

# PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY A

MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL AND ENGINEERING SCIENCES

## QT variability unrelated to RR variability during stress testing for identification of coronary artery disease

Journal:	<i>Philosophical Transactions A</i>
Manuscript ID	RSTA-2020-0261
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Dec-2020
Complete List of Authors:	González del Castillo, Marta; University of Zaragoza; CIBER Hernando, David; University of Zaragoza; CIBER-BBN Orini, Michele; University College London Laguna, Pablo; University of Zaragoza; CIBER-BBN, GTC-I3A Viik, Jari; Tampere University of Technology Bailon-Luesma, Raquel; University of Zaragoza; CIBER-BBN Pueyo, Esther; University of Zaragoza, Aragon Institute for Engineering Research (I3A); CIBER-BBN,
Issue Code (this should have already been entered and appear below the blue box, but please contact the Editorial Office if it is not present):	ADV-COMP
Subject:	Biomedical engineering < ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY
Keywords:	electrocardiogram, repolarization, variability, coronary artery disease, stress testing

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

**Author-supplied statements**

Relevant information will appear here if provided.

**Ethics**

*Does your article include research that required ethical approval or permits?:*

Yes

*Statement (if applicable):*

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital District of Pirkanmaa, Finland, and all patients gave informed consent prior to the interview and measurements as stipulated in the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Data**

*It is a condition of publication that data, code and materials supporting your paper are made publicly available. Does your paper present new data?:*

Yes

*Statement (if applicable):*

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within its supplementary materials.

**Conflict of interest**

I/We declare we have no competing interests

*Statement (if applicable):*

CUST\_STATE\_CONFLICT :No data available.

**Authors' contributions**

This paper has multiple authors and our individual contributions were as below

*Statement (if applicable):*

MG carried out data and statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript.

David Hernando participated in data and statistical analysis and helped draft the manuscript.

Michele Orini participated in the design of the study and in data analysis and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content.

Jari Viik participated in the design of the study and acquisition of data and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content.

Pablo Laguna participated in the design of the study and critically revised the manuscript.

Raquel Bail<sup>3</sup>n and Esther Pueyo conceived and coordinated the study and critically reviewed the manuscript.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agree to be held accountable for the work performed therein.



Article submitted to journal

**Subject Areas:**

Biomedical Engineering

**Keywords:**

electrocardiogram, repolarization,  
variability, coronary artery disease,  
stress testing

**Author for correspondence:**

Marta González del Castillo  
email: gdc.s.marta@gmail.com

# Analysis of QT variability unrelated to RR variability during stress testing for the identification of coronary artery disease

Marta González del Castillo, David Hernando<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Michele Orini<sup>3</sup>, Pablo Laguna<sup>1,2</sup>, Jari Viik<sup>4</sup>, and Raquel  
Bailón†, Esther Pueyo†<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aragón Institute for Engineering Research, University  
of Zaragoza, IIS Aragón

<sup>2</sup>CIBER-BBN, Spain

<sup>3</sup>University College London

<sup>4</sup>Tampere University of Technology

† These authors have contributed equally to this work as senior  
authors

Stress test ECG analysis is widely used for coronary artery disease (CAD) diagnosis despite its limited accuracy. Alterations in autonomic modulation of cardiac electrical activity have been reported in CAD patients during acute ischemia. We hypothesized that those alterations could be reflected in changes in ventricular repolarization dynamics during stress test that could be measured through QT interval variability (QTV). However, QTV is largely dependent on RR interval variability (RRV), which might hinder intrinsic ventricular repolarization dynamics. In this study, we investigated whether low-frequency (LF) oscillations of QTV unrelated to RRV during stress testing can be used for CAD identification. Power spectral density of QTV unrelated to RRV was obtained based on time-frequency coherence estimation. Instantaneous LF power of QTV and QTV unrelated to RRV were obtained. LF power of QTV unrelated to RRV normalized by LF power of QTV was also studied. Stress test ECG of 100 patients were analyzed. Patients referred to coronary angiography were classified into nonCAD or CAD group. LF oscillations in QTV did not show significant differences between CAD and nonCAD groups. However, LF oscillations in QTV unrelated to RRV were significantly higher in the CAD group as compared to the nonCAD group when measured during the first phases of exercise and last phases of recovery. ROC analysis of these indices revealed AUC values ranging from 61 to 73%. Binomial logistic regression analysis revealed LF power of QTV unrelated to RRV, both during the first phase of exercise and last phase of recovery

© The Author(s) Published by the Royal Society. All rights reserved.

this study highlights the importance of removing the influence of RRV when measuring QTV during stress testing for CAD identification and supports the added value of LF oscillations of QTV unrelated to RRV to diagnose CAD from the first minutes of exercise.

## 1. Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) represents the first cause of death worldwide [1]. Stress testing is the most commonly used method for CAD diagnosis prior to coronary angiography due to its non-invasive nature and the fact of being non-expensive. Nevertheless, the accuracy of conventional stress test ECG, mainly based on the analysis of the ST segment, is limited, presenting low sensitivity and specificity [2–5]. ECG markers quantifying information from other ECG waveforms and time intervals could increase the accuracy of stress testing and provide valuable prognostic information.

Altered autonomic function has been associated with CAD progression and increased mortality [6,7], probably due to increased sympathetic nervous system modulation, as suggested by heart rate variability (HRV) measurements [8–11]. These changes in autonomic modulation in CAD patients have effects not only at the level of the sinoatrial node activity, as reflected by HRV, but also at the level of ventricular repolarization activity. An increase in QT variability (QTV) compensated for HRV has been demonstrated in ambulatory ECG recordings of CAD patients during acute ischemia, which has been associated with greater sympathetic modulation [12]. Low-frequency (LF) oscillations in the ECG T wave vector, measured by the so-called periodic repolarization dynamics and postulated to be related to sympathetic LF oscillations, have been shown to predict mortality in coronary artery disease and after myocardial infarction [13–15]. Clinical, experimental and theoretical studies have provided insight into potential mechanisms underlying the relationship between enhanced LF repolarization variability and all-cause mortality, in general, and arrhythmic mortality, in particular [16–18]. However, the value of LF oscillations of repolarization, quantified as independent of HRV, has not yet been demonstrated to diagnose CAD from stress test ECG. Different ECG markers have been proposed in the literature to non-invasively quantify repolarization variability, being beat-to-beat QT interval variability (QTV) the most widely studied, associated with sympathetic ventricular outflow and a marker of cardiovascular risk [19]. However, QTV largely depends on RR interval variability (RRV). Using time-frequency representations, QTV can be instantaneously decomposed into a component related to RRV and a component unrelated to RRV, which is thought to represent intrinsic ventricular repolarization variability [20,21].

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that LF oscillations of QTV unrelated to RRV during stress testing can be used for CAD identification. We measured the magnitude of those oscillations during both the exercise and recovery phases and we compared them between patients with and without CAD. ROC analysis was performed to assess sensitivity and specificity of repolarization variability-based detection of CAD. Logistic regression analysis served to confirm variability markers evaluated at different phases during the stress testing as independent predictors of CAD.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### (a) Study population

The electrocardiogram (ECG) of 100 patients referred for stress testing at Tampere University Hospital was analyzed. Continuous ECG was recorded at 500 Hz with CardioSoft exercise ECG system (Version 4.14, GE Health care, Freiburg, Germany) using the Mason-Likar modification of the standard 12-lead system. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital District of Pirkanmaa, Finland, and all patients gave informed consent prior to the interview and measurements as stipulated in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patients underwent a maximal exercise test on a bicycle ergometer, starting at an initial workload of 20 to 30 W, which increased stepwise by 10 to 30 W each minute. Patients with positive stress testing underwent coronary angiography within 180 days of stress testing.

Patients were classified in the following groups. A low-risk group was defined based on detailed patient information and stress testing symptoms. Any patient undergoing angiography

**Table 1.** Patient groups analyzed in the study.

no angiography	angiography		
	<50%	50-75%	>75%
low-risk	CAD0	CAD1	CAD2
low-risk	nonCAD	CAD	

or reporting chest pain was excluded from this group. Patients undergoing angiography were classified as CAD0, CAD1 or CAD2 group, depending on whether they presented less than 50%, between 50 and 75%, or more than 75% of luminal narrowing of the diameter of at least one major epicardial coronary artery or main branches. For the analysis of this study, 25 patients in each of these four groups were considered. A group denoted as CAD was defined by combining groups CAD1 and CAD2. A group denoted as nonCAD contained the patients in group CAD0. This classification is shown in table 1.

### (b) ECG preprocessing and delineation

Baseline wander was removed using cubic splines interpolation, with knots taken 60 ms before QRS fiducial time point if the previous RR interval was above 430 ms and 55 ms otherwise.

To improve the delineation of the T wave end, which can be problematic in highly noisy scenarios, an optimum lead was selected for each subject based on the T wave noise level and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). First, a T-wave window was defined from the QRS fiducial point plus 110 ms (or 100 ms if  $RR < 720$  ms) to the QRS fiducial point plus 360 ms (or minimum between 360 ms and  $\frac{2}{5}RR$  value if  $RR < 720$  ms). The T wave noise level was defined as the root mean squared error of the difference between the T wave and a lowpass filtered version of it with 25 Hz cut-off frequency. The SNR of each T wave was defined dividing the maximum amplitude within the T-wave window by the corresponding T wave noise level. The three ECG leads with the highest SNR were selected and the one out of these three ECG leads with the lowest T wave noise level was selected for further analysis.

A lowpass filter with a cut-off frequency of 25 Hz was applied to the selected ECG lead prior to delineation. ECG delineation was performed using a validated wavelet-based method [22], with some updates to account for the high levels of noise during stress testing, which can lead to extra variability in QT interval time series. In particular, all T waves were delineated as monophasic. The QT interval was measured from the onset of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave.

To avoid that arrhythmic episodes present along the ECG recordings could negatively influence the analysis, a set of rules on the RR interval time series was imposed following an approach similar to that described in [23]. In brief, the maximum difference between consecutive RR intervals was required to be lower than 150 ms in at least 75% of the beats and there were less than 5% of beats identified as ectopics. Only 20-second segments free of arrhythmic episodes were included in the study.

Outlier values in the time series of QT intervals (RR intervals, respectively) were identified by first applying a 30-th order median filter over the times series of absolute differences between successive intervals. Outliers in the QT (RR, respectively) time series were identified as those for which the absolute difference was above 5 times the corresponding value in the median filtered series. Instantaneous variations of QT or RR values exceeding their adjacent values by more than 150 ms and 60 ms, respectively, were also considered as outliers. Those segments presenting gaps of nonvalid interval measurements longer than 2 seconds or with more than 5% of outlier values were excluded from the analysis. In other cases, outlier values were replaced with the mean of their adjacent values. The obtained QT and RR interval time series were interpolated at a sampling rate of 4 Hz, thus leading to uniformly sampled time series.

### (c) QT variability unrelated to RR variability

QT variability unrelated to RR variability was obtained using the methodology described in [20], based on time-frequency representations. First, QTV and RRV were obtained by highpass filtering QT and RR interval time series with a cut-off frequency of 0.03 Hz. Cohen's class distributions were used to obtain the time-frequency representations of QTV series,  $S_{\text{QTVuRRV}}(t, f)$ , as well as the time-frequency coherence (TFC) between QTV and RRV,  $\gamma_{\text{QTV,RRV}}(t, f)$ , with temporal and spectral resolutions of 11.7 s and 0.039 Hz, respectively. Based on TFC, the time-frequency spectrum of QTV was decomposed into two spectra, one representing QTV linearly related to RRV (QTVrRRV) and the other representing QTV unrelated to RRV (QTVuRRV) [20]:

$$S_{\text{QTVuRRV}}(t, f) = (1 - |\gamma_{\text{QTV,RRV}}(t, f)|^2) S_{\text{QTV}}(t, f) \quad (2.1)$$

Since TFC estimators are known to be biased, the bias was estimated and corrected as described in [20].

The instantaneous power of LF oscillations for QTV and QTVuRRV series were calculated by integrating their time-frequency distributions,  $S_{\text{QTV}}(t, f)$  and  $S_{\text{QTVuRRV}}(t, f)$ , respectively, in the 0.03-0.15 Hz band, and denoted as  $P_{\text{QTV}}(t)$  and  $P_{\text{QTVuRRV}}(t)$ . The normalized LF power of QTVuRRV was estimated as

$$P_{\text{QTVuRRVn}}(t) = \frac{P_{\text{QTVuRRV}}(t)}{P_{\text{QTV}}(t)}. \quad (2.2)$$

### (d) Statistical analysis

The temporal evolution of  $P_{\text{QTV}}(t)$ ,  $P_{\text{QTVuRRVn}}(t)$  and  $P_{\text{QTVuRRVn}}(t)$  was studied in different time intervals based on maximum HR percentages. During the exercise phase, three intervals were defined where HR lied within 0-25% of  $\Delta\text{HR}$  ( $E_{25}$ ), 25-50% of  $\Delta\text{HR}$  ( $E_{50}$ ) and 50-75% of  $\Delta\text{HR}$  ( $E_{75}$ ). During the recovery phase, three other intervals were defined where HR lied within 75-50% of  $\Delta\text{HR}$  ( $R_{75}$ ), 50-25% of  $\Delta\text{HR}$  ( $R_{50}$ ) and 25-0% of  $\Delta\text{HR}$  ( $R_{25}$ ). In the above expressions,  $\Delta\text{HR}$  was used to denote the maximum theoretical HR of each patient minus the mean HR obtained in a one-minute window prior to the exercise onset (starting 30 seconds after the beginning of the recording). The maximum theoretical HR was calculated as:

$$HR_{\text{max}} = 211 - 0.64x_{\text{age}} \quad (2.3)$$


where  $x_{\text{age}}$  represents the age of the subject and  $HR_{\text{max}}$  is expressed in beats per minute.

For each of these intervals, the 20-second segment with the highest HR was selected for analysis and the median value of  $P_{\text{QTV}}(t)$ ,  $P_{\text{QTVuRRVn}}(t)$ , and  $P_{\text{QTVuRRVn}}(t)$  was computed and denoted as  $P_{\text{QTV}}^I$ ,  $P_{\text{QTVuRRV}}^I$  and  $P_{\text{QTVuRRVn}}^I$ , respectively, where  $I$  denotes the corresponding time interval  $E_{25}$ ,  $E_{50}$ ,  $E_{75}$ ,  $R_{75}$ ,  $R_{50}$  or  $R_{25}$ .

Unless otherwise specified, group comparisons were performed between nonCAD (CAD0) and CAD (CAD1 and CAD2) patients. For certain analyses, additional comparisons between low-risk vs CAD patients were conducted.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical test was used to test for normality distribution of sampled data, rejecting the hypothesis of normal distribution for all the analyzed indices. Thus, the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the values of each analyzed marker between patient groups. The chi-squared test was used to compare clinical categorical variables between patient groups. A  $p$ -value  $< 0.05$  was used to determine statistical significance.

ROC analysis was performed for those markers presenting significant differences between groups to determine their sensitivity and specificity for CAD identification. Multivariate binomial logistic regression was applied to investigate whether the markers were independent predictors of CAD.



**Figure 1.** Example of RR and QT series,  $P_{QTV}(t)$ ,  $P_{QTVuRRV}(t)$  and  $P_{QTVuRRVn}(t)$  for (left) nonCAD patient and (right) CAD patient. Green and red vertical lines denote the onset and end, respectively, of the 20-second segments used for analysis.

### 3. Results

Table 2 summarizes the descriptive characteristics of the patient population. Patients in the CAD group were older than in the nonCAD group. Cardiovascular medications like ACE inhibitors, beta-blockers and long-acting nitrate were more frequently taken by CAD patients.

Figure 3 presents representative examples of RR and QT interval time series of a patient in the nonCAD group and a patient in the CAD group. The lower panels in the figure show the corresponding instantaneous LF powers for QTV, QTVuRRV and QTVuRRVn along the stress test. While  $P_{QTVuRRV}(t)$  showed larger values in the CAD patient than in the nonCAD patient during the

**Table 2.** Population characteristics for nonCAD and CAD groups.

Characteristic	nonCAD (n=25)	CAD (n=50)
Age (years) <sup>α</sup>	52.44 ± 8.31	59.26 + 9.96 *
Gender (male/female)	16/9	36/14
BMI (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> ) <sup>α</sup>	27.2 ± 5.58	26.32±4.07
MI (patients)	5	16
Chest pain (patients)	24	43
Exercise length (min) <sup>α</sup>	6.68 ± 1.58	6.32±2.17
<b>Medication</b>		
ACE inhibitors	3	18 *
Beta-blockers	14	43 *
Calcium channel blockers	2	12
Digitalis	0	0
Glyceryl trinitrate	6	17
Long-acting nitrate	2	20*
Diuretics	2	7

\*  $p$ -value < 0.05.

whole exercise and recovery phases, relevant differences in terms of  $P_{QTV}(t)$  and  $P_{QTVuRRVn}(t)$  were only found in some intervals along the stress test.


Figure 3 presents the distribution of  $P_{QTV}^I$ ,  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  and  $P_{QTVuRRVn}^I$  for nonCAD and CAD groups during the six analyzed intervals of the stress test. Although  $P_{QTV}^I$  showed higher values in the CAD group than in the nonCAD group, except in  $E_{75}$ , differences were not statistically significant.  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  was significantly higher in the CAD group as compared to the nonCAD group when measured during the first intervals of the exercise phase and the last intervals of the recovery phase, specifically during exercise intervals  $E_{25}$  and  $E_{50}$  and recovery intervals  $R_{50}$  and  $R_{25}$ . The normalized index  $P_{QTVuRRVn}^I$  was significantly higher in the CAD group with respect to the nonCAD group only during the first recovery interval  $R_{75}$ .

ROC curves are presented in Fig. 3 for  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  calculated during  $E_{25}$ ,  $E_{50}$ ,  $R_{50}$  and  $R_{25}$ . The area under the curve (AUC) is displayed in Table 3, as well as the associated sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) values. The highest AUC value was obtained for  $P_{QTVuRRV}^{R_{25}}$  (73%), with a sensitivity of 64% and a specificity of 78% for the optimal cut-off point (defined as the one minimizing the Euclidean distance to the upper left corner of the ROC curve). A sensitivity of 90% was obtained for a specificity of 40%.

Results from the binomial logistic regression analysis are presented in Table 3. When entering  $P_{QTVuRRV}^{E_{25}}$  and  $P_{QTVuRRVn}^{R_{75}}$  as covariates in the regression model, only  $P_{QTVuRRV}^{E_{25}}$  was found as an independent predictor of CAD (odds ratio = 1.16,  $p = 0.02$ ). Similarly, when entering  $P_{QTVuRRV}^{R_{25}}$  and  $P_{QTVuRRVn}^{R_{75}}$  as covariates, only  $P_{QTVuRRV}^{R_{25}}$  independently predicted CAD (odds ratio = 1.11,  $p = 0.04$ ). If entering a higher number of covariates representing  $P_{QTVuRRV}$  measured at other intervals during the stress test, no independent CAD predictors were found.

Additionally, the proposed indices were compared between the low-risk and CAD groups.  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  was significantly lower in the low-risk group when compared to the CAD group only in  $R_{25}$ . However,  $P_{QTVuRRVn}^I$  was significantly lower during  $R_{50}$  and  $R_{25}$ . In other intervals of the stress test,  $P_{QTV}^I$  and  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  showed high inter-individual variations in the low-risk group, larger than those observed in the nonCAD group, especially in  $E_{25}$ .






**Figure 2.** Distribution of  $P_{QTV}^I$ ,  $P_{QTVuRRVn}^I$ , and  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$ . \* denotes significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ )

#### 4. Discussion

The main results of this study can be summarized as: 1) The fraction of repolarization variability not related to HRV can be used to non-invasively diagnose CAD from stress test ECGs; 2) The capacity of HRV-unrelated repolarization variability for separation of CAD and nonCAD patients holds both when measured during the exercise and the recovery phases of the test; 3) In ROC analysis, HRV-unrelated repolarization variability offers satisfactory accuracy and 90% sensitivity corresponds to 40% specificity; 4) In multivariate regression, HRV-unrelated repolarization variability, measured either during exercise or recovery, is able to predict CAD independently of other variables with capacity for CAD and nonCAD separation based on normalized QT variability.

In previous studies, different ECG markers, based on HRV and repolarization variability, have been associated with increased mortality in CAD and after myocardial infarction [7–9,13–15,19]. Some of these indices have been already investigated for CAD diagnosis based on stress test ECG. In particular, HRV indices have shown contradictory results, with [24,25] reporting accuracy values ranging from 75% to 96% in the classification of low-risk vs. CAD patients and [23] concluding that HRV indices are inadequate for CAD diagnosis.

Regarding ECG repolarization, instability markers measuring microvolt T wave alternans have been shown to take higher values in CAD patients than in healthy subjects and also in CAD patients with significant stenosis than in patients with no major stenosis [26,27]. These results were confirmed in subsequent studies, which additionally revealed greater accuracy of



**Figure 3.** ROC analysis for  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  in  $E_{25}$  (blue),  $E_{50}$  (red),  $R_{50}$  (green) and  $R_{25}$  (magenta).

**Table 3.** AUC, sensitivity and specificity for  $P_{QTVuRRV}^I$  in  $E_{25}$ ,  $E_{50}$ ,  $R_{50}$  and  $R_{25}$ .

	AUC (%)	Se (%)	Sp (%)
$E_{25}$	68	54	76
		80	44
		90	32
$E_{50}$	66	68	64
		80	40
		90	28
$R_{50}$	61	58	72
		80	16
		90	0
$R_{25}$	73	64	78
		80	56
		90	40

T wave alternans as compared to conventional ST segment analysis for CAD detection [28]. Other repolarization markers, like the QT interval, HR-corrected QT interval (QTc) and spatial QT dispersion have been explored prior to, during and after stress testing in a large cohort of patients undergoing coronary angiography [29]. QTc interval and QT dispersion during recovery were significantly higher in the critical CAD group with respect to the non-critical CAD group. These results on increased dispersion are in accordance with our results, as they are all indicative of higher repolarization lability during recovery from exercise in CAD patients with respect to nonCAD patients, even if the markers measured in [29] are intended to measure spatial repolarization heterogeneities whereas our markers quantify temporal repolarization variability. In [29], ROC analysis based on QTc and QT dispersion revealed slightly better performance than in our study, with 90% sensitivity and 53% specificity. However, the critical CAD group in that study may include patients with more severe forms of myocardial ischemia than our CAD group.

**Table 4.** Binomial logistic regression analysis to identify independent CAD predictors.

Covariate	Odds ratio	95% CI for Odds ratio	p-value
Model 1			
$P_{QTVuRRV}^{E_{25}}$	1.16	1.02 - 1.32	0.02
$P_{QTVuRRVn}^{R_{75}}$	0.70	0.20 - 2.36	0.56
Model 2			
$P_{QTVuRRV}^{R_{25}}$	1.11	1.01 - 1.23	0.04
$P_{QTVuRRVn}^{R_{75}}$	1.01	0.33 - 3.13	0.98

In previous studies, beat-to-beat QTV, as an indicator of temporal repolarization instability, has been shown to present larger values in CAD patients than in nonCAD patients and healthy controls [30,31]. Nevertheless, in the comparison with healthy controls, the significance of the QTV increase in CAD patients only held when compensating for HRV by quantification of the so-called QTV index (QTVI). To the best of our knowledge, there is no study investigating QTV, or the fraction of it not related to HRV, for CAD diagnosis using stress test ECG recordings. On the basis of the value of LF oscillations of repolarization as a prognostic marker in CAD patients and patients after myocardial infarction, we aimed at determining the value of the LF power of QTV and of its fraction not linearly related to HRV for CAD diagnosis from stress test ECG. In particular, we focused our study on the comparison between CAD and nonCAD groups to improve the specificity of stress testing. Although we lacked a gold standard reference in patients of the low-risk group who did not undergo a coronary angiography, in some of our analysis we included the separation between low-risk group and CAD groups for comparison purposes.

We observed that LF oscillations of QTV were generally higher in the CAD group as compared to the non-CAD group, but no significant differences were found for any of the studied intervals. However, when linear influences from RRV were removed from QTV, significantly higher LF power of QTVuRRV was observed in the CAD group with respect to the nonCAD group, both during the exercise and recovery phases. These results are in line with previous findings regarding the need to compensate QTV for the effects of HRV to get more meaningful information for patient separation. When low-risk patients were included in the analysis, no significant differences between low-risk and CAD groups were found during the exercise phase, but only during the recovery phase. It should be noted that while exercise length was similar in the nonCAD and CAD groups, it was significantly higher in some patients of the low-risk group. This might explain the higher inter-individual variations in the low-risk group and the absence of significant differences between low-risk and CAD groups during exercise.

Binomial logistic regression analysis revealed that the magnitude of LF oscillations in QTV unrelated to RRV, measured in the first exercise interval ( $P_{QTVuRRV}^{E_{25}}$ ) and the last recovery interval ( $P_{QTVuRRV}^{R_{25}}$ ) had capacity for CAD prediction. Our analysis showed that when each of them was separately entered into a model together with a marker measuring normalized QTV unrelated to HRV, which was also able to separate CAD and nonCAD groups, each of them were independent predictors of CAD. Interestingly, the marker  $P_{QTVuRRV}^{E_{25}}$  can be obtained from the first minutes of exercise, which can represent an advantage over other previously proposed markers which require evaluation either at peak exercise or during the recovery phase [29].

### (a) Study limitations & further study

25 patients were included in each of the patient groups (low-risk, CAD0, CAD1 and CAD2) analyzed in this study. Thus, the comparison of nonCAD and CAD groups involved 25 and 50 patients, respectively. Future studies investigating a larger number of patients could improve the statistical power of our proposed methods for CAD detection.

The QT interval was delineated by identifying an optimum lead in terms of noise level and SNR. Further studies could explore multi-lead delineation strategies to attenuate the impact of noise on ECG delineation, particularly in highly noisy recordings as those acquired during stress test.

The use of certain medications differed between patients in the nonCAD and CAD groups. As future research, the potential effects of such medications on QTV and HRV could be investigated. These effects could be taken into account in the interpretation of CAD and nonCAD separation based on repolarization variability analysis.

## 5. Conclusions

The potential value of LF oscillations of QTV unrelated to RRV during stress testing for CAD identification have been investigated in this study. Results show that only when QTV unrelated to RRV is studied, LF oscillations derived from both the exercise and recovery phases are significantly different in CAD with respect to non-CAD patients, allowing for CAD identification with 90% sensitivity and 40% specificity. These indices are also independent predictors of CAD in multivariate regression. This study highlights the importance of removing the influence of RRV when measuring QTV during stress testing for CAD identification and supports the added value of LF oscillations of QTV unrelated to RRV to diagnose CAD from the first minutes of exercise.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain) through projects RTI2018-097723-B-I00 and PID2019-105674RB-I00, by the European Research Council under grant agreement ERC-StG 638284 and by European Social Fund (EU) and Aragón Government through BSICoS group (*T3920R*). Computations were performed by the ICTS NANBIOSIS (HPC Unit at University of Zaragoza).

## References

1. Nowbar AN, Gitto M, Howard JP, Francis DP, Al-Lamee R. Mortality From Ischemic Heart Disease. *Circ: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes* [Internet]. 2019 Jun;12(6). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.118.005375>
2. Banerjee A, Newman DR, Van den Bruel A, Heneghan C. Diagnostic accuracy of exercise stress testing for coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *International Journal of Clinical Practice* [Internet]. 2012 Apr 18;66(5):477–92. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2012.02900.x>
3. Froelicher VF. The Electrocardiographic Exercise Test in a Population with Reduced Workup Bias: Diagnostic Performance, Computerized Interpretation, and Multivariable Prediction. *Ann Intern Med* [Internet]. 1998 Jun 15;128(12\_Part\_1):965. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-128-12\\_Part\\_1-199806150-00001](http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-128-12_Part_1-199806150-00001)
4. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Timothy Bricker J, Chaitman BR, Fletcher GF, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 Guideline Update for Exercise Testing: Summary Article. *Circulation* [Internet]. 2002 Oct;106(14):1883–92. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000034670.06526.15>
5. Gianrossi R, Detrano R, Mulvihill D, Lehmann K, Dubach P, Colombo A, et al. Exercise-induced ST depression in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease. A meta-analysis. *Circulation* [Internet]. 1989 Jul;80(1):87–98. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.80.1.87>
6. Huikuri HV, Jokinen V, Syvanne M, Nieminen MS, Airaksinen KEJ, Ikaheimo MJ, et al. Heart Rate Variability and Progression of Coronary Atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* [Internet]. 1999 Aug;19(8):1979–85. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.atv.19.8.1979>
7. Tsuji H, Larson MG, Venditti FJ, Manders ES, Evans JC, Feldman CL, et al. Impact of Reduced Heart Rate Variability on Risk for Cardiac Events. *Circulation* [Internet]. 1996 Dec;94(11):2850–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.94.11.2850>
8. Kleiger RE, Miller JP, Bigger JT Jr, Moss AJ. Decreased heart rate variability and its association with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *The American Journal of Cardiology* [Internet]. 1987 Feb;59(4):256–62. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149\(87\)90795-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149(87)90795-8)

9. Huikuri HV, Mäkikallio TH. Heart rate variability in ischemic heart disease. *Autonomic Neuroscience* [Internet]. 2001 Jul;90(1–2):95–101. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1566-0702\(01\)00273-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1566-0702(01)00273-9)
10. Wennerblom B. Patients with uncomplicated coronary artery disease have reduced heart rate variability mainly affecting vagal tone. *Heart* [Internet]. 2000 Mar 1;83(3):290–4. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heart.83.3.290>
11. Bigger JT Jr, Fleiss JL, Steinman RC, Rolnitzky LM, Kleiger RE, Rottman JN. Frequency domain measures of heart period variability and mortality after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* [Internet]. 1992 Jan;85(1):164–71. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.85.1.164>
12. Murabayashi T, Fetis B, Kass D, Nevo E, Gramatikov B, Berger RD. Beat-to-beat QT interval variability associated with acute myocardial ischemia. *Journal of Electrocardiology* [Internet]. 2002 Jan;35(1):19–25. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1054/jelc.2002.30250>
13. Bauer A, Klemm M, Rizas KD, Hamm W, von Stülpnagel L, Dommasch M, et al. Prediction of mortality benefit based on periodic repolarisation dynamics in patients undergoing prophylactic implantation of a defibrillator: a prospective, controlled, multicentre cohort study. *The Lancet* [Internet]. 2019 Oct;394(10206):1344–51. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31996-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31996-8)
14. Rizas KD, Doller AJ, Hamm W, Vdovin N, von Stülpnagel L, Zuern CS, et al. Periodic repolarization dynamics as a risk predictor after myocardial infarction: Prospective validation study. *Heart Rhythm* [Internet]. 2019 Aug;16(8):1223–31. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2019.02.024>
15. Rizas KD, McNitt S, Hamm W, Massberg S, Kääh S, Zareba W, et al. Prediction of sudden and non-sudden cardiac death in post-infarction patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction by periodic repolarization dynamics: MADIT-II substudy. *European Heart Journal* [Internet]. 2017 Apr 18;38(27):2110–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx161>
16. Duijvenboden SV, Porter B, Pueyo E, Sampredo-Puente DA, Fernandez-Bes J, Sidhu B, et al. Complex Interaction Between Low-Frequency APD Oscillations and Beat-to-Beat APD Variability in Humans Is Governed by the Sympathetic Nervous System. *Front Physiol* [Internet]. 2020 Jan 22;10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01582>
17. Sprenkeler DJ, Beekman JDM, Bossu A, Dunnink A, Vos MA. Pro-Arrhythmic Ventricular Remodeling Is Associated With Increased Respiratory and Low-Frequency Oscillations of Monophasic Action Potential Duration in the Chronic Atrioventricular Block Dog Model. *Front Physiol* [Internet]. 2019 Aug 23;10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01095>
18. Sampredo-Puente DA, Fernandez-Bes J, Porter B, van Duijvenboden S, Taggart P, Pueyo E. Mechanisms Underlying Interactions Between Low-Frequency Oscillations and Beat-to-Beat Variability of Cellular Ventricular Repolarization in Response to Sympathetic Stimulation: Implications for Arrhythmogenesis. *Front Physiol* [Internet]. 2019 Aug 2;10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00916>
19. Baumert M, Porta A, Vos MA, Malik M, Couderc J-P, Laguna P, et al. QT interval variability in body surface ECG: measurement, physiological basis, and clinical value: position statement and consensus guidance endorsed by the European Heart Rhythm Association jointly with the ESC Working Group on Cardiac Cellular Electrophysiology. *Europace* [Internet]. 2016 Jan 27;18(6):925–44. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/europace/euv405>
20. Orini M, Pueyo E, Laguna P, Bailon R. A Time-Varying Nonparametric Methodology for Assessing Changes in QT Variability Unrelated to Heart Rate Variability. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* [Internet]. 2018 Jul;65(7):1443–51. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2017.2758925>
21. Porta A, Tobaldini E, Gnechi-Ruscione T, Montano N. RT variability unrelated to heart period and respiration progressively increases during graded head-up tilt. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology* [Internet]. 2010 May;298(5):H1406–14. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.01206.2009>
22. Martinez JP, Almeida R, Olmos S, Rocha AP, Laguna P. A Wavelet-Based ECG Delineator: Evaluation on Standard Databases. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* [Internet]. 2004 Apr;51(4):570–81. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2003.821031>
23. Virtanen M, Kähönen M, Nieminen T, Karjalainen P, Tarvainen M, Lehtimäki T, et al. Heart rate variability derived from exercise ECG in the detection of coronary artery disease. *Physiol*

- Meas [Internet]. 2007 Sep 18;28(10):1189–200. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/28/10/005>
24. Bailón R, Serrano P, Laguna P. Influence of time-varying mean heart rate in coronary artery disease diagnostic performance of heart rate variability indices from exercise stress testing. *Journal of Electrocardiology* [Internet]. 2011 Jul;44(4):445–52. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2011.02.001>
25. Hernando D, Kähönen M, Lázaro J, Lehtinen R, Nieminen T, Nikus K, et al. Coronary artery disease diagnosis by means of heart rate variability analysis using respiratory information. In: *EMBECC NBC 2017* [Internet]. Springer Singapore; 2017. p. 270–3. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5122-7\\_68](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5122-7_68)
26. Figliozzi S, Stazi A, Pinnacchio G, Laurito M, Parrinello R, Villano A, et al. Use of T-wave alternans in identifying patients with coronary artery disease. *Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine* [Internet]. 2016 Jan;17(1):20–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2459/JCM.0000000000000080>
27. Mollo R, Cosenza A, Spinelli A, Coviello I, Careri G, Battipaglia I, et al. T-wave alternans in apparently healthy subjects and in different subsets of patients with ischaemic heart disease. *Europace* [Internet]. 2011 Sep 8;14(2):272–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/europace/eur285>
28. Puljevic M, Danilowicz-Szymanowicz L, Molon G, Puljevic D, Raczak G, Canali G, et al. TWARM pilot trial: The value and optimal criteria of microvolt T-wave alternans in the diagnosis of reversible myocardial ischemia in patients without structural cardiac disease. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* [Internet]. 2018 Nov 1;24(2):e12610. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/anec.12610>
29. Demirtaş AO, Urgan OD. Can QT interval prolongation or dispersion detected in a positive exercise ECG test predict critical coronary artery disease? *amsad* [Internet]. 2019;4(1):7–12. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/amsad.2019.83299>
30. Li Y, Li P, Wang X, Karmakar C, Liu C, Liu C. Short-term QT interval variability in patients with coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure: a comparison with healthy control subjects. *Med Biol Eng Comput* [Internet]. 2018 Aug 25;57(2):389–400. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11517-018-1870-8>
31. Vrtovec B, Starc V, Starc R. Beat-to-Beat QT Interval Variability in Coronary Patients. *Journal of Electrocardiology* [Internet]. 2000 Apr;33(2):119–25. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-0736\(00\)80068-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-0736(00)80068-0)