

S3: Included studies

1. Adab P, Barrett T, Bhopal R, et al. The West Midlands ActiVe lifestyle and healthy Eating in School children (WAVES) study: A cluster randomised controlled trial testing the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a multifaceted obesity prevention intervention programme targeted at children aged 6–7 years. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(8):1-608. doi:[10.3310/hta22080](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22080)
2. Barker KL, Newman M, Stallard N, et al. Exercise or manual physiotherapy compared with a single session of physiotherapy for osteoporotic vertebral fracture: Three-arm PROVE RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(44):1-318. doi:[10.3310/hta23440](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23440)
3. Barker KL, Room J, Knight R, et al. Outpatient physiotherapy versus home-based rehabilitation for patients at risk of poor outcomes after knee arthroplasty: CORKA RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(65):1-116. doi:[10.3310/hta24650](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24650)
4. Barnes TR, Leeson VC, Paton C, et al. Antidepressant Controlled Trial For Negative Symptoms In Schizophrenia (ACTIONS): A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised clinical trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(29):1-46. doi:[10.3310/hta20290](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20290)
5. Barnes J, Stuart J, Allen E, et al. Results of the First Steps study: A randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of the Group Family Nurse Partnership (gFNP) programme compared with usual care in improving outcomes for high-risk mothers and their children and preventing abuse. *Public Health Research*. 2017;5(9):1-152. doi:[10.3310/phr05090](https://doi.org/10.3310/phr05090)
6. Barnes TR, Leeson VC, Paton C, et al. Amisulpride augmentation in clozapine-unresponsive schizophrenia (AMICUS): A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial of clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(49):1-56. doi:[10.3310/hta21490](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21490)
7. Beard DJ, Davies LJ, Cook JA, et al. Total versus partial knee replacement in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis: The TOPKAT RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(20):1-98. doi:[10.3310/hta24200](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24200)
8. Bhatnagar R, Luengo-Fernandez R, Kahan BC, Rahman NM, Miller RF, Maskell NA. Thoracoscopy and talc poudrage compared with intercostal drainage and talc slurry infusion to manage malignant pleural effusion: The TAPPS RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(26):1-90. doi:[10.3310/hta24260](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24260)

9. Bick D, Briley A, Brocklehurst P, et al. A multicentre, randomised controlled trial of position during the late stages of labour in nulliparous women with an epidural: Clinical effectiveness and an economic evaluation (BUMPES). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(65):1-176. doi:[10.3310/hta21650](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21650)
10. Bonell C, Allen E, Warren E, et al. Modifying the secondary school environment to reduce bullying and aggression: The INCLUSIVE cluster RCT. *Public Health Research*. 2019;7(18):1-164. doi:[10.3310/phr07180](https://doi.org/10.3310/phr07180)
11. Bosanquet K, Adamson J, Atherton K, et al. Collaborative care for Screen-Positive Elders with major depression (CASPER plus): A multicentred randomised controlled trial of clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(67):1-252. doi:[10.3310/hta21670](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21670)
12. Brabyn S, Araya R, Barkham M, et al. The second Randomised Evaluation of the Effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and Acceptability of Computerised Therapy (REEACT-2) trial: Does the provision of telephone support enhance the effectiveness of computer-delivered cognitive behaviour therapy? A randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(89):1-64. doi:[10.3310/hta20890](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20890)
13. Brocklehurst P, Field D, Greene K, et al. Computerised interpretation of the fetal heart rate during labour: A randomised controlled trial (INFANT). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(9):1-186. doi:[10.3310/hta22090](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22090)
14. Brown S, Tiernan J, Biggs K, et al. The HubBLE Trial: Haemorrhoidal artery ligation (HAL) versus rubber band ligation (RBL) for symptomatic second- and third-degree haemorrhoids: A multicentre randomised controlled trial and health-economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(88):1-150. doi:[10.3310/hta20880](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20880)
15. Brown S, Everett CC, Naraghi K, et al. Alternative tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) or abatacept or rituximab following failure of initial TNFi in rheumatoid arthritis: The SWITCH RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(34):1-280. doi:[10.3310/hta22340](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22340)
16. Chalmers JR, Wojnarowska F, Kirtschig G, et al. A randomised controlled trial to compare the safety, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of doxycycline (200 mg/day) with that of oral prednisolone (0.5 mg/kg/day) for initial treatment of bullous pemphigoid: The Bullous Pemphigoid Steroids and Tetracyclines (BLISTER) trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(10):1-90. doi:[10.3310/hta21100](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21100)

17. Chestnutt IG, Hutchings S, Playle R, et al. Seal or Varnish? A randomised controlled trial to determine the relative cost and effectiveness of pit and fissure sealant and fluoride varnish in preventing dental decay. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(21):1-256. doi:[10.3310/hta21210](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21210)
18. Clarke CE, Patel S, Ives N, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of physiotherapy and occupational therapy versus no therapy in mild to moderate Parkinson's disease: A large pragmatic randomised controlled trial (PD REHAB). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(63):1-96. doi:[10.3310/hta20630](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20630)
19. Cockayne S, Rodgers S, Green L, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a multifaceted podiatry intervention for falls prevention in older people: A multicentre cohort randomised controlled trial (the REDucing Falls with ORthoses and a Multifaceted podiatry intervention trial). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(24):1-198. doi:[10.3310/hta21240](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21240)
20. Connolly P, Miller S, Kee F, et al. A cluster randomised controlled trial and evaluation and cost-effectiveness analysis of the Roots of Empathy schools-based programme for improving social and emotional well-being outcomes among 8- to 9-year-olds in Northern Ireland. *Public Health Research*. 2018;6(4):1-108. doi:[10.3310/phr06040](https://doi.org/10.3310/phr06040)
21. Costa ML, Achten J, Hennings S, et al. Intramedullary nail fixation versus locking plate fixation for adults with a fracture of the distal tibia: The UK FixDT RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(25):1-148. doi:[10.3310/hta22250](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22250)
22. Costa ML, Achten J, Wagland S, et al. Plaster cast versus functional bracing for Achilles tendon rupture: The UKSTAR RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(8):1-86. doi:[10.3310/hta24080](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24080)
23. Cottrell DJ, Wright-Hughes A, Collinson M, et al. A pragmatic randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of family therapy versus treatment as usual for young people seen after second or subsequent episodes of self-harm: The Self-Harm Intervention – Family Therapy (SHIFT) trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(12):1-222. doi:[10.3310/hta22120](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22120)
24. Nair R das, Bradshaw LE, Carpenter H, et al. A group memory rehabilitation programme for people with traumatic brain injuries: The ReMemBrIn RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(16):1-194. doi:[10.3310/hta23160](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23160)

25. Day AC, Burr JM, Bennett K, et al. Femtosecond laser-assisted cataract surgery compared with phacoemulsification: The FACT non-inferiority RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2021;25(6):1-68. doi:[10.3310/hta25060](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta25060)
26. Earl H, Hiller L, Vallier A-L, et al. Six versus 12 months' adjuvant trastuzumab in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: The PERSEPHONE non-inferiority RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(40):1-190. doi:[10.3310/hta24400](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24400)
27. Featherstone RL, Dobson J, Ederle J, et al. Carotid artery stenting compared with endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis (International Carotid Stenting Study): A randomised controlled trial with cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(20):1-94. doi:[10.3310/hta20200](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20200)
28. Ford GA, Bhakta BB, Cozens A, et al. Dopamine Augmented Rehabilitation in Stroke (DARS): A multicentre double-blind, randomised controlled trial of co-careldopa compared with placebo, in addition to routine NHS occupational and physical therapy, delivered early after stroke on functional recovery. *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation*. 2019;6(5):1-138. doi:[10.3310/eme06050](https://doi.org/10.3310/eme06050)
29. Forsyth K, Archer-Power L, Senior J, et al. The effectiveness of the Older prisoner Health and Social Care Assessment and Plan (OHSCAP): A randomised controlled trial. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2017;5(31):1-186. doi:[10.3310/hsdr05310](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr05310)
30. Foster NE, Konstantinou K, Lewis M, et al. Stratified versus usual care for the management of primary care patients with sciatica: The SCOPiC RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(49):1-130. doi:[10.3310/hta24490](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24490)
31. Gates S, Lall R, Quinn T, et al. Prehospital randomised assessment of a mechanical compression device in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (PARAMEDIC): A pragmatic, cluster randomised trial and economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(11):1-176. doi:[10.3310/hta21110](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21110)
32. Gilbert H, Sutton S, Morris R, et al. Start2quit: A randomised clinical controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of using personal tailored risk information and taster sessions to increase the uptake of the NHS Stop Smoking Services. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(3):1-206. doi:[10.3310/hta21030](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21030)

33. Glazener C, Breeman S, Elders A, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of surgical options for the management of anterior and/or posterior vaginal wall prolapse: Two randomised controlled trials within a comprehensive cohort study – results from the PROSPECT Study. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(95):1-452. doi:[10.3310/hta20950](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20950)
34. Gohel MS, Heatley F, Liu X, et al. Early versus deferred endovenous ablation of superficial venous reflux in patients with venous ulceration: The EVRA RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(24):1-96. doi:[10.3310/hta23240](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23240)
35. Goodyer IM, Reynolds S, Barrett B, et al. Cognitive-behavioural therapy and short-term psychoanalytic psychotherapy versus brief psychosocial intervention in adolescents with unipolar major depression (IMPACT): A multicentre, pragmatic, observer-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(12):1-94. doi:[10.3310/hta21120](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21120)
36. Grieve R, Willis S, De Corte K, et al. Options for possible changes to the blood donation service: Health economics modelling. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2018;6(40):1-162. doi:[10.3310/hsdr06400](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr06400)
37. Haighton C, Moffatt S, Howel D, et al. Randomised controlled trial with economic and process evaluations of domiciliary welfare rights advice for socioeconomically disadvantaged older people recruited via primary health care (the Do-Well study). *Public Health Research*. 2019;7(3):1-228. doi:[10.3310/phr07030](https://doi.org/10.3310/phr07030)
38. Harvey SE, Parrott F, Harrison DA, et al. A multicentre, randomised controlled trial comparing the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of early nutritional support via the parenteral versus the enteral route in critically ill patients (CALORIES). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(28):1-144. doi:[10.3310/hta20280](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20280)
39. Heller S, White D, Lee E, et al. A cluster randomised trial, cost-effectiveness analysis and psychosocial evaluation of insulin pump therapy compared with multiple injections during flexible intensive insulin therapy for type 1 diabetes: The REPOSE Trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(20):1-278. doi:[10.3310/hta21200](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21200)
40. Hepgul N, Wilson R, Yi D, et al. Immediate versus delayed short-term integrated palliative care for advanced long-term neurological conditions: The OPTCARE Neuro RCT. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2020;8(36):1-80. doi:[10.3310/hsdr08360](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr08360)

41. Howard DR, Munir T, McParland L, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness results from the randomised, Phase IIB trial in previously untreated patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia to compare fludarabine, cyclophosphamide and rituximab with fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, mitoxantrone and low-dose rituximab: The Attenuated dose Rituximab with ChemoTherapy In Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (ARCTIC) trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(28):1-374. doi:[10.3310/hta21280](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21280)
42. Howard R, Cort E, Bradley R, et al. Amisulpride for very late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis: The ATLAS three-arm RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(67):1-62. doi:[10.3310/hta22670](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22670)
43. Iveson T, Boyd KA, Kerr RS, et al. 3-month versus 6-month adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with high-risk stage II and III colorectal cancer: 3-year follow-up of the SCOT non-inferiority RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(64):1-88. doi:[10.3310/hta23640](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23640)
44. Jago R, Edwards MJ, Sebire SJ, et al. Bristol Girls Dance Project: A cluster randomised controlled trial of an after-school dance programme to increase physical activity among 11- to 12-year-old girls. *Public Health Research*. 2016;4(6):1-176. doi:[10.3310/phr04060](https://doi.org/10.3310/phr04060)
45. Jahoda A, Hastings R, Hatton C, et al. Behavioural activation versus guided self-help for depression in adults with learning disabilities: The BeatIt RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(53):1-130. doi:[10.3310/hta22530](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22530)
46. James N, Pirrie S, Pope A, et al. TRAPEZE: A randomised controlled trial of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of chemotherapy with zoledronic acid, strontium-89, or both, in men with bony metastatic castration-refractory prostate cancer. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(53):1-288. doi:[10.3310/hta20530](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20530)
47. Jayne DG, Scholefield J, Tolan D, et al. Anal fistula plug versus surgeon's preference for surgery for trans-sphincteric anal fistula: The FIAT RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(21):1-76. doi:[10.3310/hta23210](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23210)
48. Jeffcoate W, Game F, Turtle-Savage V, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of lightweight fibreglass heel casts in the management of ulcers of the heel in diabetes: A randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(34):1-92. doi:[10.3310/hta21340](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21340)
49. Keene DJ, Mistry D, Nam J, et al. The Ankle Injury Management (AIM) trial: A pragmatic, multicentre, equivalence randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation comparing close contact casting

with open surgical reduction and internal fixation in the treatment of unstable ankle fractures in patients aged over 60 years. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(75):1-158. doi:[10.3310/hta20750](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20750)

50. Kinderman P, Butchard S, Bruen AJ, et al. A randomised controlled trial to evaluate the impact of a human rights based approach to dementia care in inpatient ward and care home settings. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2018;6(13):1-134. doi:[10.3310/hsdr06130](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr06130)

51. Langhorne P, Wu O, Rodgers H, Ashburn A, Bernhardt J. A Very Early Rehabilitation Trial after stroke (AVERT): A Phase III, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(54):1-120. doi:[10.3310/hta21540](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21540)

52. Lewis H, Adamson J, Atherton K, et al. Collaborative care and active surveillance for Screen-Positive Elders with subthreshold depression (CASPER): A multicentred randomised controlled trial of clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(8):1-196. doi:[10.3310/hta21080](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21080)

53. Little P, Stuart B, Hobbs FR, et al. Randomised controlled trial and economic analysis of an internet-based weight management programme: POWeR+ (Positive Online Weight Reduction). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(4):1-62. doi:[10.3310/hta21040](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21040)

54. Lobban F, Akers N, Appelbe D, et al. A web-based, peer-supported self-management intervention to reduce distress in relatives of people with psychosis or bipolar disorder: The REACT RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(32):1-142. doi:[10.3310/hta24320](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24320)

55. Lovell K, Bower P, Gellatly J, et al. Clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and acceptability of low-intensity interventions in the management of obsessive-compulsive disorder: The Obsessive-Compulsive Treatment Efficacy randomised controlled Trial (OCTET). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(37):1-132. doi:[10.3310/hta21370](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21370)

56. Luyt K, Jary S, Lea C, et al. Ten-year follow-up of a randomised trial of drainage, irrigation and fibrinolytic therapy (DRIFT) in infants with post-haemorrhagic ventricular dilatation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(4):1-116. doi:[10.3310/hta23040](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23040)

57. Lynch TR, Hempel RJ, Whalley B, et al. Radically open dialectical behaviour therapy for refractory depression: The RefraMED RCT. *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation*. 2018;5(7):1-112. doi:[10.3310/eme05070](https://doi.org/10.3310/eme05070)

58. Mant D, Gray A, Pugh S, et al. A randomised controlled trial to assess the cost-effectiveness of intensive versus no scheduled follow-up in patients who have undergone resection for colorectal cancer with curative intent. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(32):1-86. doi:[10.3310/hta21320](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21320)
59. McMurran M, Crawford MJ, Reilly J, et al. Psychoeducation with problem-solving (PEPS) therapy for adults with personality disorder: A pragmatic randomised controlled trial to determine the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a manualised intervention to improve social functioning. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(52):1-250. doi:[10.3310/hta20520](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20520)
60. McRobbie H, Hajek P, Peerbux S, et al. Tackling obesity in areas of high social deprivation: Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a task-based weight management group programme – a randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(79):1-150. doi:[10.3310/hta20790](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20790)
61. Mehanna H, McConkey CC, Rahman JK, et al. PET-NECK: A multicentre randomised Phase III non-inferiority trial comparing a positron emission tomography–computerised tomography-guided watch-and-wait policy with planned neck dissection in the management of locally advanced (N2/N3) nodal metastases in patients with squamous cell head and neck cancer. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(17):1-122. doi:[10.3310/hta21170](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21170)
62. Nixon J, Brown S, Smith IL, et al. Comparing alternating pressure mattresses and high-specification foam mattresses to prevent pressure ulcers in high-risk patients: The PRESSURE 2 RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(52):1-176. doi:[10.3310/hta23520](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23520)
63. Parry SW, Bamford C, Deary V, et al. Cognitive–behavioural therapy-based intervention to reduce fear of falling in older people: Therapy development and randomised controlled trial – the Strategies for Increasing Independence, Confidence and Energy (STRIDE) study. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(56):1-206. doi:[10.3310/hta20560](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20560)
64. Paton NI, Stöhr W, Oddershede L, et al. The Protease Inhibitor Monotherapy Versus Ongoing Triple Therapy (PIVOT) trial: A randomised controlled trial of a protease inhibitor monotherapy strategy for long-term management of human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(21):1-158. doi:[10.3310/hta20210](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20210)

65. Peckham E, Arundel C, Bailey D, et al. A bespoke smoking cessation service compared with treatment as usual for people with severe mental ill health: The SCIMITAR+ RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2019;23(50):1-116. doi:[10.3310/hta23500](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta23500)
66. Perkins GD, Ji C, Achana F, et al. Adrenaline to improve survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: The PARAMEDIC2 RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2021;25(25):1-166. doi:[10.3310/hta25250](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta25250)
67. Priebe S, Savill M, Wykes T, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of body psychotherapy in the treatment of negative symptoms of schizophrenia: A multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(11):1-100. doi:[10.3310/hta20110](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20110)
68. Reeves BC, Pike K, Rogers CA, et al. A multicentre randomised controlled trial of Transfusion Indication Threshold Reduction on transfusion rates, morbidity and health-care resource use following cardiac surgery (TITRe2). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(60):1-260. doi:[10.3310/hta20600](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20600)
69. Richards DA, Bower P, Chew-Graham C, et al. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of collaborative care for depression in UK primary care (CADET): A cluster randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(14):1-192. doi:[10.3310/hta20140](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20140)
70. Richards DA, Rhodes S, Ekers D, et al. Cost and Outcome of Behavioural Activation (COBRA): A randomised controlled trial of behavioural activation versus cognitive-behavioural therapy for depression. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(46):1-366. doi:[10.3310/hta21460](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21460)
71. Ridsdale L, McKinlay A, Wojewodka G, et al. Self-Management education for adults with poorly controlled epilepsy [SMILE (UK)]: A randomised controlled trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(21):1-142. doi:[10.3310/hta22210](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22210)
72. Robertson W, Fleming J, Kamal A, et al. Randomised controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of ‘Families for Health,’ a family-based childhood obesity treatment intervention delivered in a community setting for ages 6 to 11 years. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(1):1-180. doi:[10.3310/hta21010](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21010)
73. Ruban A, Glaysher MA, Miras AD, et al. A duodenal sleeve bypass device added to intensive medical therapy for obesity with type 2 diabetes: A RCT. *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation*. 2020;7(6):1-130. doi:[10.3310/eme07060](https://doi.org/10.3310/eme07060)

74. Sackley CM, Walker MF, Burton CR, et al. An Occupational Therapy intervention for residents with stroke-related disabilities in UK Care Homes (OTCH): Cluster randomised controlled trial with economic evaluation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(15):1-138. doi:[10.3310/hta20150](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20150)
75. Sahota O, Pulikottil-Jacob R, Marshall F, et al. Comparing the cost-effectiveness and clinical effectiveness of a new community in-reach rehabilitation service with the cost-effectiveness and clinical effectiveness of an established hospital-based rehabilitation service for older people: A pragmatic randomised controlled trial with microcost and qualitative analysis – the Community In-reach Rehabilitation And Care Transition (CIRACT) study. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2016;4(7):1-90. doi:[10.3310/hsdr04070](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr04070)
76. Santer M, Rumsby K, Ridd MJ, et al. Adding emollient bath additives to standard eczema management for children with eczema: The BATHE RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(57):1-116. doi:[10.3310/hta22570](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22570)
77. Sharples L, Everett C, Singh J, et al. Amaze: A double-blind, multicentre randomised controlled trial to investigate the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of adding an ablation device-based maze procedure as an adjunct to routine cardiac surgery for patients with pre-existing atrial fibrillation. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2018;22(19):1-132. doi:[10.3310/hta22190](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22190)
78. Snooks HA, Anthony R, Chatters R, et al. Support and Assessment for Fall Emergency Referrals (SAFER) 2: A cluster randomised trial and systematic review of clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of new protocols for emergency ambulance paramedics to assess older people following a fall with referral to community-based care when appropriate. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(13):1-218. doi:[10.3310/hta21130](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21130)
79. Snooks H, Bailey-Jones K, Burge-Jones D, et al. Predictive risk stratification model: A randomised stepped-wedge trial in primary care (PRISMATIC). *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2018;6(1):1-164. doi:[10.3310/hsdr06010](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr06010)
80. Taylor AH, Taylor RS, Ingram WM, et al. Adding web-based behavioural support to exercise referral schemes for inactive adults with chronic health conditions: The e-coachER RCT. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2020;24(63):1-106. doi:[10.3310/hta24630](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta24630)

81. Thomas M, Bruton A, Little P, et al. A randomised controlled study of the effectiveness of breathing retraining exercises taught by a physiotherapist either by instructional DVD or in face-to-face sessions in the management of asthma in adults. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(53):1-162. doi:[10.3310/hta21530](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21530)
82. Thomas KS, Bradshaw LE, Sach TH, et al. Randomised controlled trial of silk therapeutic garments for the management of atopic eczema in children: The CLOTHES trial. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(16):1-260. doi:[10.3310/hta21160](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21160)
83. Tyrer P, Salkovskis P, Tyrer H, et al. Cognitive-behaviour therapy for health anxiety in medical patients (CHAMP): A randomised controlled trial with outcomes to 5 years. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(50):1-58. doi:[10.3310/hta21500](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21500)
84. Walsh TS, Stanworth S, Boyd J, et al. The Age of Blood Evaluation (ABLE) randomised controlled trial: Description of the UK-funded arm of the international trial, the UK cost-utility analysis and secondary analyses exploring factors associated with health-related quality of life and health-care costs during the 12-month follow-up. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(62):1-118. doi:[10.3310/hta21620](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21620)
85. Watson AJ, Cook J, Hudson J, et al. A pragmatic multicentre randomised controlled trial comparing stapled haemorrhoidopexy with traditional excisional surgery for haemorrhoidal disease: The eTHoS study. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2017;21(70):1-224. doi:[10.3310/hta21700](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21700)
86. Williams JG, Alam MF, Alrubaiy L, et al. Comparison Of infliximab and ciclosporin in STeroid Resistant Ulcerative Colitis: Pragmatic randomised Trial and economic evaluation (CONSTRUCT). *Health Technology Assessment*. 2016;20(44):1-320. doi:[10.3310/hta20440](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20440)