

~~The Evolution of Baricitinib in treatment of COVID-19~~
Baricitinib in COVID-19: from bytes to bedside

Or
Baricitinib in COVID-19: from artificial intelligence predictions to patients

Puja Mehta and Boghuma K. Titanji[†]

[†]Corresponding author: Dr. Boghuma K. Titanji; email: boghuma.kabisen.titanji@emory.edu

Division of Infectious Diseases, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Boghuma K. Titanji (PhD)

Centre for Inflammation and Tissue Repair, UCL Respiratory, Division of Medicine, University College London, London, UK and Department of Rheumatology, University College London Hospital NHS Trust Puja Mehta (MD)

Article Type: Editorial (invited editorial linked to Horby et al, 2022)

Total: 900/700 words

References: 13/12

Keywords:

Baricitinib, COVID-19, hyper-inflammation, JAK-inhibitors

Immunomodulatory therapies targeting excessive host immune responses¹, vaccination and immunity from natural infection have changed the course of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, the rapid emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants has stymied progress towards ending the pandemic. An unmet need remains for accessible therapies which reduce mortality. In this issue of the Lancet, the RECOVERY Collaborative Group assessed the use of baricitinib, a Janus Kinase inhibitor (JAKi) for the treatment of hospitalized patients with COVID-19, in the randomised, controlled, open-label platform trial (Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy [RECOVERY]).² These results mark a coming-of-age for baricitinib, whose potential use in COVID-19 was first identified from an artificial intelligence (AI) enabled drug discovery algorithm,³. Baricitinib can suppress

Commented [PJ(1): Reduce length of title it needs to be much shorter

multiple cytokine-signaling pathways simultaneously and impede viral propagation through inhibition of numb-associated kinases (NAKs) important for clathrin-mediated endocytosis.⁴

RECOVERY was conducted in the UK and 8156 patients (mean age 58.1 years, 66% male, 34% female, 80% white) were randomly allocated to receive usual care plus baricitinib or usual care alone (which included corticosteroids). Patients receiving baricitinib plus usual care, had a significant reduction in the primary outcome of 28-day mortality. Of the patients randomized, 514 (12%) of 4148 patients in the baricitinib group died compared to 546 (14%) of 4008 patients in the usual care group (age-adjusted rate ratio 0.87; 95% CI 0.77–0.99; p=0.028) with a number-needed-to-treat (NNT) to prevent one additional death of 50. The authors conducted a meta-analysis of all randomized trials of JAKi for COVID-19 including the results of this study (n=9) and found a proportional 20% reduction in mortality associated with JAKi. RECOVERY is the largest trial of baricitinib for COVID-19 and confirms the findings of previous studies thus unequivocally validating the addition of this drug to the arsenal of COVID-19 therapies.

The RECOVERY trial is an international trial but [the evaluation](#) baricitinib was conducted only in the UK and these findings may be less generalizable to populations with different demographics and higher prevalence of HIV and latent TB (<1% enrolled). Baricitinib is an oral agent and of lower cost in many countries compared with intravenous tocilizumab, which also confers a mortality benefit. Baricitinib could be more accessible in lower-resourced settings, although its effects on non-COVID infections in these countries needs better characterisation. The open-label design of RECOVERY is often criticized for risk of bias, however unlikely to influence an unambiguous primary outcome of mortality. The adaptive design has yielded timely and invaluable results amidst the challenges of conducting practice changing research in pandemic.

Meta-analyses of previous JAKi trials in COVID-19, as well as analyses restricted to baricitinib-only trials (n=4, including RECOVERY), strongly supports targeting the JAK-STAT axis in COVID-19, although mechanistically the influence of JAK isoform selectivity is unclear. The mortality risk reduction with baricitinib in RECOVERY was smaller than anticipated, probably explained by a broader eligibility in RECOVERY compared with other baricitinib studies (appendix), which all mandated hypoxaemia. COV-BARRIER demonstrated a 5% absolute

Commented [PJ(2)]: reference

Commented [pm3R2]: Please use existing reference 6 = TOC's mortality benefit in COVID-19 (RECOVERY).

reduction in mortality at both day 28 and 60, NNT 20,⁵ and included an entry requirement of evidence of inflammation; although lower thresholds than the tocilizumab arm of RECOVERY (hypoxaemia and CRP \geq 75mg/L).⁶ Subgroup analyses in ACTT-2⁷ and COV-BARRIER,⁵ both suggested greater benefit in more severe disease.

WHO's living guidance recommends baricitinib for patients with severe/critical COVID-19 in combination with corticosteroids.⁸ The precise positioning of baricitinib is unclear, but expanding choice stimulates questions regarding personalized immunomodulation regimens, incorporating side-effect profiles, routes of administration, cost and patient co-morbidities. The ACTT-4 trial did not show a difference in outcomes for baricitinib and dexamethasone arms, although dexamethasone was associated with significantly more adverse events.⁹ Available evidence is insufficient to suggest that baricitinib would routinely replace dexamethasone, however it could be a viable steroid-sparing option in patients at high-risk of glucocorticoid side-effects. In RECOVERY, 23% patients in both groups received tocilizumab, yet the benefits of baricitinib were consistent irrespective of co-administration. Data are insufficient for further interpretation, but notably both agents may increase the risk of gastrointestinal perforation (also applicable to concomitant corticosteroids), and cause pharmacodynamic CRP suppression. JAKi have a broader, but shorter immunosuppressive effect than selective cytokine blockade i.e., in adults 12-hour half-life (baricitinib) compared with 11-13 days (tocilizumab). Where there is a higher risk of secondary infections, baricitinib's broader immunosuppressive effect, may be considered an advantage given the faster-onset-of action or a disadvantage with greater dampening of host defenses. However, faster wash-out when discontinued is of undoubted value. Baricitinib can be dose-adjusted in renal impairment and delivered by nasogastric tube in ventilated patients. It may also have a role in other hyperinflammatory disorders of immune dysregulation, including sepsis and other viral epidemics e.g., dengue syndrome.¹⁰

Safety data for baricitinib is reassuring, especially related to thrombotic events, probably due to the limited treatment duration in anti-coagulated patients in COVID-19; different to chronic dosing in in rheumatoid arthritis studies, where safety signals were detected.¹¹ Guidelines do not recommend JAKi in pregnancy¹² and pharmacokinetic studies suggest that the half-life of baricitinib children is substantially shorter than adults, requiring dosing up to four-times-per-day.¹³

Commented [PJ(4)]: revise in light of the author's point below and also in the table

Commented [pm5R4]: Edited text and table

Commented [PH6]: ACCT-4 was designed as a superiority trial (powered to detect if baricitinib was superior to dexamethasone) and was terminated early for futility because there was very low probability of demonstrating superiority. The trial did not therefore demonstrate non-inferiority (which this sentence implies), rather it failed to show a difference. A subtle but important point.

Commented [pm7R6]: edited

Commented [PJ(8)]: References?

Commented [pm9R8]: Margin reference for Bari and TOC mention risk of GI perforation (links in next comment).

Commented [PJ(10)]: Is this your point any reference?

Commented [pm11R10]: Please add margin reference to SMPC of baricitinib and TOC

Bari:
<https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/2434/smpc>

TOC:
<https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/6673/smpc>

Tocilizumab may be preferred in pregnant and paediatric patients, given greater clinical experience and convenient dosing respectively.

From early days in AI algorithms to pharmacogenomic predictions we now have compelling efficacy and safety data for baricitinib in COVID-19. Baricitinib's evolution is an exemplar of modern-day candidate selection, proof-of-concept testing and drug repurposing, serving as a template for drug discovery - a powerful tool in future pandemic preparedness.

PM is a Medical Research Council (MRC)-GlaxoSmithKline EMINENT clinical training fellow with project funding unrelated to the topic of this Comment and receives co-funding by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) University College London Hospitals (UCLH) Biomedical Research Centre. PM reports consultancy fees from SOBI, Abbvie, UCB, Lilly, Boehringer Ingelheim and EUSA Pharma all unrelated to the topic of this Comment. **BKT** receives Grant funding from Emory CFAR – P30AI050509 and consultancy fees from the non-profit organisation CRITICA all unrelated to the topic discussed here.

Acknowledgment: Dr. Grant Schulert (Cincinnati Children's Hospital, USA) for useful input on use of baricitinib in paediatric populations.

Commented [PJ(12)]: Please provide written confirmation by email from Dr Schulert that he agrees to be thanked in this way

Commented [pm13R12]: Email confirmation from Dr. Schulert attached.

References:

1. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet* 2020.
2. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Baricitinib in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial and updated meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2022.
3. Richardson P, Griffin I, Tucker C, et al. Baricitinib as potential treatment for 2019-nCoV acute respiratory disease. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): e30-e1.
4. Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; **20**(4): 400-2.
5. Marconi VC, Ramanan AV, de Bono S, et al. Efficacy and safety of baricitinib for the treatment of hospitalised adults with COVID-19 (COV-BARRIER): a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; **9**(12): 1407-18.

- 6 Group RC. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet (London, England)* 2021; **397**(10285): 1637-45.
- 7 Kalil AC, Patterson TF, Mehta AK, et al. Baricitinib plus Remdesivir for Hospitalized Adults with Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020; **384**(9): 795-807.
- 8 Kmietowicz Z. Covid-19: WHO recommends baricitinib and sotrovimab to treat patients. *BMJ* 2022; **376**: o97.
- 9 Wolfe CR, Tomashek KM, Patterson TF, et al. Baricitinib versus dexamethasone for adults hospitalised with COVID-19 (ACTT-4): a randomised, double-blind, double placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 2022.
- 10 McBride A, Mehta P, Rivino L, Ramanan AV, Yacoub S. Targeting hyperinflammation in infection: can we harness the COVID-19 therapeutics momentum to end the dengue drugs drought? *The Lancet Microbe* 2021; **2**(7): e277-e8.
- 11 Winthrop KL, Cohen SB. Oral surveillance and JAK inhibitor safety: the theory of relativity. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* 2022; **18**(5): 301-4.
- 12 Sammaritano LR, Bermas BL, Chakravarty EE, et al. 2020 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Management of Reproductive Health in Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020; **72**(4): 529-56.
- 13 Kim H, Brooks KM, Tang CC, et al. Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics, and Proposed Dosing of the Oral JAK1 and JAK2 Inhibitor Baricitinib in Pediatric and Young Adult CANDLE and SAVI Patients. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2018; **104**(2): 364-73.
- 14 Pairo-Castineira E, Clohisey S, Klaric L, et al. Genetic mechanisms of critical illness in COVID-19. *Nature* 2021; **591**(7848): 92-8.