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A B S T R A C T

Background: Migrants globally, including refugees and asylum seekers, have experienced adverse clinical and socioeconomic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. For approximately 56,000 refugees and asylum seekers in Reception and Identification Centers (RICs) and Reception Sites (RS) in Greece, living in severely substandard living conditions, prevention measures have been impossible with limited provision in terms of routine testing, surveillance, and access to healthcare. These migrant populations have experienced prolonged lockdowns and restricted movement since the pandemic began. We aimed to assess the impact of COVID-19 on refugees and asylum seekers in reception facilities in Greece and explore implications for policy and practice.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of policy documents and national surveillance data was conducted to identify COVID-19 outbreaks and estimate incidence among asylum seekers and refugees residing in these camps during the first 9 months of the epidemic in Greece (26th February – 15th November 2020). Incidence proportion (IP) of COVID-19 confirmed cases was calculated for three population groups (refugees and asylum seekers in RICs, refugees and asylum seekers in RSs, and the general population in Greece) during three time periods (first wave, second wave, and overall across the 9-month period).

Findings: Twenty-five COVID-19 outbreaks were identified in refugee and asylum seeker reception facilities, with 6 (85.7%) of 7 RICs and 18 (56.3%) of 32 RSs reporting at least one outbreak during the study period. The overall 9-month COVID-19 IP among refugee and asylum seeker populations residing in RSs on the Greek mainland was 1758 cases per 100,000 population; in RICs the incidence was 2052 cases per 100,000 population. Compared to the general population the risk of COVID-19 infection among refugees and asylum seekers in reception facilities was 2.5 to 3 times higher (p-value<0.001). The risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection was higher among refugee and asylum seeker populations in RSs on the Greek mainland (IP ratio: 2.45; 95% CI: 2.25 – 2.68) but higher still among refugee and asylum seeker populations in RICs in the Greek islands and the land border with Turkey (IP ratio: 2.86; 95% CI: 2.64 – 3.10), where living conditions are particularly poor.

Interpretation: We identified high levels of COVID-19 transmission among refugees and asylum seekers in reception facilities in Greece. The risk of COVID-19 infection among these enclosed population groups has been significantly higher than the general population of Greece, and risk increases as living conditions deteriorate. These data have immediate implications for policy and practice. Strategies are now needed to ensure refugee and asylum seeker populations are included in national response plans to reduce transmission in at-risk groups for COVID-19, alongside inclusion in plans for COVID-19 vaccine roll out.
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1. Introduction

Migrants – including marginalized groups such as asylum seekers, refugees, low-wage labor migrants, and undocumented migrants – globally have experienced adverse clinical and socio-economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic [1]. Pre-existing structural inequalities have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, with migrants already experiencing barriers to accessing healthcare even before the outbreak of COVID-19 and often legal exclusion from European health systems [2], alongside high levels of poverty and deprivation. The discrimination they may face, and their fear and lack of trust in authorities means several thousands of migrants in Europe do not engage in health systems [3], an important concern during a global pandemic. Where migrants do feature in public health policy, it is often in the context of health security and infection control [4]. They may face barriers, therefore, to accessing COVID-19 testing, treatment and vaccination, even when legally entitled, and xenophobic discourse around migrants and infectious disease may further alienate them from health systems [5,6].

Greece is a major entry point for people seeking safety in Europe, and since the early 2000s hundreds of thousands of asylum seekers have crossed into Greece by land and sea. In 2015, over 800,000 people arrived in Greece, the majority fleeing the war in Syria, as well as conflicts and violence in Afghanistan, Iraq and some sub-Saharan African countries [7]. As a result of the EU-Turkey agreement, since March 2016 [8], thousands of men, women and children have been trapped on five Aegean Island Reception and Identification centres (RICs) pending their asylum application process, and in one RIC on the Greek-Turkish land border. In addition, several thousand asylum seekers and refugees are also now accommodated in Reception Sites (RSs) on the Greek mainland, comprising 32 International organization for Migration (IOM)-run‘open camps’ and in hotels and apartments (although data from these settings is unclear) throughout the Greek mainland [9], as well as almost 26,000 accommodation spaces on the Greek islands and mainland for vulnerable asylum seekers and refugees provided by the United Nations Refugee Agency (UNHCR) [10]. As of December 2020, around 120,000 refugees, asylum seekers and migrants were estimated to be residing on the Greek islands and the mainland [11], and of those, 56,000 asylum seekers and refugees are in the RICs and IOM-run RSs. Women and children comprise around 67% of the total population living in IOM-run RS with the majority of residents coming from Afghanistan, Syria and Iraq [12]. Women and children comprise approximately 49% of the total population living in the island RICs with the majority of residents coming from Afghanistan, Syria, the Democratic Republic of Congo and Somalia [13].

Both the RICs and mainland RSs are characterized by severely sub-standard living conditions, poor sanitation and a lack of access to adequate – or sometimes any – healthcare, creating significant risk for their inhabitants in the event of an outbreak of infectious disease [14], with conditions on the islands and Greek-Turkish land border considerably worse than the mainland with higher levels of overcrowding. Social distancing and frequent handwashing have been virtually impossible during the COVID-19 pandemic: residents must often queue in close proximity in order to receive food and other essential items and self-isolation away from other individuals is not feasible due to the cramped conditions [15,16]. Asylum seekers and refugee populations have also experienced prolonged lockdowns and restricted movement since the pandemic began. These populations in Greece continue to face multiple administrative, geographical, societal and legal barriers to accessing mainstream healthcare [16]. The European center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) has suggested that such settings pose a high-risk for COVID-19 transmission and these populations may need to be prioritized for COVID-19 vaccine roll out [17–19].

The COVID-19 epidemic in Greece started on 26th February 2020, and during the first epidemic wave Greece managed to keep COVID-19 infections, Intensive Care Units hospitalized cases and deaths at relatively low levels compared to other European countries [20]. However, an exponential increase in COVID-19 cases and deaths was subsequently recorded between November and early December 2020 and a second national lockdown was then imposed [21]. The extent to which the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted on refugees and asylum seekers housed in RICs and RS, however, has not to date been fully investigated. We therefore aimed to explore and assess the impact of COVID-19 on refugees and asylum seekers residing in reception facilities in Greece, and its implications for policy and practice.

2. Methods

We conducted a retrospective observational study analyzing secondary surveillance data and policy documents from multiple sources in order to: (a) identify the number of COVID-19 outbreaks and cases in refugee and asylum seeker reception facilities in Greece and (b) compare the incidence of COVID-19 among refugee and asylum seeker populations residing in Greek RICs and RSs to the incidence among the Greek host population. We analyzed data from the first nine months of the epidemic in Greece (26th February until 15th November 2020), which was divided into two distinct time periods: the first epidemic wave of COVID-19 in the country (26th February until 30th June 2020) and part of the second wave period (1st July to 15th November 2020). Our study period starts from 26th February 2020 when the first COVID-19 case was confirmed in Greece and ends on 15th November 2020 which, at the time of embarking on this study, was the most up to date data available regarding COVID-19 cases among refugee and asylum seekers in Greece.

We focused on two particular migrant groups: ‘asylum seekers’ who are individuals with an active asylum claim pending, and ‘refugees’ who have been granted international protection and are recognized refugees in Greece with their claims processed, residing in two types of accommodation in Greece: (i) seven RICs – 6 RICs on 5 specific Greek islands (including Moria and Kara Tepe facilities on Lesbos) and one RIC on the Evros border; and (ii) 32 IOM-run RSs on the Greek mainland.

2.1. Data sources

We identified a range of data sources for data pertaining to cases in both the general population, RICs, and RSs. The total number of COVID-19 laboratory confirmed cases in the overall population in Greece were derived from the COVID-19 epidemiological surveillance report published daily by the Hellenic Public Health organization (NPHO), which is the national center for disease control and prevention [22]. Numbers of COVID-19 laboratory confirmed cases among refugees and asylum seekers residing in RICs and RSs were derived from the COVID-19 epidemiological surveillance reports in points of care for refugees/migrants/asylum seekers published on a weekly basis by the same organization [23]. The reporting of cases in these datasets for the refugees and asylum seekers residing in RICs and RSs is cumulative on a weekly basis and COVID-19 infections are disaggregated only by type of facility (RICs versus other RSs).

Official information about the number and specific location of COVID-19 outbreaks in RICs and RSs in Greece is not publicized by Greek authorities [24]. Media and non-governmental organization (NGO) reports have occasionally revealed cases of large outbreaks in refugee and asylum seeker camps in Greece [25], but these reports present data and information on an ad-hoc basis. In April 2020 the Greek Ministry of Migration and Asylum introduced the “Agnodiki plan”, which is an epidemic management plan activated in cases of outbreaks in refugee and asylum seeker reception centres and
facilities [26]. The “Agnodiki plan” was activated by a Ministerial Decree published on the Government Gadget and publicized on the Greek COVID-19 legislation database. We reviewed a total of 891 pieces of legislation included in this database [27], we identified all “Agnodiki plan” activation Ministerial Decrees issued by the Greek Ministry of Migration and Asylum from 27th February to 25th October 2020 with the aim of identifying outbreaks and cases in RICs and RSs involving refugees and asylum seekers. These Ministerial Decrees provide information about the exact location of an outbreak in a reception center or facility, outbreaks that triggered subsequently the activation of the Ministerial plan.

2.2. Ethics approval

No ethics approval was required; all the data sources are anonymous and freely available online.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Incidence proportion (IP) of COVID-19 confirmed cases was calculated for our three study population groups (refugees and asylum seekers populations in RICs, refugees and asylum seekers in RSs and general population in Greece) during three time periods (first wave, second wave, and overall across the 9-month period). IP reflects the new COVID-19 cases during a specific time period divided by the population at risk at the beginning of the relevant period. Because we anticipated that the size of refugee and asylum seeker populations residing in RICs and other RSs would change over time, we calculated the mean population at risk based on reports on the number of refugees and asylum seekers in RICs provided on a weekly basis by the Hellenic Ministry of National Defense [28], and reports on the number of refugees and asylum seekers in other RSs provided on a monthly basis by the IOM [29]. Information regarding the Greek population was based on the latest census data [30].

Total number of COVID-19 cases in the general population was calculated by combining the two publicly available data sets on COVID-19 confirmed cases [31,32], and after subtracting the total number of cases among refugees and asylum seekers from the total number of cases in Greece. To compare IP in each of the two refugee and asylum seeker population groups to the IP of the general population in Greece (control group), we calculated the incidence proportion ratio (IPR) and the relevant 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were extracted by EK and duplicate data extraction was done by DP. The analysis was carried out by DP and EK using STATA (version 11) and OpenEpi [33], in order to calculate IP and IPR and 95% CIs, respectively.

2.4. Role of the funding source

None. EK accessed the database and EK, MO, SH, and AV took the decision to submit the paper for publication.

3. Results

During our study period (26th February – 15th November 2020) 77,527 COVID-19 confirmed cases were reported among the general population in Greece (approximately 10.8 million people), most of which (74,315 cases; 95.9%) were observed during the second epidemic wave, and 3212 (4.1%) during the first.

3.1. COVID-19 outbreaks in refugee and asylum seeker RICs and RSs: first and second wave

During the first epidemic wave (February to June 2020) three outbreaks were reported in refugee and asylum seeker RSs on the Greek mainland (in Ritsona, Malakasa and Kranidi reception sites). All these outbreaks took place between late March and late April 2020, when the first epidemic wave was partly under control and overall cases in the country were rapidly declining (Fig. 1). Importantly, we found that the identification and reporting of all three initial outbreaks was incidental and related to individual residents testing positive for COVID-19 in external sites such as hospitals, and not as part of government routine testing within the RSs. Among the three observed outbreaks during the first epidemic wave the largest outbreak took place in the Kranidi reception site, with a total of 157 COVID-19 confirmed cases. In Ritsona RS a total of 41 positive cases were detected, and in Malakasa RS 23 positive cases were detected.

Throughout the second epidemic wave, 22 COVID-19 outbreaks were detected in both RICs and RSs. Among these 22 outbreaks, five (22.7%) took place in the RICs on the Greek islands, one (4.5%) in the RIC on the Greek-Turkish border, and 16 (72.7%) outbreaks occurred in other RSs on the Greek mainland (Table 1). All these outbreaks took place between early August and early October, at the start of the second epidemic wave and before the exponential increase in COVID-19 cases and the ensuing introduction of the second national lockdown in early November (Fig. 1, Table 1). During the second epidemic wave COVID-19 outbreaks were detected in six (85.7%) of 7 of the operating RICs, and in 16 (50%) of 32 of the other operating RCs in the country. Among the 22 observed outbreaks during the second wave, the largest one took place in the Kara Tepe tent camp on Lesvos Island in mid-September 2020; however, the final number of detected COVID-19 cases are not reported, with no official data having yet been made available.

3.2. COVID-19 incidence proportion in refugee and asylum seeker populations in RICs and RSs in Greece

Throughout both waves of the epidemic in Greece, 1106 COVID-19 confirmed cases have been reported amongst the refugee and asylum seeker population residing in reception facilities: 228 cases (20.6%) were reported during the first epidemic wave and 878 (79.4%) during the second (Table 2). This pattern follows the overall development of the epidemic in Greece and reflects the severity of the second epidemic wave in the country. Among the total 1106 COVID-19 confirmed cases, 587 (53.1%) were detected among refugee and asylum seekers residing in RICs, 485 (43.9%) among refugees and asylum seekers residing in other RSs on the Greek mainland and 34 (3.1%) among newly arrived asylum seekers in the Greek Islands during the epidemic (Table 2).

The overall 9-month COVID-19 incidence proportion among refugee and asylum seeker populations residing in RSs on the Greek mainland was 1758 cases per 100,000 population, while for people residing in RICs the incidence increases to 2052 cases per 100,000 population (Table 3).

During the first epidemic wave the risk of infection was almost 28 times higher in refugees and asylum seekers residing in the 32 IOM-run RSs on the Greek mainland compared to the general population (IPR: 27.66; 95% CI: 24.14 – 31.6), a finding likely related to the three outbreaks that were recorded in mainland RSs during the first wave. Throughout the second epidemic wave the risk of infection was almost 4 times higher in refugees and asylum seekers residing in RICs on Greek islands and the Evros border compared to the general population (IPR: 3.92; 95% CI: 3.62 – 4.25). This is linked to the outbreaks that were recorded in almost all RICs (with the only exception being the RIC in Kos Island) during the second wave.

For the overall 9-month epidemic period in Greece our findings show that refugee and asylum seeker population groups in RICs and RSs have almost a 2.5 to 3 times higher COVID-19 incidence compared to the general population (p-value < 0.001). The risk of infection (that is the incidence of COVID-19 symptomatic and asymptomatic infections regardless their severity and clinical outcomes) increases
between the general population and refugee and asylum seeker populations in RSs on the Greek mainland (IPR: 2.45; 95% CI: 2.25–2.68), and is highest in migrant populations in RICs on the islands and Greek-Turkish border, where living conditions are considerably worse (IPR: 2.86; 95% CI: 2.64–3.10) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

We identified high levels of COVID-19 transmission among asylum seekers and refugees in RICs and RSs on the Greek mainland and surrounding islands. The risk of infection among these enclosed population groups has been significantly higher than the general population of Greece, and risk increases as living conditions deteriorate and overcrowding increases. These data have immediate implications for policy and practice.

There were several identified study limitations. First, there is poor epidemiological surveillance and data collection in Greece and we were reliant on national statistics which were limited in scope and access. The likely under-reporting among refugees and asylum seekers not presenting to healthcare providers means we do not have a clear picture of the rates of COVID-19 amongst this population. In addition, the datasets we had access to provided no information on health outcomes in COVID-19 cases involving refugees and asylum seekers, including hospitalisations in COVID-19 clinics, hospitalisations in Intensive Care Units (ICUs), or deaths and additionally no information regarding age and sex distribution of COVID-19 cases among refugees and asylum seekers was publicly available.

The refugee and asylum seeker population tends to be younger, with one NGO report stating that over 60% of refugees are under 30 years old [34]. Children comprise approximately 28% of the

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of outbreak</th>
<th>COVID-19 outbreaks by type of refugee facility and location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02.08.20</td>
<td>RIC Evros (Greek NorthEast border)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.08.20</td>
<td>RIC Chios (Greek island)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.08.20</td>
<td>RS Drama (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.09.20</td>
<td>RIC Lesvos (Greek mainland), RS Ritsosa (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.09.20</td>
<td>RS Schisto, RS Eiloum, RS Malaka (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.09.20</td>
<td>RS Alexandria, RS Veria, RS Kavvini, RS Polykastro (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.09.20</td>
<td>RIC Samos, RIC Leros (Greek islands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.09.20</td>
<td>RIC Kara Tepe - Lesvos (Greek island), RS Koutsoucho (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.09.20</td>
<td>RS Serres, RS Thiva (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.10.20</td>
<td>RS Skaramagas (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.10.20</td>
<td>RS Ioannina (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.10.20</td>
<td>RS Kiklos (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.10.20</td>
<td>RS Doliana (Greek mainland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: RICs (Reception and Identification Centers), RSs (Reception Sites).

between the general population and refugee and asylum seeker populations in RSs on the Greek mainland (IPR: 2.45; 95% CI: 2.25–2.68), and is highest in migrant populations in RICs on the islands and Greek-Turkish border, where living conditions are considerably worse (IPR: 2.86; 95% CI: 2.64–3.10) (Table 3).

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N cases %</td>
<td>N cases %</td>
<td>N cases %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrants in RICs</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>587 66.9</td>
<td>587 53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrants in RSs</td>
<td>221 96.9</td>
<td>264 30.1</td>
<td>485 43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrants, new arrivals</td>
<td>7 3.1</td>
<td>27 3.1</td>
<td>34 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>228 100.0</td>
<td>878 100.0</td>
<td>1106 100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: RICs (Reception and Identification Centers), RSs (Reception Sites) (B) COVID-19 cases among refugees and asylum seekers refer only to cases reported in the 7 RICs and the 32 IOM-run RSs operating in the country.

**Fig. 1.** COVID-19 confirmed cases among refugee and asylum seeker populations residing in Reception Identification Centers and other Reception Sites in Greece (26 Feb 2020 – 15 Nov 2020).

RICs=Reception and Identification Centers; RSs=Reception Sites; NAs=New Arrivals.
Table 3: COVID-19 Incidence Proportion in Reception Identification Centers and other Reception Sites, by epidemic period in Greece.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epidemic Period</th>
<th>Greek Population</th>
<th>Migrants in RICs</th>
<th>Migrants in RSs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Epidemic Wave (26 February - 30 June 2020)</td>
<td>10,816,286</td>
<td>26,910</td>
<td>228,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Epidemic Wave (1 July - 15 November 2020)</td>
<td>10,813,714</td>
<td>28,603</td>
<td>28,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Epidemic Period (26 February - 15 November 2020)</td>
<td>10,816,286</td>
<td>27,584</td>
<td>27,584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (A) RICs (Reception and Identification Centers), RSs (Reception Sites), Ref. (Reference Group), (B) the Incidence Proportion Ratio refers to the Incidence Proportion of COVID-19 infections among migrants residing in RICs or RS compared to the general Greek population (C) COVID-19 cases among refugees and asylum seekers refer only to cases reported in the 7 RICs and the 32 IOM-run RSs operating in the country.
positive COVID-19 cases in the RICs until mid-August. Similarly, one mainland RS was put into preventative quarantine despite no detected positive cases of COVID-19 [16]. These extreme lockdown extensions contravened WHO [47] and ECDC guidelines [19] and restricted people’s movement in such conditions with no likelihood of being able to respect basic COVID-19 preventative measures. Our data suggest that these restrictive policies may have contributed to their increased infection risk for COVID-19. Data from other countries housing refugees and asylum seekers in closed settings, such as reception and detention centres, aligns with our findings. For example, COVID-19 outbreaks have been reported in: an open center in Malta [48], and reception centres in Germany [49], the Netherlands [50], and Italy [51]. In Germany, in temporary housing for asylum seekers and refugees, 42 centres were affected and 30 of 42 centres were put under mass quarantine [49].

Despite calls for inclusion of refugees and asylum seekers in the COVID-19 response from multi-laterals such as WHO, UNHCR, ECDC and IOM [19,52], and academic organizations such as Lancet Migration [53], Greek authorities have consistently failed to integrate refugees and asylum seekers into national prevention and response plans and disease surveillance systems, and no coherent medical response plans have been put in place in any of the island RICs. Even before the COVID-19 pandemic the impact of poor living conditions was already driving a health crisis on the Greek islands [54] and healthcare access for refugees and asylum seekers in Greece had been a continual challenge since 2015 [55]. After nine months of the pandemic, the Greek authorities have not established an effective and comprehensive testing and contact tracing system for refugees and asylum seekers in Greece, despite having a functioning system for the general population. It is our view that the inadequate testing and the absence of contact tracing in refugee and asylum seeker reception facilities has led to an underestimation of the true incidence rate amongst the refugee and asylum seeker populations. Greek authorities face serious challenges in collecting and presenting timely and comprehensive data on the development of the epidemic in the country [24], and the lack of data on clinical outcomes in refugees and asylum seekers specifically in Greece (specifically hospitalizations and deaths) needs urgently rectifying, though this is a reported issue in several European countries at the current time [1].

In order to ensure inclusion of refugees and asylum seekers in the COVID-19 response, a greater emphasis must also be placed on developing culturally and linguistically adapted information about COVID-19 prevention measures and vaccination. In a rapid review public health communication targeting migrant populations during the COVID-19 pandemic conducted in June 2020, for example, only half of the European member states had translated their COVID-19 risk communications into one migrant language; and no government produced risk communications on COVID-19 prevention targeting people in refugee camps or informal settlements, leaving migrants excluded from national health system responses [56].

Our data quantitatively shows the greater risk of COVID-19 infection among refugee and asylum seeker populations residing in substandard living conditions. Acknowledging this higher risk is of crucial policy importance. Going forward, all enclosed population groups need to be urgently included and prioritized in the national vaccination program, without any exception. Greece should follow the example of the Republic of Serbia which, in late March became one of the first European countries to begin vaccinating its refugee, asylum seeker and other migrant populations living in reception centres and has included these populations in their national vaccination plan [57]. However, given the time needed in order to achieve herd immunity through vaccination, Greek authorities should concurrently urgently focus on improving living conditions in these facilities, decongesting those that operate beyond their capacity, reinforcing epidemiological surveillance, testing strategies and data transparency, and ensuring free, comprehensive and universal access to care without any discrimination. In this direction, we propose the following key recommendations and next steps:

1. In order to reduce the risk of transmission of COVID-19 and to protect the right to health for all, the Greek government should urgently improve living conditions in all reception facilities including the provision of adequate sanitation and hygiene services, dignified and safe accommodation where COVID-19 prevention measures can be followed.

2. Interagency guidance on protection and response to COVID-19 issued by multiple agencies in the first half of 2020 should be adhered to by all governments [58-60]. In addition, access to routine testing for COVID-19, treatment, and COVID-19 vaccinations as well as other vaccines should be ensured for refugees, asylum seekers (and other migrants) outside of mainstream health systems in Greece and across Europe, especially in enclosed settings such as reception and detention centres. Comprehensive testing and tracing amongst refugee and asylum seeker populations in Greek RICs and RSs needs to be scaled up, and refugees and asylum seekers meaningfully included in national prevention and response plans to COVID-19. This will involve developing tailored and targeted public health messaging being mindful of the specific needs of these populations.

3. Restrictive public health measures, such as lockdowns and mass quarantining, should only be applied where proportionate to public health need, not as a blanket measure for certain population groups such as migrants. Quarantine and lockdown measures should be applied equally to all without discrimination; healthcare, social and psychosocial support, and basic needs as food, water and other essentials should be provided to those in quarantine; and mass quarantine avoided where possible, in line with ECDC and WHO guidelines.

4. Epidemiological surveillance systems must be strengthened to better record COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths involving refugees, asylum seekers, and other migrants, in Greece and Europe-wide to inform the public health response going forward and implications for COVID-19 vaccine roll out. A rigorous system for public data sharing should be established, with an emphasis on transparency and timeliness.
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