On the Application of Strong Magnetic Fields during Organic Crystal Growth


ABSTRACT: We investigate the effect of crystal growth within a magnetic field for three polymorphic pharmaceuticals, using an experiment where the magnetic field can be varied in strength without altering other crystallization conditions. In the case of carbamazepine, fields above 0.6 T produce metastable form I, and for flufenamic acid, there is an increased propensity to crystallize metastable form I around 1 T. In contrast, the magnetic field has no effect on the crystallization of mefenamic acid, a closely related molecule. The growth of the metastable β polymorph of coronene within a magnetic field at ambient temperature is difficult to reproduce but has been seen as a minor component, consistent with this transformation to the more stable form being facile, depending on the particle size. Calculations of the diamagnetic susceptibility tensors of the polymorphs and their morphologies provide semiquantitative estimates of how the diamagnetic susceptibilities of crystallites differ between polymorphs and explain why mefenamic acid crystallization is unaffected. As the onset of crystallization of carbamazepine and coronene, as defined by changes in turbidity, occur at lower temperatures and hence greater supersaturations in certain ranges of magnetic field strength, this suggests that the field causes precipitation of the metastable form through Ostwald’s rule of stages.

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between the specific crystal structure (polymorph),
its physical properties, suitable crystallization processes, and the performance of the final product is fundamental to the development and manufacture of pharmaceuticals, food stuffs, dyes, explosives, and functional organic materials such as organic semiconductors. Industry tries to find all polymorphs and hydrates of a specialty chemical, and a range of automated and manual methods have been developed, but this approach often has to be tailored to individual molecules. The polymorph screening cannot be restricted to crystallization conditions suitable for manufacture, as the sudden appearance of a more stable form can lead to the loss of control of crystallization of a previously apparently stable form (disappearing polymorphs), and once seeds of a novel polymorph are available, other methods of crystallization may be found. Hence, computational crystal structure prediction (CSP) is being developed as a complementary tool to polymorph discovery to determine the expected range of polymorphs and their properties. Once the polymorphs have been discovered, then the crystallization of pure phases may require adapting the relative rates of nucleation and growth of the polymorphs, through careful exploration of the variables of the solution, sublimation, or ball-milling crystallization process. Many factors can vary the polymorph produced in a crystallization experiment, from pressure, additives, surface templating, nanoconfine-ment to laser-induced nucleation, and so be appropriate methods for polymorph discovery or control.

A less established method of affecting which polymorph is formed could be the application of a magnetic field during crystal growth. The effects of a magnetic field on a crystallizing system is a topic that has been sporadically explored over the past few decades mainly on inorganic salts and proteins. The influence that an applied field has on such dynamic systems is unknown with numerous, often contradictory, hypotheses and remains to this day, largely, a scientific curio. That being said, there are significant potential economic benefits in applications such as removing the need for chemical descalers in the treatment of water to avoid build-up of CaCO3. Recently, we found that a magnetic field had an unexpected effect on the crystallization behavior of the polyaromatic hydrocarbon coronene (Figure 1). Under 1 T of the applied magnetic field, a second
polymer (β form) was found to grow under ambient conditions. After an investigation into the thermodynamic stability, it was established that the γ form spontaneously transforms into the β form at low temperatures via an enantiotropic transition.\(^{36-38}\)

The reports of a magnetic field influencing the crystallization of three distinct organic molecules (2,2',6,2'-terpyridine,\(^{24}\) isoazole dye,\(^{25}\) and coronene\(^{35}\)) are intriguing. The effect of a magnetic field on a closed-shell molecule is to induce a magnetic moment that opposes the applied field with the relationship between the induced moment and the field direction being described by the diamagnetic susceptibility tensor, \(\chi\). For molecules of low symmetry such as organics, the induced moment is at an angle to the applied field as \(\chi\) depends on the orientation of the conjugated functional groups\(^{39}\) and so may vary with conformation. The induced moment in a cluster of molecules is a tensorial addition of individual molecular diamagnetic susceptibility tensors and so is dependent on the size and shape of the cluster. The induced magnetic moments in an organic molecule are so small that the thermodynamic effects of a magnetic field are negligible. Even for a molecule with as large an anisotropic diamagnetic susceptibility as coronene, there is only an energy difference of \(10^{-3} \text{ J mol}^{-1}\) between alignment perpendicular and along a magnetic field of 1 T, which is so much smaller than \(k_B T\) at 298 K that the field cannot be affecting the orientational distribution of single molecules in solution. It is not until a molecular cluster of the order of \(10^7\) coronene molecules is reached that a 1 T magnetic field could produce an energy difference of \(k_B T\) at 298 K for different orientations of the molecules. The diamagnetic susceptibility of an organic crystallite is determined by the crystal packing, size, and morphology. From the diamagnetic susceptibility per molecule of the polymorph, the diamagnetic susceptibility of a crystallite of a given size and morphology can be calculated by tensorial addition. A magnetic field has been used to orientate crystallites of diamagnetic organic molecules, to aid determination of the structure by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD),\(^{40}\) but by this particle size, a magnetic field is unlikely to produce a major internal rearrangement of the molecules within the crystal.

The implication is that the magnetic field is affecting the kinetics of either nucleation or growth or both. It has been previously reported that higher supersaturations of coronene solutions were attainable under an applied magnetic field, detectable through undercooling of the system.\(^{25}\) It is also emerging that deviations from classical nucleation theory are observed for many organic molecules, with liquidlike/disordered/densified clusters (without polymorph identity but of a size that would be influenced by a magnetic field) being observed even in undersaturated solutions.\(^{31-34}\) Thus, there is the potential for a magnetic field to be influencing behavior within prenucleation clusters.

In this work, we determine the effect of strong magnetic fields on the crystallization of three molecular systems that are more typical of pharmaceuticals, namely, carbamazepine, flufenamic acid, and the closely related mefenamic acid (Figure 1). These results, and more insights into the effect of the magnetic field on the crystallization of coronene, are illuminated by the differences in relative stability and magnetic susceptibility tensors of competing polymorphs. Experiments on the effects of the field on the crystallization temperature inform the discussion as to the predictability of whether a magnetic field is able to modify the crystallization of organic molecules.

### METHODS

#### Magnetic Field Crystallization Experiments.

A saturated solution of each system was prepared by dissolving the solute in a solvent specific to that molecule (details in the SI, Section I.A). Solute was added to a solvent which was then held at an elevated temperature. Once an equilibrium had been reached between the dissolved and undissolved solute, 3 mL of the solution was extruded through a 0.22 \(\mu\text{m}\) PTFE filter to remove any potential nucleation centers or undissolved seeds\(^{44}\) into a quartz cuvette. For CBZ, FFA, and MFA, the cuvette was then placed inside a copper block preheated to 70 °C, situated between magnetic poles (Figure S1) and left to equilibrate for 1 h. After equilibration, the horizontal linear magnetic field was applied, and the temperature was lowered from 70 to \(-10 \text{ °C}\) at a rate of 1 °C min\(^{-1}\). For coronene, the cuvette was placed in a sample holder (Figure S4) preheated to 90 °C and lowered into the sample space of the Bitter magnet (High Field Magnet Laboratory (HFML), cell 3). A vertical linear magnetic field was applied and, after an equilibration time of 30 min, the temperature was lowered at a rate of 1 °C min\(^{-1}\). Initially, the polymorphic form was established by comparison of the PXRD pattern with those of the known forms. After which, the morphology was used as an identifier, with PXRD used as an occasional control.

#### Calculation of Diamagnetic Susceptibility Tensors and Lattice Energies.

All crystal structures in this paper were first optimized with CASTEP\(^{45}\) using the PBE functional\(^{46}\) and Tkatchenko and Scheffler’s (TS) dispersion correction scheme,\(^{37}\) a methodology that is widely used for modeling crystal structures of pharmaceutical molecules,\(^{48,49}\) particularly in CSP studies.\(^{10}\) On-the-fly ultrasoft pseudopotentials were used and plane wave cut-off energies and \(k\)-point grids were carefully selected for the polymorphs of each molecule to ensure convergence of the total energy (SI Section II). The optimized structures were converged to a maximum force of less than 0.001 eV/Å. The relative energies for these structures were recalculated using the MBD\(^*\) dispersion correction,\(^{50}\) to establish the sensitivity of the relative lattice energies to the dispersion model.

The crystal diamagnetic susceptibilities \(\chi^{\text{cryst}}\) of polymorphs were calculated using this charge distribution, using a sum-over-states perturbation expansion for the susceptibility for a magnetic field with finite wavevector, with the macroscopic \(\chi^{\text{m}}\) being the limit for a field of infinite wavelength (i.e., uniform B),\(^{31}\) as previously described.\(^{39}\) The calculated magnetic susceptibility tensors were diagonalized to find the three eigenvalues, \(\chi^{\text{iso}}\). From these, the isotropic term, \(\chi^{\text{iso}} = \left(\Sigma \chi^{\text{m}}\right)/3\), and anisotropy (the difference between the largest and
smallest eigenvalue), $\Delta \chi_{\text{tot}}$, were calculated. The diamagnetic susceptibility tensor (per molecule within the crystal structure) was overlaid to show its orientation relative to the crystal packing and the main crystal faces, estimated from the crystal structure by the BFDH morphology model$^{52}$ calculated using Mercury.$^{53}$

**Effect of Magnetic Field on Temperature of Crystallization.** In an attempt to quantify the extent to which higher supersaturations were attainable under an applied magnetic field,$^{35}$ the temperature of crystallization ($C_T$) was determined spectroscopically (SI, Section I.B). The samples were prepared as for the crystallization experiments. Once in position, the sample was observed spectroscopically using a deuterium-halogen lamp and monitored using an Ocean Insight Flame USB spectrometer, with $C_T$ being defined at the maximum of the first derivative of the transmission, as a function of temperature (Figure S3). The $C_T$ of coronene in toluene was observed under a range of magnetic fields from 0 to 20 T, in a similar setup in HFML cell 3 (Figure S4), starting at 90 °C at a cooling rate of 1.25 °C min$^{-1}$. To investigate the parameter space where the effect was maximal, further experiments with a toluene–hexane mixed solvent using the same experimental parameters were carried out at 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.1, and 1.2 T in the apparatus shown in Figure S1. Similarly, CBZ was crystallized from ethanol under a range of applied magnetic fields. For flufenamic acid, undercooling experiments were attempted, but extremely high concentrations required resulted in erratic $C_T$ values, and no reliable results were obtained.

**RESULTS**

**Carbamazepine.** Carbamazepine (CBZ) (5H-dibenzo[b,f]-azepine-5-carboxamide) (Figure 1), an antiepilepsy and trigeminal neuralgia drug, is a well-established polymorphic system and has five known experimental anhydrous forms and a plethora of solvates, though form IV$^{54}$ and form V$^{55}$ are yet to be produced in solution screening. CBZ has been shown to manifest as either form II or III based on the supersaturation and temperature of the solution from which it was grown.$^{56}$ CBZ III (P21/c) has been reported to be the most thermodynamically stable form at atmospheric pressure between 12 K and room temperature.$^{57,58}$ Form I (P1, $Z' = 4$) is highly metastable and has a close structural relationship with the void-channel-containing CBZ II, whose growth is stabilized by solvent inclusion.$^{60}$ When crystallized from ethanol at room temperature, form III is grown from a less saturated solution and form II from a more saturated solution.$^{56}$ A region of concomitant growth is also observed as the concentration increases from one region to the other.$^{56}$ The pharmaceutically used form III is routinely obtained via evaporation or cooling of an anhydrous ethanol solution. Form I can be accessed from a melt and CBZ III and I are enantiotropically related with a transition temperature at 78
so form III is the thermodynamically stable of these forms during our crystallization experiments.

When saturated solutions of CBZ in ethanol were cooled in a magnetic field between 0 and 0.4 T, the expected form III is routinely found (95% over approx. 65 experiments). When a magnetic field of >0.5 T is applied, form I is by far the most commonly observed polymorph (88% over approx. 120 experiments) (Figure 2).

Analysis of the diffraction data of the samples crystallized under different fields shows no sign of concomitant polymorphism when the field is under 0.4 T (with most experiments returning pure form III) or over 0.6 T (with most experiments returning pure form I). However, when CBZ crystallizes under an applied field of 0.5 T, some samples were a mixture of forms I and III, though some experiments did give phase-pure form I or phase-pure form III. Furthermore, there is a very strong tendency for a magnetic field above 0.6 T to produce the metastable form I, which rarely occurs at low magnetic fields, with an intermediate field strength sometimes producing a mixed phase.

The only polymorph, other than the expected form III, that was observed in the experiments was form I, which is metastable at ambient temperature. The structure is very different from that in form III, as although both CBZ I and III are based on CBZ hydrogen-bonded dimers, the packing of the aromatic rings is significantly different, giving rise to differences in the anisotropy of the diamagnetic susceptibility tensors (Figure 3). The CBZ I packing is based on “translation stacks” of molecules, while CBZ III is based on so-called “inversion cups”, formed by two CBZ molecules.9 The morphology of crystals is also very different (Figure 2c,d), so although the anisotropy of diamagnetic susceptibility per molecule within the crystals is smaller for form I, the maximum eigenvector has a significant component along the needle axis (Figure 3), and so a sufficiently large crystallite of form I would have a larger diamagnetic anisotropy than form III.

Flufenamic Acid. Flufenamic acid (FFA, \(N\)-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl)anthranilic acid) (Figure 1) is a fluorinated member of the fenamate group of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. It is known to be highly polymorphic,62 with a number of polymorphs being crystallized at room temperature and pressure in the presence of polymer additives. At room temperature, the two most stable polymorphs, form I and form III, are close in energy, with form III being the storable structure below 42 °C and form I above this temperature, up to the melting point of the solid.63 Both polymorphs are accessible via solvent cooling experiments.64,65

When FFA crystals are grown from ethanol, the polymorph produced can be affected by the magnetic field (Figure 4), but the outcome is not as reliable as for CBZ (Figure 2). Under zero-field conditions, FFA crystallized as form III, 85.7% of the time with the remaining 14.3% of experiments resulting in form I. As the field is increased to 0.5 T, form I crystallizes more frequently in 66.7% of the experiments, with similar results at 1.0 T. At 2.0 T, however, the appearance of form I becomes less common, reducing to 33.3% of experiments. Although this data is compelling, the extremely high concentration of FFA required for these experiments (600 mg/mL) along with the elevated temperatures, made avoiding any crystallization occurring during solution preparation and transferring extremely challenging, and the FFA experiments are the most likely to be affected by some nucleation occurring prior to switching on the field.

The diamagnetic susceptibility tensor of flufenamic acid is more anisotropic per molecule when in the packing and conformation of form I than form III. There is also a marked difference between the BFDH predicted and observed morphologies (contrast Figures 4 and 5) relative to the difference between the observed morphologies, so it is uncertain how the shape of a growing crystal would determine the anisotropy of the diamagnetic susceptibility of the crystal. However, FFA molecules adopt very different conformations in the crystals of forms I and III,66 separated by a sizable energy barrier (i.e., forms I and III are conformational polymorphs66), which also contributes to the difference in magnetic susceptibility.

Mefenamic Acid. Mefenamic acid (MFA, 2-[(2,3-dimethylphenyl)amino]benzoic acid) is similar to FFA being...
another anthranilic acid derivative, but MFA has only three polymorphs,67 with form III being highly metastable and found in an attempted cocrystallization experiment with adenine.67 Form II is stable at elevated temperatures (the transition temperature is 86.6 °C61) and form I is the most stable at ambient. Form I crystallizes from most solvents, though form II forms on rapid cooling of DMF solutions, and crystals of form II suitable for structure determination have been prepared by slow evaporation from chloroform in less humid conditions.67 Form II has also been produced in a high-pressure crystallization experiment.68 When templated by specific self-assembled monolayers,69 the nucleation of mfenamic acid from ethanol and methanol shows a preference for form II.69 Subjected to the same experimental conditions as the previous compounds in this study, MFA showed no polymorph selectivity whatsoever, always crystallizing as form I from ethanol, at any applied magnetic field strength tested (SI, Section I.A.1). The diamagnetic susceptibility tensors for form I and form II (Figure 6) and all other observed crystal structures of mfenamic acid (SI, Section II.A) are very similar. This is because the crystal magnetic susceptibility of a polymorph is largely determined by the relative orientations of the aromatic rings, regardless of whether they are in the same molecule or not, which are similar in all three MFA polymorphs. The MFA conformational polymorphs differ by an approximately 180° change in the torsion angle determining the methyl position, and hence the molecular contribution to $\chi_{\text{cryst}}$ is similar. Thus, mfenamic acid polymorphs or their nuclei are likely to be affected in similar ways by a magnetic field.

**Coronene.** The size of a $\beta$ coronene62 crystal grown under a magnetic field35 was sufficient to establish its structure by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Calculation of the Raman spectra of the two forms has shown that the $\beta$ form is the more stable phase of coronene at low temperatures,37,38 which had not previously been characterized because the $\gamma$ crystals shatter on cooling. Examination of the phase transition in different samples (SI, Section I.D) shows that the polymorphic transformation is dependent on crystal size. As this is a first-order transformation, the degree of hysteresis in the transition is expected to be very dependent on the quality of the crystal, its size, and the cooling rate. The unprecedented growth of a large single crystal of $\beta$ coronene at ambient in a magnetic field

---

**Figure 4.** Changes in the polymorph of mfenamic acid crystallized from ethanol in varying magnetic fields. Characteristic powder diffraction patterns of (a) form III, most likely to be grown in field-free conditions, and (b) form I, which usually crystallized at B > 0.5 T. Characteristic morphologies in optical images of (c) form III and (d) form I morphology. (e) Results of the multiple repeats of the crystallization experiments at each magnetic field strength, color coded by the number of experiments, with the size of the circles representing the relative proportion of the experiments which resulted in the form or mixture specified on the vertical axis.
gave a crystal that was sufficiently large and perfect that it could be cooled to 80 K for structure determination.

Unfortunately, the reproducibility of the growth of $\beta$ coronene from the solution at room temperature is difficult; despite repeated attempts for this work, $\beta$ coronene has only been observed as small crystallites grown concomitantly with $\gamma$ a small number of times in the alternative apparatus (SI, Figure S4) at the High Field Magnet Laboratory. Periodic DFT-D calculations vary in the relative lattice energies and stability order of the two forms with dispersion correction (Figure 7 with more values in SI, Table S4), but phonon calculations (SI, Section II.C) show that increasing temperature favors the $\gamma$ form. However, the experimental evidence is clear that $\beta$ is the low-temperature form, enantiotropically related to the $\gamma$ form.

Crucially, the growth of a single crystal of $\beta$ in a magnetic field was under conditions when it was metastable. Hence, the inability to reproducibly grow the metastable $\beta$ polymorph in a magnetic field at ambient temperature seems to be the another case of the phenomenon of “disappearing polymorphs”.

**Effect of Magnetic Field on Supersaturation/Point of Crystallization.** As can be seen from Figure 8, regardless of field strength, the crystallization temperature, $C_T$, of coronene in toluene is suppressed when compared to zero applied field ($C_T = 40 \, ^\circ\text{C}$). When a linear magnetic field is applied during the cooling of the system, a dramatic change in $C_T$ is observed until a maximum $\Delta C_T$ at 0.5 T. This initial, approximately linear suppression of crystallization changes when the field is increased beyond 1.0 T and moves asymptotically toward $\Delta C_T = 10 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. This complex behavior suggests that more than one physical attribute of crystallization is being affected by the magnetic field with the most pronounced effect for coronene in toluene occurring between 0.5 and 1.0 T. As the $C_T$ of a saturated solution of coronene in toluene was depressed to 6 $^\circ\text{C}$, a single degree higher than the lowest temperature of which the equipment is capable, a toluene−hexane mixed solvent with a ratio of 1:10 was used to make a saturated solution in which coronene is slightly less soluble, and this was also investigated. The $C_T$ is 21 $^\circ\text{C}$ higher in the hexane−toluene mixed solvent than in pure toluene at $B = 0 \, \text{T}$ (Figure 8, left inset and Figure S5). The trend in the mixed solvent system looks similar to that of the toluene-only system, but the largest $\Delta C_T$ was considerably smaller ($15 \, ^\circ\text{C}$) than in pure toluene ($35 \, ^\circ\text{C}$), though seen within a similar range of magnetic fields ($0.8−1.0$ and $0.5−1.0 \, \text{T}$).

As with coronene, CBZ was crystallized under a range of applied magnetic fields inside smaller magnets (SI, Figure S1) to look for differences in $C_T$ (Figure 8, right). An increase in applied magnetic field also has a “suppressive” effect on the $C_T$ of CBZ crystals. There is a dramatic change in crystallization temperature with field up to 0.8 T giving a maximum $\Delta C_T$ of 59 $^\circ\text{C}$. At higher fields, the suppression of crystallization reduces erratically.

Figure 5. Calculated properties of the observed flufenamic acid polymorphs, illustrated by the BFDH morphology of forms III and I of FFA, overlaid with the orientated diamagnetic susceptibility tensor $\chi$ ellipsoids, per molecule in the crystal. The properties are calculated with the PBE functional, with the relative lattice energies including the two specified dispersion corrections. Experimental temperature range of stability taken from ref 62.
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Figure 6. Calculated properties of the observed mefenamic acid polymorph, form I, and the alternative polymorph form II, which has been seen in
competition with form I in other studies, illustrated by the BFDH morphology, overlaid with the orientated diamagnetic susceptibility tensor
ellipsoids, per molecule in the crystal. The structure for disordered form II is that of its major component; the results for the minor component of
form II and form III are in SI, Table S3. The properties are calculated with the PBE functional, with the relative lattice energies including the two
specified dispersion corrections. The experimental temperature range of stability is taken from ref 61.

### DISCUSSION

In this work, we investigated the polymorphic outcome in
solution cooling crystallizations for four different organic
molecules under the influence of an applied magnetic field.
CBZ crystallizes in a metastable form in higher applied fields,
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metastable form or as a phase impurity (SI, Figure S7) in a
field, while the crystallization of MFA is una-
ected by the
field.

For coronene, the transition between the two forms is relatively
free, though dependent on sample size (SI, Section I.D).
Hence, only crystals of the β form that have grown to a
sufficient size and structural purity not to rapidly transform to
the stable γ form at ambient could be observed by the time the
sample was analyzed by PXRD. In contrast, CBZ does not
readily transition between the two forms observed here, which
is why we observe the metastable product in abundance.
Flufenamic acid, as one of the archetypal polymorphophores,
has such a tendency to be trapped in metastable structures
that the competition between form I and form III may be
influenced by competition with many other polymorphs.

We have no evidence for the magnetic field affecting the
polymorphic outcome in a more specific way than altering the
relative kinetics of nucleation and growth to favor known
metastable forms. Within classical nucleation theory, in which
the spherical nucleus has the final structure, its response to a
magnetic field will differ between polymorphs, as reflected in
the calculated diamagnetic susceptibility anisotropy. However,
the polymorph favored by the field is not always the one with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form I</th>
<th>Form II (major)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stable below 360 K</td>
<td>Stable above 360 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_{mag} (TS) = 0.00 kJ mol⁻¹</td>
<td>ΔE_{mag} (TS) = 2.39 kJ mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_{mag} (MBD) = 0.00 kJ mol⁻¹</td>
<td>ΔE_{mag} (MBD) = 5.10 kJ mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_{iso}^{\text{cryt}} = -145$ cgs-ppm</td>
<td>$\chi_{iso}^{\text{cryt}} = -148$ cgs-ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\chi_{an}^{\text{cryt}} = -88$ cgs-ppm</td>
<td>$\Delta\chi_{an}^{\text{cryt}} = -70$ cgs-ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_{I}^{\text{cryt}} = -105$, -137, -193</td>
<td>$\chi_{II}^{\text{cryt}} = -109$, -156, -180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the largest magnetic anisotropy. Furthermore, a spherical nanocluster of diameter more than 100 nm with the structure of CBZ III, containing \(3 \times 10^6\) molecules, would be required before the energy difference between aligning the classical spherical nucleus perpendicular or along a field of 1 T would be comparable to \(k_B T\) at ambient temperature. Hence, a

**Figure 7.** Calculated properties of coronene polymorphs, illustrated by the BFDH morphology overlaid with the orientated diamagnetic susceptibility tensor \(\chi\) ellipsoids, per molecule in the crystal. The properties are calculated with the PBE functional, with relative lattice energies including the three specified dispersion corrections. The experimental temperature range of stability is from ref 37.

**Figure 8.** Suppression of crystallization in the (left) coronene–toluene system as a function of magnetic field strength, \(B\), up to 20 T. The inset shows a fine variation of \(B\) around the inflection point conducted using a toluene–hexane mixed solvent from 0 to 1.2 T, to increase the crystallization temperature. (Right) Suppression of crystallization of the CBZ ethanol system as a function of \(B\).
magnetic field would not be expected to affect the polymorph formed within the classical nucleation theory (CNT). However, we do observe that a magnetic field can affect the crystallization temperature and polymorphic outcome implying that CNT does not correctly describe these systems.

The magnetic susceptibility of a crystallite is affected by its size and shape, as it is tensorially additive, and some of the competing polymorph pairs differ in morphology. Oriented or rotating growing crystallites could modify the approach of solute growth units to the nascent crystallite and possibly affect the growth spirals. Magnetic fields with gradients can suppress the surface convections, thus slowing down the growth of protein crystals, though our experiments are in a uniform field. If the field is affecting the structure of the surface layer and local supersaturation, then this can affect the relative thermodynamic stability of the polymorphs at small nuclei/crystallite sizes. Although it is plausible that the field differentially affects crystallite growth, it seems more likely that the field is affecting the formation of prenucleation clusters in which there is an increased density of solute molecules, but the cluster is still liquidlike. In this type of two-step nucleation, there are many factors that can influence which polymorph emerges, such as the presence of surfaces.

It is conceivable that the field may make a difference to the organization of the molecules within the dense solvent–solute cluster, but elucidating the mechanism would require more experimental work. With a molecular-level model of a nucleating cluster, it would be feasible to estimate its magnetic susceptibility, assuming tensorial addition of the molecular susceptibility, which is a good approximation for crystals.

If the magnetic field always favors metastable polymorphs, then it may be a useful additional tool in polymorph control when a metastable form is needed. There is also the potential of combining crystal structure prediction studies with the estimate of the magnetic susceptibility tensor to produce energy-structure \( \Delta E_{\text{mag}}^{\text{crys}} \) maps to determine whether there are unobserved structures that are energetically competitive with the known forms, whose crystallization could be more favorable in a magnetic field. Such a map is shown in Figure 9 for coronene, where it is clear that the \( \beta \) form is not only close in energy to the \( \gamma \) form that is usually crystallized at ambient but also will be more affected by a magnetic field, suggesting that magnetic field-induced polymorph change is a distinct possibility. The magnetic field could not affect the polymorphic outcome if the diamagnetic susceptibility tensor was the same for each polymorph (as found for mefenamic acid), so such property crystal energy landscapes can at least show when there are no thermodynamically competitive unseen structures whose nucleation may be affected by a magnetic field.

In summary, crystallization in a magnetic field is a technique that may affect polymorphic outcome and so could be considered as a route to finding more polymorphs. This effect needs consideration when an applied field is necessary during analysis (e.g., in most transmission electron microscopes). However, far more needs to be understood about the nucleation of organic molecules before it can be considered as a reliable route to polymorph control for specific pharmaceutical systems.

### CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that a magnetic field can affect the polymorphic outcome of crystallization of model pharmaceutical molecules, containing typical aromatic systems, provided that they are packed to give a significant difference in the anisotropy of the diamagnetic susceptibility tensor. For carbamazepine, the crystallization of metastable form I at fields greater than 0.6 T is reasonably reproducible (Figure 2). In the case of flufenamic acid, there is a switch in whether form III or I is preferred over a range of fields. On the basis of this limited range of compounds, it seems that the magnetic field can help stabilize the nucleation of the metastable form. This is likely to occur from the magnetic field suppressing nucleation, leading to a higher supersaturation when crystallization occurs. More work is needed to understand crystallization in a magnetic field, but calculations can establish when a magnetic field could not distinguish between polymorphs as shown for mefenamic acid.
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ADDITIONAL NOTE
“Please note that the correct nomenclature of beta- and gamma-coronene uses non-italicized Greek characters (see reference 35). The font used in this manuscript gives them the appearance that they are in italics, but they are not.
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