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The gut microbiome as a biomarker of differential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2

Amar Sarkar, Siobhán Harvy, Andrew H. Moeller, Sabra L. Klein, Susan E. Erdman, Karl J. Friston, and Rachel N. Carmody

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) continues to exact a devastating global toll. Ascertaining the factors underlying differential susceptibility and prognosis following viral exposure is critical to improving public health responses. We propose that gut microbes may contribute to variation in COVID-19 outcomes. We synthesise evidence for gut microbial contributions to immunity and inflammation, and associations with demographic factors affecting disease severity. We suggest mechanisms potentially underlying microbially mediated differential susceptibility to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). These include gut microbiome-mediated priming of host inflammatory responses and regulation of endocrine signalling, with consequences for the cellular features exploited by SARS-CoV-2 virions. We argue that considering gut microbiome-mediated mechanisms may offer a lens for appreciating differential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2, potentially contributing to clinical and epidemiological approaches to understanding and managing COVID-19.

The host microbiome as a contributor to immunological dark matter

The emergence of SARS-CoV-2, which causes COVID-19, has triggered a pandemic with devastating global consequences. Here, we evaluate how the gut microbiome (see Glossary) may act as a driver of COVID-19 risk and contribute to COVID-19 outcomes. Several risk factors contribute to the risk of COVID-19 disease severity [1,2], and COVID-19 symptoms range from mild and transient to prolonged illness that may involve pronounced inflammation and death. The striking variation in outcomes suggests that sociodemographic or biological factors characteristic of individual hosts or populations may modify the course of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Recently, dynamic causal modelling [3] has been applied to epidemiological patterns. This approach emphasises differential susceptibility, which suggests that there are populations that are resistant or less susceptible to infection [4–7] and, by implication, populations that are more susceptible and at greater risk of virulent infection. The specific causes of differential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 remain under investigation and, because many of these causes are presently unobserved or ‘hidden’, they have been referred to as immunological dark matter [6,8]. The goals of understanding host–virus interactions and effectively treating infections both require knowledge of the factors contributing to outcome heterogeneity. Accounting for as much of this heterogeneity and differential susceptibility as possible may help clarify requisite population immunity levels to attenuate viral transmission [9] and inform development of early interventions for those who exhibit characteristics that are predictive of symptom severity.

We propose that the gut microbiome contributes to differential susceptibility or immunological dark matter underlying SARS-CoV-2 infection. Indeed, the potential of the gut microbiome to confer risk or resilience against diseases has already been investigated in the context of other...
pathogens. For example, gut microbes have been shown to modulate susceptibility to Salmonella infection (caused by a bacterium) [10] and malaria (caused by a plasmodium) [11]. We suggest here that the gut microbiome may confer upon hosts both risk and resilience to SARS-CoV-2, depending on the baseline microbial profile of the host. Researchers have already proposed important ways in which pandemic-related conditions (e.g., lockdowns and distancing measures) affect microbial communities [12] and here we discuss the converse, focusing on the gut microbiome as a biomarker and a contributing factor to COVID-19 outcomes. We first synthesise recent research connecting the gut microbiome to SARS-CoV-2 and briefly evaluate associations between the gut microbiome and relevant aspects of host physiology in the context of immune responses to SARS-CoV-2. We then analyse the gut microbiome as it relates to prominent risk factors for COVID-19 symptom severity. Finally, we explore the implications of the gut microbiome and differential susceptibility for management of the COVID-19 pandemic. At present, the gut microbiome—risk factor—COVID-19 pathway is largely speculative and has not yet been clearly established. Nevertheless, we hope that discussion of these associations and potential underlying mechanisms may guide future research into these connections.

The gut microbiome and host immunity in the context of COVID-19
The gut microbiome plays critical roles in training and regulating the immune system of the host [13–15]. Gut microbes confer colonisation resistance, reducing the probability of pathogens successfully establishing themselves in the local gut ecosystem [16]. Gut microbes also regulate early antiviral responses. For instance, germ-free mice possess mononuclear phagocytes that show impaired cytokine gene expression, especially with respect to type I interferons, which are critical for effective host antiviral response [17]. Similarly, antibiotic-induced ablation of gut microbial populations reduces the capacity of the host to launch robust antiviral responses, and thus, influenza virus infection triggers more severe symptoms in antibiotic-treated mice compared with untreated controls [18]. These findings suggest that a healthy gut microbiome is necessary for appropriate antiviral defence.

Gut microbial imbalances have been shown to affect acute inflammation well beyond the gut, including the lung. There is now extensive research elucidating the influence of gut microbes on pulmonary immunity through what is commonly referred to as the microbiome–gut–lung axis [19–21]. Gut dysbiosis has been associated with infection by various respiratory viruses, including influenza A virus (IAV) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [22,23]. Moreover, gut dysbiosis during influenza infection has been shown to contribute to pneumococcal superinfection in the lung [24]. The mechanisms underlying microbiome–gut–lung associations continue to be explored, but disturbances in the gut microbiome can increase the permeability of the intestinal barrier, permitting translocation of gut bacteria to the lung, which may trigger local immune responses [25]. Microbial metabolites, such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) derived from gut microbial fermentation of dietary fibre, have also been shown to modulate immunological reactivity in the lung [21,26] and have been detected in the lung compartment itself [27]. For instance, mice with high circulating SCFA levels were protected against allergic lung inflammation after exposure to dust mite extract [21]. This reduced reactivity was attributable to SCFA-induced alteration of bone marrow haematopoiesis, leading to the lungs having dendritic cells with an impaired capacity to activate effector T_{h}2 cells, which potentiate proinflammatory responses. Overall, such results indicate roles for the gut microbiome in altering host responses to at least some respiratory infections.

SARS-CoV-2 entry into susceptible cells is promoted by virus–cell fusion, which occurs when the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binds to the cellular receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and is cleaved by transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) and other host...
proteases, triggering conformational changes that enable membrane fusion [28,29]. ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are abundant in alveolar tissue [28,30], but are also widely distributed across other host tissues, including the gut [31–34]. Therefore, any effects of gut microbes on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 might be expected to affect the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Research connecting the microbiome, gastrointestinal function, and COVID-19 COVID-19 can present with gastrointestinal symptoms and inflammation [35,36], which has prompted research into gut microbial contributions to COVID-19 outcomes [37]. In Table 1, we summarise an emerging body of empirical research on the associations between SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 outcomes, and microbial communities. Although we focus mainly on the gut microbiome in Table 1, we also report data on host-associated microbiomes at other body sites. Collectively, these studies report differences in the microbial composition of patients with COVID-19 compared with those of healthy controls or patients with non-COVID-19 respiratory tract infections [38–43]. Available studies suggest that the gut microbial communities of patients with COVID-19 exhibit lower taxonomic diversity [42–45] and an increase in opportunistic pathogens [42–44], although the extent to which these differences are attributable to concomitant antibiotic treatment in the hospital setting remains unclear. Moreover, the composition of the gut microbiota has been found to covary with disease severity [44,46,47] and dysfunctional immune responses [46]. Compared with healthy controls, researchers have observed higher relative abundances in patients with COVID-19 of gut bacterial taxa including Ruminococcus gravis, Clostridium ramosum, Coprococcus, Akkermansia muciniphila, and Eggerthella lenta, and lower relative abundances of Alistipes shahii and several butyrate producers, such as Roseburia intestinalis, Eubacterium hallii, Ruminococcus bromii, and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii [43,44]. The depletion of butyrate producers may be relevant to disease aetiology because butyrate is the primary metabolic fuel for colonocytes and important for maintaining colonic epithelial integrity [48]. Additionally, some gut bacterial taxa, including Bacteroides spp. found to be inversely correlated with faecal SARS-CoV-2 load in hospitalised patients [43], are capable of modulating the expression and function of cell surface receptors that regulate viral entry into cells, including ACE2 in the gut [43]. Relatedly, Bacteroides has been associated with the modification of lung heparan sulfate, which may in turn alter virion adhesion to host cells [49]. Heparan sulfate is a glycosaminoglycan that regulates structural and functional processes in lung tissue, with implications for lung pathophysiology [50] (Table 1). Such research supports an association between the abundance of particular microbial species and the capacity of the virus to successfully infect the host.

Recent research raises the possibility that the gut microbiome impacts SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 severity by modulating T and B cell function. There are two principal subtypes of T cell: CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells. CD4+ T cells release cytokines that activate other immune cells, thereby potentiating an immune response, whereas CD8+ T cells kill virus-infected cells. B cells produce neutralising antibodies that bind to peptides in the viral spike and other viral proteins, inhibiting the capacity of the virion to infect host cells. Through these actions, T cells and B cells help clear acute infection with SARS-CoV-2 [51], modulate COVID-19 disease severity [52,53], and play an important role in immunity against reinfection [54,55], including against emerging variants of concern [56].

While the studies summarised in Table 1 are mostly correlational, some of the findings do appear to reconcile with the role of gut bacteria in training and regulating the immune system and the particularly well-established link between gut microbes and the shaping of adaptive T and B cell responses, both locally and systemically [57–59]. Gnotobiotic studies have shown that both T cells and B cells are regulated by the microbiome. For instance, T cell differentiation and
proliferation depend on the presence of gut microbes [15]. Gut microbes have also been shown to play a crucial role in activating mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells [60], which were recently found to be associated with COVID-19 disease severity [61,62]. Similarly, with respect to B cells, experiments in mice indicate that B cell differentiation is promoted indirectly by the gut microbiota through upregulation of interleukin (IL) production, specifically IL-1β and IL-6 [63].

**Gut microbial regulation of host inflammatory status**

Some of the dominant risk factors for severe COVID-19 outcomes are inflammation-linked metabolic and cardiovascular comorbidities, such as diabetes and hypertension, and demographic characteristics, such as older age (Box 1) and male biological sex (Box 2) [1,2,64]. Each of these characteristics is associated with variations in gut microbial composition and function.

Various gut microbiome–immune interactions modulate systemic and acute inflammation in the host. In some cases, the inflammatory landscape may in turn increase risk for virulent SARS-CoV-2 infection, culminating in cytokine storms characterised by very high concentrations of circulating cytokines [e.g., IL-1β, IL-6, tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and macrophage inflammatory protein-1α (MIP-1α/CCL3)], hyperinflammation (measured by biomarkers such as C-reactive protein) and, frequently, multiorgan failure and death [1]. Given the relationships between gut microbes, host immunity, and host inflammatory status, it is conceivable that microbiome composition and function may confer risk for, or resilience against, the immune dysregulation and excessive inflammation characterising severe COVID-19 (Figure 1).

Murine research has confirmed a role for microbially regulated host immunity and inflammation as a risk factor for cytokine storms [65,66]. Cohousing specific pathogen-free laboratory mice with pathogen-harbouring pet-store mice increased quantities of circulating monocytes and neutrophils expressing toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR4 in the laboratory animals. These changes affected outcomes in a subsequent immune challenge involving *Listeria monocytogenes*, with cohoused laboratory mice exhibiting enhanced bacterial clearance but heightened sensitivity to cytokine storms and sepsis [65]. Similarly, exposure of laboratory mice to the microbial communities characterising wild-living mice led to elevated immune responses and increased probability of cytokine storms relative to unexposed laboratory controls [66]. While these studies reported immune responses to novel pathogens (and thus strong immune reactions are predicted a priori), these results do demonstrate that differences in baseline microbial composition among hosts are sufficient to affect the probability of cytokine storms.

The vertebrate immune system has evolved to simultaneously defend against potential pathogens while developing tolerance for, and actively curating (to the extent possible), beneficial and commensal microbes colonising the host [67,68]. These contribute to the development and training of host immunity during early life, following which molecular dialogues between the microbiome and the host regulate immunity throughout the lifespan [15,69,70]. Indeed, a recent study demonstrated that human gut microbes are closely associated with circulating immune cells [71]. This study found strong associations between the genera *Faecalibacterium*, *Ruminococcus*, and *Akkermansia* and blood concentrations of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes. Moreover, gut microbes are implicated in the aetiology of other diseases that are characterised by hyperinflammation, such as the autoimmune diseases rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. In these diseases, the gut microbiome can trigger symptoms in genetically susceptible individuals by producing orthologues of autoantigens, which can lead to microbiota-directed immune responses targeting host tissues instead [72].

**Immunological dark matter**: term intended to convey the existence of latent causes underlying clinical and epidemiological data, such as infection rates, notification rates, recovery rates, and fatality rates. These latent causes are hidden or ‘dark’ in that they must be inferred through modelling, rather than by direct observation. Key examples include heterogeneity in exposure, transmission (i.e., overdispersion), and susceptibility within a population.

**Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)**: canonical proinflammatory compound derived from the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria.

**Microbiome**: total collection of microbes, microbial genes, and microbial products inhabiting a particular environment or body site. Human-associated microbiomes differ across populations, individuals, and body sites within a given individual, and over time for a given individual body site.

**Microbiota**: community of microbes, including bacteria, archaea, viruses, and eukaryotes (e.g., protists and fungi), inhabiting a particular environment or body site. The gastrointestinal tract is home to the largest, densest, and most diverse human-associated microbiota, with the large intestine alone hosting trillions of organisms.
Table 1. Correlations between host-associated microbial features and SARS-CoV-2 features and outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study summary</th>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Samples and microbial analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examined sputum and airway microbial features of patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; patients with non-COVID-19 pneumonia</td>
<td>Metatranscriptome sequencing of sputum or nasopharyngeal swabs</td>
<td>Airway microbiome in patients with COVID-19 showed reduced α-diversity compared with patients with non-COVID-19 pneumonia</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined lung microbial features of patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>Metatranscriptome sequencing of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples</td>
<td>Pathogens (e.g., pneumonia-causing Klebsiella oxytoca), immunomodulatory taxa (e.g., lactic acid bacteria and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii), and tobacco mosaic virus were enriched in the COVID-19 group, suggesting microbiota dysbiosis. Analysis of microbial α- and β-diversity also showed large differences between patients and controls</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined lung microbial features in deceased patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>Deceased patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>16S rRNA sequencing used to profile lung tissue acquired via postmortem needle core biopsies immediately after death</td>
<td>Significant enrichment of pathogenic microbes in lungs. Most prevalent bacterial genera were Acinetobacter (80.70% of total sequences), Chryseobacterium (2.68%), Burkholderia (0.88%), Brevundimonas (1.18%), Sphingobium (0.93%), and Enterobacteriaceae (0.68%), together comprising 92.32% of total sequences and regularly detected in all subjects. Biopsies also revealed that most patients had mixed bacterial and fungal infections</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined lung microbial features of patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; patients with non-COVID-19 pneumonia; uninfected controls</td>
<td>Metatranscriptome sequencing of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples</td>
<td>Relative to healthy controls, sequenced bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples from patients with COVID-19 were similar to those with community-acquired pneumonia, and were either dominated by pathogens or displayed elevated levels of oral and upper respiratory commensal bacteria</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study summary</th>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Female/male</th>
<th>Reported age in years</th>
<th>Samples and microbial analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examined gut microbial features of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 or H1N1</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; patients with H1N1; uninfected controls</td>
<td>30; 24; 30</td>
<td>13/17; 9/15; 13/17</td>
<td>55.0 (48.0–62.0); 48.5 (33.3–66.8); 53.5 (43.8–60.3)</td>
<td>16S rRNA (V3–V4) gene sequencing of faecal samples</td>
<td>COVID-19 was associated with significantly reduced bacterial α-diversity, higher relative abundance of opportunistic pathogens (e.g., Streptococcus, Rothia, Veillonella, and Actinomyces). Patients with H1N1 displayed lower diversity and different overall microbial composition compared with patients with COVID-19, with seven microbial biomarkers distinguishing the two cohorts</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined gut microbial features of COVID-19 patients over the course of hospitalisation</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; patients with non-COVID-19 pneumonia; uninfected controls</td>
<td>15; 6; 15</td>
<td>8/7; 2/4; 6/9</td>
<td>55 (44–67.5); 50 (44–65); 48 (45–48)</td>
<td>Shotgun metagenomic sequencing of faecal samples</td>
<td>COVID-19 was associated with significantly higher relative abundance of opportunistic pathogens (including Clostridium hathewayi, Actinomyces viscosus, and Bacteroides nordii) and lower relative abundance of commensal symbionts (including Eubacterium, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Roseburia, and Lachnospiraceae). Changes in abundance of Bacteroides spp. over the course of hospitalisation were inversely correlated with SARS-CoV-2 load in faecal samples. Several of these bacteria (e.g., Bacteroides massiliensis, Bacteroides dorei, and Bacteroides thetaotaomicron) have been linked with downregulation of ACE2 in the murine gut, and were inversely correlated with SARS-CoV-2 load in human faecal samples</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined whether COVID-19 alters nasal, throat and gut microbial features in children</td>
<td>Children with COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>9; 14</td>
<td>NA; NA</td>
<td>7-139 months; age-matched</td>
<td>16S rRNA (V4) gene sequencing of faecal samples, nasal swabs, and throat swabs</td>
<td>Relative to healthy controls, sustained enrichment of Pseudomonas veronii in both respiratory and gut microbiomes observed in children with COVID-19, with relative abundance of</td>
<td>[179]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study summary</td>
<td>Sample characteristics</td>
<td>Samples and microbial analysis</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
<td>Refs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>Reported age in years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>this taxon exceeding 20% in most of the children with COVID-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined whether a co-receptor of SARS-CoV-2, heparan sulfate, was sensitive to modification via microbes</td>
<td>Patients with severe COVID-19; patients with non-severe COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>13; 18; 990</td>
<td>NA; NA; 668/322</td>
<td>NA; NA; 58.79 (±5.6)</td>
<td>No direct measure of microbial composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In human cell cultures, heparan sulfate was highly sensitive to modification by Bacteroides species. Specifically, Bacteroides ovatus and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron could catabolise cell-surface heparan sulfate and block SARS-CoV-2 from binding to human lung cells. Across two large human microbiome data sets, two of the established risk factors for COVID-19, older age and male sex, were associated with significant reductions in microbes capable of modifying heparan sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[49]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examined whether gut microbial features could be predictive of blood proteomic biomarkers of severe COVID-19 disease</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; patients with mild COVID-19; patients with severe COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>100; 78</td>
<td>47/53; 45/33</td>
<td>36.4 (±18.7); 45.5 (±13.3)</td>
<td>Quantitative proteomics analysis of serum samples; 16S rRNA (V3-V4) gene sequencing of fecal samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Identified set of serum proteomic inflammatory markers capable of predicting progression to severe COVID-19, and established that these serum markers could in turn be accurately predicted by gut microbiota composition. These inflammatory markers were positively associated with the genera Ruminococcus, Faecalibacterium, and negatively associated with the genera Bacteroides and Streptococcus and the order Clostridiales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[47]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigated whether gut microbial features are linked to disease severity in patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>36; 27; 66; 112;</td>
<td>24/12; 10/17;</td>
<td>50 (60.75–55.5)</td>
<td>Shotgun metagenomic sequencing of faecal samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysed oropharyngeal microbial features in</td>
<td>Patients with mild COVID-19; patients with</td>
<td>36; 27; 66; 112;</td>
<td>24/12; 10/17;</td>
<td>50 (60.75–55.5)</td>
<td>Shotgun metagenomic sequencing of oropharyngeal swabs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Significantly diminished oropharyngeal microbial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[180]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study summary</td>
<td>Sample characteristics</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>Reported age in years</td>
<td>Samples and microbial analysis</td>
<td>Key findings</td>
<td>Refs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patients with mild, moderate, and severe COVID-19, in patients with non-SARS-CoV-2 infections, and in healthy controls</td>
<td>moderate COVID-19; patients with severe COVID-19; patients with upper respiratory tract infections; uninfected controls</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>14/46; 75/37; 48/26</td>
<td>(46.25–72.79)(^b); 64 (63.25–72.79)(^c); 46 (31.0–57.0)(^d); 36 (29.75–53.25)(^e)</td>
<td>oropharyngeal swabs</td>
<td>oropharyngeal dysbiosis in hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19, which was further linked to a loss of microbial genes and metabolic pathways. Random forest machine learning revealed that oropharyngeal microbiota abundance of <em>Haemophilus</em> or <em>Streptococcus</em> spp. were most important microbial features for segregating clinical outcomes in patients hospitalised with COVID-19.</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigated associations between oropharyngeal microbial features and fatality in patients with COVID-19</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>192; 95</td>
<td>78/114; 57/38</td>
<td>58 (49–68)(^f); 47 (33–61)(^g)</td>
<td>Metatranscriptome sequencing of longitudinal oropharyngeal swabs. Swabs were obtained on days 1, 5, 10, 14, 21, and 28 after admission when patient’s condition allowed</td>
<td>Abundance of <em>Streptococcus</em> on admission, particularly that of <em>Streptococcus parasanguinis</em>, was identified as a strong predictor of fatality (39/192 cases of COVID-19 were fatal)</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigated changes in gut microbial features from acute COVID-19 through postconvalescence</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>30; 30</td>
<td>11/19; 11/19</td>
<td>53.5 (39.75–59)(^h); 53.5 (45.25–58)(^i)</td>
<td>16S rRNA (V3-V4) gene sequencing of longitudinal faecal samples, acquired during acute phase of infection (from onset of illness to host clearing of virus); convalescence (from host clearing of virus to 2 weeks post discharge from hospital), and postconvalescence (6 months post discharge from hospital)</td>
<td>Significant differences in gut microbial communities between patients with COVID-19 and uninfected controls. Microbial richness was lower among patients with COVID-19 than healthy controls at all three time points. Trend toward increasing richness following infection, but richness remained substantially lower even 6 months after host had cleared the virus</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared throat and gut microbiomes of patients with COVID-19 with samples from uninfected individuals obtained for an earlier study; human observations then extended to vaccinated and unvaccinated murine models</td>
<td>Patients with COVID-19; uninfected controls</td>
<td>13; 5</td>
<td>7/6; NA</td>
<td>48 (15–85)(^j); NA</td>
<td>Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequencing of throat swabs and faecal samples from patients with COVID-19, and intestinal and faecal samples from infected vaccinated or infected unvaccinated mice</td>
<td>Gut bacterial diversity lower in patients with COVID-19 relative to uninfected controls. Relative to mild and moderate cases of COVID-19, severe COVID-19 was associated with increase in abundance of opportunistic pathogens (e.g., <em>Corynebacterium</em>, <em>Enterococcus</em>, <em>Campylobacter</em>, <em>Citrobacter</em>, Enterobacter*) and a loss of butyrate-</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impaired gut barrier function may also exacerbate inflammation in both autoimmune diseases [72] and COVID-19 [73]. While the gut microbiome in most healthy individuals facilitates immune homeostasis, compositional imbalances induced by host-driven or environmental factors can affect the integrity and selective permeability of the intestinal barrier, with implications for inflammation [74] and cytokine storms [75]. Elevated intestinal barrier permeability can permit translocation of commensal and pathogenic microbes and their products into systemic circulation, which may in turn trigger heightened systemic inflammatory reactions, increased tissue damage, and ultimately chronic inflammation [76]. Unlike acute inflammatory responses, chronic inflammation is characterised by a dense infiltration of primary immune cells (e.g., lymphocytes and macrophages) in tissues. These cells produce proinflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and enzymes (e.g., nitric oxide synthase and matrix metalloproteinase), which lead to sustained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study summary</th>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Samples and microbial analysis</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>Reported age in years</td>
<td>producing gut bacteria (e.g., Eubacterium and species such as Faecalibacterium prausnitzii). Relative abundances of both Akkermansia muciniphila and Odoribacter higher in both patients with COVID-19 and infected unvaccinated mice related to infected vaccinated mice, with A. muciniphila also being more transcriptionally active in infected unvaccinated mice, suggesting these taxa are associated with disease processes. As a result of antibiotic treatment in several patients, some bacterial changes were difficult to attribute to effects of SARS-CoV-2 versus concomitant antibiotic exposure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not available

*Age presented as mean (±S.D.).

*Age presented as median (interquartile range).

Impaired gut barrier function may also exacerbate inflammation in both autoimmune diseases [72] and COVID-19 [73]. While the gut microbiome in most healthy individuals facilitates immune homeostasis, compositional imbalances induced by host-driven or environmental factors can affect the integrity and selective permeability of the intestinal barrier, with implications for inflammation [74] and cytokine storms [75]. Elevated intestinal barrier permeability can permit translocation of commensal and pathogenic microbes and their products into systemic circulation, which may in turn trigger heightened systemic inflammatory reactions, increased tissue damage, and ultimately chronic inflammation [76]. Unlike acute inflammatory responses, chronic inflammation is characterised by a dense infiltration of primary immune cells (e.g., lymphocytes and macrophages) in tissues. These cells produce proinflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and enzymes (e.g., nitric oxide synthase and matrix metalloproteinase), which lead to sustained

Box 1. The gut microbiome and host age

Greater age is an important risk factor for outcome severity in SARS-CoV-2 infection [2,113–117]. Ageing also affects gut microbial structure and function, an association thought to be connected to senescence of the host immune system [118–120]. Covariation between host age, immunity, and microbial composition likely exerts joint effects on inflammatory status and risk of disease. Although much human variation remains to be characterised, ageing appears to result in generally lower α-diversity coupled with increased relative abundance of pathobionts [120–123]. A recent study investigated the causal role of gut microbes in regulating ageing-related inflammation and immunopathology by transplanting microbes from young or aged mice into young, germ-free recipients [124]. The germ-free recipients of microbial transplants from aged mice displayed a range of immunological changes relative to recipients with young donors, including elevated inflammation and increased gut barrier permeability, as evidenced by increased translocation of microbial products (e.g., lipopolysaccharide) into systemic circulation [124]. Taken together, these general patterns suggest that the microbiome may contribute to elevated inflammation in older individuals, which may then act as a risk factor for more severe outcomes upon SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Box 2. The gut microbiome and host biological sex

Researchers have found sex differences in immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 [125]. Biological males are at increased risk of experiencing more virulent SARS-CoV-2 infection and greater rates of mortality [126, 187]. However, biological females appear more likely to display persistent, post-infection symptoms, including fatigue, anosmia, dyspnoea, and cognitive dysfunction [127–129]. These findings suggest that female sex does not confer general protection, but rather that biological sex confers differential risks and protection for different SARS-CoV-2 infection courses. Furthermore, males and females respond differently to vaccines [130], including vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. For instance, recent human findings suggest that vaccination triggers greater levels of immunoglobulin G (IgG) in females relative to males [131].

Potential mechanisms

The X chromosome is enriched in genes regulating host immunity [132], and this chromosomal difference may be one of the causes underlying sex differences in host responses to SARS-CoV-2 [126]. Another mechanism underlying observed sex differences in COVID-19 outcomes may be the action of sex steroids. Sex steroids regulate the availability of both ACE2 and TMPRSS2, the cell surface molecular features exploited by SARS-CoV-2 virions. For instance, it appears that androgens (predominant in males) upregulate both ACE2 and TMPRSS2 [133–137], whereas oestrogens (predominant in females) appear to downregulate these molecular targets [138–140]. Notably, castration of mice or androgen deprivation in mouse and human cells reduced ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression and protein levels, and androgen deprivation or anti-androgen treatment diminished entry of SARS-CoV-2 into lung cells [133]. Correspondingly, human males undergoing androgen-deprivation treatment for prostate cancer experience less severe COVID-19 outcomes [154], and blocking androgenic signalling is associated with reduced ACE2 levels and reduced risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes [135]. Moreover, sex hormones also regulate host inflammatory tone. Intriguingly, androgens have been reported to exert mostly anti-inflammatory effects [141], despite their association with more severe COVID-19 outcomes. By contrast, oestrogens may exert either anti-inflammatory or proinflammatory effects, depending on the receptor [141]. In general, hormone levels vary across individuals of a given biological sex. This variation may influence the extent to which host inflammation is a risk factor, and may dampen associations between biological sex and COVID-19 outcomes, potentially explaining some variation in susceptibility among individuals of a given biological sex.

Potential microbial involvement

There is some evidence of sex differences in the mammalian gut microbiome [118–120, 142–148]. Crucially, the gut microbiome regulates the bioavailability of sex steroids [107, 144, 149]. For example, germ-free male mice show markedly lower testosterone concentrations relative to typically colonised controls, suggesting that the presence of microbial populations increases the quantity of testosterone in males [144]. Moreover, transferring gut microbes from adult males into preadolescent female mice increases testosterone concentrations in recipients [144], adding inductive support to the causal role of the microbiome in androgen regulation. Gut microbes also regulate oestradiol bioavailability. For instance, numerous gut bacterial taxa secrete β-glucuronidase, which deconjugates oestradiol that has undergone conjugation by the liver and excretion into the gut lumen via bile. Deconjugation returns luminal oestradiol to its active form and enables re-entry into host circulation, thus increasing the bioavailable oestradiol in the host [150–152]. Correspondingly, antibiotic administration increased quantities of conjugated oestrogens excreted in host faeces in both males and females [153–155]. Moreover, gut microbes can increase oestrogen activity via biotransformation of diet-derived phytoestrogens [156, 157]. Overall, therefore, gut microbes play important roles in sex steroid bioavailability, and sex steroids in turn regulate inflammation and the availability of the cell surface features exploited by SARS-CoV-2.

inflammation and persistent cycles of tissue damage and repair [77, 78]. Chronic low-grade inflammation may increase the risk of harmful hyperinflammation in COVID-19 [1], suggesting links between gut microbiota-mediated changes in gut barrier integrity and the risk of cytokine storms.

Microbial translocation into peripheral circulation, which occurs when gut barrier integrity is compromised, has been described as a characteristic feature of systemic inflammation among children with SARS-CoV-2 complicated by multisystem inflammatory syndrome (MIS-C) [79]. Compared with children with acute COVID-19 and either seropositive children or controls, patients with MIS-C displayed elevated serum lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [79]. Similarly, children with acute COVID-19 showed higher serum LPS levels compared with seropositive individuals, and seropositive individuals showed higher serum LPS levels compared with controls, suggesting that the quantity of LPS entering circulation due to enhanced gut permeability is correlated with the severity of COVID-19 infection [79]. These correlations could be driven, in
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part, by interactions between LPS and the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. The SARS-CoV-2 spike protein has been shown to bind directly with LPS, affect the function of LPS-binding protein, and modulate the aggregation state of LPS, thereby boosting proinflammatory activity [80]. Combinations of LPS and the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein increased TLR4-mediated cytokine responses in human blood and peripheral blood mononuclear cells, an effect that was also confirmed in vivo using NF-κB reporter mice [90]. Cytokine storms typically result in intestinal damage [81,82], suggesting that impaired barrier function could anchor a vicious cycle of escalating inflammation.

Apart from altering probabilities of hyperinflammation, variation in the baseline gut microbial profile and the resilience of the gut microbiota in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection may also modulate inflammation associated with metabolic diseases now known to increase the risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes, including diabetes mellitus [1]. For instance, several studies found that antibiotic administration reduced inflammation and insulin resistance in high-fat diet-fed mice or mice genetically susceptible to metabolic disease [83,84]. Moreover, germ-free mice receiving microbial transfers from insulin-resistant mice exhibited more inflammation than counterparts receiving microbial transfers from controls [84]. These results demonstrate a causal role for gut microbes in the generation of an inflammatory phenotype that could then serve as a risk factor in SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Animal models to investigate microbial associations with SARS-CoV-2 outcomes

Associations between the microbiome and risk factors for COVID-19 such as host age and biological sex (see Boxes 1 and 2, respectively) can be investigated experimentally using animal models. Although mice are most commonly used in studies of both immune function and the microbiome, the existing SARS-CoV-2 virus now in circulation does not readily infect mice due to inefficient interactions with the mouse orthologue of ACE2 [85]. This limitation could be overcome through the use of ACE2 transgenic mice [86,87], mice transduced with adenoviruses encoding human ACE2 [88,89], or alternatively, by exposing common murine strains such as C57BL/6 or Swiss Webster to the recently developed mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 MA virus [90]. Such techniques have already delivered interesting results pertaining to interactions between the host microbiome and SARS-CoV-2 infection. For instance, researchers have recently examined the actions of SARS-CoV-2 in vaccinated and unvaccinated ACE2 transgenic mice [44]. Infected unvaccinated mice showed reduced gut bacterial diversity relative to vaccinated mice exposed to SARS-CoV-2. Similar to changes previously observed in humans with COVID-19 relative to healthy controls (see Table 1), infected unvaccinated mice showed elevations in Odoribacter and A. muciniphila, as well as reductions in Lactobacillus reuteri and Bacteroides uniformis, relative to vaccinated animals.

Primate models that are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection, such as macaques [91], will doubtless also prove useful, given their closer phylogenetic relatedness and physiological similarity to humans. For instance, investigators have recently examined the microbial effects of SARS-CoV-2 infection in rhesus macaques and cynomolgus macaques [91]. SARS-CoV-2 infection triggered changes and

Figure 1. Microbiome-associated inflammation profiles and potential reactions to severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The microbiome influences host inflammation through the regulation of host immunological processes (proinflammatory effects are indicated by the orange arrow, and anti-inflammatory effects by the blue arrow). These include the production of host pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators, and the migration of bacteria or bacterial products, such as lipopolysaccharide, through the gut lining. (A) A microbiome that contributes to a balanced or low-inflammation state (low-inflammation properties are indicated by the blue systemic background and the pale-pink microbial background) with a relatively higher level of anti-inflammatory cytokines. (B) A microbiome that contributes to a proinflammatory state (high-inflammation properties are indicated by the pale-orange systemic background and the darker-pink gut background), with a relatively higher number of proinflammatory mediators, and potential translocation of bacterial products into systemic circulation (the proinflammatory state is indicated by the relatively larger orange arrow). The bottom two images show the putative reactions of these systems to SARS-CoV-2 infection, denoted by the presence of virions. (C) A relatively muted proinflammatory response to viral infection, represented by the pink systemic background behind the immune cells. (D) A strong proinflammatory response to viral infection, represented by the red systemic background behind the immune cells.
ecological perturbations in the host gut microbiome that persisted over the course of the infection. The abundances of several bacterial taxa were found to be correlated with infection-related parameters, including nasal and rectal viral loads, C-reactive protein levels, and circulating levels of several proinflammatory cytokines. The concentrations of several SCFAs were observed to decline in faeces during infection, although it remains unclear whether this reduction was attributable to reduced bacterially mediated SCFA production or increased SCFA utilisation by host cells [91].

Animal models can similarly be used to examine microbial associations with host differential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2. For instance, consider the possibility that an aged microbiome confers increased risk for severe infection. This could be tested via experimental colonisation studies in gnotobiotic mice susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 or treated with the mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 MA virus [90]. Germ-free animals could be colonised with faecal microbial communities from young and old mice, or young and old humans, and then be exposed to the virus. If harbouring an aged microbiome is a risk factor for COVID-19, then we might expect faecal microbiota transplantation to trigger more severe symptoms in recipients of microbiotas from older individuals, relative to recipients with younger donors. In general, this type of research demonstrates that animal models may be able to generate useful and fine-grained information that can inform our understanding of the role of the microbiome in COVID-19.

**Impacts of differential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 on pandemic modelling**

It has become increasingly apparent that the enormous heterogeneity of exposure, susceptibility, virulence, transmission, and vaccination status pl at key roles in shaping the time course of the pandemic and variations between individuals, groups, communities, and countries [6,7,92-94]. Accounting for this heterogeneity suggests that the requisite levels of herd immunity may be lower than assumed under conventional models, which often do not consider heterogeneity and differential susceptibility in detail. Differential susceptibility, although biologically realistic, is often difficult to incorporate into epidemiological models. To explain quantitative dissociations between the incidence of infection, time-varying infection:fatality ratios, and fluctuations in seroprevalence, it is necessary to model populations as mixtures of individuals who are: (i) more or less exposed to the virus, due to vaccination status, geospatial factors, population density, population-level behavioural factors (such as mask-wearing, hand washing, self-isolation, time spent outdoors, and vaccination), and cultural factors (such as the value placed on personal space); (ii) differentially capable of transmitting the virus, due to differences in vaccination status

**Box 3. The microbiome, host genetics, and COVID-19**

Immunogenetic variation has been associated with variation in COVID-19 susceptibility and outcomes [158–160]. Host genes also contribute to variation in gut microbial composition, as evidenced by twin studies [161–164], genome-wide association studies in humans [165–168], and large-scale, long-term studies in nonhuman primates [170]. Although global genetic factors explain less variation than do environmental factors [171], specific gene variants have been shown to meaningfully affect gut microbial community composition, including LCT, VDR, NOD2, ABO, and FUT2 [161,165,169,172]. Researchers have also been interested in the interactions between host genes and the gut microbiome in the context of disease [161]. Although associations are generally small and many mechanisms remain unclear, genome–microbiome–disease interactions raise the possibility that, in addition to modulating COVID-19 resistance and susceptibility through the immune system, host genes may also affect disease resistance and susceptibility through effects on the gut microbiome.

A further interesting immunogenetic connection of potential relevance to COVID-19 outcomes is the blood group of the host. Importantly, natural blood group antibodies can recognise members of the gut microbiome [173] and also shape gut microbial composition and ecology [172,174]. These associations have been studied in the context of inflammatory conditions, such as inflammatory bowel disease [172], but have not yet been extended to COVID-19. This connection is particularly relevant because blood groups have also been associated with COVID-19 outcomes, with O and Rh– blood groups appearing to confer protective effects in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection [175]. These findings provide a further potential link between the gut microbiota and COVID-19 outcomes.
or viral shedding [95–97]; and (iii) more or less susceptible to virulent infection, due to vaccination status, potential cross-reactive immunity with other β-coronaviruses, individual differences in the expression of ACE2 or TMPRSS2 [7,98–100], or other host factors that may constitute immunological dark matter.

In this context of differential susceptibility, the gut microbiome is a host factor that varies within and between individuals and, as described here, is broadly related to several major risk factors for severe COVID-19. Furthermore, variations in host genetics may also contribute to microbiome-associated differential susceptibility and protection, although these immunogenetic relationships await further study (Box 3). Our suggestion is not that the microbiome is responsible for most of the variance associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection, but rather that the microbiome, being meaningfully correlated with several host factors relating to host immunity, may shed light on some of the immunological dark matter underlying differential susceptibility.

Key Figure
Microbial contributions to differential susceptibility and immunological dark matter

Figure 2. Viral transmission in a population in which individuals are infected (red silhouettes), susceptible (grey silhouettes), or resistant (blue silhouettes) to severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. While differential susceptibility may be mediated by many factors, this figure emphasises hypothetical effects of the gut microbiome. The microbiome may enhance susceptibility (red microbiomes) or resilience (blue microbiomes), or may be unrelated to risk or resilience (yellow microbiomes). Thus, grey individuals with red microbiomes represent the subpopulation for which the microbiome is a hypothetical source of risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Grey individuals with yellow microbiomes are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 for reasons unrelated to the microbiome. Blue individuals with blue microbiomes represent the subpopulation for which the microbiome is the hypothetical source of resistance to SARS-CoV-2. Blue individuals with yellow microbiomes represent the subpopulation that resists or is less susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 for reasons unrelated to the microbiome. Infected individuals (red silhouettes) have microbiomes that carry a signature of the infection (black microbiomes). Differential susceptibility increases the variability in the propensity of individuals to spread the virus, a phenomenon known as ‘overdispersion’ in epidemiological modelling (put simply: ‘the few infect the many’). Overdispersion can exert profound effects on viral spread at the population level, making it a potentially important source of immunological dark matter. Such dynamics are an important explanation for why an epidemic does not unfold as expected, under often implausible assumptions of homogenous and well-mixed populations.
Including information about the gut microbiome, and its impact on host immunity, into quantitative assessments of variations in risk and resilience, as summarised in Figure 2 (Key figure), could contribute nuance to the development of public health interventions and messaging. For example, the identification of specific bacterial taxa associated with host susceptibility, combined with the application of rapid and low-cost methods for profiling gut microbiomes, such as taxon-specific quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) targeting the 16S rRNA gene, could enable preemptive population surveys or individual tests capable of estimating relative risk. These efforts could be furthered through large-scale prospective studies to assess relationships between microbiome composition and infection outcomes. In addition to collecting microbiome data, prospective studies could leverage data pertaining to host inflammatory status, sex, age, and metabolic and cardiovascular health, each of which is known to interact with the microbiome. Notably, because gut microbial interactions with inflammation and host factors such as age and sex may influence susceptibility and outcomes with respect to multiple viral pathogens, these efforts may yield insights relevant to SARS-CoV-2, other circulating viruses, and viruses that may become problematic in the future.

It is also generally thought that differential susceptibility and transmission reduce effective herd immunity thresholds [7,101]. Differential susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 may arise from diverse sources. For example, there is interest in the role of variations in vitamin D levels in COVID-19 risk [102]. If differences in the gut microbiome also contribute to differential susceptibility, then consistent population-level differences in the gut microbiome (such as those seen between industrialised and non-industrialised populations and across lifestyle gradients [103–105]) may meaningfully contribute to modelling population-level disease risks and herd immunity thresholds.

Of course, these situations are highly simplified. There is no single ‘resilient’ microbiome. There is also no strictly ‘neutral’ microbiome. Furthermore, the sources of differential susceptibility (e.g., vaccination status, prior immunity, comorbidities, age, sex, and microbial composition) do not confer their effects in isolation. Rather, they interact with one another to generate complex profiles of risk and resilience. Moreover, individual resilience and symptom severity are dynamic and continuous, as opposed to categorical, and are known to depend on the extent and duration of exposure to the virus (i.e., contact rates and mean periods of infectiousness). Accordingly, susceptible individuals may avoid infection, and resistant or less-susceptible individuals may still contract the virus, although the latter would be expected to suffer fewer symptoms, recover more quickly, and be less infectious due to faster recovery, lower viral loads, or lower viral shedding rates.

Concluding remarks
We have highlighted how explicit considerations of the gut microbiome may elucidate aspects of COVID-19 risk and resilience. To our knowledge, the microbiome is not presently systematically considered in COVID-19 research, despite its potential to affect infection response and supplement disease management. In this vein, there remain numerous important issues in need of investigation (see Outstanding questions). There are several areas in which research can readily be conducted. For example, faecal samples can be acquired easily and non-invasively and used to assess interactions between the gut microbiome, COVID-19 risk factors, therapeutic interventions, and disease outcomes. Moreover, as discussed earlier, there are now several animal models being used to study COVID-19 [86–91,106], and these too provide ready sources of microbial data if researchers choose to collect faecal samples and profile the associated microbial communities through sequencing or targeted qPCR. Experiments with gnotobiotic animal models may prove especially useful because these offer unique opportunities to isolate causal

Outstanding questions
To what extent does baseline gut microbiome profile modulate the risks of SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 severity given infection?

Given abundant virus × bacteria interactions within the gut microbiome [182], do SARS-CoV-2 virions interact directly with host microbes? If so, how do these interactions influence local microbial ecology and disease severity?

Researchers across biological and medical science have raised concerns about the overuse of antibiotics and the rise of antibiotic resistance. Notably, a recent meta-analysis suggests that patients with COVID-19 are prescribed antibiotics at rates much higher than warranted for bacterial co-infection [176]. Could the overuse of antibiotics be affecting gut microbial composition in ways that affect host immune function and the response to SARS-CoV-2 virions?

Are the observed increases in gut permeability with worsening COVID-19 causes or outcomes of symptom exacerbation?

Can prebiotics or probiotics be used as complementary or adjuvant methods in the treatment of COVID-19? Notably, the endogenous populations of at least some probiotic candidates have been associated with poorer COVID-19 outcomes. For instance, A. muciniphila, a probiotic under investigation for its metabolic benefits [184,185], has also been found to be elevated in SARS-CoV-2 infection in human and rodent studies [44]. As such, the use of probiotics should be carefully considered against evidence of microbial changes occurring in COVID-19.

How can the human challenge studies for COVID-19 that are now being initiated be used to elucidate gut microbiome-mediated risk and resilience? For example, patient monitoring in these trials could be modified to include assessment of gut microbial composition before, during, and after the period of infection.
effects of the gut microbiome on host phenotypes. There are also several areas in which clinicians may benefit and to which they could contribute (see Clinician’s corner).

We note that many inconsistencies and contradictions exist across studies reporting interactions between the gut microbiome and human health \([108,109,183,186]\). Part of the difficulty arises from day-to-day gut microbial plasticity in response to variable environmental factors such as diet \([110,111]\), and the high degree of interindividual gut microbial dissimilarity across humans, which often necessitates longitudinal sampling or very large data sets to derive reliable patterns \([112]\).

The COVID-19 pandemic provides precisely such an opportunity on a global scale. Accordingly, we recommend routine collection and analysis of faecal samples alongside standard patient data. We also emphasise the need for prospective approaches characterising longitudinal microbiome change as individuals progress from healthy to infected to recovered, as well as before and after vaccination. Overall, investigating the microbiome in the context of COVID-19 could deepen our understanding of the current pandemic, facilitate responses to future outbreaks, and advance our basic appreciation of the microbiome as a fundamental component, and regulator, of host immunity.
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