Stable Iron Oxide Nanoflowers with Exceptional Magnetic Heating Efficiency: Simple and Fast Polyol Synthesis
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ABSTRACT: Magnetically induced hyperthermia (MIH) has reached a milestone in medical nanoscience and in phase III clinical trials for cancer treatment. As it relies on the heat generated by magnetic nanoparticles (NPs) when exposed to an external alternating magnetic field, the heating ability of these NPs is of paramount importance, so is their synthesis. We present a simple and fast method to produce iron oxide nanostructures with excellent heating ability that are colloidal stable in water. A polyol process yielded biocompatible single core nanoparticles and nanoflowers. The effect of parameters such as the precursor concentration, polyol molecular weight as well as reaction time was studied, aiming to produce NPs with the highest possible heating rates. Polyacrylic acid facilitated the formation of excellent nanoheating agents iron oxide nanoflowers (IONFs) within 30 min. The progressive increase of the size of the NFs through applying a seeded growth approach resulted in outstanding enhancement of their heating efficiency with intrinsic loss parameter (ILP) up to 8.49 nH m\(^{-1}\) kgFe\(^{-1}\). The colloidal stability of the NFs was maintained when transferring to an aqueous solution via a simple ligand exchange protocol, replacing polyol ligands with biocompatible sodium tripolyphosphate to secure the IONPs long-term colloidal stabilization.

INTRODUCTION

The heat magnetic nanoparticles generate when exposed to an alternating magnetic field is the basis for many applications including catalysis,\textsuperscript{1} antimicrobial materials,\textsuperscript{2,3} and the most well-known and challenging MIH for cancer treatment.\textsuperscript{4,5}

Due to the proven biocompatibility of iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs), they are the most common magnetic nanoparticle system for biomedical applications having clinically been approved for example in magnetic resonance imaging and drug/nutrient delivery.\textsuperscript{6,7} Although the first studies of MIH for cancer treatment date back to the 1950s,\textsuperscript{8} it only got to the verge of becoming a standard treatment for certain cancer types in the last decade.\textsuperscript{9,10} In fact, the use of superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SPIOs) as agents for MIH is becoming increasingly common. Another class of magnetic materials with promising MIH activity are magnetosomes. These composite structures are actually iron oxide NPs produced with the assistance of a strain of magnetotactic bacteria.\textsuperscript{11,12} As MIH for cancer treatment relies on the induced malignant cancer cell death after heating to temperatures above 40 °C, the IONPs heating ability in an alternating magnetic field is the key to success. The better the particles heat, the lower is the required concentration of IONPs in the tissue, hence, increasing the chance for efficient IONPs administration and reducing the risk of side effects. A recent study of ours has showed that loading a chemotherapy drug, doxorubicin, onto magnetic nanoparticles that can heat up the cancer cells at the same time as delivering the drug to them was up to 34% more effective at destroying the cancer cells than the chemotherapy drug without added heat.\textsuperscript{13}

The optimisation of IONP properties for MIH is still a very active area of research. The heating ability is considered the critical factor and is usually prioritised when developing syntheses for MIH. As the heating abilities of IONPs depend not only on their intrinsic properties, but also on the frequency and strength of the applied magnetic field, the particle concentration and the medium in which they are dispersed, systematic comparison with literature can be challenging. However, there is strong evidence from early linear response theory models\textsuperscript{14} and experimental studies\textsuperscript{15,16} that monodisperse (single crystalline) IONPs above 10 nm and below 25 nm are the most promising candidates for MIH.

Recently, clustered nanostructures were shown to have superior heating characteristics than their building blocks, i.e., the smaller single crystals. This can in principle be explained as a result of the magnetic interactions between clustered particles.\textsuperscript{17} These interparticle interactions are complex and depend strongly on the single crystal particle size, orientation and spacing. For example, when comparing particle clusters and single crystals of the same dimensions, the single particles display superior heating ability. However, an appealing feature of clustered structures is that they remain superparamagnetic (which is essential to avoid further agglomeration and hence precipitation) even when their dimension exceeds the superparamagnetic limit, i.e., around 25 nm for IONPs.\textsuperscript{18}

Clustered IONPs have been synthesised by various methods, such as co-precipitation,\textsuperscript{19,21} thermal decomposition\textsuperscript{22}, microwave,\textsuperscript{17} hydrothermal/ solvothermal, including sol–gel, and sono-chemical methods.\textsuperscript{23,24} The polyol method, in which the polyol acts as solvent, reducing agent and surfactant is an attractive method for preparing nanophase and micrometre size particles with well-defined shapes and controlled particle sizes.\textsuperscript{6,25} In comparison with the co-precipitation method, polyol routes for IONPs have several advantages. In particular, the relative-
ly high reaction temperature of the polyol method favours IONPs with higher crystallinity and saturation magnetization.\textsuperscript{26–28} In addition, the IONPs can be easily dispersed in aqueous media and other polar solvents because the surface of IONPs contain many hydrophilic ligands.

The influence of polyacrylic acid (PAA) concentration over the magnetic and structural properties of IONPs for the core-precipitation method has previously been reported.\textsuperscript{29,30} Mi \textit{et al.} showed that for the thermal decomposition of Fe(acac)\textsubscript{3} in polyol,\textsuperscript{30} the increase of PAA concentration would contribute mostly at coating the particles, as the chance of a PAA molecule binding with multinanocrystal nuclei could be enhanced.

The nanoclusters synthesised with PAA are only soluble in nonpolar solvents due to the capped hydrophobic surfactant ligands, which limits their potential for biomedical applications, hence, it is necessary to render them water dispersible. Recent work with phosphate anchored layers grafted on MNPs demonstrated that these moieties were proven to be better anchoring groups to the surface of NPs without perturbing their properties.\textsuperscript{31,32} Sahoo \textit{et al.} found that alkyl phosphonates and phosphates could be used for obtaining thermodynamically stable dispersions of magnetic ferrite NPs via formation of P-O-Fe bonding.\textsuperscript{33} In another work, Majeed and co-authors reported the development of a new class of water-dispersible polyphosphate grafted Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanomagnets for cancer therapy.\textsuperscript{34}

In addition to heating ability, colloidal stability and bio-compatibility, the reproducibility and scalability of a synthesis protocol are crucial for bringing IONPs closer to application.\textsuperscript{35} As the latter are frequently neglected, the replication of MNPs with good heating abilities is difficult. Hence, there is still a need for robust well-established synthetic routes providing particles with a set of properties that lead to excellent heating ability.

In this work, we demonstrate the synthesis of iron oxide flower-like nanostructures with exceptional heating abilities in alternating magnetic fields. These nanoflowers exhibit superior intrinsic loss parameter (ILP) > 8.4 J m\textsuperscript{-2} kgFe\textsuperscript{-1} that is ~3 times higher than commercially available nano-heaters as well as magnetic nanostructures with similar composition and morphology reported in literature.\textsuperscript{4}

Although our polyol-prepared IONP/NFs were readily dispersible in water after synthesis, their colloidal stability was improved after exchanging the (polyol) ligand with sodium tripolyphosphate using a straightforward one pot protocol. Finally, a novel seeded growth strategy is demonstrated leading to further increase of the IONF size and their corresponding heating ability. All studies were performed with the intention to provide simple synthetic procedures yielding high-quality particles for MIH that can be produced in a very short time.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of reaction time, polyol and precursor concentration on IONP synthesis

Typical reaction times of 12 h or longer (such as overnight reactions)\textsuperscript{5,36–38} is a challenge for large-scale production. Such long synthetic times are common to obtain desired IONP crystallinity. Therefore, optimising a synthesis in terms of scalability must target a trade-off between product quality and reaction time.

To find this compromise, the IONP synthesis was performed in triethylene glycol (TREG) with 0.13 M Fe(acac)\textsubscript{3} for reaction times of 30 min, 1 h, 6 h and 24 h. The expected increase in IONP size with reaction time\textsuperscript{25,39} was confirmed by transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis (Figure 1). For the shortest reaction time (30 min) relatively monodisperse particles of D\textsubscript{TEM} = 7.5 ± 1.4 nm were obtained. Increasing the reaction time to 1 h, 6 h or 24 h yielded D\textsubscript{TEM} = 9.9 ± 1.7 nm, 10.9 ± 1.9 nm or 12.4 ± 2.5 nm, respectively.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Figure S1, Supporting Information) confirm that all reaction times resulted in IONPs of inverse spinel structure (\textit{i.e.}, the most magnetic form; magnetite (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) or maghemite (γ-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3})). The crystallite size increased likewise with reaction time from D\textsubscript{XRD} = 6.0 nm for 30 min to D\textsubscript{XRD} = 9.2, 10.2 or 11.9 nm for 1 h, 6 h or 24 h, respectively. The comparable diameters obtained from XRD and TEM indicate that IONPs were monocrystalline, which was also confirmed by high resolution TEM (HRTEM) (Figure S2, Supporting Information).

Although the IONP volume (= D\textsubscript{TEM}\textsuperscript{2}π/6) increased ~5 fold from 30 min to 24 h (and ~doubled after 1 h), the concentration of Fe in IONPs (C\textsubscript{Fe,IONP}) did not increase by more than 20 % (comparing with syntheses performed for 30 min and 24 h, see Table S1, Supporting Information). This indicates that for longer reaction times the IONPs grew by coalescence and/or Ostwald ripening.\textsuperscript{40} The latter, however, is unlikely as the required dissolution of small particles cannot be justified by the low (not quantified) solubility of magnetite/maghemite IONPs in polyols. It should be noted that polyol methods are in general associated with low precursor conversions, \textit{i.e.}, the C\textsubscript{Fe,IONP} is expected to be significantly lower than the total Fe concentration - C\textsubscript{Fe,total} (in particles and solution).\textsuperscript{27}

As expected, the IONP heating ability increased with the synthetic time (\textit{i.e.}, with the IONP size) from negligible heating performance for 30 min (SAR = 64 W g\textsuperscript{-1}) to an SAR of 219 W g\textsuperscript{-1} for the 24 h synthesis (Figure S3 and Table S1, Supporting Information). The suggested growth mechanisms imply that for longer reaction times a further increase in IONP size >> 10 nm, may be possible and this could lead to higher heating efficiency;\textsuperscript{15,16} however, such long reaction times are not practical from the scalability point of view. When fixing the reaction time to 30 min, it was possible to further increase the IONP size to D\textsubscript{TEM} = 8.2 ± 1.5 nm (Figure S4, Supporting Information) by changing TREG with tetraethylene glycol (TEG), which was shown to yield larger IONPs.\textsuperscript{41} However, both syntheses yielded small (< 10 nm) IONPs with poor heating ability (Figure S3 and Table S1, Supporting Information), indicating that for such short reaction times the synthesis of IONP clusters is a better option to produce particles.
with sufficient heating efficiency for MIH

**Figure 1.** TEM images of IONPs synthesised in TREG with 0.13 M Fe(acac)₃ and reaction times of 30 min, 1 h, 6 h, and 24 h.

XRD analysis (Figure 2) of IONPs synthesised using different iron precursor concentrations, i.e., 0.07 M and 0.13 M Fe(acac)₃ and different polyols (TREG and TEG) showed that all peaks can be indexed to the cubic inverse spinel structure (e.g., of magnetite Fe₃O₄) and that no crystalline impurities could be found. Evaluation of the crystallite size using the Scherrer equation yielded \( D_{\text{XRD}} = 5.1 \text{ nm} \) and \( D_{\text{XRD}} = 8.1 \text{ nm} \) for IONPs synthesised using TREG and TEG (both at 0.07 M Fe(acac)₃) respectively. IONPs synthesised with 0.13 M Fe(acac)₃ in TREG had \( D_{\text{XRD}} = 6 \text{ nm} \). The results are consistent with TEM, showing that increasing of polyol chain length and of iron precursor concentration leads to an increase of the size of the obtained IONPs.

**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of IONPs synthesised in TREG at different iron precursor concentrations and in TEG with reaction times of 30 min. The bars at the bottom show the peak positions and relative intensities corresponding to magnetite (JCPDS card 03-065-3107)

IONF synthesis using PAA

To achieve IONP clustering within 30 min of reaction time, the synthesis was performed in the presence of PAA (20-100 mM). Figure 3 shows the FTIR spectrum of dried iron oxide nanoflowers (IONFs) synthesised with 66 mM PAA, together with the corresponding spectrum of dried PAA alone. The spectrum of IONFs (Figure 3 top) shows several absorptions in the investigated 4000-600 cm⁻¹ range, corresponding to the vibrational modes of PAA. In particular, the bands are assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric CH₂ stretching (2922, 2860 and 2917, 2868 cm⁻¹, for free PAA and PAA-capped IONP respectively), to the C=O bond stretching (1728–1554 cm⁻¹), to O-H stretching mode of carboxylate groups (1400 - 1408 cm⁻¹), and to CH deformation (1463 and 1377 cm⁻¹). The peak at 1450 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to the asymmetric C–O–Fe stretching mode of carboxylate groups covalently bonded with ferric or ferrous ions on the surface of the particles, which indicated that PAA coating was achieved by carboxylic groups coordinating with iron atoms.

**Figure 3.** Fourier transform infrared spectra of IONFs (top) and PAA (bottom).

TEM analysis (Figure 4a) showed that IONPs were clustered successfully, and that cluster size and structure could be tuned via the adjustment of PAA concentration. While single core particles were obtained without PAA (0.07 M Fe(acac)₃, TREG) with \( D_{\text{TEM}} = 6.1 \pm 2.1 \text{ nm} \) (Figure S4, Supporting Information), IONP aggregation occurred at 20 mM PAA (otherwise in identical synthetic conditions) yielding polydisperse IONP clusters, \( D_{\text{TEM}} = 9.8 \pm 3.6 \text{ nm} \). When increasing the amount of PAA to 33 mM, 66 mM, and 100 mM well defined and monodisperse “nanoflowers” were obtained with \( D_{\text{TEM}} = 20.5 \pm 2.4 \text{ nm}, 22.7 \pm 2.1 \text{ nm} \) and \( 26.2 \pm 1.7 \text{ nm} \) respectively.
Figure 4. TEM images of clustered IONFs synthesised in TREG with 0.07 M Fe(acac)₃ and reaction time of 30 min using 20, 33, 66, and 100 mM PAA (a). Heating profiles of IONFs solutions (left) and the SAR values (right) (b). Hysteresis curves of IONFs synthesised with different concentrations of polyacrylic acid measured at 300 K. The insets show the magnified hysteresis loop at low fields (c).

Thus, TEM and XRD analyses (Figure S5, Supporting Information) show that while an increase in PAA concentration resulted in larger IONP clusters, the crystallite size remained constant (Table S1, Supporting Information). This confirms the multicore nature of IONPs synthesised with PAA, which is consistent with previous reports. For example, Hemery et al. reported ~7.4 nm grain sizes for polyl-prepared multicore maghemite NFs with an outer diameter of about 36.9 nm.¹³ Palchoudhury et al. prepared IONFs, using 1-octadecene as solvent with oleic acid, trioctylphosphine-oxide, and iron oleate as precursor. They report that IONF formation requires a high nuclei concentration which, after a growth stage consuming the monomers, aggregate to minimise the surface energy, forming IONFs.⁴⁴ It has to be noted that IONFs prepared by polyol method can still be single-crystalline, constituted of small grains with the same crystalline orientation, despite being classified as ‘multicore’.⁴⁵ Still, higher specific heating rates of multicore IONPs compared to single core ones have been attributed to ‘spin glass’ dynamics of the magnetic moments within a cluster, strongly correlated with the exchange interaction.⁴⁶ In fact, it has been shown that multicore particles can display enhanced susceptibility compared to single core, while maintaining a superparamagnetic behaviour due to a reduced surface anisotropy.⁴⁷

The heating ability of the single core IONPs and clustered IONPs (i.e., IONFs) in an alternating magnetic field shows a clear enhancement of the SAR for IONFs (Table 1, Figure 4b). The SAR was enhanced with increasing PAA concentrations reaching 915 W g⁻¹ Fe⁻¹ (ILP = 3.0 nH m⁻² kg⁻¹ Fe⁻¹) for IONFs synthesised with 66 mM PAA. The increase in heating efficiency is attributed to the structural and magnetic properties of the IONFs, which are composed of highly ordered nanocrystals that do not behave like isolated grains.⁴⁸,⁴⁹ It is worth noting that it is crucial for efficient magnetic hyperthermia, when the crystal domains rearrange forming from single crystalline structures.⁵₀

From the above, one can observe the non-linear modification of SAR and ILP values with the increase of NP size. However repeated measurements confirmed the reproducibility and validity of such big increase for the SAR for only few nanometers of size difference. We would like to highlight that it is not expected to necessarily observe a linear correlation between the heating efficiency and the IONP size.⁵¹ Even if 2 nm (i.e., 20.5 nm for NFs with 33 mM PAA and 22.7 nm for NFs with 66 mM PAA) is a small difference in size, the actual arrangement of the crystal domains within the NFs may be somewhat different between the two samples, and the magnetic coupling could be also different in a considerable extent. This might cause a much improved heating efficiency for the bigger size sample. The different amount of PAA also seems to affect significantly the heating efficiency behavior and to be more important than particle size, possibly because the actual arrangement of the crystal domains within the NFs and the magnetic coupling are different at different PAA concentrations.

When increasing the PAA concentration to 100 mM the SAR dropped (despite an increase in NF size) to 442 W g⁻¹ Fe⁻¹ (ILP = 1.46 nH m⁻² kg⁻¹ Fe⁻¹) indicating that higher PAA concentration deteriorates the magnetic coupling. Solution became highly viscous at room temperature which hampered the ligand exchange step, hence, 100 mM PAA samples were not considered for further investigation.
Magnetic hysteresis curves measured at 300 K (Figure 4c, and Figure S6 measured at 5 K, Supporting Information) show that the single core IONPs (0 mM PAA) and IONFs (20, 33, and 66 mM PAA) were superparamagnetic. Their coercivities were lower than 50 Oe (13.5, 20, 18.3, and 17 Oe) and mass magnetisation were 100.8, 96.9, 100 and 105 emu g\text{Fe}$^{-1}$ respectively. This is in line with the zero-field cooled and field cooled curves (Figure S7, Supporting Information), showing higher blocking temperatures ($T_b$) for larger IONFs. Isolated single core IONPs showed a $T_b = 160$ K, whereas IONFs showed $T_b$ of $\sim$209, 283 and 302 K, for 20, 33 and 66 mM PAA respectively. Since IONFs obtained at 66 mM PAA exhibited a $T_b$ around room temperature, they are borderline superparamagnetic. The broad peak of the zero-field cooled curve around $T_b$ for all NFs samples indicate stronger magnetic interactions, as expected for such large multicore IONPs.\textsuperscript{32,33} The mass magnetisation was normalized to the weight of the magnetic portion of the particles; thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements (Figure S8, Supporting Information) helped to determine the organic mass amount, which is not magnetic.

Table 1. Characterisation summary of single core IONPs (0 mM PAA) and IONFs (20-100 mM PAA) with total Fe concentration ($C_{Fe, total} = 3.66$ mgFe ml$^{-1}$), Fe concentration obtained by ICP ($C_{Fe, IONP}$/$C_{Fe, IONF}$), particle diameter obtained by TEM ($D_{TEM}$), crystallite diameter obtained by XRD ($D_{XRD}$), as well as heating characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAA [mM]</th>
<th>$D_{XRD}$ [nm]</th>
<th>$D_{TEM}$ [nm]</th>
<th>$C_{Fe, IONF}$ / $C_{Fe, IONP}$ [mgFe ml$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Slope [°C s$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>SAR [W g$_{Fe}^{-1}$]</th>
<th>ILP [nH m$^2$ kg$_{Fe}^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>20.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>22.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>26.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ligand exchange and stability study**

The surface modification of IONFs by sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP) was performed as described in section Methods. FTIR results (Figure S9, Supporting Information) demonstrate that STPP successfully substituted the TREG molecules adsorbed on IONPs during the ligand exchange step. After the STTP ligand exchange, the characteristic bands of PAA disappeared, while P–O and P=O stretching bands of STPP appeared, located between 1200 and 1300 cm$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{54}

Replacing polyol ligands with STPP increased the electrostatic and steric repulsion significantly, which ensured the IONFs long-term colloidal stabilisation. The hydrodynamic diameter of STPP-capped IONPs was $D_{h} = 78$ nm and did not show any further increase after more than three months (Figure S10, Supporting Information).

The size of IONFs did not change after dialysis ($D_{TEM} = 32 \pm 5.3$ nm (Figure S11, Supporting Information). XRD analysis (Figure S12, Supporting Information) shows that the ligand exchange reaction had no effect on the crystal structure and crystallite size, which remained at $D_{XRD} = 10$ nm.

**IONF size increase via seeded growth**

A seeded growth strategy was used (see section Methods) to further increase the IONFs size, aiming to improve their heating ability. Seeded growth was performed using the IONF synthesis with 66 mM PAA, as these IONFs showed the best heating ability (see Table 1). TEM analysis (Figure 5a) showed an increase in IONF size with each feeding step, \textit{i.e.}, from $D_{TEM} = 22.5 \pm 2.9$ nm (IONF seeds) to $D_{TEM} = 29.7 \pm 4.1$ nm after the 1st feeding step, and after the 2nd feeding step to $D_{TEM} = 32.5 \pm 6.1$ nm. XRD (Figure S13, Supporting Information) revealed that the core size changed only slightly from $D_{XRD} = 10.7$ nm (IONF seeds) to $D_{XRD} = 10.6$ nm after the 1st feeding step, and after the 2nd feeding step to $D_{XRD} = 13.4$ nm.

The absence of significant increase of the core size, as well as the slightly misaligned grains is in line with the magnetic measurements confirming that the IONFs remain superparamagnetic even after the 2nd feed addition (Figure S14, Supporting information).

Magnetic hyperthermia requires particles with relatively high saturation magnetization, high magnetic susceptibility and a zero or very low coercivity.\textsuperscript{55} The magnetic measurements (Figure S7, S14, Supporting Information) confirm that the IONFs remain superparamagnetic even after the 2nd feed addition. One reason to prefer (nearly) superparamagnetic NPs over ferro/ferrimagnetic NPs is that the absence of coercive forces minimizes the intensity of magnetic dipolar interactions and, therefore, reduces the likelihood of NP aggregation that could have harmful effects on the body circulatory system.
Figure 5. TEM images of the IONFs synthesised with PAA via seeded growth: 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} feeding step (a). Aberration corrected transmission electron micrograph of IONF seeds and after the 2\textsuperscript{nd} feeding step. The red lines show the low index \{111\} facets. The insets show the FFT taken from the dashed white box, with the iron oxide viewed down the [310] zone axis in a, and [110] zone axis in b. The white lines in the FFT show the angle of variation in the FFT spot (b). Schematic representation of seeded growth mechanism (c).

The heating ability increased significantly with each feeding step, doubling after the first (SAR = 1723 W g\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}), and almost tripling after the second feeding step to SAR = 2426 W g\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}, \textit{i.e.}, an ILP = 8.08± 0.41 nH m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}} (see Table 2, Figure S15 for heating profiles, Figure S16 for overall trend, Supporting Information). It is possible that the particularly high heating efficiency of the IONFs of the current work is attributed at least to some extent to defects in their crystal structure. The cores which compose the NFs are not perfectly aligned and the amount of misalignment increases in the seeded particles. This might imply the presence of defects in the IONFs. Lappas \textit{et al}. have shown that defects such as vacancies endow better hyperthermia performance over defect-free nanocrystals.\textsuperscript{56} Also, the seeded grown, still superparamagnetic, nanoflowers exceeded the size of previously reported IONFs. Therefore, enhanced magnetic coupling in these superparamagnetic nanoflowers is expected to have a positive impact on the heating rate.

The heating rate, best compared using the ILP, of the seeded grown IONF is more than twice as high as those of commercially available IONPs for magnetic hyperthermia (ILP < 4 nH m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}),\textsuperscript{57,58} and clearly surpasses other IONPs, such as single crystalline IONPs, e.g., cubes (SAR \textasciitilde 300-400 W g\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}, ILP = 0.36-0.47 nH m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}),\textsuperscript{59-61} and other IONFs synthesised previously. For example, for 22 nm magnetite IONFs prepared by polyol route,\textsuperscript{36} SAR up to 1180 W g\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}} was measured with ILP values up to 2.6 nH m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}. Hugounenq \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{48} reported SAR values up to 1992 W g\textsuperscript{-1} (ILP = 6.1 nH m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsubscript{Fe\textsuperscript{-1}}) for 24 nm IONFs prepared using diethylene glycol.

In addition, the synthesis was proven to be reproducible with IONF exhibiting excellent heating rates repeatedly (see Table S1, Supporting Information). This is important to highlight, since successful reproducibility cannot be always taken for granted upon the use of colloidal chemical routes for NP synthesis. The PAA induced aggregation mechanism seems to yield IONFs not only faster...
(within 30 min, which is significantly faster than with previous syntheses), but also in a more controllable way, not only for the initial IONF formation but also their subsequent seeded growth.

Some reports claimed that the allowable values of H×f are much larger than the Atkinson-Brezovich limit,\(^{62}\) ranging between 1.8 × 10\(^2\) A m\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) \(6^{-1}\) to 8.3 × 10\(^2\) A m\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) \(6^{-1}\) and up to 18.7 × 10\(^2\) A m\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) \(6^{-1}\). It should be mentioned that a high frequency (765 kHz) has also been employed in vitro studies to achieve a decent level of heating efficiency.\(^{66}\)

However, for the sake of accuracy in determining the particles heating rates, (e.g. using the initial slope method)\(^{67}\) as shown here (and also elsewhere) large heating rates are preferred. This aims to minimise the effect of cooling due to heat transfer, i.e., to justify the assumption of (pseudo) adiabatic heating. In fact, such high frequencies, as the ones used herein are commonly applied to quantify heating rates.

The somewhat lower values obtained at lower frequencies can be explained by the heat losses that need to be considered for slow heating during such calorimetric measurements (Figure S17, Supporting Information).

### Table 2. Characterisation summary of PAA-IONFs seeds and after 1 or 2 feeding steps of seeded growth with total Fe concentration (C\(_{Fe\text{total}}\) = 3.66 mgFe ml\(^{-1}\)), Fe concentration obtained by ICP (C\(_{Fe\text{-IONF}}\), particle diameter obtained by TEM (D\(_{TEM}\)), crystallite diameter obtained by XRD (D\(_{XRD}\)), as well as heating characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D(_{XRD}) [nm]</th>
<th>D(_{TEM}) [nm]</th>
<th>C(_{Fe\text{-IONF}}) [mgFe ml(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Slope [°C s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>SAR [W g(^{-1})]</th>
<th>ILP [nH m(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>seeds</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>22.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1(^{st}) feeding step</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>29.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(^{nd}) feeding step (repeated 3 times)</td>
<td>13.1 ±0.3</td>
<td>31.8 ± 5.1</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2426 ± 76</td>
<td>8.08 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONCLUSION

Summarising, we present a simple, fast and reproducible (hence scalable) one-pot synthesis and ligand exchange of IONPs yielding IONFs with excellent heating ability (ILP = 8.08±0.41 nH m\(^{-1}\)). The synthesis was green, and economic avoiding expensive or toxic chemicals. Via a simple ligand exchange protocol the synthesised IONPs and IONFs became highly colloidally stable in water with no change in the hydrodynamic diameter for more than three months.

The IONP synthesis in polyol was systematically studied in terms of the effect of precursor concentration, the polyol used, and the reaction time on the particles size and heating properties. The minimum reaction time (30 min) was chosen assuring that developed syntheses are scalable. Syntheses yielding single core particles were only able to achieve low heating ability (SAR = 219 W g\(^{-1}\), ILP = 0.63 nH m\(^{-1}\)) for reaction times of 24 h.

IONFs synthesised within 30 min in the presence of PAA showed remarkably improved heating rates. By tuning the concentration of PAA, IONP clustering was controlled, producing (at 66 mM PAA) monodisperse IONFs (D\(_{TEM}\) = 22.7 ± 2.1 nm) with heating rates of SAR = 915 W g\(^{-1}\) (ILP = 3.0 nH m\(^{-1}\)).

A seeded growth approach was demonstrated for the first time to increase the size of the IONFs size further. HRTEM, XRD and magnetometry showed that initially formed IONFs and seeded grown IONFs consist of single crystalline building blocks that aggregate fairly aligned, but with a slight misalignment. The seeded grown nanoflowers, hence, remained superparamagnetic, but their heating properties improved. After only one feeding step (D\(_{TEM}\) = 29.7 ± 4.1 nm) the heating rate doubled and almost tripled after a second feeding step (SAR = 2426 ± 76 W g\(^{-1}\), i.e., ILP = 8.08 ± 0.41 nH m\(^{-1}\)).

Considering the excellent heating rates of these IONFs synthesised within a short reaction time (30 min at 280 °C for seed generation and per feeding step), and their excellent colloidal stability after a simple ligand exchange step (feasible as the synthesis is performed in polyols) these materials are promising candidates for use as heating agents in cancer treatment. The presented synthetic pathway provides a facile benchmark synthesis to reproducibly produce colloidally stable and biocompatible magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) with excellent heating abilities for magnetically induced hyperthermia cancer treatment.

### METHODS

**Chemicals**

Iron(III) acetylaceonate (Fe(acac)\(_3\)), 99.9%; Merck Millipore), triethylene glycol (TREG, 99%; Sigma-Aldrich), tetraethylene glycol (TEG, 99%; Sigma-Aldrich), ethyl acetate (EtAc, 99.8%; Sigma-Aldrich), sodium triplyphosphate (STTP, Alfa Aesar), and polyacrylic acid (PAA, Mw = 1,800 Da; Sigma-Aldrich), nitric acid (70% HNO\(_3\)) for inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP), 99.999% trace metal basis; Sigma-Aldrich). Fe standard for ICP (TraceCERT®, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received without any further purification.

**IONP syntheses**

IONPs were produced \(\text{via}\) a modified thermal decomposition synthesis in polyols.\(^{51,68}\) For a typical synthesis, the precursor solution was prepared by dissolving Fe(acac)\(_3\) in the polyol (either TREG and TEG), yielding a 0.07 or 0.13 M Fe(acac)\(_3\) final solution (depending on the initial concentration used). The precursor solution was heated from room temperature to 180 °C at 3 °C/min and kept at this temperature, \(i.e.,\) just before thermally decomposing Fe(acac)\(_3\). Next, the temperature was increased to 280 °C at 5 °C/min and the reaction mixture was held at this temperature for reaction times set between 30 min and 24 h before cooling to room temperature. The solution was magnetically stirred (500 rpm) throughout the synthesis. Following the work of Mi et al.,\(^{30}\) showing that the addition of PAA can yield clustered IONPs, the synthesis was also performed in the presence of PAA in a range of dif-
ferent concentrations (0.02–0.1 M). The experimental procedure (with PAA) is shown in step 1 and 2 in Figure 6. Table S1, Supporting Information, shows a summary of all IONP synthesis performed.

**IONF ligand exchange**

The IONFs were stable in the polyol solution in which they were synthesised, but not in aqueous solutions. After washing and re-dispersion in deionised water (keeping the IONF concentration constant), sedimentation became evident after ~1 d (which is typical for IONFs stabilised by polyols only). To achieve long-term colloidal stability in water, the polyol ligand had to be exchanged. Following the facile ligand exchange protocol by Wan et al., surface polyol ligands were exchanged with STPP, i.e., a biocompatible stabiliser. To secure the attachment of STTP on the IONFs surface, aqueous STTP solution (200 ml of a 2.5 mM) was added under vigorous stirring to IONF polyol solution (30 ml) (see Figure 6, step 3). The resulting IONF solution (13 %vol polyol, 87 %vol water) was kept overnight under moderate stirring to ensure successful ligand exchange of the polyol (TREG) with STTP. To remove excess STTP, unreacted precursor, and the polyol, the STPP-capped IONFs were purified by dialysis against deionised water in a cellulose acetate dialysis bag (Spectra/Por™ 12000-14000 g mol⁻¹ molecular weight cut-off (MWCO), Standard RC Trial Kit) for 72 h at room temperature, during which the water was replaced frequently.

![Figure 6. Schematic of simple one-pot thermal decomposition of Fe(acac)₃ polyol synthesis yielding single core IONPs (without polyacrylic acid) and IONFs (with polyacrylic acid) in Step 1 and Step 2, that are highly stable in water after exchanging the polyol ligand with sodium tripolyphosphate in Step 3.](image-url)
Seeded growth of IONFs

A seeded-growth approach was carried out to further increase the size of IONFs which formed in the presence of PAA. More specifically, a selected volume of precursor solution (typically 10 ml) was added at room temperature to a previously synthesised IONF solution. The latter was synthesised from an identical precursor solution; hence, the Fe molarity remained constant in all precursor solutions, before and during the seeded growth. After adding the precursor, the mixture was magnetically stirred for 5 min and then heated as described in section IONP synthesis. The procedure of one feeding step is sketched in Figure 7. After each feeding step the IONP solutions were cooled to room temperature to terminate the growth.

![Figure 7. Schematic depicting one feeding step for seeded growth of IONP NFs.](image)

**IONP Characterisation**

IONPs not designated for ligand exchange were precipitated with ethyl acetate (2:1 vol EtAc: vol sample), magnetically decanted and washed with excess ethanol in triplicate before analysis.

TEM images were captured using a JEOL 1200 EX microscope at a 120 kV acceleration voltage. IONP suspensions (after redispersion in deionised water) were drop-casted on carbon-coated copper grids and air-dried at room temperature. Particle size analysis from TEM images was performed manually using the image analysis software ImageJ. For non-spherical particles, the particle size was obtained by taking the average value between the maximum diameter and the diameter at a 90° angle to the maximum diameter. For each sample > 200 particles were measured to determine the average diameter and standard deviation (DEM + σDEM). Aberration corrected HRTEM was performed in a Titan Themis 60-300 equipped with an image corrector, probe corrector, and monochromator at 200 kV.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of dried samples were obtained using a PANalytical X’Pert3 (Malvern Panalytical) diffractometer equipped with a CoKα radiation source (λ = 1.79 Å) operated at 40 mA. The crystallite diameter (D_{XRD}) was evaluated from the peak width at full width half maximum (FWHM) intensity of the most intense diffraction peak, i.e., of the (311) planes, diffracted at 2θ = 41° using the Scherrer equation:

\[
D_{XRD} = \frac{0.89 \cdot \lambda}{FWHM} \cdot \cos(\theta)
\]

Fourier transformation infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded using an attenuated total reflectance probe (Spectrum 100 FTIR, Perkin-Elmer).

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was performed for ligand exchanged IONPs with a DelsaMax-Pro (Beckman Coulter) at 22 °C. IONP solutions were diluted with deionised water until the hydrodynamic diameter (Dh) obtained plateaued (typically after a four-fold dilution).

The concentration of Fe in the IONPs or IONFs (CFe-IONP, CFe-IONF) was identified by Inductively Coupled Plasma analysis, multi-collector, mass spectrometry (ICP-MC-MS). First, the samples were washed (as described above) and weighed before dissolution in concentrated nitric acid at 60 °C. Thereafter, these solutions were diluted with deionised water to obtain a 2 % nitric acid solution (the same as the standards used for calibration) for Fe quantification using a Varian 720 ICP-AES (Agilent).

TGA was performed with a Discovery TGA (TA instruments) under a nitrogen gas atmosphere between room temperature up to over 500 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

The IONP magnetic properties were characterised by acquiring M-H plots with applied fields up to 50 kOe and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetisation versus temperature measurements (from 300-5 K) in 100 Oe, obtained with an MPMS superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (MPMS-5S SQUID Magnetometer, Quantum design).

The particles heating abilities in an alternating magnetic field were evaluated with a calorimetric analyser (G2 driver D5 series, nB nanoScale Biomagnetics) at frequency (f) of 488 kHz and a field strength (H) of 308 Oe (= 25 kA m⁻¹). The temperature was recorded with a GaAs-based fibre optic probe immersed in a vial containing ~1 ml of the IONP solution. A sealed glass (Dewar flask at < 0.1 Pa) provided thermal insulation of the sample vial, rendering it a pseudo-adiabatic system. The particles specific absorption rate (SAR), i.e., the power dissipated by the magnetic particles, was obtained by

\[
SAR = \frac{c_{sol} \cdot \Delta T}{\Delta t} \cdot \frac{m_{sol}}{m_{IONP}}
\]

Here c_{sol} is the specific heat capacity of the IONP solution (approximated by the solvent specific heat capacity), and m_{sol}/m_{IONP} is the sample solution to IONP mass ratio. \(\Delta T/\Delta t\) was determined using the initial slope method via a linear fit through the first 20 s of the heating profile T(t) after applying the alternating magnetic field. Since SAR values depend on the field strength and frequency used, the ILP was determined to allow a better comparison literature.
\[ ILP = \frac{SAR[W/kg]}{f[kHz] \cdot H^2[(kA/m)^2]} \]
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