AZD8055 enhances in vivo efficacy of afatinib in chordomas
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Abstract

Chordomas are primary bone tumors that arise in the cranial base, mobile spine, and sacrococcygeal region, affecting patients of all ages. Currently, there are no approved agents for chordoma patients. Here, we evaluated the anti-tumor efficacy of small molecule inhibitors that target oncogenic pathways in chordoma, as single agents and in combination, to identify novel therapeutic approaches with the greatest translational potential. A panel of small molecule compounds was screened in vivo against patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models of chordoma, and potentially synergistic combinations were further evaluated using chordoma cell lines and xenograft models. Among the tested agents, inhibitors of EGFR (BIBX 1382, erlotinib, and afatinib), c-MET (crizotinib), and mTOR (AZD8055) significantly inhibited tumor growth in vivo but did not induce tumor regression. Co-inhibition of EGFR and c-MET using erlotinib and crizotinib synergistically reduced cell viability in chordoma cell lines but did not result in enhanced in vivo activity. Co-inhibition of EGFR and mTOR pathways using afatinib and AZD8055 synergistically reduced cell viability in chordoma cell lines. Importantly, this dual inhibition completely suppressed tumor growth in vivo, showing improved tumor control. Together, these data demonstrate that individual inhibitors of EGFR, c-MET, and mTOR pathways suppress chordoma growth both in vitro and in vivo. mTOR inhibition increased the efficacy of EGFR inhibition on chordoma growth in several preclinical models. The insights gained from our study potentially provide a novel combination therapeutic strategy for patients with chordoma.
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Introduction

Chordomas are rare, locally aggressive bone tumors that arise in the cranial base, mobile spine, and sacrum. These malignant tumors occur in all age groups with a median age at diagnosis of approximately 58 years [1] and are characterized by the expression of brachyury, which plays an essential role in chordoma development [2–4]. Surgical resection often with adjuvant radiotherapy is considered as standard treatment in chordoma and provides the best chance for long-term control [5]. However, the tumor recurs frequently and metastases have been reported to occur in up to 40% of cases [6]. Median survival for patients is 7–9 years [1,7]. The proximity of chordomas to vital neurovascular structures often limits the complete removal of tumor and also reduces the efficacy of radiotherapy; thus, there is an unmet need for the development of adjuvant therapies. Currently, there are no FDA-approved therapeutic agents available for patients with chordoma and conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy is largely ineffective in chordoma treatment [6].

Over the past decade, there have been increasing efforts to better understand chordoma biology and develop novel therapeutic strategies. We established and characterized the first reported chordoma patient-derived xenograft...
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Consistent with clinical observations [9–12], epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling was activated in this PDX. Erlotinib, an inhibitor of EGFR, significantly but incompletely suppressed chordoma growth in vivo [13], suggesting that inhibition of other growth-promoting pathways may be required for increased efficacy.

In the current work, we evaluated the anti-tumor activity of a panel of agents alone and in combination in a variety of chordoma models. More specifically, using our initial PDX model, we tested agents identified in a high-throughput screen in the NIH Chemical Genomics Center (NCGC, now part of the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, NCATS) which exhibited in vitro efficacy against chordoma cell lines [14] and inhibitors of pathways known to be expressed in chordoma or shown to have benefit in a phase 2 clinical trial [15–18]. We further evaluated the anti-tumor activity of compounds with significant in vivo efficacy in a novel chordoma PDX model established and reported here. Subsequently, we evaluated the enhanced efficacy of combination therapy by co-targeting EGFR and c-MET or co-targeting EGFR and mTOR signalings using chordoma cell lines and PDX models.

Materials and methods

Ethics approval/patient consent statement

Ethical approval was obtained from the UK Cambridgeshire 2 Research Ethics Service (reference 09/H0308/165) for the generation of the chordoma PDX model in the UK and transportation to the USA. All animal experiments performed in the USA were approved by the Johns Hopkins University Animal Care and Use Committee (protocols: MO20M90 and MO17M96).

Compounds and reagents

Erlotinib, crizotinib, afatinib, vandetanib, and AZD8055 were obtained from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA, USA), and BIBX 1382 was obtained from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). All other compounds were kindly provided by the NCGC. Compounds used in this study are detailed in Table 1. Toxicity studies were performed to determine the maximal tolerated dose for in vivo studies. For in vitro studies, all compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA), and DMSO was used as a vehicle control. For in vivo studies, BIBX 1382, vandetanib, idarubicin, SAHA, staurosporine, and 17-AAG were dissolved in 10% DMSO, whereas crizotinib, imatinib, erlotinib, afatinib, and AZD8055 were dissolved in 1X PBS. Either DMSO or PBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used as a vehicle control.

Animals and PDX models

JHH-2009-011, a primary human chordoma xenograft model, has been described previously [8]. For generation of the UCL/JHH chordoma PDX, the tumor sample was collected as part of the University College London (UCL)/H Biobank for Health and Disease that is covered by the Human Tissue Authority license 12 055: project EC17.1. The PDX was established in SCID mice in AMF’s laboratory at UCL. Tumor-bearing mice were shipped to Johns Hopkins and tumors subcloned into athymic nude mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA), generating the UCL/JHH PDX.

For in vivo animal studies, fresh tumor was harvested, minced into 1 mm³ pieces with razor blades, mixed 1:1 with phenol red-free Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San José, CA, USA), and injected subcutaneously into the rear flanks of 4- to 6-week-old athymic nude mice. Mice were randomly grouped to receive drug treatment when tumors achieved an average volume between 200 and 250 mm³. Animal weights were measured every 7 days and tumor volume was assessed by caliper and calculated using the formula tumor volume (mm³) = π/6 × length (mm) × width (mm) × height (mm). Mice were euthanized if the tumor volume reached a limit of 2000 mm³. Tumor growth inhibition was defined as [1 – (mean volume of treated tumors)/(mean

Table 1. Target, route of administration, and dosage of compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Inhibition target</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIBX 1382</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>20 mg/kg, 5 days per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib</td>
<td>EGFR, RET, VEGFR</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>50 mg/kg per day [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crizotinib</td>
<td>MET, ALK</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>25 mg/kg, 5 days per week [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idarubicin</td>
<td>Topoisomerase II</td>
<td>IP injection</td>
<td>0.156 mg/kg, 2 days per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAHA</td>
<td>Histone deacetylase</td>
<td>IP injection</td>
<td>100 mg/kg, 2 days per week [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staurosporine</td>
<td>Protein kinases</td>
<td>IP injection</td>
<td>1.5 mg/kg for 5 days [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-AAG</td>
<td>Heat shock protein 90</td>
<td>IP injection</td>
<td>25 mg/kg, 5 days per week [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>PDGFR</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>100 mg/kg per day [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>50 mg/kg, 5 days per week [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afatinib</td>
<td>EGFR, HER2</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>20 mg/kg, 5 days per week [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZD8055</td>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>Oral gavage</td>
<td>10 mg/kg, 5 days per week [26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17-AAG, 17-allylamino geldanamycin; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IP, intraperitoneal; MET, mesenchymal epithelial transition factor receptor; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor; RET, rearranged during transfection; SAHA, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.
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volume of control tumors) \times 100\% [27] and calculated at the day of harvest.

Short tandem repeat analysis

Short tandem repeat (STR) analysis of the JHH/UCL PDX and the parental tumor was conducted using the PowerPlex 16 HS Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) that analyses differences at 16 distinct hypervariable genetic loci by the Public Health England Culture Collections (Salisbury, UK). STR analysis of the four chordoma cell lines U-CH1, U-CH2, JHC7, and UM-Chor1 used in this study was performed using the GenePrint 10 System (Promega), analyzed using GeneMapper v4.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and compared with the reference profile found in the ATCC database (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA; https://www.atcc.org/en/search-str-database). Sample authenticity was assessed following 80% match criteria according to ATCC standards (ANSI/ATCC ASN-0002: Authentication of Human Cell Lines: Standardization of STR Profiling).

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Fresh xenograft tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific), dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and serially sectioned at 5 μm. For histology, slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). For immunohistochemistry analysis, rehydrated slides were placed in 1X citrate buffer and heated for antigen retrieval at 95 °C for 20 min. The sections were incubated with primary antibodies overnight followed by incubation with MultiLink + HRP label detection systems (BioGenex). All antibodies and antibody dilutions used for immunohistochemistry are listed in supplementary material, Table S1.

Receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) array

The expression of human phosphorylated RTK was detected by a proteome profiler array kit (ARY001B; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and 1 mg of protein extracted from xenograft tissue was loaded for RTK array analysis according to the protocol described in the datasheet.

Cell lines and culture conditions

Four human chordoma cell lines (U-CH1, U-CH2, JHC7, and UM-Chor1) were used in this study. U-CH1 and U-CH2 cells were obtained from the Chordoma Foundation. JHC7 cells [28] were kindly provided by Alfredo Quiñones-Hinojosa and UM-Chor1 cells were purchased from the ATCC. All cell lines were authenticated by STR analysis (supplementary material, Table S2). U-CH1, U-CH2, and UM-Chor1 cells were grown in 0.1% gelatin (MilliporeSigma)-coated culture flasks containing chordoma medium of Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (IMDM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and RPMI 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) mixed at 4:1 as described previously [13]. JHC7 cells were cultured in MesenPRO RS Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C.

Cell viability and cytotoxicity assay

Chordoma cells were plated at a density of 2000 cells per well in 96-well plates and cultured for 24 h before exposure to compounds and vehicle control. After 48 h incubation, cell viability was measured either using a CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) on a VICTOR 3 1420 Multilabel Counter (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) or by trypan blue assay (MilliporeSigma). Cell viability was presented as the percentage of surviving cells in drug-treated cells relative to DMSO-treated control cells. Cytotoxicity was determined with a CyQUANT™ lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the percentage of cytotoxicity was calculated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were plotted using GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Colonies were assessed visually, and colonies containing greater than 50 cells were counted.

Combination therapy synergy quantitation

Cells were treated for 48 h with combinations of a series of concentrations of erlotinib (1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 μM) and crizotinib (1, 2, 4, 8, 16 μM) or afatinib (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 μM) and AZD8055 (0.3125, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10 μM). Cell viability was examined using a CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega). The synergy of drug combinations was evaluated using the Chou–Talalay method [29]. Multiple drug dose-effect were calculated by CompuSyn software to determine the combination index (CI), a quantitative definition for additive effect (CI = 1), synergism (CI < 1), and antagonism (CI > 1) of drug combinations.

Protein extraction and western blot analyses

Cells were harvested after 24–48 h of drug treatments; xenograft tissues were collected at 49 days with co-treatment of erlotinib and crizotinib or at 56 days following co-treatment of afatinib with AZD8055. Cells and tissues were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (MilliporeSigma)
containing fresh protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Xenograft tissue was disrupted by bead-beating for 30 s twice with a 2-min interval on ice. All tissue lysates were centrifuged at 13 000 rpm × 10 min at 4 °C. Proteins were separated on 4–12% Bis-Tris Gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transferred onto polyvinyl difluoride membranes (MilliporeSigma). Antibodies and antibody dilutions used for western blot are described in supplementary material, Table S1. Protein bands were visualized using the Odyssey™ Infrared Imager (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Original images of western blots are shown in supplementary material, Appendix S1.

Statistics
All quantitative data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Tumor growth curves were plotted, and the statistical significance of differences was determined by independent sample two-tailed t-tests or two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons (GraphPad Software). p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. BIBX 1382, vandetanib, and crizotinib suppress chordoma growth in PDX JHH-2009-011. Tumor growth of PDX JHH-2009-011 in athymic nude mice treated with BIBX 1382 (A, n = 9), vandetanib (B, n = 7), crizotinib (C, n = 5), idarubicin (D, n = 10), SAHA (E, n = 8), staurosporine (F, n = 8), 17-AAG (G, n = 9), and imatinib (H, n = 7) is shown. Single treatments of BIBX 1382 and 17-AAG or vandetanib and SAHA were performed at the same time and share the same control growth curve. Mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05 indicates treatment group versus control.
Results

BIBX 1382, vandetanib, and crizotinib suppressed chordoma growth in vivo

Using our previously characterized chordoma PDX model (JHH-2009-011) [8], the anti-tumor activities of six compounds identified in an NCGC in vitro screen with potent anti-chordoma activity [14] were assessed in vivo. In addition, inhibitors of c-MET and PDGFR were evaluated as these pathways are expressed and/or activated in chordomas [15–17,30]. The targets, routes of administration, and dosages tested are listed in Table 1. Our data demonstrated that the EGFR inhibitor BIBX 1382, the multi-kinase inhibitor vandetanib, and the c-MET inhibitor crizotinib significantly inhibited chordoma growth in vivo (Figure 1A–C, *p < 0.05). By contrast, idarubicin, SAHA, staurosporine, 17-AAG, and imatinib had no significant effects on tumor growth (Figure 1D–H).

Table 2. Genetic comparison of the UCL/JHH PDX and the original chordoma by short tandem repeat profiling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Original tumor</th>
<th>UCL/JHH PDX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allele 1</td>
<td>Allele 2</td>
<td>Allele 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMEL</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF1PO</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13S317</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D16S539</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D18S51</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21S11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3S1358</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5S818</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7S820</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8S1179</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta D</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penta E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH01</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPOX</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Establishment and characterization of a novel chordoma PDX to validate the anti-tumor effect observed in initial in vivo screen

To confirm our in vivo findings, a novel chordoma PDX (UCL/JHH) was generated from a recurrent sacral tumor in a 51-year-old woman. H&E staining of UCL/JHH PDX and the patient’s original tumor showed lobulated tumor cells separated by fibrous septa and physaliphorous cells (Figure 2A). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for brachyury and cytokeratin showed strong expression in both the UCL/JHH PDX and the original patient’s tumor (Figure 2A). Further genomic analysis using short tandem repeat (STR) analysis revealed that UCL/JHH PDX and the original tumor were unique, derived from the same source material, and shared 87% identity (Table 2). Of note, the majority of cells in the UCL/JHH PDX model were positive for phosphorylated EGFR (pEGFR) immunostaining (Figure 2A) and EGFR was the most activated receptor tyrosine kinase on the phospho-receptor tyrosine kinase array (Figure 2B). Taken together, these results show that UCL/JHH PDX retains the genetic and histopathological features of the patient’s original tumor. UCL/JHH tumor-bearing animals were treated with erlotinib, vandetanib, and crizotinib. Similar to our findings in JHH-2009-011 (Figure 1B,C) and a previous report [13], all three compounds significantly suppressed tumor growth compared with control mice (Figure 2C–E).

Crizotinib enhanced erlotinib activity in vitro but not in vivo

Although treatment with compounds that inhibit EGFR resulted in tumor growth inhibition in both animal
Figure 4  Legend on next page.
models, tumor regression was not observed (Figures 1A, B and 2C,D) [13], which prompted us to evaluate the efficacy of combinatorial therapies. Given that EGFR inhibitors and the c-MET inhibitor crizotinib suppressed chordoma growth in vivo, our subsequent analysis focused on co-targeting these two pathways. In vitro studies demonstrated that erlotinib alone and crizotinib alone significantly reduced cell viability in U-CH1 cells; notably, co-treatment led to further significant reduction of cell viability compared with treatments with single compounds (Figure 3A) and in a synergistic fashion in this line (Figure 3B).

To elucidate potential mechanisms underlying erlotinib and/or crizotinib-mediated reduced cell viability, drug effects on cell proliferation, cell death, and the expression of brachyury were investigated in three chordoma cell lines (supplementary material, Figure S1A). Co-treatment with erlotinib and crizotinib induced poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) cleavage compared with single drug treatments and control in U-CH1, suggesting that co-treatment can induce cell apoptosis in this line. This result was verified by detecting an increased annexin-V-positive cell population using flow cytometry after co-treatment with erlotinib and crizotinib in U-CH1 cells (supplementary material, Figure S1B). No difference in proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) expression was detected after treatment of erlotinib or/and crizotinib. Aside from a slight decrease in expression of brachyury in U-CH2 cells when treated with crizotinib alone and in combination with erlotinib, brachyury expression was unaffected in the other two cell lines (supplementary material, Figure S1A).

We next evaluated the in vivo anti-tumor effect of co-treatment with erlotinib and crizotinib using JHH-2009-011 and UCL/JHH PDXs. While erlotinib and crizotinib significantly inhibited tumor growth as single agents in both models, crizotinib did not enhance erlotinib activity in either model (Figure 3C,D). A slight transient decrease in body weight (10–11%) was observed with combination treatment compared with baseline, which subsequently recovered during the course of therapy (supplementary material, Figure S1C). Tumors from the UCL/JHH in vivo experiment were collected at the end of treatment for comparative analyses by western blot with our in vitro data. Similar to U-CH1 cells, co-treatment in the PDX increased expression of cleaved PARP compared with single treatments and control (supplementary material, Figure S1A). Based on the lack of combinational activity in vivo, we expanded our search and examined other co-targeting strategies.

AZD8055 enhanced afatinib activity in vitro

Because activation of the mTOR pathway has been reported in the majority of chordoma patients [31,32], we examined co-targeting this pathway together with EGFR. First, we confirmed that the mTOR pathway was activated in vitro, as shown by phosphorylation of S6 and 4E-BP1 in U-CH1, UM-Chor1, and U-CH2 cells (Figure 4A). Treatment with the mTOR inhibitor AZD8055 significantly reduced cell viability in a dose-dependent manner in all three cell lines (Figure 4B). Afatinib, an irreversible EGFR inhibitor, has a unique capacity to inhibit the expression of brachyury compared with other EGFR inhibitors tested (supplementary material, Figure S2); therefore, it was used instead of erlotinib in the subsequent studies. Co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 significantly reduced cell viability compared with afatinib or AZD8055 monotherapy (Figure 4C and supplementary material, Figure S3A). Moreover, afatinib and AZD8055 acted synergistically (Figure 4D). We also performed trypan blue and LDH assays, which demonstrated that co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 induced more cell death compared with single treatments (supplementary material, Figure S3B,C). Co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 also led to inhibition of colony formation, as demonstrated by reducing the number and size of colonies in all three chordoma cell lines (Figure 4E,F). To further evaluate the effect of co-treatment on cell growth, western blot analysis showed increased cell apoptosis, as indicated by the induction of cleaved PARP, which is primarily caused by afatinib treatment in all three cell lines (Figure 4G). Aside from a slight decrease of the expression of PCNA in U-CH1 and U-CH2 cells, PCNA expression was unchanged in UM-Chor1 cells after treatment with the combination. Moreover, co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 attenuated the expression of brachyury compared with single agent therapy mainly in U-CH1 cells, and to a lesser extent in U-CH2 cells (Figure 4G), which was confirmed by brachyury immunofluorescence staining (supplementary material, Figure S3D). To determine
the effect of co-treatment on EGFR and mTOR downstream signaling, western blotting showed that co-treatment strongly inhibited the phosphorylation of EGFR, STAT3, AKT, and ERK1/2 compared with controls, which was mainly caused by afatinib treatment, while the decreased phosphorylation of S6 and 4E-BP1 in co-treatment was primarily due to AZD8055 treatment (Figure 4G).

Figure 5. AZD8055 enhances the in vivo efficacy of afatinib. Representative western blot (A) and immunohistochemistry (B) showing the expression of brachyury, pS6, S6, p4E-BP1, and 4E-BP1 in JHH-2009-011 and UCL/JHH xenografts. Nude mice bearing (C) PDX UCL/JHH or (D) PDX JHH-2009-011 were treated with afatinib (20 mg/kg per day) and/or AZD8055 (10 mg/kg per day), and the volume of tumor growth was plotted. Mean ± SEM; n = 5; * p < 0.05 indicates group versus control; # p < 0.05 indicates co-treatment versus afatinib; + p < 0.05 indicates co-treatment versus AZD8055. The significant difference with combination treatment in UCL/JHH and JHH-2009-011 started at day 56 and day 49, respectively. (E) Representative western blot reveals the expression of indicated protein in PDX UCL/JHH treated with afatinib or/and AZD8055. (F) Representative immunohistochemical photomicrographs showing expression of brachyury in treated PDX UCL/JHH. Scale bar: 100 μm. (G) The numbers of brachyury-positive cells are quantified. Mean ± SEM; * p < 0.05 indicates group versus control; # p < 0.05 indicates co-treatment versus afatinib; + p < 0.05 indicates co-treatment versus AZD8055.
AZD8055 enhanced the in vivo efficacy of afatinib in chordoma

We evaluated the anti-tumor effect of co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 using chordoma PDX models. Phosphorylation of S6 and 4E-BP1 was detected in untreated PDX models by western blot (Figure 5A) and immunohistochemistry (Figure 5B). In both the JHH-2009-011 and the UCL/JHH chordoma PDX models, afatinib alone, AZD8055 alone, and co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 all significantly inhibited tumor growth compared with vehicle-treated controls (Figure 5C,D); combinational therapy was well tolerated, with no significant weight loss compared with baseline and no behavioral changes observed (supplementary material, Figure S4A,B). Importantly, co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 led to increased tumor growth inhibition (85% inhibition in JHH-2009-011 and 87% inhibition in UCL/JHH), compared with afatinib (65% inhibition in JHH-2009-011 and 55% inhibition in UCL/JHH) or AZD8055 monotherapies (56% inhibition in JHH-2009-011 and 62% inhibition in UCL/JHH). Strikingly, co-treatment was able to completely restrain chordoma growth in both models; no significant differences in tumor size were detected before and after the co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 (Figure 5C,D).

We next examined the in vivo effects on cell apoptosis and proliferation in the PDX model. Although the cleaved forms of PARP and caspase-3 were not detected in all groups (possibly due to the timing of tumor harvest), the expression of both total PARP and caspase-3 was reduced in the co-treatment group compared with single-treatment groups (Figure 5E). Co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 inhibited cell proliferation, as revealed by the reduced PCNA expression compared with control, and this was primarily the result of AZD8055 treatment (Figure 5E). Furthermore, co-treatment attenuated the expression of brachyury (Figure 5E) and significantly reduced the number of brachyury-positive cells compared with control (Figure 5E,F,G). Afatinib alone inhibited phosphorylation of EGFR and AZD8055 alone inhibited phosphorylation of S6 and 4E-BP1, showing on-target activity of both drugs in vivo. Interestingly, the co-treatment with afatinib and AZD8055 collectively suppressed the activation of EGFR and AKT compared with single treatments (Figure 5E).

Discussion

Given the poor clinical outcome for patients with chordoma, extensive efforts have been undertaken to develop therapies against chordoma. An NCGC Pharmaceutical Collection (NPC) screen containing approximately 2800 clinically approved and investigational drugs was performed in chordoma cell lines, identifying numerous drugs that inhibited chordoma growth in vitro [14]. In the current study, we evaluated the in vivo anti-tumor activity of potent agents including BIBX 1382, vandetanib, idarubicin, SAHA, staurosporine, and 17-AAG identified in the NCGC screen as well as inhibitors of c-MET and PDGFR. Using our initial chordoma PDX model JHH-2009-011 [8], we demonstrated that BIBX 1382, vandetanib, and crizotinib inhibited tumor growth. To evaluate this finding in another in vivo model, a second chordoma PDX (UCL/JHH) was established and validated. STR profiling revealed that the UCL/JHH PDX model and its parental tumor samples were unique and derived from the same source material, as they showed over 80% match required for STR profiling criteria in ATCC guidelines. A few differences in some of the markers were identified and could be explained by the heterogeneity of the original tumor material and/or by minor genetic drift events during xenograft expansion. Using this second model, we found tumor growth inhibition in tumor-bearing animals treated with erlotinib, vandetanib, or crizotinib.

EGFR is activated in chordoma and previous studies by our group and others, together with our current study, have shown that EGFR inhibitors suppress the growth of chordoma cell lines and/or PDX models [13,25,33], suggesting that targeting the EGFR pathway is a potentially effective therapeutic strategy for patients with chordoma. In clinical studies, EGFR inhibitor monotherapy has resulted in partial regression and/or clinical improvement in patients with chordoma [34–37]. Of the EGFR inhibitors, the second-generation EGFR inhibitor afatinib is the most promising chordoma therapeutic agent, not only because it is an irreversible EGFR inhibitor [38] but also because it reduces the expression of brachyury [25], a gene critical for chordoma development [2–4], making afatinib unique compared with other EGFR inhibitors such as erlotinib, vandetanib, and BIBX 1382. We were also interested in identifying agents that would enhance the effects of EGFR inhibition in our models. Clinically, there is already a move towards combined treatments with some favorable indicators: the combination of erlotinib and insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF1R) inhibitor linsitinib [37,39] and a combination of erlotinib and the VEGF inhibitor bevacizumab [40] have exhibited partial clinical improvement in patients with chordomas, making the case that combined therapies can be tolerated.

In addition to EGFR inhibitors, we demonstrated that the c-MET inhibitor crizotinib significantly reduced cell viability in chordoma cell lines and inhibited tumor growth in PDX models. It has been previously reported that the combination of the EGFR inhibitor sunitinib and the c-MET inhibitor crizotinib synergistically reduced cell viability in U-CH2 [33]. We found that the combination of erlotinib with crizotinib exhibited a synergistic effect in U-CH1 in vitro; however, the combination of erlotinib and crizotinib did not result in greater inhibition of tumor growth in vivo compared with erlotinib alone. Due to the lack of enhanced activity in vivo and the reduction of brachyury seen with afatinib, we expanded our search for other agents to combine with afatinib.

There is increasing evidence that the mTOR pathway is important in chordoma [31,41,42], and the classic mTOR inhibitor rapamycin has been shown to suppress
the survival and proliferation of chordoma cells, leading to a substantial reduction of tumor growth in animal models and in patients [43]. Unlike rapamycin, which is a potent inhibitor of mTORC1 but less sensitive to mTORC2 [44], AZD8055 is a dual mTORC1/2 inhibitor that exhibits anti-tumor activity in a variety of tumors [26,45]. In addition, AZD8055 decreases the expression of mTORC2 substrates such as phosphorylated AKT [26,46], a protein kinase widely activated in the majority of chordomas [31,47], while rapamycin mainly inhibits mTORC1 activation, leading to hyperactivation of AKT [46].

In this study, we have shown that the combination of afatinib and AZD8055 synergistically reduced the cell viability of chordoma cell lines; furthermore, co-administration of afatinib and AZD8055 completely arrested the growth of chordoma PDXs. Our data presented here support the evaluation of this combination in patients with chordoma.
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