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Abstract: Background and aims: There is some evidence of the implications of wall shear stress (WSS) derived from three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography (3D-QCA) models in predicting adverse cardiovascular events. This study investigates the efficacy of 3D-QCA-derived WSS in detecting lesions with a borderline negative fractional flow reserve (FFR: 0.81-0.85) that progressed and caused events. Methods: In this retrospective cohort study, we identified 548 patients who had at least one lesion with an FFR 0.81-0.85 and complete follow-up data; 293 lesions (286 patients) with suitable angiographic characteristics were reconstructed using a dedicated 3D-QCA software and included in the analysis. In the reconstructed models blood flow simulation was performed and the value of 3D-QCA variables and WSS distribution in predicting events was examined. The primary endpoint of the study was the composite of cardiac death, target lesion related myocardial infarction or clinically indicated target lesion revascularization. Results: During a median follow-up of 49.4 months, 37 events were reported. Culprit lesions had a greater area stenosis ([AS], 66.1% (59.5-72.3) vs 54.8% (46.5-63.2), p<0.001), smaller minimum lumen area ([MLA], 1.66mm² (1.45-2.30) vs 2.10mm² (1.45-2.30)) and lower maximal WSS (60.6 (58.1-65.1) vs 73.6 (63.0-80.1), p<0.001). Conclusion: Wall shear stress estimated by 3D-QCA can predict clinical events in lesions with borderline negative fractional flow reserve.
(1.69-2.70), p=0.011] and higher maximum WSS [9.0Pa (5.10-12.46) vs 5.0Pa (3.37-7.54), p<0.001] than those that remained quiescent. In multivariable analysis, AS [hazard ratio (HR): 1.06, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.03-1.10, p=0.001] and maximum WSS (HR: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.02-1.14, p=0.012) were the only independent predictors of the primary endpoint. Lesions with an increased AS (≥58.6%) that were exposed to high WSS (≥7.69Pa) were more likely to progress and cause events (27.8%) than those with a low AS exposed to high WSS (7.4%) or those exposed to low WSS that had increased (12.8%) or low AS (2.7%, p<0.001).

Conclusions: This study for the first time highlights the potential value of 3D-QCA-derived WSS in detecting among lesions with a borderline negative FFR those that cause cardiovascular events.
Role of wall shear stress estimated in 3D-QCA models in predicting non-flow limiting coronary lesions that cause cardiovascular events

Lesions with fractional flow reserve between 0.81 and 0.85 (n=293)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS≥58.6</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>5.95 (2.61-13.56)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSS≥7.69</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>4.14 (1.89-9.05)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS≥58.6 + WSS≥7.69</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>5.26 (2.64-10.47)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AS<58.6% Max WSS<7.69Pa
LOCE: 5.9%

AS≥58.6% Max WSS≥7.69Pa
LOCE: 27.8%

3D-QCA modelling and CFD analysis predict events at 4-year follow-up
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ABSTRACT

Background and aims: There is some evidence of the implications of wall shear stress (WSS) derived from three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography (3D-QCA) models in predicting adverse cardiovascular events. This study investigates the efficacy of 3D-QCA-derived WSS in detecting lesions with a borderline negative fractional flow reserve (FFR: 0.81-0.85) that progressed and caused events.

Methods: In this retrospective cohort study, we identified 548 patients who had at least one lesion with an FFR 0.81-0.85 and complete follow-up data; 293 lesions (286 patients) with suitable angiographic characteristics were reconstructed using a dedicated 3D-QCA software and included in the analysis. In the reconstructed models blood flow simulation was performed and the value of 3D-QCA variables and WSS distribution in predicting events was examined. The primary endpoint of the study was the composite of cardiac death, target lesion related myocardial infarction or clinically indicated target lesion revascularization.

Results: During a median follow-up of 49.4 months, 37 events were reported. Culprit lesions had a greater area stenosis [(AS), 66.1% (59.5-72.3) vs 54.8% (46.5-63.2), p<0.001], smaller minimum lumen area [(MLA), 1.66mm² (1.45-2.30) vs 2.10mm² (1.69-2.70), p=0.011] and higher maximum WSS [9.0Pa (5.10-12.46) vs 5.0Pa (3.37-7.54), p<0.001] than those that remained quiescent. In multivariable analysis, AS [hazard ratio (HR): 1.06, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.03-1.10, p=0.001] and maximum WSS (HR: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.02-1.14, p=0.012) were the only independent predictors of the primary endpoint. Lesions with an increased AS (≥58.6%) that were exposed to high WSS (≥7.69Pa) were more likely to progress and cause events (27.8%) than those with a low AS exposed to high WSS (7.4%) or those exposed to low WSS that had increased (12.8%) or low AS (2.7%, p<0.001).

Conclusions: This study for the first time highlights the potential value of 3D-QCA-derived WSS in detecting among lesions with a borderline negative FFR those that cause cardiovascular events.

Keywords: 3D-QCA, vulnerable plaques, wall shear stress.
INTRODUCTION

Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is the current standard for the invasive assessment of lesion severity in patients with intermediate stenoses and a cut-off of \( \leq 0.80 \) has been proposed to guide coronary revascularisation. Nevertheless, patients with a borderline negative FFR of 0.81-0.85 are at a high-risk of suffering an event with studies showing a lesion related event rate of up to 30% at 4.5 years of follow-up, which is much higher to the event rate reported in lesions with an FFR between 0.86-0.90 or >0.91.

Moreover, lesions with an FFR 0.81-0.85 accounted for approximately one third of the lesions with a negative FFR. Therefore, identification of new imaging and/or physiological markers that will enable better risk stratification in these lesions is of utmost importance.

Local haemodynamic forces and in particular wall shear stress (WSS) is a well-known instigator of atherosclerosis since it promotes mechano-transduction pathways that regulate plaque formation and the development of vulnerable lesions. Recent reports have shown that WSS estimated in models reconstructed by intravascular imaging provides useful prognostic information and identification of non-flow limiting plaques that are prone to cause events. Despite the convincing evidence highlighting the predictive value of WSS, its application in the clinical practice to stratify cardiovascular risk is limited as intravascular imaging is not routinely used to assess lesion severity. In addition, intravascular imaging analysis, coronary reconstruction, blood flow simulation and WSS estimation are time consuming processes and require expertise that restrict their application in selected core labs with experience in the field.

Three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography (3D-QCA) modelling offers an attractive alternative for WSS computation as it enables reliable evaluation of lesion severity and real-time reconstruction of coronary artery anatomy. A recent report has shown that 3D-QCA derived WSS correlates well with the WSS estimated in models reconstructed by intravascular imaging data, while two reports have highlighted the potential value of 3D-QCA-based hemodynamic modelling in detecting amongst obstructive lesions or lesions with a vulnerable phenotype those that caused events.

In this study, we examine for the first time the role of 3D-QCA-derived WSS in identifying vulnerable plaques and stratifying cardiovascular risk in patients with a borderline negative FFR who did not have an invasive assessment of lesion morphology.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Studied patients

Patients who underwent a coronary angiography from January 2012 to June 2017 at three Cardiology Departments in the United Kingdom (Barts Heart Centre, London; Essex Cardiothoracic Centre, Basildon; Royal Free Hospital, London), that had at least one intermediate lesion with a borderline negative FFR (FFR: 0.81-0.85) and did not have revascularization in this lesion were considered for inclusion. The FFR protocol is described in detail in the Online Supplementary Material.

The present analysis included only patients with complete follow-up data (until the 1st of December 2019). Patients admitted with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) that had an ambiguous culprit lesion, or a borderline negative FFR on a possible culprit lesion, lesions located at the ostium of the right coronary artery (RCA), the left main stem or a graft and cases at the edge of a stent (<5mm from the edge of the stent) or in-stent restenosis were excluded from the study. In addition, we excluded cases that were not suitable for 3D-QCA reconstruction due to absence of 2 angiographic projections, with sufficient imaging quality, that were at least 25° apart portraying the lesion assessed by FFR, or because of insufficient information in the DICOM file that did not allow processing of the angiographic data by the 3D-QCA software. Moreover, patients who had a revascularisation during the follow-up period were excluded from the study if the follow-up angiogram was not available – in order to check the culprit lesion – or when revascularisation was performed in the studied lesion at follow-up despite the fact that the FFR at that time point was negative for ischemia.

For the remaining patients the baseline demographics, the angiographic images and the report at the time of index procedure and of the revascularization event, as well as the cardiovascular events were collected from the hospital electronic patient records and death certificates.

The study was conducted as part of a local audit investigating outcomes in patients with a borderline negative FFR; all patient identifiable fields were removed prior merging of the datasets and analysis.

Local ethics committee advised us that formal ethical approval was not required for this study.

Clinical endpoints
The primary endpoint of the study was the incidence of lesion-oriented clinical events (LOCE) – defined as the composite of cardiac death, target lesion related myocardial infarction (MI) or clinically indicated target lesion revascularization (TLR). Cardiac death was defined as death caused from an acute MI, sudden cardiac death, or death due to heart failure, while the diagnosis of MI was based on evidence of myocardial necrosis (i.e., dynamic troponin rise) and supporting information derived from the clinical presentation, electrocardiographic changes or the results of coronary angiography. Clinically indicated TLR was performed in patients who had increased angina symptoms due to disease progression at repeat coronary angiography - visually estimated by the interventional cardiologist - with or without evidence of ischemia assessed by FFR or non-invasive imaging.

The classification of an event as target lesion or non-target lesion related was performed by two expert analysts (CVB, AR) who reviewed the coronary angiography at the time of the event blindly to the baseline demographics, 3D-QCA analysis and WSS estimations. MI or revascularisation was defined as target lesion related when the event was attributed to significant disease progression in the lesion – defined by its proximal and distal edge at baseline angiography – that was assessed by FFR at baseline. Any disagreement between experts was resolved by consensus.

Secondary endpoint of the study was the combined endpoint of target lesion related MI and/or revascularisation.

3D-QCA reconstruction and WSS computation

3D-QCA analysis was performed by an experienced analyst (VT) blindly to patient demographics and clinical outcomes using a dedicated software (QAngio XA 3D RE - Medis Medical Imaging Systems) which assumes that the 3D lumen has elliptical cross sections. Reconstruction was performed for the main vessel and side branches with diameter ≥1mm (Online Supplementary Materials).

In the obtained 3D geometries, the lesion length, the % area stenosis (AS) and the reference and minimum lumen area (MLA) were estimated. The 3D models were then processed with computational fluid dynamic (CFD) techniques and the WSS distribution was estimated (Online Supplementary Materials). The location of the lesions was identified in the processed models and the lesions were divided in consecutive 3mm segments. For each 3mm segment, the WSS was extracted across the
circumference and length of the segment and the mean value was calculated. For each lesion the lowest and highest mean WSS value, estimated in the 3mm segments of the lesion, were recorded and corresponded to the “minimum” and “maximum” WSS of the lesion, respectively (Supplementary Figure 1).13 The reproducibility of 3D-QCA analysis and WSS computation was tested using intraclass correlation coefficient analysis in 20 patients; 3D-QCA and CFD analysis was performed twice by an expert analyst within a 2-month interval and these data were used to examine the intra-observer variability. The inter-observer variability was examined by comparing the estimations of the 1st analyst with the estimations of a 2nd analyst.

Statistical analysis

The distribution of continuous variables was examined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; a non-normal distribution was found and therefore results were presented as median and inter-quartile range (IQR). Categorical values were presented as absolute values and percentages. Comparison between continuous variables were performed using the Mann Whitney U test, while categorical variables were compared using the chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Cox regression analysis was used to identify clinical, angiographic, 3D-QCA and WSS predictors associated with LOCE. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to identify amongst WSS variables the best predictor that was then entered into a multivariable model which included all the clinical, angiographic and 3D-QCA predictors of LOCE. In case of collinear variables (R≥0.5), only the variable with the highest area under the curve (AUC) in ROC curve analysis was entered into the model.

ROC curve analysis was also performed to identify the best cut-off for the 3D-QCA and WSS variables that were independently associated with LOCE. These cut-offs were used to classify lesions and patients in groups. Kaplan-Meier plots were used to display time to event at a lesion and patient level. In case of tandem lesions or patients with multiple lesions with a borderline negative FFR, the best lesion-level anatomical or physiological predictor of LOCE was used to define the most vulnerable lesion and this lesion characteristics were entered in the analysis. Due to the small number of patients (n=7) with more than one lesion with a borderline negative FFR and the smaller number of patients (n=1) that had a
lesion which caused an event and a lesion that remained quiescent a clustering patient-level effect was not added. The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS Statistics 25 (IBM, Chicago, Ill., USA); a p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Seven hundred thirteen patients were found to have at least one coronary lesion with a borderline negative FFR (0.81-0.85), but only patients who had complete follow-up data (n=548) were considered for inclusion. Of these, 286 patients (293 lesions) were included in the final analysis as shown in Figure 1. The median age of the studied patients was 64.5 (55-71) years, most of them were suffering from a chronic coronary syndrome (78.5%) at the time of index procedure and were treated with aspirin (98.2%) and a statin (97.2%).

During a median follow-up of 49.4 months, 37 LOCE were reported: 6 cardiac deaths, 9 target lesion related MI and 22 clinically indicated TLR. As it is shown in the Supplementary Table 1 the lesions causing events exhibited significant disease progression at the time of the event. Patients who experienced a LOCE were more likely to have a history of ACS compared to those that did not have LOCE (control group); otherwise, there were no differences between the two groups regarding their baseline demographics (Table 1). The differences in the baseline characteristics between patients who had a target lesion related MI or TLR and those who did not are shown in Supplementary Table 2.

3D-QCA analysis and WSS distribution
Coronary reconstruction and blood flow simulation were successfully performed in all the studied lesions. An excellent intra- and inter-observer agreement was noted for the estimations of the two analysts (Online Supplementary Material).

As shown in Table 2, there was no difference in the location of the lesions that caused LOCE and those that remained quiescent. Conversely, lesions that caused LOCE had a smaller MLA and a larger AS, but there was no difference between the two groups in lesion length. In addition, the minimum WSS and the maximum WSS were higher in lesions that progressed and caused events than the lesions that were quiescent, while the coronary flow velocity was similar in the two groups. Similar findings were
reported when analysis focused on lesions that caused MI or TLR during follow-up (Supplementary Table 3).

**Predictors of LOCE and target lesion related MI or TLR: lesion level analysis**

**Primary endpoint**

In univariable Cox regression analysis one clinical variable (admission because of ACS at the time of the index procedure), two 3D-QCA (MLA and AS) and two CFD-derived variables (minimum WSS and maximum WSS) were predictors of LOCE (Table 3). The maximum WSS appeared to be the strongest haemodynamic predictor of LOCE – as this variable had the highest AUC in ROC analysis (0.72) – and was entered into the multivariable model. Multivariable Cox regression analysis demonstrated that AS and maximum WSS but not FFR were independently associated with LOCE (Table 3). Of note, these two variables were not collinear (R=0.478, p=0.001).

The best AS and maximum WSS cut-off values that predicted LOCE in ROC curve analysis was 58.6% (sensitivity 81.1%, specificity 61.3%) and 7.69Pa (sensitivity 78.4%, specificity 55.1%), respectively.

As it is shown in Figure 2A, lesions with an increased AS (≥58.6%) that were exposed to high maximum WSS (≥7.69Pa) were at a higher risk of causing LOCE (27.8%) than those that had increased AS and low WSS (12.8%) or those that had a low AS and were exposed to high (7.4%) or low WSS (2.7%, p<0.001).

**Secondary endpoint**

Similar results were reported for the secondary endpoint of target lesion related MI or TLR. History of previous CABG, AS, MLA and WSS but not FFR were associated with the secondary endpoint. AS and maximum WSS were the only independent predictors of target lesion related events (Table 3).

The best AS cut-off for predicting target lesion related MI or TLR was 58.6% (sensitivity 87.1%, specificity 61.1%), while the best cut-off for the maximum WSS was 8.65Pa (sensitivity 74.2%, specificity 63%). These cut-off values were used to classify lesions in 4 groups. As shown in the Kaplan-Meier analysis, lesions with increased maximum WSS and AS were more likely to cause target lesion related MI or TLR than lesions with low AS and/or low WSS (p<0.001, Figure 2B).
Patient level analysis for the primary and secondary endpoint showed similar findings to those reported in the lesion level analysis (Online Supplementary Material).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study we investigated, for the first time, the prognostic value of 3D-QCA-derived variables and WSS distribution in patients with a borderline negative FFR. We retrospectively processed angiographic data from 286 patients that had a lesion with FFR between 0.81 and 0.85 and found that:

1) these lesions were associated with an increased cardiovascular risk as the event rate was 12.9% at 4-year follow-up; 2) 3D-QCA-derived variables and in particular the MLA and AS provided useful prognostic information and identification of lesions that were likely to cause events and that 3) WSS distribution had an incremental prognostic value to 3D-QCA-derived variables enabling more accurate vulnerable plaque detection and risk stratification.

Several studies have demonstrated that the assessment of coronary physiology using FFR enables not only optimal treatment planning, but also identification of patients at risk.\(^5,15,16\) A pre-specified analysis of the FAME-2 (Fractional Flow Reserve versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation-2) study that included 607 patients treated conservatively showed that FFR is an independent predictor of major adverse cardiovascular events at 2-year follow-up.\(^16\) In this study, increased event rate was noted in lesions with FFR≤0.80; however, even in patients with non-ischemic lesions the FFR seemed to have a predictive value as patients with FFR between 0.81-0.85 had a higher event rate than those with FFR >0.85. Similar findings were reported by other studies that examined outcomes in patients with non-flow limiting stenoses showing that lesions with a borderline negative FFR are at risk of causing events.\(^2\)

A possible explanation of these observations comes from studies assessing the association between lesion haemodynamic severity and plaque morphology.\(^17-19\) Chen et al. in a study that included 323 lesions assessed by FFR and intravascular ultrasound imaging showed that there is a positive correlation between FFR and MLA and an inverse association between FFR and plaque burden.\(^17\) In addition, Tian et al. demonstrated that angiographic lesion severity was associated with plaque vulnerability assessed by combined intravascular ultrasound and optical coherence tomography imaging; more specifically, severely stenotic lesions (diameter stenosis >70%) were more likely to have a thin cap fibroatheroma.
phenotype, positive remodelling and increased plaque burden than lesions with a mild or moderate stenosis on coronary angiography.\textsuperscript{18} These findings have also been confirmed by computed tomography coronary angiography (CTCA) studies showing that there is a positive association between lesion haemodynamic severity and high-risk plaque features.\textsuperscript{19} Therefore, it can be speculated that the lesions with a borderline negative FFR are more likely to have a high-risk phenotype (i.e., a thin or a thick cap fibroatheroma), especially in patients admitted with ACS, and rapidly progress and cause events than the lesions with a mild haemodynamic severity and higher FFR values.

Local haemodynamic forces and in particular WSS appear to regulate atherosclerotic disease progression.\textsuperscript{5} Numerous CFD analyses in models reconstructed by intravascular imaging data have provided mechanistic insights about the role of WSS on plaque formation and destabilisation and highlighted its prognostic implications.\textsuperscript{7, 8, 20} However, these reconstructions are time consuming and require intravascular imaging which is not commonly performed in daily practice. To address these limitations and bring WSS computation in the clinical arena 3D-QCA and CTCA-based modelling have been proposed.\textsuperscript{12, 19} These simulations have a limited accuracy and do not enable precise evaluation of WSS distribution, especially in lesions with a complex anatomy or an eccentric obstruction where flow disturbances and high and low WSS co-exist. Therefore, these analyses focus on the estimation of the mean WSS value in a segment of interest instead of the local minimum or maximum value aiming to derive a prognostic marker and not to explore the interplay between plaque morphology and physiology and the role of WSS on plaque progression, destabilization and rupture; on the other hand, they are fast and appear to provide useful prognostic information.

A recent CFD analysis performed in 3D-QCA reconstructions that included 58 patients from the FAME-2 study demonstrated that flow limiting stenoses (FFR≤0.80) exposed to high WSS are more likely to cause MI than lesions exposed to low WSS.\textsuperscript{12} Similar findings were also reported in the EMERALD (Exploring the MEchanism of plaque Rupture in Acute coronary syndrome using coronary CT Angiography and computational fluid Dynamics) study where blood flow simulation in models reconstructed by CTCA showed that high WSS provided incremental prognostic information to plaque characteristics and predicted more accurately lesions that caused MI.\textsuperscript{19} Nevertheless, these studies included a small number of patients that mainly had flow limiting lesions – 49% of the lesions included
in the EMERALD and all the lesions in the CFD analysis of the FAME-2 study had an FFR≤0.80 – where revascularisation is indicated according to the current guidelines.\(^1\) Finally, a recent post-hoc analysis of the IBIS-4 (Integrated Biomarkers Imaging Study-4) and PROSPECT (Providing Regional Observations to Study Predictors of Events in the Coronary Tree) studies showed that WSS estimated in 3D-QCA models have incremental value to plaque morphology in identifying amongst non-flow limiting lesions with a vulnerable phenotype those that are likely to progress and cause major adverse cardiovascular events.\(^{13}\)

The present study may constitute a paradigm shift in the search of the vulnerable plaque. We used FFR, which today constitutes the gold standard for assessing lesion severity, and not intravascular imaging to identify non-flow limiting lesions that are at risk of causing events. Then, we processed models reconstructed from 3D-QCA with CFD techniques using a software that enabled fast blood flow simulation. We found that AS and WSS were independently associated with lesions prone to progress and cause events and that their combination enabled more accurate risk stratification. Although it would have been expected these variables to be collinear as WSS depends on lumen dimension, a weak correlation between WSS and AS was observed. This should be attributed to the fact that other factors such as inflow velocity, presence of bifurcation and the size of the side branch determine the flow through the lesion and consequently affect WSS. The WSS cut-off of ≥7.69Pa found in our analysis is in line with experimental studies showing that WSS >7Pa has unfavourable effects on vessel wall biology.\(^9\) This value is higher than the cut-off of 4.71Pa reported in the study of Kumar et al., a discrepancy that is likely to be due to the differences in the post-processing of the reconstructed models as they estimated the mean WSS in 5mm segments while our analysis focused on 3mm segments.\(^{12}\)

Apart from the WSS, also 3D-QCA-derived variables and in particular the MLA and the AS also provided useful prognostic information, with the AS appearing an independent predictor in multivariable analysis. These findings are in line with the reported literature.\(^{16}\) The combination of AS and WSS enabled only more accurate identification of vulnerable lesions and had a positive predictive value of 27.8% that compares favourably with the findings of prospective intravascular imaging studies of atherosclerosis and allowed detection of patients who are at risk of suffering a cardiovascular event.\(^{21-23}\)

Considering the fact that FFR is routinely used in the clinical arena to assess lesion severity and that
WSS computation is fast in 3D-QCA models, we believe that the present approach might be clinically relevant in the future to detect vulnerable lesions and high-risk patients that will benefit from emerging focal or systemic therapies of atherosclerosis; however, these findings have to be confirmed in other patient cohorts and ideally in prospective large-scale studies before advocating their broad use in clinical practice.

Limitations

Although the present study is one of the largest analyses reported in the literature associating haemodynamic variables with clinical events, it has limitations that should be acknowledged. First, its retrospective design has led to the exclusion of a large number of patients who had insufficient clinical or angiographic data or poor angiographic image quality, and this may introduce a selection bias. This also resulted in a small number of hard clinical endpoints and did not allow us to examine the value of the WSS in predicting cardiac death or MI. Nevertheless, it has to be acknowledged that aggressive atherosclerotic disease progression may have a similar pathophysiological pattern with ACS, as it has been recognised that not all the ruptured plaques cause MI but some of them tend to heal and progress fast causing angina symptoms. Moreover, the combined endpoint of our study is similar to the primary endpoint of all the reported and ongoing studies that also considered the clinically indicated TLR as a significant adverse cardiovascular event (PREVENT, NCT02316886; COMBINE OCT-FFR, NCT02989740). Additionally, we have included in this study patients admitted with chronic coronary syndrome and those with ACS; studies have showed significant differences in lesion morphology between these two populations which is likely to determine the implication of WSS on vessel pathology and clinical outcomes. To overcome this limitation the clinical presentation was included in the Cox regression analysis. It has to be stressed, however, that FFR is in both groups the standard invasive approach to assess lesion functional significance and the same cut-off of >0.80 is recommended to defer revascularization.

Furthermore, despite the strict exclusion criteria and the particular effort that was made to include only patients with high quality angiographic projections, often we processed suboptimal angiographic views with some foreshortening. A prospective study is likely to overcome these limitations and provide X-
ray imaging data with excellent quality that will allow more accurate coronary reconstruction and estimation of WSS distribution.

Finally, despite the approximations that were made in coronary artery modelling - using 3D-QCA software that assumes the lumen has elliptical cross-sections - and in WSS estimation to expedite CFD analysis, it has to be acknowledged that blood flow simulation remains time-consuming as this process required approximately 20 minutes per vessel in our study. Nevertheless, future developments are expected to further reduce the computational time to only few minutes allowing evaluation of WSS distribution in real time while the patient is on the catheterisation laboratory. Recently, a software that has been designed by Pie Medical Imaging (CAAS Workstation WSS, Pie Medical Imaging, Maastricht, the Netherlands) that allows computation of WSS in 3D-QCA models within only few minutes and is expected to broaden the applications of CFD in the clinical practice.

Conclusions

In this large-scale retrospective analysis, WSS distribution and 3D-QCA derived variables enabled accurate detection of non-flow limiting lesions with a borderline negative FFR that are likely to progress and cause events and allowed identification of patients who are at risk of suffering LOCE. Prospective studies are needed to confirm these findings and developments in software design are required to expedite CFD analysis before this approach may be used to detect vulnerable lesions and patients who would benefit from novel focal or systemic therapies of atherosclerosis.
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### Table 1. Baseline demographics of the patients who suffered a LOCE and of the control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristics</th>
<th>Studied patients (n=286)</th>
<th>LOCE group (n=37)</th>
<th>Control group (n=249)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>64.5 (55-71)</td>
<td>62 (54-71)</td>
<td>65 (56-71)</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>238 (83.2)</td>
<td>33 (89.2)</td>
<td>205 (82.3)</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of CAD</td>
<td>93 (33.7)</td>
<td>8 (22.2)</td>
<td>85 (35.4)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS presentation</td>
<td>61 (21.5)</td>
<td>13 (35.1)</td>
<td>48 (19.4)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Co-morbidities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Studied patients (n=286)</th>
<th>LOCE group (n=37)</th>
<th>Control group (n=249)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>190 (71.4)</td>
<td>26 (70.3)</td>
<td>164 (71.6)</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>194 (70.8)</td>
<td>30 (81.1)</td>
<td>164 (69.2)</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>82 (29.3)</td>
<td>14 (37.8)</td>
<td>68 (28)</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking</td>
<td>125 (44.2)</td>
<td>17 (47.2)</td>
<td>108 (43.7)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced LVEF</td>
<td>32 (14.4)</td>
<td>6 (22.2)</td>
<td>26 (13.3)</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>40 (14.9)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>31 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI</td>
<td>83 (29.3)</td>
<td>11 (29.7)</td>
<td>72 (29.3)</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous PCI</td>
<td>97 (34.3)</td>
<td>14 (37.8)</td>
<td>83 (33.7)</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG</td>
<td>5 (1.8%)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>3 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LOCE, lesion-oriented clinical events; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

*a* History of smoking, defined as current or previous smoker.

*b* Reduced LVEF was defined as ejection fraction <50%.

*c* CKD defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate <60mL/min/1.73m².
Table 2. Angiographic, 3D-QCA and CFD-derived variables of lesions that caused and did not cause LOCE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Studied lesions (n=293)</th>
<th>LOCE group (n=37)</th>
<th>Control group (n=256)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angiographic variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studied vessel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- LAD</td>
<td>224 (76.5)</td>
<td>28 (75.7)</td>
<td>196 (76.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- LCx</td>
<td>27 (9.2)</td>
<td>6 (16.2)</td>
<td>21 (8.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- RCA</td>
<td>42 (14.3)</td>
<td>3 (8.1)</td>
<td>39 (15.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Proximal vessel</td>
<td>94 (32.1)</td>
<td>15 (40.5)</td>
<td>79 (30.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mid-distal vessel</td>
<td>199 (67.9)</td>
<td>22 (59.5)</td>
<td>177 (69.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFR value</td>
<td>0.84 (0.82-0.85)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.82-0.84)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.82-0.85)</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary flow velocity (mm/sec)</td>
<td>137 (120.2-154.3)</td>
<td>142.1 (129.6-160.5)</td>
<td>136.9 (120-154.2)</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3D-QCA variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion length (mm)</td>
<td>21.5 (14.9-30.9)</td>
<td>22 (15.9-29.1)</td>
<td>21.5 (14.7-31.1)</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA (mm²)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.60-2.66)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.45-2.30)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.69-2.70)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS (%)</td>
<td>57.1 (47.9-65.1)</td>
<td>66.1 (59.5-72.3)</td>
<td>54.8 (46.5-63.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal reference area (mm²)</td>
<td>6.16 (4.85-7.63)</td>
<td>6.82 (5.10-7.82)</td>
<td>6.10 (4.80-7.60)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal reference area (mm²)</td>
<td>4.36 (3.38-5.60)</td>
<td>4.50 (3.86-5.89)</td>
<td>4.30 (3.32-5.50)</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CFD-derived variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum WSS (Pa)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.04-2.19)</td>
<td>1.92 (1.28-2.37)</td>
<td>1.48 (1.01-2.08)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum WSS (Pa)</td>
<td>7.62 (5.66-10.92)</td>
<td>10.72 (7.86-15.14)</td>
<td>7.35 (5.54-10.22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3D-QCA, three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography; AS, area stenosis; CFD, computational fluid dynamic; FFR, fractional flow reserve; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LCx, left circumflex artery; LOCE, lesion-oriented clinical events; MLA, minimum lumen area; RCA, right coronary artery; WSS, wall shear stress.
Table 3. Lesion level univariable and multivariable predictors of LOCE and target lesion related MI or TLR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariable analysis</th>
<th>Multivariable analysis*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS presentation</td>
<td>1.98 (1.01-3.88)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA (per 1mm² increase)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.33-0.90)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS (per 1% increase)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.05-1.12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum WSS (per 1Pa increase)</td>
<td>1.47 (1.13-1.92)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum WSS (per 1Pa increase)</td>
<td>1.12 (1.07-1.17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target lesion related MI or TLR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG</td>
<td>4.77 (1.14-20.01)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA (per 1mm² increase)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.27-0.84)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS (per 1% increase)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07-1.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum WSS (per 1Pa increase)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.13-1.99)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum WSS (per 1Pa increase)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.08-1.18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AS, area stenosis; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LOCE, lesion-oriented clinical events; MI, myocardial infarction; MLA, minimum lumen area; TLR, target lesion revascularization; WSS, wall shear stress.

*Maximum WSS was preferred to minimum WSS and entered into the multivariable model as it had the highest area under the curve in the receiver-operating characteristics curve analyses performed for both LOCE (AUC_{minWSS} = 0.62, P=0.017; AUC_{maxWSS} = 0.72, P<0.001) and target lesion related MI or TLR (AUC_{minWSS} = 0.61, P=0.038; AUC_{maxWSS} = 0.73, P<0.001).
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Flowchart of the patients and lesions included in the present analysis.

3D-QCA, three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography; BHC, Barts Heart Centre, London; CTC, Cardiothoracic Centre, Basildon; FFR, fractional flow reserve; LMS, left main stem; LOCE, lesion-oriented clinical events; RFH, Royal Free Hospital, London.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves display time to LOCE (A) and target lesion related MI or TLR (B) at a lesion level analysis.

AS, area of stenosis; LOCE, lesion-oriented clinical events; MI, myocardial infarction; TLR, target lesion revascularization; WSS, wall shear stress.

Graphical abstract. 3D-QCA modelling and WSS distribution enable more accurate risk stratification and prediction of LOCE at 4-year follow-up.

3D-QCA, three-dimensional quantitative coronary angiography; AS, area of stenosis; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LOCE, lesion-oriented clinical events; WSS, wall shear stress.
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- RFH London: 223 patients, 226 lesions
- BHC London: 285 patients, 307 lesions
- CTC Basildon: 206 patients, 217 lesions

714 patients, 750 lesions

166 patients, 170 lesions lost to follow-up

548 patients, 580 lesions

262 patients - 287 lesions were excluded from 3D-QCA analysis because of:
- Lack of 2 views to perform 3D-QCA (n=115, 40.1%)
- In-stent restenosis (n=67, 23.3%)
- Poor angiographic imaging quality (n=44, 15.3%)
- Stent edge restenosis (n=19, 6.6%)
- Insufficient DICOM data available (n=16, 5.6%)
- Ostial lesions (n=16, 5.6%)
- LMS lesions (n=10, 3.5%)

LOCE group (37 lesions)

Control group (256 lesions)