severe defects (see Fig. 2 and S1). Upregulation of $d T n p o$ and ectopic expression of its human orthologue, hTNPO2, causes similar defects (see Fig. 5-7), potentially by tittering cargoes away from their normal function(s) as discussed above. We note that our dTnpo mutant and RNAi studies rely on robust depletion of $d$ Tnpo, either through the use of homozygous mutant animals or the significant downregulation of $d T n p o$ mRNA, respectively (see Fig. 2, S1). Further, the UAS:: $d T n p o^{G S 11030}$ allele causes a dramatic upregulation of the fly gene. Future studies should titer the expression of $d T n p o$ to see when phenotypes occur and consider the possibility that heterozygous mutant animals may have minor anomalies. It is also important to note that the ectopic expression of the UAS-hTNPO2 lines is not expected to cause such robust overexpression of the Transportin protein as was seen with the UAS::dTnpo ${ }^{G S 11030}$ allele $^{69}$. However, further investigations into the impacts of the variants in a system that does not rely on ectopic expression of genes would likely reveal additional information as to the role these variants play in disease.

Interestingly, TNPO1/2 interact with multiple, conserved factors important in developmental pathways, including NF- кB signaling ${ }^{18}$, hedgehog signaling ${ }^{60}$, insulin signaling ${ }^{29}$, Ras/ERK signaling ${ }^{17,93,94}$. These pathways are involved in multiple aspects of fly eye and wing formation and disruptions can cause similar phenotypes to what we observe in our studies ${ }^{65,67,68}$. Thus, data support that TNPO2 coding variants could impact multiple developmental pathways simultaneously and this could explain the varied features observed in our cohort (see Data S1). Further, TNPO2 was found to be critical for HuR/ElavL1-mediated muscle cell differentiation in cultured murine myoblasts ${ }^{11}$. This may be related to muscle tone abnormalities in our cohort (see Table 1). Last, it is notable that most of the TNPO2 cohort present with gastrointestinal abnormalities while multiple TNPO1/2 cargoes are involved in stress pathways associated with chronic intestinal inflammation in mammals, including components of the Activator Protein-1 (AP1) transcription complex ${ }^{95-98}$, NEMO $^{18,99}$, ADAR1 (MIM: $\left.\underline{146920}\right)^{100,101}$, and HSP70 (MIM: 140550) ${ }^{16,102}$. While gastrointestinal abnormalities are commonly associated with GDD, symptoms may be exacerbated by TNPO2 variants. Overall, our findings in Drosophila support that $h T N P O 2$ is an important developmental gene that can impact multiple systems.

## TNPO2 in the nervous system.

Accumulating data show that $h T N P O 2$ is an important neuronal gene. We found that $d$ Tnpo is primarily expressed in a subset of neurons, including MB neurons, in the fly CNS (see Fig. 3). These findings are consistent with mammalian data as TNPO1/2 is highly expressed in the brain ${ }^{9,10,53}$. In mouse brains, Tnpo2 seems to be more highly expressed overall than Tnpo19,10 but this may depend on the brain region ${ }^{53}$. Interestingly, when considering regions associated with memory, Tnpo2 was shown to be more highly expressed in the cerebral cortex than Tnpo1 and both genes were highly expressed in the hippocampus and cerebellum ${ }^{53}$. The hippocampus is considered most homologous to the MB in flies as neurons of both are critical for associative learning and circadian rythms ${ }^{74}$. Fittingly, Tnpo1/2 activity impacts circadian rhythms in
mice ${ }^{53,103}$ and proband 15 has sleep disturbance. Attention deficit disorder (ADD) and autism spectrum disorder (ASD), which are seen in the majority of our cohort, are commonly associated with sleep deficits ${ }^{104}$. Further, our data show that $d$ Tnpo reduction in mature neurons disrupts their function and survival of the flies (see Fig. 4). This may be the result of many of its cargoes being important neuronal proteins including FET proteins ${ }^{105}$ (FUS ${ }^{14}$, EWS [MIM: 133450] ${ }^{106}$, TAF15 [MIM: $\underline{601574]}$ ), HuR/ElavL1 ${ }^{8,11,15,16}$, hnRNPA1 ${ }^{8,17}$, and Huntington (HTT [MIM: 613004]) ${ }^{107}$. Thus, perturbations to the translocation of these and other ubiquitous cargoes into the nucleus due to disrupted TNPO1/2 activity are likely to contribute to neurotoxicity. In fact, HuR and FUS have been implicated in ASD ${ }^{108,109}$ and FET proteins in ADD ${ }^{110}$. It is also notable that homozygous null Tnpo2 mice are viable but may have significant anxiety and locomotion abnormalities ${ }^{111}$. Mouse phenotypes are likely weaker than fly phenotypes because of compensation from Tnpo1 for Tnpo2 loss.

Overall, the data support that disruptions to TNPO2 activity can contribute to GDD, intellectual disability, behavioral deficits and neurologic features observed in our cohort (see Tables 1 and S1).

## Potential genetic interactions.

As TNPO2 is shown to be a dosage-dependent and pleiotropic protein, an individual's unique genetic profile may contribute to disease occurrence and presentation. Interestingly, probands 5 , 6 and 15 carry heterozygous variants of uncertain significance (VUS) in genes highly expressed in the brain and predicted to be involved in neurological pathways, including CUX2 (a transcription factor involved in neuron proliferation, differentiation and synaptic plasticity ${ }^{12,113}$ ), ANKFY1 (likely involved in vesicle trafficking ${ }^{114}$ and required for murine brain development ${ }^{115}$ ) and INA (a class IV neuronal intermediate filament involved in neuron morphogenesis ${ }^{116}$ ) (see Note S1). Thus, there is potential for genetic interactions between heterozygous loss of these genes and TNPO2 variants. Further, proband 8 carries a VUS in

ARCMC9 which is associated with Joubert syndrome 30 (JBTS30) ${ }^{117}$. Joubert syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder which also involves GDD, ophthalmologic abnormalities, dysmorphic features, and hypotonia. The protein encoded by ARCMC9 impacts ciliogenesis like TNPO1/2 ${ }^{20-22,117}$. Thus, heterozygous loss of this gene could contribute to TNPO2-associated phenotypes. Last, proband 14 carries a de novo SNV in PDE4D which is predicted to cause a truncation and nonsense-mediated decay. Accordingly, this individual is diagnosed with acrodysostosis $2^{56}$. We hypothesize that the TNPO2 variant in this individual contributes to developmental delays.

## Concluding remarks.

Overall, our data show that TNPO2-associated disorder represents a rare genetic condition with global developmental delay and syndromic features. As both upregulation and downregulation of Transportin causes similar defects in the fly and coding variants may increase or decrease hTNPO2's activity, it is difficult to differentiate symptoms associated with a gain- or loss-of-function variant in individuals within this first cohort. We conclude that because of pleiotropic effects of TNPO2 variants, sequencing and phenotypic comparison to reported cases is the most valuable approach to diagnosing features related to TNPO2. Further examination of these cases will likely delineate the genotype-phenotype correlation.
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## FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1: TNPO2 variants are associated with varied dysmorphic features in individuals.
(A) Proband 4 at age 3 years with short philtrum, broad nasal bridge, large fleshy ears, and coarse facial features. (B) Proband 8 at age 8 years with strabismus, high nasal bridge, eversion of the lower lip, and clinodactyly. (C) Proband 11 at age 9 years has no clear dysmorphism. (D) Proband 13 at age 11 years with deep set eyes and large cupped ears.

Figure 2: Fly Transportin is essential for proper animal development and dTnpo loss in eyes and wings causes dysmorphisms. (A) Protein sequence comparison of human TNPO2 (hTNPO2) and Drosophila Tnpo (dTnpo) shown as a diagram and a detailed amino acid alignment. All variants are at conserved amino acids (red) except p.Lys118Asn (orange). Symbols in the protein alignment: identical (||), similar (:), different (.), absent (_). (B) dTnpo mutants (red) created for loss-of-function (LOF) studies include dTnpo ${ }^{\Delta 11}$ (an imprecise excision of the P-element, NP4408), dTnpo ${ }^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ (an EMS-induced mutation), and a CRIMIC allele. Two independent RNAi lines, RNAi-1 and RNAi-2, were also obtained. (C) Animals homozygous for dTnpo mutant alleles demonstrate larval lethality due to dTnpo loss. None of the alleles or a large deficiency allele which lacks $d T n p o, D f(3 L)$ Exel8101, complement each other. Lethality caused by $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ and $d T n p o^{G 1 y 736 A s p}$ can be rescued using a genomic rescue construct, $G R^{d T n p o}$. (D) The FRT/FLP system was used to make mosaic tissue in the fly eye during development. $d T n p o^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ causes a rough eye phenotype. No homozygous $d T n p o^{\Delta 11}$ mutant tissue is observed, indicating cell lethality. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (E) The FRT/FLP system was used to make mosaic tissue in the developing wing. $d$ Tnpo ${ }^{\text {Gly } 736 A s p}$ causes notch and blister phenotypes. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. D-E "Control" is $y w ; ;$ FRT80B. Full fly genotypes for this and following figures are in Data S2. dTnpo-targeting RNAi produce consistent phenotypes (see Fig. S1).

Figure 3: dTnpo is highly expressed in neurons, including mushroom body neurons. The dTnpo CRIMIC (T2A-GAL4) allele was used to drive expression of UAS-fluorescent reporter transgenes. (A-L) UAS-mCherry.NLS (nuclear mCherry) was expressed and tissue were dissected from L3 larvae (CNS, includes central brain and VNC) or adults (brain). Shown is half of the adult brain. Tissue were counterstained with markers for neurons (Elav) or glia (Repo). Z-stacked images showing dTnpo expression pattern compared to neurons (A-F) or glia (G-L). Dashed squares indicate regions used in A'-L'. (A'-L') Single slice images were used to better visualize cellular co-localization of mCherry.NLS signal with neurons or glia. White arrows highlight co-localized nuclei with most neurons and some glia. (M-R) dTnpo CRIMIC driven expression of UAS-mCD8::RFP (membrane-bound RFP) and Fasll counter-staining confirmed overlap of dTnpo expression and mushroom body (MB) neurons in both larval and adult brains. $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T})$ Schematics of the larval CNS $(\mathrm{S})$ and adult brain $(\mathrm{T})$ highlighting MB neurons (blue), the ventral nerve cord (VNC), the central brain, and optic lobes (OL). The adult OL includes the medulla and lamina. The adult brain also includes the subesophageal ganglion (not shown in the schematic).

Figure 4: Fly Transportin is required in neurons for survival and eye function.
(A) The drug inducible elav-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ driver was used to express RNAi in adult fly neurons while avoiding RNAi expression during development. Expression of dTnpo RNAi-1 significantly impacts animal survival, indicating a progressive loss of neuron function due to dTnpo loss. (B, C) Rh1-GAL4 was used to express RNAi in mature photoreceptor neurons and electroretinograms (ERGs) were used to measure neuronal function at 7d, 14d, and 22d. Blue annotation shows where amplitudes are measured. Orange bars indicate the light pulses. $d$ Tnpo RNAi-1 nearly abolishes ON and OFF transients (D, E) and reduces the light coincident receptor potential (LCRP; F) compared to a control RNAi. Statistics: (A) log-rank, (D-F) 2-way ANOVAs
with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. P-values: ${ }^{*}<0.02,{ }^{* *}<0.01,{ }^{* * *}<0.001,{ }^{* * * *}<0.0001$. Each dot represents the mean of 5 recorded ERGs per animal. The mean from 5-6 animals is shown. Error bars denote SEM. "Control (Ctrl) RNAi" is UAS-Luciferase RNAi (TRiP.JF01355). UASdTnpo RNAi-1 is TRiP.HMJ23009.

Figure 5: Upregulation of dTnpo disrupts morphology of eyes and wings. (A) Ubiquitous expression of UAS:: $d T n p o{ }^{G S 11030}$ using da-GAL4 in flies causes a 25 -fold increase in dTnpo $m R N A$ levels by $q$ PCR. L3 larvae were analyzed at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unpaired t-test, P-value ${ }^{* * *}=0.0003$. Each dot represents the mean from replicate wells per sample. The mean from 4 individual samples is shown. Error bars denote SD. (B) da-GAL4 > UAS::dTnpo ${ }^{G S 11030}$ animals do not survive beyond pupariation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ Upregulation of dTnpo during eye development, using either ey-GAL4 (early development) or GMR-GAL4 (late development) driven expression of UAS::dTnpo ${ }^{G S 11030}$, causes small eyes and rough eye phenotypes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (E) nub-GAL4 driven expression of UAS::dTnpo ${ }^{G S 11030}$ causes notch and blister phenotypes (arrows) in the fly wing. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. "Control (Ctrl)" is UAS-empty.

Figure 6: Variants of hTNPO2 disrupt toxicity in fly development and in the eye.
(A) UAS-hTNPO2 fly lines were generated. Western immunoblots (WBs) confirmed hTNPO2 protein levels are similar between lines using a drug-inducible ubiquitous driver (da-GAL4 ${ }^{G S}$ ) to express transgenes and a human TNPO1/2 antibody. Normalized hTNPO2 band density from three independent westerns were quantified. Each dot represents one independent sample. The mean from 3 individual samples is shown. (B) da-GAL4 driven ectopic expression of UAShTNPO2:WT ${ }^{\text {HA }}$ reduces Mendelian ratios compared to UAS control flies, demonstrating toxicity during development. Variants p.GIn28Arg and p.Asp156Asn are more toxic than hTNPO2:WT whereas p.Trp727Cys is less toxic. Each dot represents one independent cross with $>100$ animals scored. The mean from three independent crosses is shown. (C, D) Ectopic expression
of UAS-hTNPO2:WT ${ }^{\text {HA }}$ disrupts eye development using either ey-GAL4 (early development) or GMR-GAL4 (late development). Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (C) With ey-GAL4 $>h T N P O 2: W T^{H A}$, eyes are smaller than controls and have a rough eye phenotype. p.Trp370Cys and p.Trp370Arg are less toxic. (D) With GMR-GAL4>hTNPO2:WT ${ }^{H A}$, eyes are moderately smaller and there is a mild rough eye phenotype compared to controls. p.Gln28Arg and p.Asp156Asn are more toxic. Statistics: 1-way ANOVAs with Dunnett's (A) or Tukey's (B) multiple comparisons test. P-values: no significance (n.s.) $\geq 0.05,{ }^{*}<0.05,{ }^{* *}<0.01,{ }^{* * *}<0.001,{ }^{* * * *<0.0001}$. Error bars denote SD. "UAS Control" is UAS-empty.

Figure 7: hTNPO2 variants alter hTNPO2-induced phenotypes and penetrance in the fly wing and variant impacts associate with their location within the protein.
(A) Ectopic expression of UAS-hTNPO2:WT ${ }^{H A}$ using nub-GAL4 disrupts wing development, causing notching, blisters, and gain-of-vein phenotypes (arrows). p.Trp370Cys, p.Trp370Arg, and p.Ala546Val have less severe phenotypes whereas p.Gln28Arg and p.Asp156Asn are significantly more toxic. p.Trp727Cys has a moderately stronger gain-of-vein phenotype than $h T N P O 2: W T$. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (B) Blister and notch phenotypes caused by hTNPO2 expression in the wing occurs in $50 \%$ of wings, representing penetrance. Penetrance is significantly different for all variants except $p$.Trp727Cys. Statistics: 1-way ANOVAs with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. P-values: no significance (n.s.) $\geq 0.05,{ }^{*}<0.05,{ }^{* * *}<0.001$, ${ }^{* * * *}<0.0001$. Error bars denote SEM. Each dot represents the results from one cross with $>50$ animals scored. The mean from two independent experiments that included two-three individual crosses is shown. (A, B) "UAS Control" is UAS-empty. (C) Table summarizing phenotype severity associated with variants when compared to hTNPO2:WT-associated phenotypes. Symbols: strong decrease in toxicity (green arrows), strong increase in toxicity (red arrows), mild increase in toxicity (orange arrows), no obvious difference in toxicity (dash). p.Trp727Cys
strongly reduces toxicity in two situations and mildly increases toxicity in two situations, earning two green and one red arrow in the summary.

|  | Summar | Proband |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | y | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Protein variant (p.) | SNV, del, delins | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Gln} 28 \\ \text { Arg } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{G} \ln 32 \mathrm{~A} \\ \mathrm{rg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Pro61A } \\ \text { rg } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Lys118A } \\ \text { sn } \end{array}$ | Lys152del, mosaic (16/21\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asp156A } \\ \text { sn } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trp370 } \\ \text { Arg } \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Trp370C } \\ y s \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Lys491_Arg4 92delinsGInT rp | Pro514Leu | Ala546V al | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Ser548 } \\ \text { Phe } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Phe598L } \\ \text { eu } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ala649_L } \\ & \text { eu652del } \end{aligned}$ | Trp727 Cys |
| CADD score | $\begin{aligned} & 22.7- \\ & 34.0 \end{aligned}$ | 27.8 | 24.3 | 23.9 | 22.7 | - | 27.1 | 34.0 | 28.8 | - | 30.0 | 27.0 | 31.0 | 29.4 | - | 25.5 |
| Sanger confirmed? | 10 of 15 | yes | yes | - | yes | yes | - | yes | yes | yes | - | yes | - | yes | - | yes |
| Inheritance | de novo | $\begin{gathered} \text { de } \\ \text { novo } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { de } \\ \text { novo } \end{gathered}$ | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | de novo | mother, mosaic | de novo | de novo |
| Additional SNV/CNV of uncertain significance | 6 of 15 | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SETBP1:p.Leu152 } \\ & \text { 2Argfs*59; CUX2: } \\ & \text { p.His1253Pro; } \\ & \text { 12q13.13_dup } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ANKFY1: <br> p.Thr1088 <br> Serfs*9 | - | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ARMC9: } \\ \text { p.Asp330 } \\ \text { Asn } \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 1q21.1_ins52 } \\ 2 K b \end{array}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { PDE4D: } \\ \text { p.Arg237* } \end{array}$ | INA <br> p.Leu37 <br> 6Pro, <br> mosaic <br> (20\%) |
| Age at onset | neo-18mo | 1 mo | 4 mo | neonat. | 13 mo | 3 mo | 6 mo | 4mo | neonatal | prenatal | 9 mo | 8 mo | neonat. | 15 mo | 18 mo | neonat. |
| Age at exam | 14mo-20y | $6 y$ | 18 mo | 6mo | $3 y$ | 23mo | $4 y$ | 10y | 8 y | 14mo | $5 y$ | $9 y$ | 20y | 11y | 12y | 7 y |
| Global Developmental Delays | 15 of 15 | ++ | regress. | + | ++, regress. | +++ | ++ | +++ | + | + | ++ | + | +++ | regress. | + | ++ |
| Speech impaired | 15 of 15 | ++ | + | + | ++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | + | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | + | ++ |
| Intellectual disability | 9 of 9 | +++ | n/d | n/d | +++ | n/d | ++ | +++ | ++ | n/d | n/d | ++ | +++ | + | ++ | n/d |
| Motor Impaired | 15 of 15 | $+$ | + | + | ++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | + | + | + | $+$ | +++ | + | + | + |
| Dysmorphic features | 11 of 15 | + | - | + | + | - | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + |
| Behavioral deficits | 10 of 14 | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | + | - | - | + | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | + | + | + |
| GI / feeding abnormalities | 11 of 15 | + | + | + | - | + | - | + | + | - | + | - | + | + | + | + |
| Ophthalmologi c abnormalities | 10 of 15 | + | - | - | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | + |
| Muscle tone abnormalities | 11 of 15 | $\begin{gathered} +, \\ \text { hypo } \end{gathered}$ | - | +, hypo | - | +, hypo | variable | +, hypo | - | +, hypo | +, variable | - | +, hypo | +, hypo | +, hypo | +, hyper |
| Movement /neurological disorder | 6 of 15 | + | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | - | - |
| Seizures | 6 of 15 | $+$, febrile | - | - | - | - | + , febrile to nonfebrile | + , febrile to nonfebrile | - | - | + , febrile to non-febrile | + , febrile to nonfebrile | + | - | - | - |
| Microcephaly | 5 of 15 | + | - | - | + | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MRI brain abnormalities | 7 of 13 | - | n/d | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | - | n/d | - |

## Table 1: Individuals with TNPO2 variants present with developmental delays, intellectual disability, behavioral deficits and strabismus.

 misZ for TNPO2 loss is 5.88 ( $0 / \mathrm{e}=0.28$ ). pLI for TNPO2 loss is 1.00 ( $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{e}=0.04$ ). TNPO2 coding DNA (NM 001136196.1). All individuals are heterozygous for variants. No variants are found in control genetic databases. See Data S1 and Note S1 for additional details on persons features and additional variants of uncertain significance. no data ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ ), combined annotation dependent depletion (CADD).
## REFERENCES

1. Wangler, M.F., Yamamoto, S., Chao, H.-T., Posey, J.E., Westerfield, M., Postlethwait, J., Hieter, P., Boycott, K.M., Campeau, P.M., and Bellen, H.J. (2017). Model Organisms Facilitate Rare Disease Diagnosis and Therapeutic Research. Genetics 207, 9-27.
2. Bellen, H.J., Wangler, M.F., and Yamamoto, S. (2019). The fruit fly at the interface of diagnosis and pathogenic mechanisms of rare and common human diseases. Hum Mol Genet.
3. Ittisoponpisan, S., Alhuzimi, E., Sternberg, M.J.E., and David, A. (2017). Landscape of Pleiotropic Proteins Causing Human Disease: Structural and System Biology Insights. Hum Mutat 38, 289-296.
4. Brown, S.D.M., and Lad, H.V. (2019). The dark genome and pleiotropy: challenges for precision medicine. Mamm Genome 30, 212-216.
5. Twyffels, L., Gueydan, C., and Kruys, V. (2014). Transportin-1 and Transportin-2: Protein nuclear import and beyond. FEBS Letters 588, 1857-1868.
6. Prpar Mihevc, S., Darovic, S., Kovanda, A., Bajc Česnik, A., Župunski, V., and Rogelj, B. (2017). Nuclear trafficking in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Brain 140, 13-26.
7. Cavazza, T., and Vernos, I. (2016). The RanGTP Pathway: From Nucleo-Cytoplasmic Transport to Spindle Assembly and Beyond. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 3,
8. Rebane, A., Aab, A., and Steitz, J.A. (2004). Transportins 1 and 2 are redundant nuclear import factors for hnRNP A1 and HuR. RNA 10, 590-599.
9. Quan, Y., Ji, Z.-L., Wang, X., Tartakoff, A.M., and Tao, T. (2008). Evolutionary and Transcriptional Analysis of Karyopherin $\beta$ Superfamily Proteins. Molecular \& Cellular Proteomics 7, 1254-1269.
10. Hock, E.-M., Maniecka, Z., Hruska-Plochan, M., Reber, S., Laferrière, F., Sahadevan M.K., S., Ederle, H., Gittings, L., Pelkmans, L., Dupuis, L., et al. (2018). Hypertonic Stress Causes Cytoplasmic Translocation of Neuronal, but Not Astrocytic, FUS due to Impaired Transportin Function. Cell Reports 24, 9871000.e7.
11. van der Giessen, K., and Gallouzi, I.-E. (2007). Involvement of Transportin 2-mediated HuR Import in Muscle Cell Differentiation. Mol Biol Cell 18, 2619-2629.
12. Kimura, M., Morinaka, Y., Imai, K., Kose, S., Horton, P., and Imamoto, N. (2017). Extensive cargo identification reveals distinct biological roles of the 12 importin pathways. ELife 6, e21184.
13. Mackmull, M., Klaus, B., Heinze, I., Chokkalingam, M., Beyer, A., Russell, R.B., Ori, A., and Beck, M. (2017). Landscape of nuclear transport receptor cargo specificity. Mol Syst Biol 13,.
14. Dormann, D., Rodde, R., Edbauer, D., Bentmann, E., Fischer, I., Hruscha, A., Than, M.E., Mackenzie, I.R.A., Capell, A., Schmid, B., et al. (2010). ALS-associated fused in sarcoma (FUS) mutations disrupt Transportin-mediated nuclear import. EMBO J 29, 2841-2857.
15. von Roretz, C., Macri, A.M., and Gallouzi, I.-E. (2011). Transportin 2 Regulates Apoptosis through the RNA-binding Protein HuR. J Biol Chem 286, 25983-25991.
16. Güttinger, S., Mühlhäusser, P., Koller-Eichhorn, R., Brennecke, J., and Kutay, U. (2004). Transportin2 functions as importin and mediates nuclear import of HuR. PNAS 101, 2918-2923.
17. Lee, B.J., Cansizoglu, A.E., Süel, K.E., Louis, T.H., Zhang, Z., and Chook, Y.M. (2006). Rules for Nuclear Localization Sequence Recognition by Karyopherin $\beta 2$. Cell 126, 543-558.
18. Hwang, B., McCool, K., Wan, J., Wuerzberger-Davis, S.M., Young, E.W.K., Choi, E.Y., Cingolani, G., Weaver, B.A., and Miyamoto, S. (2015). IPO3-mediated Nonclassical Nuclear Import of NF-кB Essential Modulator (NEMO) Drives DNA Damage-dependent NF-кB Activation. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 17967-17984.
19. Cansizoglu, A.E., Lee, B.J., Zhang, Z.C., Fontoura, B.M.A., and Chook, Y.M. (2007). Structure-based design of a pathway-specific nuclear import inhibitor. Nat Struct Mol Biol 14, 452-454.
20. Madugula, V., and Lu, L. (2016). A ternary complex comprising transportin1, Rab8 and the ciliary targeting signal directs proteins to ciliary membranes. J Cell Sci 129, 3922-3934.
21. Dishinger, J.F., Kee, H.L., Jenkins, P.M., Fan, S., Hurd, T.W., Hammond, J.W., Truong, Y.N.-T., Margolis, B., Martens, J.R., and Verhey, K.J. (2010). Ciliary entry of the kinesin-2 motor KIF17 is regulated by importin- $\beta 2$ and RanGTP. Nature Cell Biology 12, 703-710.
22. Hurd, T.W., Fan, S., and Margolis, B.L. (2011). Localization of retinitis pigmentosa 2 to cilia is regulated by Importin $\beta 2$. J Cell Sci 124, 718-726.
23. Bernis, C., Swift-Taylor, B., Nord, M., Carmona, S., Chook, Y.M., and Forbes, D.J. (2014). Transportin acts to regulate mitotic assembly events by target binding rather than Ran sequestration. MBoC 25, 992-1009.
24. Kalab, P., and Heald, R. (2008). The RanGTP gradient - a GPS for the mitotic spindle. Journal of Cell Science 121, 1577-1586.
25. Walther, T.C., Askjaer, P., Gentzel, M., Habermann, A., Griffiths, G., Wilm, M., Mattaj, I.W., and Hetzer, M. (2003). RanGTP mediates nuclear pore complex assembly. Nature 424, 689-694.
26. D’Angelo, M.A., Anderson, D.J., Richard, E., and Hetzer, M.W. (2006). Nuclear Pores Form de Novo from Both Sides of the Nuclear Envelope. Science 312, 440-443.
27. Larrieu, D., Viré, E., Robson, S., Breusegem, S.Y., Kouzarides, T., and Jackson, S.P. (2018). Inhibition of the acetyltransferase NAT10 normalizes progeric and aging cells by rebalancing the Transportin-1 nuclear import pathway. Sci. Signal. 11,
28. Teratani, T., Tomita, K., Toma-Fukai, S., Nakamura, Y., Itoh, T., Shimizu, H., Shiraishi, Y., Sugihara, N., Higashiyama, M., Shimizu, T., et al. (2020). Redox-dependent PPARy/Tnpo1 complex formation enhances PPARy nuclear localization and signaling. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 156, 45-56.
29. Putker, M., Madl, T., Vos, H.R., de Ruiter, H., Visscher, M., van den Berg, M.C.W., Kaplan, M., Korswagen, H.C., Boelens, R., Vermeulen, M., et al. (2013). Redox-Dependent Control of FOXO/DAF-16 by Transportin-1. Molecular Cell 49, 730-742.
30. Splinter, K., Adams, D.R., Bacino, C.A., Bellen, H.J., Bernstein, J.A., Cheatle-Jarvela, A.M., Eng, C.M., Esteves, C., Gahl, W.A., Hamid, R., et al. (2018). Effect of Genetic Diagnosis on Patients with Previously Undiagnosed Disease. N Engl J Med 379, 2131-2139.
31. Sobreira, N., Schiettecatte, F., Valle, D., and Hamosh, A. (2015). GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. Hum Mutat 36, 928-930.
32. Barish, S., Barakat, T.S., Michel, B.C., Mashtalir, N., Phillips, J.B., Valencia, A.M., Ugur, B., Wegner, J., Scott, T.M., Bostwick, B., et al. (2020). BICRA, a SWI/SNF Complex Member, Is Associated with BAFDisorder Related Phenotypes in Humans and Model Organisms. The American Journal of Human Genetics 0,.
33. Mao, D., Reuter, C.M., Ruzhnikov, M.R.Z., Beck, A.E., Farrow, E.G., Emrick, L.T., Rosenfeld, J.A., Mackenzie, K.M., Robak, L., Wheeler, M.T., et al. (2020). De novo EIF2AK1 and EIF2AK2 Variants Are Associated with Developmental Delay, Leukoencephalopathy, and Neurologic Decompensation. The American Journal of Human Genetics 106, 570-583.
34. Guillen Sacoto, M.J., Tchasovnikarova, I.A., Torti, E., Forster, C., Andrew, E.H., Anselm, I., Baranano, K.W., Briere, L.C., Cohen, J.S., Craigen, W.J., et al. (2020). De Novo Variants in the ATPase Module of MORC2 Cause a Neurodevelopmental Disorder with Growth Retardation and Variable Craniofacial Dysmorphism. Am J Hum Genet 107, 352-363.
35. Kanca, O., Andrews, J.C., Lee, P.-T., Patel, C., Braddock, S.R., Slavotinek, A.M., Cohen, J.S., Gubbels, C.S., Aldinger, K.A., Williams, J., et al. (2019). De Novo Variants in WDR37 Are Associated with Epilepsy, Colobomas, Dysmorphism, Developmental Delay, Intellectual Disability, and Cerebellar Hypoplasia. The American Journal of Human Genetics 105, 413-424.
36. Yoon, W.H., Sandoval, H., Nagarkar-Jaiswal, S., Jaiswal, M., Yamamoto, S., Haelterman, N.A., Putluri, N., Putluri, V., Sreekumar, A., Tos, T., et al. (2017). Loss of Nardilysin, a Mitochondrial Co-chaperone for $\alpha$-Ketoglutarate Dehydrogenase, Promotes mTORC1 Activation and Neurodegeneration. Neuron 93, 115-131.
37. Liu, L., Zhang, K., Sandoval, H., Yamamoto, S., Jaiswal, M., Sanz, E., Li, Z., Hui, J., Graham, B.H., Quintana, A., et al. (2015). Glial Lipid Droplets and ROS Induced by Mitochondrial Defects Promote Neurodegeneration. Cell 160, 177-190.
38. Tricoire, H., Battisti, V., Trannoy, S., Lasbleiz, C., Pret, A., and Monnier, V. (2009). The steroid hormone receptor EcR finely modulates Drosophila lifespan during adulthood in a sex-specific manner. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development 130, 547-552.
39. Rajan, A., Tien, A.-C., Haueter, C.M., Schulze, K.L., and Bellen, H.J. (2009). The Arp2/3 complex and WASp are required for apical trafficking of Delta into microvilli during cell fate specification of sensory organ precursors. Nat Cell Biol 11, 815-824.
40. Hayashi, S., Ito, K., Sado, Y., Taniguchi, M., Akimoto, A., Takeuchi, H., Aigaki, T., Matsuzaki, F., Nakagoshi, H., Tanimura, T., et al. (2002). GETDB, a database compiling expression patterns and molecular locations of a collection of Gal4 enhancer traps. Genesis 34,58-61.
41. Venken, K.J.T., He, Y., Hoskins, R.A., and Bellen, H.J. (2006). P[acman]: A BAC Transgenic Platform for Targeted Insertion of Large DNA Fragments in D. melanogaster. Science 314, 1747-1751.
42. Lee, P.-T., Zirin, J., Kanca, O., Lin, W.-W., Schulze, K.L., Li-Kroeger, D., Tao, R., Devereaux, C., Hu, Y., Chung, V., et al. (2018). A gene-specific T2A-GAL4 library for Drosophila. ELife 7, e35574.
43. Bischof, J., Maeda, R.K., Hediger, M., Karch, F., and Basler, K. (2007). An optimized transgenesis system for Drosophila using germ-line-specific $\phi C 31$ integrases. PNAS 104, 3312-3317.
44. Goodman, L.D., Prudencio, M., Kramer, N.J., Martinez-Ramirez, L.F., Srinivasan, A.R., Lan, M., Parisi, M.J., Zhu, Y., Chew, J., Cook, C.N., et al. (2019). Toxic expanded GGGGCC repeat transcription is mediated by the PAF1 complex in C9orf72 -associated FTD. Nature Neuroscience 22, 863.
45. Ravenscroft, T.A., Janssens, J., Lee, P.-T., Tepe, B., Marcogliese, P.C., Makhzami, S., Holmes, T.C., Aerts, S., and Bellen, H.J. (2020). Drosophila Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels Are Only Expressed in Active Neurons and Are Localized to Distal Axonal Initial Segment-like Domains. J. Neurosci. 40, 79998024.
46. Link, N., Chung, H., Jolly, A., Withers, M., Tepe, B., Arenkiel, B.R., Shah, P.S., Krogan, N.J., Aydin, H., Geckinli, B.B., et al. (2019). Mutations in ANKLE2, a ZIKA Virus Target, Disrupt an Asymmetric Cell Division Pathway in Drosophila Neuroblasts to Cause Microcephaly. Developmental Cell 51, 713-729.e6.
47. Goodman, L.D., Prudencio, M., Srinivasan, A.R., Rifai, O.M., Lee, V.M.-Y., Petrucelli, L., and Bonini, N.M. (2019). eIF4B and eIF4H mediate GR production from expanded G4C2 in a Drosophila model for C9orf72-associated ALS. Acta Neuropathologica Communications 7, 62.
48. Wang, J., Al-Ouran, R., Hu, Y., Kim, S.-Y., Wan, Y.-W., Wangler, M.F., Yamamoto, S., Chao, H.-T., Comjean, A., Mohr, S.E., et al. (2017). MARRVEL: Integration of Human and Model Organism Genetic Resources to Facilitate Functional Annotation of the Human Genome. The American Journal of Human Genetics 100, 843-853.
49. Samocha, K.E., Robinson, E.B., Sanders, S.J., Stevens, C., Sabo, A., McGrath, L.M., Kosmicki, J.A., Rehnström, K., Mallick, S., Kirby, A., et al. (2014). A framework for the interpretation of de novo mutation in human disease. Nature Genetics 46, 944-950.
50. Fuller, Z.L., Berg, J.J., Mostafavi, H., Sella, G., and Przeworski, M. (2019). Measuring intolerance to mutation in human genetics. Nat Genet 51, 772-776.
51. Karczewski, K.J., Francioli, L.C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B.B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R.L., Laricchia, K.M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D.P., et al. (2020). The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 581, 434-443.
52. Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G.M., Shendure, J., and Kircher, M. (2019). CADD: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. Nucleic Acids Res 47, D886-D894.
53. Sato, M., Mizoro, Y., Atobe, Y., Fujimoto, Y., Yamaguchi, Y., Fustin, J.-M., Doi, M., and Okamura, H. (2011). Transportin 1 in the mouse brain: Appearance in regions of neurogenesis, cerebrospinal fluid production/sensing, and circadian clock. Journal of Comparative Neurology 519, 1770-1780.
54. Coe, B.P., Witherspoon, K., Rosenfeld, J.A., van Bon, B.W.M., Vulto-van Silfhout, A.T., Bosco, P., Friend, K.L., Baker, C., Buono, S., Vissers, L.E.L.M., et al. (2014). Refining analyses of copy number variation identifies specific genes associated with developmental delay. Nature Genetics 46, 1063-1071.
55. Lehman, A.M., McFadden, D., Pugash, D., Sangha, K., Gibson, W.T., and Patel, M.S. (2008). SchinzelGiedion syndrome: report of splenopancreatic fusion and proposed diagnostic criteria. Am J Med Genet A 146A, 1299-1306.
56. Lindstrand, A., Grigelioniene, G., Nilsson, D., Pettersson, M., Hofmeister, W., Anderlid, B.-M., Kant, S.G., Ruivenkamp, C.A.L., Gustavsson, P., Valta, H., et al. (2014). Different mutations in PDE4D associated with developmental disorders with mirror phenotypes. J Med Genet 51, 45-54.
57. Allemand, E., Dokudovskaya, S., Bordonné, R., and Tazi, J. (2002). A Conserved Drosophila Transportin-Serine/Arginine-rich (SR) Protein Permits Nuclear Import of Drosophila SR Protein Splicing Factors and Their Antagonist Repressor Splicing Factor 1. Mol Biol Cell 13, 2436-2447.
58. Guo, L., Kim, H.J., Wang, H., Monaghan, J., Freyermuth, F., Sung, J.C., O’Donovan, K., Fare, C.M., Diaz, Z., Singh, N., et al. (2018). Nuclear-Import Receptors Reverse Aberrant Phase Transitions of RNA-Binding Proteins with Prion-like Domains. Cell 173, 677-692.e20.
59. Jäckel, S., Summerer, A.K., Thömmes, C.M., Pan, X., Voigt, A., Schulz, J.B., Rasse, T.M., Dormann, D., Haass, C., and Kahle, P.J. (2015). Nuclear import factor transportin and arginine methyltransferase 1 modify FUS neurotoxicity in Drosophila. Neurobiology of Disease 74, 76-88.
60. Shi, Q., Han, Y., and Jiang, J. (2014). Suppressor of fused impedes Ci/Gli nuclear import by opposing Trn/Kapß2 in Hedgehog signaling. J Cell Sci 127, 1092-1103.
61. Siomi, M.C., Fromont, M., Rain, J.-C., Wan, L., Wang, F., Legrain, P., and Dreyfuss, G. (1998). Functional Conservation of the Transportin Nuclear Import Pathway in Divergent Organisms. Molecular and Cellular Biology 18, 4141-4148.
62. Hu, Y., Flockhart, I., Vinayagam, A., Bergwitz, C., Berger, B., Perrimon, N., and Mohr, S.E. (2011). An integrative approach to ortholog prediction for disease-focused and other functional studies. BMC Bioinformatics 12, 357.
63. Perkins, L.A., Holderbaum, L., Tao, R., Hu, Y., Sopko, R., McCall, K., Yang-Zhou, D., Flockhart, I., Binari, R., Shim, H.-S., et al. (2015). The Transgenic RNAi Project at Harvard Medical School: Resources and Validation. Genetics 201, 843-852.
64. Dietzl, G., Chen, D., Schnorrer, F., Su, K.-C., Barinova, Y., Fellner, M., Gasser, B., Kinsey, K., Oppel, S., Scheiblauer, S., et al. (2007). A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in Drosophila. Nature 448, 151.
65. Singh, A., and Kango-Singh, M. (2020). Molecular Genetics of Axial Patterning, Growth and Disease in Drosophila Eye (Cham: Springer International Publishing).
66. Golic, M.M., Rong, Y.S., Petersen, R.B., Lindquist, S.L., and Golic, K.G. (1997). FLP-mediated DNA mobilization to specific target sites in Drosophila chromosomes. Nucleic Acids Res 25, 3665-3671.
67. Beira, J.V., and Paro, R. (2016). The legacy of Drosophila imaginal discs. Chromosoma 125, 573-592.
68. Diaz de la Loza, M.C., and Thompson, B.J. (2017). Forces shaping the Drosophila wing. Mechanisms of Development 144, 23-32.
69. Duffy, J.B. (2002). GAL4 system in drosophila: A fly geneticist's swiss army knife. Genesis 34, 1-15.
70. Lemon, W.C., Pulver, S.R., Höckendorf, B., McDole, K., Branson, K., Freeman, J., and Keller, P.J. (2015). Whole-central nervous system functional imaging in larval Drosophila. Nature Communications 6, 7924.
71. Sepp, K.J., Schulte, J., and Auld, V.J. (2001). Peripheral glia direct axon guidance across the CNS/PNS transition zone. Dev Biol 238, 47-63.
72. Spokony, R.F., and Restifo, L.L. (2009). Broad complex isoforms have unique distributions during central nervous system metamorphosis in Drosophila melanogaster. Journal of Comparative Neurology 517, 15-36.
73. Robinow, S., and White, K. (1991). Characterization and spatial distribution of the ELAV protein during Drosophila melanogaster development. J Neurobiol 22, 443-461.
74. Modi, M.N., Shuai, Y., and Turner, G.C. (2020). The Drosophila Mushroom Body: From Architecture to Algorithm in a Learning Circuit. Annu Rev Neurosci 43, 465-484.
75. Fushima, K., and Tsujimura, H. (2007). Precise control of fasciclin II expression is required for adult mushroom body development in Drosophila. Dev Growth Differ 49, 215-227.
76. Nicholson, L., Singh, G.K., Osterwalder, T., Roman, G.W., Davis, R.L., and Keshishian, H. (2008). Spatial and temporal control of gene expression in Drosophila using the inducible GeneSwitch GAL4 system. I. Screen for larval nervous system drivers. Genetics 178, 215-234.
77. Vilinsky, I., and Johnson, K.G. (2012). Electroretinograms in Drosophila: A Robust and Genetically Accessible Electrophysiological System for the Undergraduate Laboratory. Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education 11, A149.
78. Wang, T., and Montell, C. (2007). Phototransduction and retinal degeneration in Drosophila. Pflugers Arch - Eur J Physiol 454, 821-847.
79. Harnish, J.M., Deal, S.L., Chao, H.-T., Wangler, M.F., and Yamamoto, S. (2019). In Vivo Functional Study of Disease-associated Rare Human Variants Using Drosophila. J Vis Exp.
80. Nakayama, M., Ishibashi, T., Ishikawa, H.O., Sato, H., Usui, T., Okuda, T., Yashiro, H., Ishikawa, H., Taikou, Y., Minami, A., et al. (2014). A gain-of-function screen to identify genes that reduce lifespan in the adult of Drosophila melanogaster. BMC Genetics 15, 46.
81. Li, W.-Z., Li, S.-L., Zheng, H.Y., Zhang, S.-P., and Xue, L. (2012). A broad expression profile of the GMR-GAL4 driver in Drosophila melanogaster. Genet Mol Res 11, 1997-2002.
82. Ray, M., and Lakhotia, S.C. (2015). The commonly used eye-specific sev-GAL4 and GMR-GAL4 drivers in Drosophila melanogaster are expressed in tissues other than eyes also. J Genet 94, 407-416.
83. Zhang, P., Wang, Q., Hughes, H., and Intrieri, G. (2014). Synthetic Lethality Induced by a Strong \<i\>Drosophila\</i\> Enhancer of Expanded Polyglutamine Tract. OJGen 04, 300-315.
84. Ligt, J. de, Willemsen, M.H., Bon, B.W. van, Kleefstra, T., Yntema, H.G., Kroes, T., Koolen, D.A., Vries, P.N.T. de, Gilissen, C., Rosario, M.D., et al. (2012). Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. The New England Journal of Medicine 367, 1921-1929.
85. Lelieveld, S.H., Reijnders, M.R.F., Pfundt, R., Yntema, H.G., Kamsteeg, E.-J., de Vries, P., de Vries, B.B.A., Willemsen, M.H., Kleefstra, T., Löhner, K., et al. (2016). Meta-analysis of 2,104 trios provides support for 10 new genes for intellectual disability. Nature Neuroscience 19, 1194-1196.
86. Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study, McRae, J.F., Clayton, S., Fitzgerald, T.W., Kaplanis, J., Prigmore, E., Rajan, D., Sifrim, A., Aitken, S., Akawi, N., et al. (2017). Prevalence and architecture of de novo mutations in developmental disorders. Nature 542, 433-438.
87. Grozeva, D., Carss, K., Spasic-Boskovic, O., Tejada, M.-I., Gecz, J., Shaw, M., Corbett, M., Haan, E., Thompson, E., Friend, K., et al. (2015). Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Analysis of 1,000 Individuals with Intellectual Disability. Hum Mutat 36, 1197-1204.
88. Barbato, S., Kapinos, L.E., Rencurel, C., and Lim, R.Y.H. (2020). Karyopherin enrichment at the nuclear pore complex attenuates Ran permeability. J Cell Sci 133,.
89. Lam, W.W.K., Millichap, J.J., Soares, D.C., Chin, R., McLellan, A., FitzPatrick, D.R., Elmslie, F., Lees, M.M., Schaefer, G.B., DDD study, et al. (2016). Novel de novo EEF1A2 missense mutations causing epilepsy and intellectual disability. Mol Genet Genomic Med 4, 465-474.
90. Landrum, M.J., Lee, J.M., Benson, M., Brown, G.R., Chao, C., Chitipiralla, S., Gu, B., Hart, J., Hoffman, D., Jang, W., et al. (2018). ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. Nucleic Acids Res 46, D1062-D1067.
91. Kaminsky, E.B., Kaul, V., Paschall, J., Church, D.M., Bunke, B., Kunig, D., Moreno-De-Luca, D., Moreno-De-Luca, A., Mulle, J.G., Warren, S.T., et al. (2011). An evidence-based approach to establish the functional and clinical significance of copy number variants in intellectual and developmental disabilities. Genet Med 13, 777-784.
92. Miller, D.T., Adam, M.P., Aradhya, S., Biesecker, L.G., Brothman, A.R., Carter, N.P., Church, D.M., Crolla, J.A., Eichler, E.E., Epstein, C.J., et al. (2010). Consensus statement: chromosomal microarray is a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital anomalies. Am J Hum Genet 86, 749-764.
93. Janiszewska, M., De Vito, C., Le Bitoux, M.-A., Fusco, C., and Stamenkovic, I. (2010). Transportin regulates nuclear import of CD44. J Biol Chem 285, 30548-30557.
94. Kimura, M., Kose, S., Okumura, N., Imai, K., Furuta, M., Sakiyama, N., Tomii, K., Horton, P., Takao, T., and Imamoto, N. (2013). Identification of cargo proteins specific for the nucleocytoplasmic transport carrier transportin by combination of an in vitro transport system and stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC)-based quantitative proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics 12, 145-157.
95. Arnold, M., Nath, A., Wohlwend, D., and Kehlenbach, R.H. (2006). Transportin is a major nuclear import receptor for c-Fos: a novel mode of cargo interaction. J Biol Chem 281, 5492-5499.
96. Malnou, C.E., Salem, T., Brockly, F., Wodrich, H., Piechaczyk, M., and Jariel-Encontre, I. (2007). Heterodimerization with Jun Family Members Regulates c-Fos Nucleocytoplasmic Traffic. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 31046-31059.
97. Waldmann, I., Wälde, S., and Kehlenbach, R.H. (2007). Nuclear Import of c-Jun Is Mediated by Multiple Transport Receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 27685-27692.
98. Hasselblatt, P., Gresh, L., Kudo, H., Guinea-Viniegra, J., and Wagner, E.F. (2008). The role of the transcription factor AP-1 in colitis-associated and $\beta$-catenin-dependent intestinal tumorigenesis in mice. Oncogene 27, 6102-6109.
99. Nenci, A., Becker, C., Wullaert, A., Gareus, R., van Loo, G., Danese, S., Huth, M., Nikolaev, A., Neufert, C., Madison, B., et al. (2007). Epithelial NEMO links innate immunity to chronic intestinal inflammation. Nature 446, 557-561.
100. Qiu, W., Wang, X., Buchanan, M., He, K., Sharma, R., Zhang, L., Wang, Q., and Yu, J. (2013). ADAR1 is essential for intestinal homeostasis and stem cell maintenance. Cell Death \& Disease 4, e599-e599.
101. Fritz, J., Strehblow, A., Taschner, A., Schopoff, S., Pasierbek, P., and Jantsch, M.F. (2009). RNAregulated interaction of transportin-1 and exportin-5 with the double-stranded RNA-binding domain regulates nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of ADAR1. Mol Cell Biol 29, 1487-1497.
102. Samborski, P., and Grzymisławski, M. (2015). The Role of HSP70 Heat Shock Proteins in the Pathogenesis and Treatment of Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. Adv Clin Exp Med 24, 525-530.
103. Korge, S., Maier, B., Brüning, F., Ehrhardt, L., Korte, T., Mann, M., Herrmann, A., Robles, M.S., and Kramer, A. (2018). The non-classical nuclear import carrier Transportin 1 modulates circadian rhythms through its effect on PER1 nuclear localization. PLOS Genetics 14, e1007189.
104. Singh, K., and Zimmerman, A.W. (2015). Sleep in Autism Spectrum Disorder and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Semin Pediatr Neurol 22, 113-125.
105. Dormann, D., Madl, T., Valori, C.F., Bentmann, E., Tahirovic, S., Abou-Ajram, C., Kremmer, E., Ansorge, O., Mackenzie, I.R.A., Neumann, M., et al. (2012). Arginine methylation next to the PY-NLS modulates Transportin binding and nuclear import of FUS. EMBO J 31, 4258-4275.
106. Leemann-Zakaryan, R.P., Pahlich, S., Grossenbacher, D., and Gehring, H. (2011). Tyrosine Phosphorylation in the C-Terminal Nuclear Localization and Retention Signal (C-NLS) of the EWS Protein (Hindawi).
107. Desmond, C.R., Atwal, R.S., Xia, J., and Truant, R. (2012). Identification of a Karyopherin $\beta 1 / \beta 2$ Proline-Tyrosine Nuclear Localization Signal in Huntingtin Protein. J Biol Chem 287, 39626-39633.
108. Popovitchenko, T., Thompson, K., Viljetic, B., Jiao, X., Kontonyiannis, D.L., Kiledjian, M., Hart, R.P., and Rasin, M.R. (2016). The RNA binding protein HuR determines the differential translation of autismassociated FoxP subfamily members in the developing neocortex. Sci Rep 6,.
109. Ho, W.Y., Chang, J.-C., Tyan, S.-H., Yen, Y.-C., Lim, K., Tan, B.S.Y., Ong, J., Tucker-Kellogg, G., Wong, P., Koo, E., et al. (2019). FUS-mediated dysregulation of Sema5a, an autism-related gene, in FUS mice with hippocampus-dependent cognitive deficits. Human Molecular Genetics 28, 3777-3791.
110. Kino, Y., Washizu, C., Kurosawa, M., Yamada, M., Miyazaki, H., Akagi, T., Hashikawa, T., Doi, H., Takumi, T., Hicks, G.G., et al. (2015). FUS/TLS deficiency causes behavioral and pathological abnormalities distinct from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol Commun 3,.
111. Tnpo2 - transportin 2 (importin 3, karyopherin beta 2b) | International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium.
112. Barington, M., Risom, L., Ek, J., Uldall, P., and Ostergaard, E. (2018). A recurrent de novo CUX2 missense variant associated with intellectual disability, seizures, and autism spectrum disorder. European Journal of Human Genetics 26, 1388-1391.
113. Cubelos, B., Sebastián-Serrano, A., Beccari, L., Calcagnotto, M.E., Cisneros, E., Kim, S., Dopazo, A., Alvarez-Dolado, M., Redondo, J.M., Bovolenta, P., et al. (2010). Cux1 and Cux2 regulate dendritic branching, spine morphology and synapses of the upper layer neurons of the cortex. Neuron 66,523535.
114. Kuriyama, H., Asakawa, S., Minoshima, S., Maruyama, H., Ishii, N., Ito, K., Gejyo, F., Arakawa, M., Shimizu, N., and Kuwano, R. (2000). Characterization and chromosomal mapping of a novel human gene, ANKHZN. Gene 253, 151-160.
115. Ding, M., Weng, C., Fan, S., Cao, Q., and Lu, Z. (2017). Purkinje Cell Degeneration and Motor Coordination Deficits in a New Mouse Model of Autosomal Recessive Spastic Ataxia of CharlevoixSaguenay. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 10,
116. Bott, C.J., and Winckler, B. (2020). Intermediate filaments in developing neurons: Beyond structure. Cytoskeleton 77, 110-128.
117. Van De Weghe, J.C., Rusterholz, T.D.S., Latour, B., Grout, M.E., Aldinger, K.A., Shaheen, R., Dempsey, J.C., Maddirevula, S., Cheng, Y.-H.H., Phelps, I.G., et al. (2017). Mutations in ARMC9, which Encodes a Basal Body Protein, Cause Joubert Syndrome in Humans and Ciliopathy Phenotypes in Zebrafish. Am J Hum Genet 101, 23-36.
