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Abstract: Lithium isotopes are a relatively novel tracer of present and past silicate weathering processes. Given that silicate weathering is the primary long-term method by which CO\textsubscript{2} is removed from the atmosphere, Li isotope research is going through an exciting phase. We show the weathering processes that fractionate dissolved and sedimentary Li isotope ratios, focusing on weathering intensity and clay formation. We then discuss the carbonate and silicate archive potential of past seawater $\delta^{7}$Li. These archives have been used to examine Li isotope changes across both short and long timescales. The former can demonstrate the rates at which the climate is stabilised from perturbations via weathering, a fundamental piece of the puzzle of the long-term carbon cycle.

Introduction

Chemical weathering of continental rocks is one of the fundamental Earth System processes that affects climate and ocean chemistry. The weathering of silicate rocks removes carbon from the atmosphere, transporting it as alkalinity together with associated cations (most importantly Ca and Mg) to the oceans (Walker et al., 1981; West et al., 2005). The delivery of alkalinity enhances ocean uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} over centennial timescales and drives burial of carbonate and resulting CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration on timescales of thousands of years. At the same time, weathering provides critical nutrients to the coastal oceans, where they promote primary productivity. Burial of the resulting organic carbon is enhanced via association with clay minerals (Kennedy and Wagner, 2011), which are also provided by chemical weathering. In summary, silicate weathering is one of the primary controllers of the Earth’s climate on various timescales.

It is not a surprise, then, that a great deal of research seeking to understand the evolution of Earth’s climate, as well as the nutrient fluxes that support life, has focused on evaluating the processes that control weathering. Such controls are generally thought to be either climate-related (temperature, runoff, vegetation), or erosion-related (supply of fresh rock to be weathered) (West et al., 2005). The former would allow a temperature-controlled feedback on weathering (i.e. weathering accelerates during warming, removing more CO\textsubscript{2} and cooling the climate, and vice versa), known as the “weathering thermostat” that keeps the long-term climate relatively stable (Walker et al., 1981). The latter would drive long-term cooling processes when mineral supply increases, for example during mountain building events (Raymo et al., 1988).

For this reason, a lot of effort has gone into characterising and quantifying weathering processes both in the present and in Earth’s geological past. Lithium isotopes have great potential in this field. Lithium is moderately incompatible during igneous processes and highly fluid mobile during surface processes (Penniston-Dorland et al., 2017; Tomascak et al., 2016). It tends to be concentrated in the continental crust relative to the mantle, and even more concentrated in clays and other secondary products of weathering (Teng et al., 2010).
Lithium has two stable isotopes ($^6\text{Li}$ and $^7\text{Li}$), the ratio of which, as for other stable isotope systems, is reported in the delta notation, as parts per thousand deviation from the L-SVEC standard: $\delta^7\text{Li}$. The pioneering work of Lui-Heung Chan, starting in the late 80s, showed that Li isotopes strongly fractionate during low-temperature clay formation. Chan focused on alteration of the oceanic crust and reverse weathering in the oceans, showing that clays preferentially take up the light Li isotope ($^6\text{Li}$), driving residual waters (including the oceans) isotopically heavy (Chan et al., 1992). This concept was taken forward into examining river waters by Youngsook Huh in the late 90s. Her work showed that clay formation in riverine weathering environments acts the same way as it does in the oceans, driving river waters isotopically heavy (Huh et al., 2001; Huh et al., 1998). This isotopic fractionation, combined with the silicate origin of Li, is the basis for the increasing use of Li isotopes as a tracer of silicate weathering processes.

This chapter will discuss the possibilities for using Li isotopes as a weathering tracer in the modern environment, as well as some of the limitations. It will then examine the potential archives of Li for reconstructing past weathering processes, before moving on to examples of the use of Li in tracing weathering through climatic perturbations in the geologic past, focusing on times prior to the Cenozoic.

**Lithium isotopes as a tracer of silicate weathering intensity**

**Dissolved riverine fluxes of lithium and their isotopic composition**

Primary silicate rocks have a relatively narrow range in $\delta^7\text{Li}$ (MORB: 3–5‰, continental crust: -10 to +10‰, with a mean of 0.6 ± 0.6‰ (Tomascak et al., 2016)). River waters, on the other hand, have a wide range of 2–44‰ (Dellinger et al., 2015; Huh et al., 1998; Murphy et al., 2019), universally isotopically heavier than the rocks they drain (Fig. 2). It has been demonstrated that carbonate weathering insignificantly affects Li dissolved in rivers, even in carbonate-dominated catchments (Kisakürek et al., 2005). Evaporites can, on occasion, affect local riverine Li isotope signals (Gou et al., 2019). Overall, Li isotopes are, for the most part, a selective tracer of silicate weathering processes, in contrast to isotopic systems such as Sr and Os. Although some Li isotope fractionation has been reported in some plants (Li et al., 2020), other studies report no effect (Clergue et al., 2015; Lemarchand et al., 2010). In all cases the lithium content in vegetation is low, and hence its effect on Li cycles is expected to be relatively small. No effect from phytoplankton on Li isotope ratios has been observed (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016), which overall gives Li isotopes an advantage over other many stable isotope tracers including Si, B, Mg and Ca isotopes.

Dissolution of rocks drives the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of the waters towards the value of the rock (low $\delta^7\text{Li}$, as shown by scenario (i) in Fig. 1). In contrast, secondary mineral formation during weathering (including clays, zeolites, and oxyhydroxides) drives water $\delta^7\text{Li}$ to higher values (scenarios (ii), (iii) and (iv) in Fig. 1). This means that the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ value of river waters is determined by the ratio of primary mineral dissolution to secondary mineral formation – a process known as the weathering congruency (Misra and Froelich, 2012; Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015). When weathering is congruent, rocks dissolve with little secondary mineral formation, and water $\delta^7\text{Li}$ is low (close to the starting rock value). Conversely, when weathering is incongruent, secondary minerals form, and dissolved $\delta^7\text{Li}$ is high. A final scenario, where previously-formed clays redissolve (v), drives $\delta^7\text{Li}$ low again.

Data from the Amazon River (Dellinger et al., 2015), Ganges River (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017b), High Himalayas (Bohlin and Bickle, 2019), New Zealand (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015) and Yangtze (Ma et al., 2020) suggest that in areas where the exposure rate of fresh rock is rapid and water-rock contact time is relatively short (e.g. in mountains), riverine $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values are low and rock-like, as a result of relatively congruent weathering (Fig. 1). In contrast, on flatter floodplains, riverine $\delta^7\text{Li}$ increases i.e. weathering becomes more incongruent (Bagard et al.,
These observations lead to the notion that riverine $\delta^7$Li is related to the intensity of silicate weathering (Dellinger et al., 2015), that is, the ratio of the weathering rate (W) to the denudation rate (D). Denudation is the sum of chemical weathering and physical erosion — so weathering intensity effectively gives the ratio of chemical silicate weathering to physical erosion rates. Mountainous areas have high erosion rates, and hence a low $W/D$ value (low weathering intensity), and a low riverine $\delta^7$Li value, but also a high river Li yield (flux per unit area), because there are few clays to remove cations. Due to the lack of secondary mineral formation, river sediments have $\delta^7$Li close to the original rock (Fig 1i). As the downstream topography matures, such as in foothills with more developed soils, clays begin to form and water $\delta^7$Li increases, while sediment $\delta^7$Li begins to decrease (Fig. 1ii). Further downstream, immature floodplains have even higher weathering intensity, with relatively greater clay formation relative to rock dissolution, which drives riverine $\delta^7$Li high, the sediment $\delta^7$Li low, and the dissolved Li yield lower (Fig. 1iii) (Dellinger et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2020). High intensity weathering regimes also exist. These tend to be supply-limited (i.e. little supply of primary rock to dissolve), such as in high-latitude and tropical lowlands (Fig. 1iv), and then even more extremely in tropical rainforests (Fig. 1v). As weathering intensity increases, previously formed secondary clays start to be leached. The dissolved $\delta^7$Li signal of these regimes is low again, but the Li yield is also very low, suggesting that these supply-limited regimes will have less direct influence on the mass balance of seawater. River sediments have very low $\delta^7$Li in these regimes. Combined, these different process regimes yield a “boomerang” shape when plotting dissolved $\delta^7$Li against W/D. Importantly, the dissolved Li yield increases as $W/D$ decreases (Fig. 1 insert; Fig 2a), and hence for the marine mass balance, scenarios (i), (ii) and (iii) are expected to be most relevant.

There is relatively little difference in the riverine $\delta^7$Li ranges between tropical, temperate and polar rivers (Fig. 2) (Murphy et al., 2019), suggesting that the fractionating processes and fractionation factors remain broadly the same, regardless of climate. It is also noticeable that the general “boomerang” shape in the $\delta^7$Li-W/D relationship is observed independently in rivers from different terrains, such as basaltic, polar and tropical rivers (Fig. 2), with higher $\delta^7$Li in intermediate W/D regimes in all cases. Hence, the weathering regime appears to be the dominant control the dissolved Li isotope ratio, and not lithology or climate.

**Ocean processes affecting lithium isotopes**

Lithium in the modern oceans has a residence time of approximately 1 million years (Huh et al., 1998). Rivers make up ~60% of the modern ocean inputs (~8×10⁹ mol/yr) (Hathorne and James, 2006; Huh et al., 1998). The other major input is from high-temperature hydrothermal fluids at mid-ocean ridges (MORs), which, if the modern oceans are at steady-state, is ~6×10⁹ mol/yr. The Li sinks from seawater are uptake onto low-temperature clays that form during alteration of oceanic crust (AOC) and during the formation of marine authigenic aluminous clays (MAAC) (Chan et al., 1992; Hathorne and James, 2006; Misra and Froelich, 2012). The mean $\delta^7$Li of the global riverine dissolved flux is ~23‰ (Huh et al., 1998), and the average hydrothermal input is ~8‰ (Penniston-Dorland et al., 2017). Combined this would give seawater a $\delta^7$Li value of ~17‰. However, the sink into clay minerals imparts a combined fractionation factor of 14–16‰, driving the modern seawater value to 31.2 ± 0.2‰ (Fig. 3a)(Jeffcoate et al., 2004).

It can be assumed that the hydrothermal $\delta^7$Li value has remained fairly constant through time, because it is effectively basalt weathering at high temperatures, which is characterised by low
fractionation (Fig. 3b). If the hydrothermal flux through time can be extrapolated from MOR spreading rates, then rivers remain the primary unknown. In high weathering intensity regimes, the Li flux is low, and hence such a regime globally would mean that the hydrothermal input would dominate the seawater Li budget, rather than the river δ7Li directly (e.g. Seyedali et al., 2021). When weathering fluxes globally are dominated by lower-intensity weathering, as is the case in the present-day, river δ7Li will have a direct influence on seawater δ7Li, and it appears that the river flux and isotope ratio are linked: when the isotope ratio is low (congruent weathering) the flux is high, while when there is more clay formation (incongruent weathering), the flux decreases and the isotope ratio increases (Fig. 1) (Dellinger et al., 2015). Hence, it may be possible to use this relationship to extrapolate past changes in weathering flux and processes from the seawater isotope composition.

Because of the temperature-dependence of the fractionation factor (Li and West, 2014; Vigier et al., 2015), the fractionation factors associated with AOC and MAAC are different. Therefore “sink-shifts” that change the proportion of AOC and MAAC, i.e., changes in global fluxes of reverse weathering in marine sediments or weathering of seafloor basalts, can also potentially alter the seawater isotope ratio (Coogan et al., 2017; Li and West, 2014).

Methods and archive materials
Sample Preparation and Mass Spectrometry
In early studies, Li isotope ratios were measured by thermal ionisation mass spectrometry (TIMS) (Chan et al., 1992). However, high precision TIMS analyses of a system with only two stable isotopes are challenging. Hence, for the past 25 years, Li isotope ratios have been analysed by multi-collector ICP-MS. The latest generation of mass spectrometers can analyse less than 0.5ng of Li to a high precision (Bohlin et al., 2018; Jeffcoate et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a), which allows analyses of almost all materials (e.g. carbonates, waters, silicates, plants, etc.). Some studies have used quadrupole ICP-MS because this requires less sample on the whole (0.2–2 ng Li), but precision is considerably worse (<±1.5‰) (Liu and Li, 2019; Misra and Froelich, 2009), compared to <±0.5‰ by multi-collector ICP-MS (see below). Purification chemistry involves cation exchange columns, where care must be taken to 1) remove all matrix from the purified solution, and 2) achieve as close to 100% column yields as possible. This is because Li isotopes fractionate on the columns, so low yields will cause unquantifiable isotope fractionation. Hence, yields must always be monitored for each sample, by collecting elute before and after the Li collection bracket and analysing for Li content. Yields lower than 100% will cause reproducibility to worsen, observationally by ~1.7‰ per 1% loss of yield (Wilson, pers. comm).

Isotopic analysis is conducted by sample-standard bracketing, either using the L-SVEC standard, or the newer, virtually identical, IRMM-016 standard (Jeffcoate et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a). The long-term external error should always be quantified, by analysing the same natural standard (e.g. seawater, or international rock standards) every session. Long-term analysis (i.e. up to 50 or 100 separate sessions of purification chemistry and analysis) can yield 2sd errors of <0.5‰ on modern mass spectrometers (reported down to ±0.3‰ on some machines over periods of >5 years (Jeffcoate et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2011)).

In terms of examining Li isotope behaviour in the geological record, two types of archive have been used. The main one is marine carbonates: bulk, foraminifera, brachiopods, belemnites, corals and bivalves. Inorganic carbonates (speleothems) have also been used. The second, less well-established archive is clays, either detrital or authigenic.

Carbonates
Different biogenic carbonates (e.g. foraminifera, brachiopods, etc.) have different partition coefficients for Li that are also different from inorganic carbonates (Dellinger et al., 2018). It has also been shown that the uptake of Li into inorganic carbonates is strongly temperature-dependent, and also may be affected by the solute concentration, ionic strength and pH (Marriott et al., 2004a; Marriott et al., 2004b). For this reason, although studies typically report Li/Ca ratios, they are rarely used for interpretational purposes.

In contrast, some carbonates exhibit narrow ranges in Li isotope fractionation (Dellinger et al., 2018). Modern bulk aragonites have a fractionation factor of $\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{aragonite-seawater}} \sim 10\%_\text{o}$ (Gabitov et al., 2011; Marriott et al., 2004b; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019b), in agreement with inorganic aragonites (Marriott et al., 2004b; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019b). Similarly, biogenic aragonites such as corals (mean $\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{aragonite-seawater}} \sim 12\%_\text{o}$, 1σ, (Bastian et al., 2018; Marriott et al., 2004a; Marriott et al., 2004b; Rollion-Bard et al., 2009) and aragonitic mollusks (mean $\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{aragonite-seawater}} \sim -13\%_\text{o}$, 1σ, (Bastian et al., 2018; Dellinger et al., 2020)) have $\Delta^7\text{Li}$ similar to inorganic aragonite ($\sim 10\%$). Calcites tend to have lower fractionation factors than aragonite, with $\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{calcite-seawater}} \sim 6\%_\text{o}$ in modern core tops. High-Mg calcites have fractionation factors between the two (Dellinger et al., 2018).

Bulk carbonates, foraminifera and brachiopods (and potentially belemnites) appear to exhibit a fairly narrow range in fractionation factor (Dellinger et al., 2018; Ullmann et al., 2013), although there have been contrasting reports on foraminifera (Fig. 4). Planktic foraminifera have $\delta^7\text{Li}$ closer to seawater (i.e. less negative $\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{calcite-seawater}}$) compared to benthic foraminifera (Dellinger et al., 2018; Roberts et al., 2018) and there appears to be species-specific vital effects (Hathorne and James, 2006), although this is disputed (Misra and Froelich, 2012). There have also been reports of pH effects (Roberts et al., 2018), although this is again disputed (Vigier et al., 2015). The conflicting interpretations suggest that there may be complications associated with using foraminifera as a Li isotope archive — although Cenozoic $\delta^7\text{Li}$ records from foraminifera have been independently replicated in brachiopods (Misra and Froelich, 2012; Washington et al., 2020), and similar in-depth studies to those on foraminifera will need to be conducted on other types of biogenic carbonates. Bulk carbonates appear fairly robust archives of seawater $\delta^7\text{Li}$ (Dellinger et al., 2020; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019b), and within such carbonates the proportion of foraminifera to coccoliths does not affect the overall $\delta^7\text{Li}$ value, suggesting that both have similar overall fractionation factors (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019b). Finally, it appears that there are no temperature effects on the Li isotope fractionation factor in either inorganic or biogenic calcites (Dellinger et al., 2018). In contrast, molluscs appear to exhibit highly variable fractionation (on occasion even in different layers from the same shells), and may not be so useful as a Li isotope archive (Dellinger et al., 2018).

Carbonate diagenesis can preserve or change the primary $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of carbonate depending upon the composition of the original carbonate (aragonite or calcite) and of the diagenetic fluid (marine or meteoric), and also depending on diagenesis style (fluid or sediment buffered) (Dellinger et al., 2020). Early marine diagenetic recrystallisation of aragonite to calcite under fluid-buffered conditions (typical of platform top margins), as well as dolomitisation, result in a narrow range of Li isotope compositions that is similar to corresponding seawater at the time of diagenesis ($\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{calcite-seawater}} \sim 1\%_\text{o}$), but distinct from the original aragonite ($\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{aragonite-seawater}} \sim 10\%$) (Fig. 4). In contrast, marine diagenesis of aragonite under a continuum of fluid- to sediment-buffered conditions (such as on platform slopes) imparts a larger isotopic variability ($\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{calcite-seawater}}$ between $-1$ and $-10\%$). Meteoric diagenesis of platform margins under fluid-buffered conditions overprint the primary aragonite composition with a highly variable isotope signature ($\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{calcite-seawater}}$ of $-3$ to $-12\%$), although the average composition can be coincidentally similar to the original (Dellinger et al., 2020). Diagenesis of biogenic low-Mg calcite (as evidenced by increasing Mn/Ca) has been observed to produce a decrease of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of 2 to 7% for planktic foraminifera (Bastian et al., 2018) and increase...
of up to 13% for belemnite rostra (Ullmann et al., 2013). Altogether, these studies show that some diagenetic carbonates can faithfully record past seawater composition providing that their diagenetic history can be independently constrained (e.g. using Sr/Ca, Mn/Ca or Ca isotopes).

Clays
In principle, clays can also be an archive of fluid Li isotope ratios. Marine authigenic clays (either AOC or MAAC) should record seawater δ7Li with a constant (albeit temperature-dependent) fractionation factor. However, as yet this archive has not been tested. In contrast, several studies have measured Li isotopes in detrital clays, as an archive of local weathering conditions (Bastian et al., 2017; Li et al., 2016; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a; Wei et al., 2020). Detrital secondary minerals should dominantly form in soils, and hence these records should reflect the local weathering conditions. Detrital material can also consist of partially weathered primary minerals and clays derived from the erosion of sedimentary rocks. Modern river data suggest that the isotopic difference between source rock and clay fraction decreases with increasing weathering intensity (Dellinger et al., 2017), partly because a larger proportion of the total transported Li is in sediments at higher W/D, and possibly because primary material is less altered at low W/D (Fig. 1).

There are two principal problems that currently prevent full exploitation of this archive: 1) clay fractionation factors are not well known, so absolute water δ7Li values cannot be fully reconstructed, and 2) a change in the source of weathering can change the isotope ratio of the rock being weathered and eroded, and potentially the clay mineral type being formed. However, some of these problems can be alleviated by also analysing radiogenic isotopes or other geochemical indices as source tracers, along with characterising the clay mineralogy of the detrital material.

Li isotopes in pre-Cenozoic archives
Records of past δ7Li have been generated at relatively low-resolution over the Cenozoic (Hathorne and James, 2006; Misra and Froelich, 2012), at several individual points from the Archean and Proterozoic based on detrital material (Li et al., 2016), and at higher-resolution across several short climate perturbations, such as Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs) (e.g. Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013).

This book focuses on pre-Cenozoic records, so we merely note that while the Cenozoic increases in seawater δ7Li have been observed in foraminifera (Hathorne and James, 2006; Misra and Froelich, 2012) and reproduced in brachiopods (Washington et al., 2020), the interpretation of this change remains highly controversial (Caves Rugenstein et al., 2019; Li and West, 2014; Vigier and Godderis, 2015; Wanner et al., 2014). This is largely because the Cenozoic is in itself a complex time period with substantial long-term changes of both tectonic and climatic conditions, adding complexity to the interaction of the weathering cycle with climate change.

A number of studies have examined short, rapid climatic perturbations for Li isotopes, which provide more direct evidence for the response of global weathering to climatic change. Lithium isotope records across such intervals include carbonate records from the Hirnantian glaciation (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a) and OAE 1a and 2 (Lechler et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013). Detrital records have been published from the Sturtian deglaciation (Wei et al., 2020) and the Hirnantian glaciation (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a). Data from single time points have been reported from Jurassic carbonates (Ullmann et al., 2013), and throughout the Proterozoic and early Phanerozoic, from glacial diamictites (Li et al., 2016). A bulk sedimentary rock record has also been presented from the Permo-Triassic boundary (Sun et al., 2018); however, it is not entirely clear how well this record reflects seawater Li isotope ratios (as is discussed below), because the carbonate δ7Li was extrapolated from bulk sediment (including silicate) δ7Li, using a mixing model assuming constant fractionation factors.
Carbonate records of seawater $\delta^{7}$Li across major climatic perturbations

A compilation of the carbonate $\delta^{7}$Li records associated with climate perturbations in the past shows apparently reproducible behaviour of seawater $\delta^{7}$Li in response to climatic change (Fig. 5), also reproduced in speleothem records of local solution $\delta^{7}$Li (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017c). During warming episodes, most notably associated with the Cretaceous OAEs, carbonate $\delta^{7}$Li exhibits a negative excursion. Simple dynamic box models of Li isotope behaviour in seawater show that a transient negative excursion in seawater can be caused by either an increase in the riverine flux or a decrease in the riverine $\delta^{7}$Li values (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013). However, in most cases, one of these changes by itself is not sufficient to explain the observed records, because riverine $\delta^{7}$Li is unlikely to decrease below the value of the continental crust (~0‰), and extreme increases in the riverine flux must be reconciled with other records, such as from radiogenic Sr isotopes. As in modern rivers (Dellinger et al., 2015), it is likely that river flux and $\delta^{7}$Li are coupled (Fig. 1). A combined increase in flux and decrease in $\delta^{7}$Li can drive large magnitude transient changes in seawater $\delta^{7}$Li values, consistent with those observed. In principle, an increase in the hydrothermal flux can also drive a negative seawater $\delta^{7}$Li excursion. However, in general the necessary increase in flux is of an unfeasible size, and would produce larger changes in the radiogenic Sr or Os isotope records than observed in these events (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013).

Mirroring the observations of negative seawater $\delta^{7}$Li excursions during warming events, carbonate records show a positive $\delta^{7}$Li excursion during cooling episodes (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a). These have been interpreted as a decrease in the riverine flux, coupled with an increase in riverine $\delta^{7}$Li values.

When interpreting seawater $\delta^{7}$Li records, it must be noted that while carbonate isotopic fractionation factors are not temperature-dependent, those of clay formation are (Li and West, 2014). Hence, an increase in temperature would cause lower riverine $\delta^{7}$Li values, even without an associated change in weathering rates or regimes. This temperature dependence is approximately 0.17‰/°C (Gou et al., 2019; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a), so while the direction of fractionation is correct for parts of the Li isotope records to be a temperature response of the clay fractionation factor, in almost all cases the change is not sufficient to drive the observed excursions in isolation. The exception is potentially the P-Tr record (Sun et al., 2018), where up to 100% of the ~14‰ excursion could be driven by temperature-dependent fractionation factors (we also note that the excursion recovery of <200 kyr in this study would require a Li ocean residence time an order of magnitude below that observed in other studies). In contrast, ~5% of the record at OAE2 could be temperature-dependent, 25% of the record at OAE1a, and ~15% of the record at the Hirnantian.

Interpreting detrital $\delta^{7}$Li records from deep time

The interpretation of changes in weathering intensity from detrital records in the pre-Cenozoic has proven somewhat more complicated. For example, after the Sturtian Snowball Earth event, a negative detrital $\delta^{7}$Li excursion is interpreted as reflecting an increase in silicate weathering intensity (Wei et al., 2020), while at the Hirnantian glaciation, a positive $\delta^{7}$Li excursion is also interpreted as an increase in weathering intensity (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a). The reason for this interpretational ambiguity is partly because detrital material can contain both altered primary material and secondary material (Dellinger et al., 2017), as well as clays formed during MAAC. Also, modern detrital samples exhibit a relatively high amount of scatter, which means that changes observed in the geological record can disappear in the noise. More work is needed to understand what determines the composition of detrital material, in particular what determines the clay-water $\delta^{7}$Li fractionation factor. Regardless of this current interpretational ambiguity, the fact remains that,
like carbonate records, warming has led to a negative detrital \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) excursion, while cooling has led to a positive excursion — suggesting that these records offer promising opportunities.

**Seawater Li isotope records and the global weathering thermostat**

Overall, the event-scale Li isotope records appear to confirm the operation of the “weathering thermostat”, that is a dominant temperature control on the weathering rate, affecting the \( \text{CO}_2 \) removal rate, thus stabilising climate (Fig. 5). The Li isotope records suggest that weathering rates increase during warming and decrease during cooling. At the same time, increases in temperature appear to shift the weathering intensity (W/D) towards lower values, and vice versa for decreasing temperatures (Lechler et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013). This broadly suggests that as temperatures increases, at least in transient perturbations, erosion rates increase more than weathering rates. This is potentially counter-intuitive, given that temperature is generally thought to increase weathering rates. More work is needed, and we note that the warming episodes studied were caused by large basaltic eruptions (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013), likely increasing the supply of fresh material. It may therefore be that in the geological record of such events, the ‘D’ component of weathering intensity can be affected both by erosion, but also by the supply of fresh rock by volcanism.

The high-resolution records of seawater \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) values across climatic perturbations allow testing of the rate of response of the weathering thermostat. The inflection point of each record should represent the time by which weathering increased to its maximum (in the case of warming) or decreased to its minimum (in the case of cooling), and hence the time period by which weathering effectively gained control of the climate (Fig. 5 – using the age models reported in each study). Records studied to date have inflection points between 250 and 800 kyr from inception (250–600 kyr for warming events). These timescales are similar to those determined in models of weathering in warming climates (200–400 kyr after inception; (Colbourn et al., 2015). Hence, models and the real world, as inferred from Li isotopes, apparently give similar values, albeit with more scatter in the isotopic records — likely to be due to continental placement, the supply of rock available for weathering (i.e. terrain), plus, in the case of warming, the duration and pattern of carbon outgassing. The initial Li budget of the oceans before any perturbation will also affect buffering of the Li response time.

**Developing a mechanistic understanding of Li isotope fractionation**

This chapter has described the basic qualitative framework, now fairly well understood, for the response of the Li isotope system to changes in weathering. This response appears robust across wide-ranging modern environments, and past Li isotope records through climate perturbations yield consistent results that are in line with independent expectations, e.g., from carbon cycle models. Yet a major piece that is still missing in the robust development and application of this proxy is a mechanistic understanding of the fractionation taking place both during weathering, and in the oceans. Reactive transport models that quantitatively link isotopic fractionation with fluid and mineral residence times show promise in this regard (e.g. Bohlin and Bickle, 2019; Wanner et al., 2014). Yet applying such models to interpret geological records remains challenging.

One of the major challenges to applying mechanistic models lies in the limited understanding of Li isotope fractionation factors. Laboratory experiments including direct mineral synthesis (Hindshaw et al., 2019; Vigier et al., 2008), water-rock alteration of primary minerals (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a; Wimpenny et al., 2010), and measurements of exchangeable lithium (Li and Liu, 2020; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Wimpenny et al., 2015; Wimpenny et al., 2010) have confirmed field observations that the light Li isotope preferentially goes into alteration phases. Importantly, the experimental work has revealed that there are two sites that can take up Li in clays: 1) interlayer sites within forming minerals, i.e., structurally-bound Li during neoformation, and 2) exchangeable sites on mineral surfaces, i.e. adsorbed Li (Vigier et al., 2008). While both site types prefer \( 6^\text{Li}, \) the
fractionation factors are thought to be different (Hindshaw et al., 2019). Fractionation on exchangeable sites appears smaller (0–12‰) than on structural sites (14–24‰). Natural samples will exhibit fractionation that is a balance of structural and exchangeable Li — lending complexity to the extrapolation from lab-derived fractionation factors to geological settings.

The dependence of fractionation on environmental conditions may also be important. There is good evidence that temperature exerts a control, as discussed above (Fig. 3b). However, there is no experimental evidence that the fractionation factors depend on parameters such as pH or solution composition (Hindshaw et al., 2019). It is still disputed whether the identity of the reacting mineral, or the secondary minerals undergoing formation, can affect the fractionation factor (Hindshaw et al., 2019). The types of clay that can be experimentally synthesised are extremely limited, so there is no clear evidence either way for structural sites. For exchangeable sites there is contrasting information from different studies (Hindshaw et al., 2019; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a; Wimpenny et al., 2015; Wimpenny et al., 2010), and more work is needed.

There is not only considerable uncertainty in our knowledge of fractionation factors, but also Li partition coefficients into different secondary minerals (Decarreau et al., 2012). The latter is almost more important, because it is not necessarily known which secondary minerals have a large influence on the Li weathering budget (e.g. if oxyhydroxides have a similar partition coefficient to clays, they also need experimental focus). Overall, accurate fractionation factors and partition coefficients are perhaps the key hurdle for Li isotopes to move from a qualitative to a quantitative weathering tracer.

**Future prospects**

Lithium isotopes, both in carbonates and clays, have significant potential for reconstructing and understanding weathering, and hence carbon cycle behaviour throughout Earth history. The primary advancement needed to use Li isotopes quantifiably is a better knowledge of fractionation factors and partitioning behaviour during secondary mineral formation. This must perforce be performed experimentally but need not necessarily take the form of measuring fractionation factors for individual secondary minerals, because single types of secondary mineral rarely form in the natural environment. One alternative is to artificially weather different rock types, and measure fractionation factors of the resulting conglomerations of multiple secondary minerals. Improved understanding of fractionation, combined with a full mechanistic link between riverine flux and isotope ratio in different environments, would allow a quantitative determination of weathering behaviour. Models that link Li isotopes to the carbon cycle already have been presented (Caves Rugenstein et al., 2019; Li and West, 2014; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a; Vigier and Godderis, 2015), but more natural and experimental details are needed to clear the ambiguity between these.

As a final point, while much of the attention in this chapter has focused on the way that Li isotopes reflect continental weathering processes, it is important to recognize that seawater δ7Li values are also sensitive to past marine authigenic clay formation, i.e., reverse weathering (Li and West, 2014), as well as to seafloor weathering (Coogan et al., 2017). These processes can also have significant effects on the carbon cycle, and are currently poorly constrained. Given the significant isotope fractionation that reverse weathering causes to Li isotopes, they may provide an exploitable proxy over various timescales. Yet application to understanding these processes will depend on distinguishing their effects from those of changes in continental weathering fluxes and intensity.
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Figure 1. Cartoon showing the processes that fractionate dissolved and sediment $\delta^7$Li during weathering. The different scenarios are detailed in the text. The panels on the right show the positioning of the different scenarios on plots of dissolved $\delta^7$Li as a function of the fraction of Li trapped in secondary phases (top), and as a function of the weathering intensity W/D (bottom). The size of the squares represents the dissolved Li yield (e.g. in kg km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) of the different scenarios.
Figure 2. Ranges of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of different solid and dissolved Li reservoirs (Penniston-Dorland et al., 2017). MORB=Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalt; AOC=Altered Oceanic Crust. The expanded plot shows the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ ranges for different river scenarios (Clergue et al., 2015; Dellinger et al., 2017; Dellinger et al., 2015; Gou et al., 2019; Huh et al., 2001; Huh et al., 1998; Kisakürek et al., 2005; Ma et al., 2020; Millot et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2019; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017b; Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015; Tomascak et al., 2016). It is noticeable that for each detailed regime (tropical, polar and basaltic rivers), intermediate W/D rivers range to higher $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values than low or high W/D rivers. We note that very few basaltic rivers exist with high W/D, and hence this is denoted with ‘??’.
Figure 3. (A) The seawater mass balance of Li, showing the primary sources and sinks, and their isotope ratios. (B) the temperature-dependence of Li isotope fractionation, based on experiments and field measurements (Hindshaw et al., 2019; Li and West, 2014; Li and Liu, 2020; Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a; Wimpenny et al., 2015; Wimpenny et al., 2010). The weathering data point is based on the global riverine mean (Huh et al., 1998).
Figure 4. Plot of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ and $(\Delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{carb-seawater}} = \delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{carbonate}} - \delta^7\text{Li}_{\text{seawater}}$) ranges in modern carbonates, including the effect of diagenetic recrystallisation of aragonite to low-Mg calcite and dolomite (see main text for references). The boxes reflect the interquartile range with the median shown as the line and the extreme data points as the whiskers. The number of samples is indicated at the bottom of the figure. For biogenic carbonates, the number of samples correspond to the number of different specimens analysed.

Figure 5. A geological timeline, showing the Li isotope studies published to date, and the type of archive used (Lechler et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017a; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2020). We focus on four Pre-Cenozoic records (3 warming and 1 cooling period), and show their measured differentiated $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values against time (a number is subtracted from the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values of each section, so that they all start at 0; $t = 0$ is selected as the point at which $\delta^7\text{Li}$ deviates from the pre-existing steady-state, and the individual age models are taken from each study). The inflection points represent the likely time (given on the right) it took for weathering to influence climate, thereby demonstrating the weathering thermostat. Timescales of the silicate weathering feedback from modelling studies are shown on the left (Colbourn et al., 2015).