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Summary

We report an autosomal recessive, multi-organ tumor predisposition syndrome, caused by bi-allelic loss-of-function germline variants in the base excision repair (BER) gene MBD4. We identified five individuals with bi-allelic MBD4 variants within four families and these individuals had a personal and/or family history of adenomatous colorectal polyposis, acute myeloid leukemia, and uveal melanoma. MBD4 encodes a glycosylase involved in repair of G:T mismatches resulting from deamination of 5'-methylcytosine. The colorectal adenomas from MBD4-deficient individuals showed a mutator phenotype attributable to mutational signature SBS1, consistent with the function of MBD4. MBD4-deficient polyps harbored somatic mutations in similar driver genes to sporadic colorectal tumors, although AMER1 mutations were more common and KRAS mutations less frequent. Our findings expand the role of BER deficiencies in tumor predisposition. Inclusion of MBD4 in genetic testing for polyposis and multi-tumor phenotypes is warranted to improve disease management.

Inherited defects in DNA repair are responsible for a group of genetic tumor risk syndromes that are characterized by adenomatous polyposis, colorectal cancer (CRC), and extracolonic neoplasms. These syndromes include dominantly inherited polymerase proofreading-associated polyposis (PPAP) caused by pathogenic variants in the polymerase proofreading domains of POLE (MIM: 615083) and POLD1 (MIM: 612591)1 and recessively inherited conditions caused by variants in genes involved in mismatch repair (PMS2 [MIM: 614337], MSH6 [MIM: 600678], MSH2 [MIM: 120435], MLH1 [MIM: 609310])2-3 and base-excision repair (BER) (MUTYH [MIM: 608456] and NTHL1 [MIM: 616415]).4,5 Mechanistically, defective DNA repair appears to lead to an increase in the somatic mutation rate and accumulation of somatic mutations in cancer driver genes such as APC (MIM: 611731), KRAS (MIM: 190070), and TP53 (MIM: 191170). Previous studies have linked the specific defects in DNA repair genes to mutational signatures.6-9

Genetic testing currently fails to identify a cause in a significant proportion of individuals who develop multiple colorectal adenomas. It is important to identify any
remaining polyposis genes in order to plan appropriate tumor surveillance for affected individuals and their relatives. Here, by applying whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing (WGS and WES), we identified loss-of-protein-function (LOF) variants of the BER gene MBD4 as the cause of an autosomal recessive syndrome of colorectal polyposis and extracolonic neoplasia.

We performed WGS or WES of constitutional DNA in a cohort of 309 individuals, from 198 apparently unrelated families, who were affected by multiple colorectal adenomas or familial CRC. For all individuals included in our study, routine diagnostic molecular genetic testing failed to detect pathogenic germline variants in known CRC and polyposy predisposing genes (detailed cohort descriptions in supplemental methods). The study received ethical approval from UK NHS Research Ethics Committees (REC numbers 06/Q1702/99 and 12/WA/0071), the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Melbourne (HREC #1954921), and the Radboudumc CMO Local Ethics Committee (#2015/2172). All participants provided written informed consent. Following WGS or WES, we prioritized the identification of coding germline variants predicted to cause LOF. This approach identified two individuals with bi-allelic frameshift variants in \textit{MBD4}. \textit{MBD4} encodes a BER glycosylase that repairs G:T mismatches resulting from the deamination of 5-methylcytosine (5mC). Simplex individual D:II-1 was homozygous for a 4-bp \textit{MBD4} deletion (GenBank: NM_003925.2: c.612_615del [p.Ser205Thrfs*9]; \textbf{Figures S1A and S1E–S1H}) and the other (CRDFF-292:II-3) was found to have approximately 60 colorectal adenomas (Figure S1H). 7 months after surgery, he was found to have multiple colorectal adenomas and an extracolonic neoplasm.

Both variants were exceedingly rare in gnomAD (allele frequencies 0.0000399 and 0.000653, respectively), although the c.939dup variant is one of the most common LOF variants in \textit{MBD4} in gnomAD and was found across multiple populations. No individuals with homozygous germline LOF \textit{MBD4} variants were found in gnomAD, the UK 100,000 Genome Project (100KGP), or the whole-genome-sequenced individuals in UK Biobank.

Next, we undertook targeted sequencing of \textit{MBD4} in replication cohorts comprising a total of 1,611 individuals with at least ten colorectal adenomas, familial or early onset CRC, or CRC in combination with other tumors. This identified one additional, unrelated individual (CRDFF-336:1-II-1) who was homozygous for the same adenine duplication (GenBank: NM_003925.2: c.939dup; \textbf{Figure S1C}) and four heterozygous carriers of LOF variants in \textit{MBD4}. While the frequency of heterozygous carriers was significantly higher than in gnomAD (4/1,611 versus 48/64,600; p = 0.0381, Fisher’s exact), we did not confirm this enrichment in the 100KGP and UK Biobank datasets (all comparisons p > 0.05, Fisher’s exact; \textbf{Table S3}).

The pedigrees of the three individuals with homozygous \textit{MBD4} germline variants are shown in Figure 1. After genotyping of available additional family members, all were compatible with an autosomal recessive trait. Most individuals with bi-allelic LOF variants in \textit{MBD4} developed multiple colorectal adenomas and an extracolonic neoplasm (\textbf{Table 1}). Simplex individual D:II-1 (\textbf{Figure 1A}) was found to have approximately 60 colorectal adenomas at initial colonoscopy at 36 years of age and at least 70 adenomas were identified at panproctocolectomy at 47 years of age (\textbf{Figure S1H}). 7 months after surgery, he was diagnosed with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) that...
progressed to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) within 3 months. CRDFF-292-1 (individual II-3 in Figure 1B) had 33 colorectal adenomas at panproctocolectomy at 53 years of age and was diagnosed concurrently with a uveal melanoma. A CT scan also revealed multiple liver cysts and multiple, bilateral small renal cysts. His brother (individual II-1 in Figure 1B) had been diagnosed with colorectal cancer at 52 years of age and had died of leukemia aged 60, but no material was available for genetic testing. CRDFF-336-1 (individual II-1 in Figure 1C) had 20 colorectal adenomas at panproctocolectomy at 39 years of age and previously had surgical removal of an ovarian granulosa cell tumor at 12 years of age. Genotyping confirmed that her brother (CRDFF-336-2, individual II-2 in Figure 1C) was also homozygous for the adenine duplication (c.939dup), and colonoscopy at 39 years of age revealed approximately 20 colorectal polyps that were confirmed histologically to be adenomas with low grade dysplasia.

Two of three individuals with AML previously identified to have MBD4 deficiency were noted to have colorectal polyps, without information on their type or multiplicity. We therefore obtained more comprehensive clinicopathological information on their colorectal tumors (Table 1). Individual WEHI-2 (previously WEHI-AML-210) developed a total of 17 colorectal polyps over a period of 22 years from the age of 18 years. Histological assessment classified all available polyps (n = 12) as tubular adenomas with mild-to-moderate dysplasia, and the majority (n = 7) were found in the rectum (Figure S1I). A moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma was found in the ascending colon at age 40 and the individual underwent a right hemicolectomy. Individual EMC-AML-1 developed multiple colonic polyps and underwent a hemicolectomy at age 31, although no polyp counts were reported and tissue blocks were unavailable for histological reassessment. The third individual (WEHI-AML-110) did not have gastrointestinal assessment prior to her death.

We performed WES on DNA extracted from fresh-frozen or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue from 11 colorectal adenomas from D:II-1 and eight colorectal adenomas from WEHI-2 (Table S2). The mutation burden was increased significantly in colorectal adenomas from both individuals with MBD4 deficiency compared to previously published multi-region WES data from nine sporadic fresh-frozen adenomas (Figure 2A; Table S2). The excess mutations were almost all CpG>TpG transitions (>95%) that accumulated steadily over time (Figure 2B) and were significantly more prevalent (Fisher’s exact, p = 2.9 × 10⁻⁵) than in the sporadic colorectal
adensomas (Figure 2C). The mutation spectrum was almost exclusively attributable to COSMIC mutational signature SBS112 in colorectal adenosomas from individuals with MBD4 deficiency, in contrast to sporadic colorectal adenosomas (Figure 2D; Figures S2 and S3). This is fully consistent with a failure to repair G:T mismatches resulting from deamination of 5'-methylcytosine caused by loss of MBD4 function. Furthermore, virtually all mutated sites were methylated in normal colon (>96% of sites mutated compared to 58% of all exonic CpG sites; Figure 2E).

The driver genes mutated in MBD4-deficient adenosomas were similar to those in sporadic adenosomas and CRCs (Table S4). All MBD4-deficient adenosomas (those that underwent WES and one additional adenosoma from WEHI-2 that was targeted sequenced; see also Table S2 and the supplemental methods) harbored somatic driver mutations in APC with a significant enrichment of the CpG>TpG transition (GenBank: NM_000384.4: c.4348C>T) resulting in p.Arg1450*, compared with sporadic adenosomas and CRCs (Fisher’s exact; p < 0.00001; Figure 2F). MBD4-deficient adenosomas harbored fewer KRAS mutations (three of 19 adenosomas) than sporadic tumors (Fisher’s exact, p = 0.0028) but significantly more somatic mutations in AMER1 (MIM: 300647) (12 of 19 adenosomas; Fisher’s exact, p = 0.039) (Figure 2F). Overall, 88% of driver mutations in adenosomas from individuals with MBD4 deficiency were CpG>TpG transitions compared to only 37% in sporadic adenosomas (Table S4).

Incorporation of MBD4 into diagnostic gene panels for colorectal polyposis, AML, and uveal melanoma at one of our centers has led to the identification of a further individual (DB1-70) with MBD4 deficiency, in contrast to sporadic colorectal adenosomas, AML, and uveal melanomas, one at age 38 and one at age 45 years, a meningioma at age 41, a ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast at age 50, and a chest wall and cervical schwannoma at age 50. At age 52, she was diagnosed with liver metastases from the uveal melanoma (Figure 1D). Her sister, for whom no material was available for genetic testing, was diagnosed with a uveal melanoma at age 30 and duodenal polyps and multiple adenomatous polyps in the colon, for which a right hemicolectomy was performed, at age 37. She was diagnosed with AML at age 42 and died a year later.

Following the discovery that bi-allelic MBD4 LOF variants predispose to AML,10 we here show that inherited MBD4 deficiency causes a wider neoplastic syndrome including adenomatous polyposis with a colorectal phenotype similar to attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis (MIM: 175100) and to individuals with germline pathogenic variants in MUTYH, NTHLI, POLE, and POLD1. Loss of MBD4 function leads to an accumulation of somatic CpG>TpG mutations, including in well-known CRC driver genes, arising from spontaneous deamination of 5’-methylcytosine, creating a mutational signature very similar to COSMIC SBS1. We suggest the name MBD4-associated neoplasia syndrome (MANS) for this condition.

To date, colorectal polyposis, MDS/AML, and uveal melanoma appear to be the most common clinical manifestations of MANS. Thus far, to our knowledge, all individuals that have had a colonoscopy have had multiple colorectal polyps early in life, and most have experienced MDS/AML. Identification of individuals with bi-allelic MBD4...
Figure 2. Somatic mutation burden and analysis of polyps of individuals with MBD4 deficiency

(A) Somatic mutation rate for each polyp, formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded samples indicated with asterisks (*). The color of the bars represents mutations in different sequence contexts; red shows CG>TG mutations, blue shows CA>AA mutations (primarily detected in WEHI-2 P9), and gray represents other base contexts. The median value is presented for samples that had multi-region sequencing. Median mutation burden/Mb in fresh frozen adenomas of D:II-1 was 11.1 [range 8.5–23.3] compared to 1.8 in a set of nine fresh frozen sporadic adenomas [range 1.0–3.1] (see also Figures S1H–S1I for representative HE slides).

(B) The number of somatic CG>TG mutations detected in WES data is plotted as function of age. The linear fit is shown, together with 95% confidence intervals (gray shading).

(C) We assessed the contribution of deamination of 5mC to MBD4-deficient samples by comparing the number of CG>TG mutations to all other single-nucleotide mutations. The plot compares MBD4-deficient polyps and AMLs to sporadic polyps, and to colon and rectal cancers from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). MSI, microsatellite instability; MSI-H, MSI-high; MSS, microsatellite stable (“MSS” includes both MSS and MSI-low samples); TCGA NA, no MSI data available.

(D) Extracted de novo signature SBS1MBD4 C>T panel from all polyps from D:II-1 (left) and polyps P1–P8 of WEHI-2 (middle) and the C>T panel from COSMIC SBS1-v3 (right).

(E) Fraction of mutated CpG sites that are methylated in normal sigmoid colon (beta value > 0.5 in WGBS data from the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium). Each point summarizes WES results from a sample and includes all sites with sufficient coverage in

(legend continued on next page)
pathogenic variants may inform their clinical management and that of their families. The identification and follow up of additional individuals will help to define the magnitude of cancer risks in MANS. In the interim, we propose colonoscopies every 2 years from age 18–20, or the date of diagnosis, a regimen often used for other BER-related polyposis syndromes. At least one of the AMLs in our study developed from MDS, and we have observed clonal hematopoiesis in others. We suggest regular follow up full blood counts for individuals with MANS if their initial presentation is with adenomatous polyposis. If the individual presents with AML, then we suggest genetic testing for any family member being considered as a haematopoietic stem cell donor, in keeping with current expert recommendations for managing inherited predisposition to myeloid malignancy. Given that heterozygous LOF MBD4 variant carriers appear to be susceptible to uveal melanoma and our identification of uveal melanoma in three of eight individuals with MANS suggests annual ophthalmological surveillance may also be appropriate. The occurrence of a rare juvenile ovarian granulosa cell tumor in one of four females and schwannomas in another individual reported here is noteworthy and the spectrum of MBD4-deficiency-associated cancers may widen as further individuals with MANS are identified. In contrast to findings with uveal melanoma where heterozygotes for MBD4 LOF variants appear to be at a 4- to 20-fold increased risk, our limited data show no convincing evidence for a comparable effect on the relative risk of developing polyposis and/or CRC. We cannot rule out the possibility that individuals heterozygous for an MBD4 LOF variant have a small increased risk of CRC and/or polyposis, but at present, no colonoscopy surveillance beyond population screening or local guidelines based on familial history for CRC is recommended. Additionally, although it has been suggested that variable expression of MBD4 contributes to differences in DNA repair capacity, further investigation is required to determine whether this contributes to modify disease risk.

In conclusion, constitutional deficiency of MBD4 causes a rare genetic syndrome, MANS, that is characterized by the development of adenomatous polyposis and predisposition to AML. MBD4 deficiency results in an elevated mutation burden with a mutation spectrum very similar to COSMIC mutational signature SBS1. A high mutational burden is associated with a good prognosis in CRC, and we speculate that MANS CRCs may respond to immune checkpoint inhibitors, as has been reported in MBD4-deficient uveal melanomas. It is possible that such a strategy could also be used to treat other neoplasia in MANS. In the short term, genetic testing for MANS could be implemented readily by incorporating MBD4 into existing gene panels used in diagnostic testing for adenomatous polyposis, CRC, early-onset AML, and uveal melanoma.
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