

iViewExpert: a tool to uncover expertise and support surgical skills training

Authors: Corresponding author: Vivienne I Blackhall ^{a,b} ; vblackhall@nhs.net; 07817703204

Other authors: Kenneth G Walker ^{a,b}; Iya Whiteley ^c; Philip Wilson^d; Jennifer Cleland^e

Author Affiliations:

a) Centre for Health Education Research and Innovation, College of Life Sciences and Medicine, Aberdeen University, Aberdeen AB24 3FX

b) NHS Highland Department of Medical Education, Centre for Health Science, Inverness IV2 3JH

c) Centre for Space Medicine, Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Surrey RH5 6NT

d) University of Aberdeen Centre for Rural Health, Centre for Health Science, Inverness IV2 3JH

e) Nanyang Technological University, Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Singapore

Competing interest: Nil

No funding was accepted for this study

Ethical approval for this study was received

Contributions: All authors helped to design the questionnaires. VB gathered and analysed all of the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors read, gave feedback and approved the final version of the manuscript.

What problem was addressed?

Experts can find it difficult to explain what they are doing and why ('unconscious-competence') and teaching often relies upon concurrent or retrospective analysis of performance. The former can distract the expert from the task in hand, whilst the latter is subject to bias. Cued-recall debrief (CRD) - self-recording a technical task using a head-mounted camera then adding a facilitated debrief retrospectively - has been used to circumvent these issues in domains including firefighting and aviation training (1). The premise is that footage from an own point-of-view contains motion, auditory and visual cues, which stimulate memory, allowing the individual expert to undergo a high level of experiential immersion and recall (1). This allows specific cognitive processes or insights to be explicated in a debrief, which s/he may have been otherwise unable to access. CRD, in this study labelled iViewExpert, aims to enable insights into nuances of expert practice, to use for educational purposes.

What was tried?

We adapted this approach to surgical education, to assess if iViewExpert could capture expert clinical decision-making and whether the resultant insights were educationally valuable to others. Colonoscopy was chosen as it fitted the descriptors of an appropriate CRD task: complex, time sensitive, high stakes, commonplace in practice (to maximise potential relevance to others) and of short duration (less than 30 minutes). After ethical permissions, patient and participant consents were obtained, ten volunteer experts (experienced consultant gastro-enterologists and colorectal surgeons) wore an iViewExpert head-camera during a colonoscopy, then underwent a debrief which was superimposed onto the original video forming a commentary. They reported iViewExpert as acceptable and feasible, and that the debrief was associated with a high level of experiential recall, allowing a procedure to be explained in detail without time pressure or bias, and enabling new insights into their own performance. However, they did not think these insights would necessarily be useful to learners.

After removing any patient identifiers, we then sent a link containing the video plus debrief commentary to 12 consultants and 16 trainees/residents to review and give their views, via a short survey. Most stated that they learned something new that might change their

practice. Learning points typically related to endoscope handling, technique conceptualisation and the use of terminology or a teaching framework. Many participants considered iViewExpert to address some of the challenges associated with standard training for the procedure (e.g., the difficulties of concurrent reporting and time pressures). However, some volunteers stated that they preferred hands-on experiential learning to an online video.

What was learned?

To our knowledge, this is the first report of using CRD/iViewExpert to capture insights into expert decision-making during a complex surgical task. The difference in opinion between the experts and the learners in respect of educational “usefulness” was of interest. This may represent a further automatic facet of expert performance; that experts are so removed from training; they have simply forgotten what might be useful to others. Further research is needed to explore this further.

493 words

Reference

1. Blackhall VI, Walker KG, Whiteley I, *et al* Use of head camera-cued recall and debrief to externalise expertise: a systematic review of literature from multiple fields of practice *BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning* 2019;5:121-129.