Journal Pre-proof

Placenta Percreta: The ghost of the Accreta opera

Eric Jauniaux, MD, PhD, Jonathan L. Hecht, MD, Ahmed M. Hussein, MD

PII: S0002-9378(22)00546-4

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2022.06.063

Reference: YMOB 14605

To appear in: American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Received Date: 28 June 2022

Accepted Date: 30 June 2022

Please cite this article as: Jauniaux E, Hecht JL, Hussein AM, Placenta Percreta: The ghost of the Accreta opera, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2022.06.063.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc.



Placenta Percreta: The ghost of the Accreta opera

Eric Jauniaux, MD, PhD; Jonathan L. Hecht, MD; Ahmed M Hussein, MD

From the EGA Institute for Women's Health, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London (UCL), London, UK (Dr Jauniaux); Department of Pathology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA (Dr Hecht); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Cairo, Cairo, Egypt (Dr Hussein)

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Corresponding author: Eric Jauniaux, MD, PhD, FRCOG

Institute for Women's Health, *University College London*,

86-96 Chenies Mews, London WC1E 6HX, UK.

e.jauniaux@ucl.ac.uk

Word count: 400

We thank Dr Palacios-Jaraquemada for his interest in our work.

Dr Palacios-Jaraquemada makes 2 assertions on Placenta Accreta Spectrum (PAS):

First that "histological diagnosis is not essential for decision making in the operating room". We agree that maternal morbidity from (PAS) is dependent on accurate preoperative diagnosis, and on management by an experienced multidisciplinary team (MDT). Triage of patients to hysterectomy, conservative (uterine sparing) surgery, or expectant management leaving the placenta in-situ depends on the gestational age at presentation, co-morbidities, desire for future fertility, and a detailed anatomic assessment by imaging and intraoperative dissection. However, like any other pathologic conditions in medicine, outcomes can only be improved by quality diagnosis including post-operative histopathology as definition may vary widely.

Our study showed that most reported cases were not confirmed by histology and that some cases reported in the literature were clearly due to causes other than PAS, including acute uterine rupture away from the area of implantation, cornual ectopic pregnancy, and misinterpretation of implantation site over the cervix as extension beyond the myometrium. In case reports with gross images, it is clear that the photos were taken after substantial dissection of the uterus from the bladder in an area of scar dehiscence suggesting that the finding of exposed placenta was due to surgical artifact rather than extrauterine extension. None of the histologic photos showed evidence of transmural villous invasion, a classical description of placenta percreta. The importance of a pathology reporting as part of quality assurance and training was even highlighted recently by Dr Palacios-Jaraquemada and colleagues.

On the second point, Dr Palacios-Jaraquemada suggests that the Federation International of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) grading system for PAS does not include sufficient anatomic detail to define surgical risk of bleeding and cannot predict the success of conservative management. Here we feel that he is conflating resectability with extent of disease. As in the case of cancer, the ability of a surgeon to resect a tumor depends on anatomic considerations, preoperative conditioning or therapy, and surgical training. These factors are notoriously hard to capture in a scoring system or consensus guidelines. While FIGO grade is only one of many factors affect morbidity, including extent of pelvic scarring from prior surgery and extent of scar dehiscence, FIGO has value and does perform better than traditional 3-tiered classification (Accreta, Increta, Percreta) in predicting postoperative complications including the rate of readmission, bladder injury and blood loss.³

References

Jauniaux E, Hecht JL, Elbarmelgy RA, Elbarmelgy RM, Thabet MM, Hussein AM. Searching for placenta percreta: a prospective cohort and systematic review of case reports. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;226:837.e1-837.e13.

Nieto-Calvache AJ, Palacios-Jaraquemada JM, Aryananda RA. Factors to consider when seeking better results in placenta accreta spectrum. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2021;100:1932-1933.

Salmanian B, Shainker SA, Hecht JL, Modest AM, Castro EC, Seaman RD, et al. The Society for Pediatric Pathology Task Force grading system for placenta accreta spectrum and its correlation with clinical outcomes. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;226:720.e1-720.e6.