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We describe the impact of changing epidemiology and vaccine introduction on characteristics of COVID-19 outbreaks in 330 long-term care facilities (LTCF) in England between November 2020 and June 2021. As vaccine coverage in LTCF increased and national incidence declined, the total number of outbreaks and outbreak severity decreased across the LTCF. The number of infected cases per outbreak decreased by 80.6%, while the proportion of outbreaks affecting staff only increased. Our study supports findings of vaccine effectiveness in LTCF.

Introduction

Long-term care facilities (LTCF) have been disproportionately affected by the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, with residents particularly at risk of severe outcomes [1]. English LTCF have been impacted by three waves of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections, with changes in disease control measures and epidemiology of infection over successive waves. The aim of this study was to describe how the changing epidemiology and vaccination introduction have affected COVID-19 outbreak characteristics, including outbreak duration and severity, in LTCF to inform revaccination strategies and the implementation of non-pharmaceutical interventions to prevent transmission.

Identifying outbreaks in long-term care facilities

The VIVALDI study, established in May 2020, is a prospective cohort study of LTCF staff and residents from a range of providers in England which provide care to adults aged over 65 years, with participant follow-up for up to 18 months [2]. The PCR and lateral flow device (LFD) results from March 2020 onwards were linked at individual and LTCF level (see Supplement for data linkage methodology).

Within this cohort, we explored the characteristics of COVID-19 outbreaks during different time periods to account for vaccination roll-out and COVID-19 pandemic waves in England: (i) 1 November 2020 to 31 December 2020 (second wave, pre-vaccination), (ii) 1 January 2021 to 28 February 2021 (second wave, low first dose vaccination coverage), (iii) 1 March 2021 to 30 April 2021 (second wave, high first dose vaccination coverage, low second dose vaccination coverage) and (iv) 1 May 2021 to 30 June 2021 (third wave, high first and second dose vaccination coverage).

We extracted LTCF characteristics from seven datasets (see Supplementary Table S1) and assessed them midway through each time period.

Long-term care facilities commenced regular SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing of staff (weekly) and residents (monthly) in the whole facility in July 2020 in addition to whole-LTCF (staff and residents) testing during a COVID-19 outbreak [3]. In December 2020, additional LFD testing was introduced for staff (twice weekly) and increased staff and resident testing during an outbreak [4]. For this analysis, outbreaks were defined as two or more positive SARS-CoV-2 tests (PCR/LFD) in the same LTCF within a 14-day period and ended when no further positive tests were reported for 28 days following the last positive [5]. Outbreak severity markers were outbreak length (days), number of people infected and number of deaths confirmed as related to COVID-19 during the outbreak period (Supplementary Table S1).
A large outbreak was defined as infection of at least one third of the LTCF staff and residents.

Characteristics of long-term care facilities

A total of 330 LTCF were included in the study, representing a mix of for-profit and not-for-profit LTCF across England (Table 1). The median number of staff per LTCF was 45 (interquartile range (IQR): 33–61, range: 1–156) across the time periods and the median number of residents was 36 (IQR: 26–48, range: 1–149). The majority of LTCF were medium-sized with 50–100 individuals (staff and residents) (range: 8–304) and had a care quality commission (CQC) rating of ‘good’. Median first dose vaccination coverage per LTCF was consistently higher in residents than staff: 85.7% (IQR: 67.7–93.1) vs 57.4% (IQR: 39.9–75.6) between January and February 2021, with coverage rising to 90.3% in residents (IQR: 79.3–95.7) and 80.7% in staff (IQR: 72.0–87.5) between May and June 2021.

The mean number of PCR tests performed per LTCF remained relatively stable (252.8–299.3), whereas mean LFD numbers increased substantially from 10.9 (standard deviation (SD): 1.9) LFD tests per LTCF between November and December 2020 to a peak of 247.5 (SD: 12.9) between March and April 2021, reflecting LFD changes in testing policy in England. Test positivity was highest between January and February 2021 (Table 1), consistent with national SARS-CoV-2 incidence [6].

COVID-19 outbreaks

Between 1 November 2020 and 30 June 2021, there were 297 COVID-19 outbreaks across 330 LTCF, and 240 LTCF (73%) experienced at least one outbreak (Table 2). The mean number of outbreaks per LTCF was 0.90 (SD: 0.037). Between November 2020 and February 2021, when first dose vaccination coverage was lowest (57.4% and 85.7% of staff and residents, respectively, vaccinated mid-way through January and February 2021), over 50% of LTCF experienced a COVID-19 outbreak. By comparison, the proportion of LTCF experiencing outbreaks declined to 23/203 between March and April 2021, when over 75% of staff and over 85% of residents per LTCF had received first dose vaccination. The proportion further declined to 15/322 between May and June 2021, when 64.1% (IQR: 49.0–75.7) and 85.0% (IQR: 71.9–92.0) of staff and residents, respectively, had been fully vaccinated with two doses (Table 1 and Table 2).

During November and December 2020, 112/145 outbreaks in LTCF were mixed, i.e. among staff and residents, dropping to 84/114 and 10/23 in subsequent time-periods and down to 5/15 by May and June 2021 (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Conversely, the proportion of outbreaks affecting only staff increased over time from 20/145 between November and December 2020 before vaccination roll-out, to 9/23 between March and April 2021, reaching 8/15 between May and June 2021. The proportion of outbreaks affecting only residents remained low compared to staff-only outbreaks, dropping to 8/114 between January and February 2021 and peaking at 4/23 between March and April 2021.

Outbreak severity decreased as LTCF vaccination coverage increased, with an 80.6% reduction in the number of infected cases per outbreak and a 45.9% reduction in outbreak duration when comparing outbreaks between November and December 2020 with outbreaks between May and June 2021 (Table 2). The mean number of individuals infected per outbreak was slightly higher in residents than staff throughout, except between May and June 2021. The mean proportion of the LTCF infected during an outbreak decreased from 17.6% (SD: 1.51) between November and December 2020 to 3.01% (SD: 0.68) between May and June 2021, and was consistently higher in residents. The proportion of residents who died of COVID-19 or were infected with SARS-CoV-2 during an outbreak decreased over the study period; less than 5% of residents died of COVID-19 in LTCF experiencing outbreaks after March 2021 (Figure 3) and the proportion of individuals infected per outbreak decreased when first dose vaccination coverage exceeded 25% (see Supplementary Figure S1 and Table S3). There were no large outbreaks from March 2021 to the end of the study period (June 2021).

There was no substantial difference in results when outbreaks were considered to be over after 35 days without new positive tests vs 28 days (see Supplementary Table S2).
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Discussion

From November 2020 to June 2021, as total LTCF vaccination coverage increased, the number of LTCF outbreaks and their severity decreased, with a concomitant increase in the proportion of staff-only outbreaks, while the attack rate per outbreak remained consistently higher for residents.

Our results suggest vaccination has had a major impact on the risk of LTCF outbreaks and supports evidence demonstrating vaccine effectiveness in LTCF residents and staff [7,8]. The change in outbreak characteristics may also be linked with broader policy changes (e.g. national lockdowns) and changing national incidence rates. Our study showed no clear effect following the emergence of more transmissible SARS-CoV-2 variants such as the Delta variant (Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak (Pango) lineage designation B.1.617.2), however, the last month of the study (June 2021) saw a slight increase in outbreak numbers relative to previous months, likely driven by increasing community incidence nationally [6].
Baseline characteristics of included long-term care facilities with and without COVID-19 outbreaks across four time periods, England, November 2020–June 2021 (n = 330)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Time period 1</th>
<th>Time period 2</th>
<th>Time period 3</th>
<th>Time period 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of LTCF</td>
<td>n = 270</td>
<td>n = 210</td>
<td>n = 205</td>
<td>n = 322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number per LTCF</td>
<td>Median IQR</td>
<td>Median IQR</td>
<td>Median IQR</td>
<td>Median IQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>64 (2–188)</td>
<td>68 (2–230)</td>
<td>64 (2–280)</td>
<td>68 (2–280)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>38 (0–100)</td>
<td>38 (0–100)</td>
<td>38 (0–100)</td>
<td>38 (0–100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion per LTCF</th>
<th>Median IQR</th>
<th>Median IQR</th>
<th>Median IQR</th>
<th>Median IQR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>68.5 (67.4–71.4)</td>
<td>69.0 (67.4–71.4)</td>
<td>68.9 (67.6–71.6)</td>
<td>69.2 (67.6–71.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31.5 (28.9–34.8)</td>
<td>31.0 (28.9–34.8)</td>
<td>31.1 (27.9–35.0)</td>
<td>30.8 (23.9–33.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Time period 1</th>
<th>Time period 2</th>
<th>Time period 3</th>
<th>Time period 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff vaccinated (first dose)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents vaccinated (first dose)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of SARS-CoV-2 tests performed per LTCF</td>
<td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR, staff and residents</td>
<td>2.09 (0.63)</td>
<td>2.07 (0.60)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.59)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR, staff only</td>
<td>2.09 (0.63)</td>
<td>2.07 (0.60)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.59)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR, residents only</td>
<td>2.08 (0.63)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.60)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.59)</td>
<td>2.04 (0.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFD, staff and residents</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFD, staff only</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of SARS-CoV-2 tests performed per LTCF</td>
<td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>2.32 (0.29)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.29)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.29)</td>
<td>2.32 (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFD</td>
<td>0.48 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n = 330

**Staff**

**Residents**

**Male**

**Female**

**Aged > 80 years**

**Beds for residents with dementia**

**Staff vaccinated (first dose)**

**Residents vaccinated (first dose)**

**Staff vaccinated (second dose)**

**Residents vaccinated (second dose)**

**Monthly turnover per LTCF**

**LTCF type**

**LTCF size (number of staff plus number of residents)**

**CQC rating**

**Region**

**East Midlands**

**East of England**

**London**

**North East**

**North West**

**South East**

**South West**

**West Midlands**

**Yorkshire and the Humber**

**PCR, staff and residents**

**PCR, staff only**

**PCR, residents only**

**LFD, staff and residents**

**LFD, staff only**

**Number of SARS-CoV-2 tests performed per LTCF**

**PCR**

**LFD**
The study identified a shift towards staff-only outbreaks, possibly associated with lower vaccination coverage in staff vs residents, greater infection risk associated with staff mixing outside of the LTCF, testing policy leading to increased testing of staff compared with residents, or possibly improved protection offered to residents by infection control measures. We also saw the proportion of resident-only outbreaks rising between January and February 2021 and between March and April 2021, although this remained far below the proportion of staff-only outbreaks over the same periods and the subsequent trend did not show an increase in resident-only outbreaks, so the importance appears minimal. Future studies with longer follow-up may explore this further. The higher attack rate in residents compared with staff is augmented by the lower total number of residents per LTCF compared with staff. However, given that more outbreaks affected only staff, similar average absolute numbers of infected staff and residents per outbreak indicate a relatively high number of resident cases in outbreaks that included residents relative to staff cases in outbreaks including staff. Further analysis is required to investigate the association between the larger absolute numbers for residents and LTCF size.

We saw trends in LTCF test positivity that mirrored national incidence rates and, while SARS-CoV-2 continues to circulate, this along with the shift towards staff only outbreaks supports the ongoing need for regular PCR/LFD testing of staff, although this may become less relevant following the introduction of mandatory vaccination of staff [9]. In addition, our findings support a review of resident testing because continuing to test residents regularly is pertinent considering the higher attack rate among residents, despite the shift towards staff-only outbreaks. Indeed, an increase in routine testing of residents may be warranted when community incidence is high to ensure cases are not missed.

Strengths of this study include the large, geographically representative LTCF cohort and access to regular routine PCR/LFD results, increasing the likelihood that outbreaks were correctly identified. Limitations include that, although we reported mortality data, we were unable to report on clinical severity as these data were unavailable. Another limitation is that defining outbreak end dates using testing data is challenging as residents are only tested monthly. Therefore, re-testing more than 28 days after outbreak commencement may be classified as a new outbreak, however, sensitivity analysis showed no substantial difference after extending the outbreak end-date definition. Finally, missing data resulted in numerous LTCFs being excluded during analysis, with the proportion of LTCFs excluded per time-period ranging from 0% to 82% across all variables. Further studies should model risk factors influencing the risk and severity of LTCF outbreaks to inform preventative measures to limit LTCF outbreaks.

Conclusions
This study supports the ongoing national COVID-19 vaccination campaign in LTCF including vaccination of staff. It also supports continuation of testing in this setting while SARS-CoV-2 continues to circulate.
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### Table 2


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Time period 1</th>
<th>Time period 2</th>
<th>Time period 3</th>
<th>Time period 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of outbreaks</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of LTCF with outbreaks</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of large outbreaks per time perioda</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of LTCF with outbreaks</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of LTCF with large outbreaksa</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of outbreaks that only affected residents</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of outbreaks that only affected staff</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of outbreaks that affected residents and staff</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of outbreaks per LTCF</td>
<td>0.54 (0.03)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.54 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.8403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of large outbreaks per LTCFa</td>
<td>0.11 (0.02)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (days) of outbreak per LTCFb</td>
<td>63.0 (2.15)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>48.04 (1.46)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of residents who died of COVID-19 during an outbreak per LTCFb</td>
<td>5.09 (0.68) (n = 144)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>3.32 (0.67)</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion infected during an outbreakb</td>
<td>17.6 (1.51) (n = 144)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>11.0 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of staff infected during outbreakb</td>
<td>15.6 (1.46)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>9.05 (1.14)</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of residents infected during outbreakb</td>
<td>20.00 (1.87) (n = 144)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>12.67 (1.47)</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals infected per LTCF outbreaka</td>
<td>16.14 (1.39)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>8.96 (0.77)</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff infected per LTCF outbreaka</td>
<td>7.38 (0.67)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>4.04 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of residents infected per LTCF outbreaka</td>
<td>8.76 (0.80)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>4.92 (0.52)</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval; COVID-19: coronavirus disease; LTCF: long-term care facilities; Na: not applicable; SD: standard deviation.

a Large outbreak defined as over one third of the LTCF being infected (staff and residents combined).

b Total number of outbreaks analysed varied where total number of residents/staff in a LTCF was not available.

We used the Mann–Whitney test to calculate the p values and to compare means from time interval specified to mean values between November and December 2020.
Figure 1
Number of COVID-19 outbreaks per month in long-term care facilities in residents only, staff only or staff and residents, England, November 2020–June 2021

Red line shows the national community incidence of SARS-CoV-2 [6].
Figure 2
Proportion of residents-only, staff-only and staff and residents outbreaks in long-term care facilities, England, November 2020–June 2021

Proportion of residents who died in LTCF and corresponding number of outbreaks during the same time period over successive waves of the COVID-19 pandemic, England, November 2020–June 2021

COVID-19: coronavirus disease; LTCF: long-term care facilities
LS, RG and MK conceived the study. RG, TP, MS, and LS devised the statistical methodology. RG did the formal analysis. MK, BA, CF and AI-S were project administrators. LS and AC acquired funding. RG wrote the original draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. RG, TP, LS and MK had full access to the data in the study. LS has responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

References

License, supplementary material and copyright
This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) Licence. You may share and adapt the material, but must give appropriate credit to the source, provide a link to the licence and indicate if changes were made.

Any supplementary material referenced in the article can be found in the online version.

This article is copyright of the authors or their affiliated institutions, 2021.