Citation
Ranjita Dhital, Supaphit Sakulwach, Glenn Robert, Carolina Vasilikou, Jacqueline Sin. Systematic review on the effects of the physical and social aspects of community pharmacy spaces on service users and staff. PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018075031 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42018075031

Review question
- What study designs are used to investigate the physical and social aspects of the community pharmacy environment?

- What are pharmacy patients' and staff experiences of the physical and social aspects of the community pharmacy environment when accessing or delivering pharmacy health services?

- What barriers and enablers, relating to the community pharmacy environment, do pharmacy patients and staff experience; and possible health, social or professional implications of this?

Searches
Ten databases will be searched from their start to 31st March 2020 (host sites given in parentheses):

i) PubMed
ii) PsycINFO (via Ovid)
iii) Web of Science
iv) Scopus
v) ScienceDirect
vi) Journal Storage (JSTOR)
vii) International Bibliography of the Social Sciences (IBSS)
viii) Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
ix) Health Technology Assessment Database (HTA)
x) Social Care Online (SCIE)

Experts in the fields of pharmacy practice, architecture, design and other related disciplines, as identified in the literature, will also be consulted. The bibliographies and reference lists of all included articles will be searched for further relevant publications.

Searches will be limited to peer-reviewed publications. Only English language publications will be reviewed. Publications will not be excluded based on publication date. If studies identified do not have sufficient data, attempts will be made to contact authors to obtain any relevant information that is missing.

Search strategy
https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPEROFILES/75031_STRATEGY_20180527.pdf

Types of study to be included
Only publications which report empirical data of any study design will be included, but not reviews or reports.

Condition or domain being studied
Any reported health, social or professional outcomes which capture pharmacy patients’ and staff experience of the physical and social aspects of the community pharmacy environment when accessing or delivering pharmacy health services.

Participants/population
- Pharmacy patients – all ages in any country who are accessing community pharmacy health services.
- All members of the pharmacy health team. These include: pharmacists, pre-registration pharmacists, dispensing staff, accredited checking technicians and medicines counter staff.
A community pharmacy is defined as accessible to all, and not based in a hospital, clinic or online. Pharmacies maybe located within rural and/or and urban areas, with differing levels of deprivation. Pharmacies may also form part of a multiple chain or be independently owned.

We will include studies with and without controls.

Included studies will report how spaces within the community pharmacy environment is experienced by pharmacy patients and staff when accessing or delivering pharmacy health services. These spaces can include the pharmacy health counter, dispensary area, consultation room or the pharmacy retail area. The studies may originate from any community pharmacy setting worldwide, encompassing: high; middle; and low income countries.

- To identify pharmacy patients' and staff experience of the physical and social aspects of the community pharmacy environment when accessing or delivering pharmacy health services.
- To identify environmental factors which may influence pharmacy patients' and staff engagement with pharmacy health services; and possible health, social or professional implications of this.

Data extraction (selection and coding)
- The initial screening of titles, abstracts and full text will be conducted by SS; with a 5% random sample of all items independently screened by RD. In addition, RD will independently review a 20% random sample of the excluded full text papers, to address the possibility of missing potentially relevant studies.
- Data extraction from full texts of included studies will be conducted by SS, and again 20% of random sample will be checked independently by RD and discussed with JS.
- Data extraction will be conducted using established data extraction forms which will be adapted and refined for the purposes of this review.
- Any discrepancies will be resolved through discussion between RD, JS and SS, and if consensus is not reached then other study authors (GR and CV) will be consulted to review as a team.

The quality of each selected study will be assessed by SS (100% of selected studies). RD will independently assess 10% random sample of the included studyies. We will use the Integrated quality Criteria for the Review Of Multiple Study designs (ICROMS), choosing the most appropriate study design criteria for each study. Any potential risk of bias will be reviewed through discussion between SS, RD and JS, and if consensus cannot be reached then other study authors (GR and CV) will be consulted to review as a team.

As we will include studies which report empirical data, from both qualitative and quantitative research designs, we will therefore use quantitative or narrative synthesis; depending on the types of studies included for the review.

We will conduct sub-group or sub-set analysis if such data is identified.
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Revision note
- New author details for SS has been included, and other author details updated- Minor updates relating to percentage of items screened by RD, that SS conducted the main searches, data extraction, quality assessment and synthesis is now included

The record owner confirms that the information they have supplied for this submission is accurate and complete and they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate information or omission of data may be construed as scientific misconduct.

The record owner confirms that they will update the status of the review when it is completed and will add publication details in due course.
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