Procedural complications during early versus late endovascular treatment in acute stroke: Frequency and clinical impact

Errikos Maslias MD¹, Stefania Nannoni MD¹, Federico Ricciardi PhD², Bruno Bartolini MD³, Davide Strambo MD¹, Francesco Puccinelli MD,PhD³, Steven David Hajdu MD³, Ashraf Eskandari NP¹, Guillaume Saliou MD,PhD³, Patrik Michel MD¹

Cover title: Procedural complications during early vs. late EVT

Affiliations:

- Stroke Centre, Neurology Service, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Switzerland
- 2. Department of Statistical Science, University College London, United Kingdom
- Service of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Interventional Neuroradiological Unit, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Switzerland

Total word count: 2,184

Tables: 2

Corresponding Author:

Errikos Maslias

Neurology Service

Lausanne University Hospital

Rue du Bugnon, 46

1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

Errikos.Maslias@chuv.ch

+41 795568871

Abstract

Background and Purpose

Endovascular treatment (EVT) in acute ischemic stroke (AIS) is effective in the late time window in selected patients. However, the frequency and clinical impact of procedural complications in the early vs. late time window has received little attention.

Methods

We retrospectively studied all AISs from 2015-2019 receiving EVT in the Acute STroke Registry and Analysis of Lausanne (ASTRAL). We compared the procedural EVT complications in the early (<6 hours) vs. late (6-24 hours) window, and correlated them with short-term clinical outcome.

Results

Among 695 AISs receiving EVT (of which 202 were in the late window), 113 (16.3%) had at least one procedural complication. The frequency of each single, and for overall procedural complications was similar for early vs. late EVT (16.2% vs. 16.3%, P_{adj} =0.90). Procedural complications lead to a significantly less favourable short-term outcome, reflected by the absence of NIHSS improvement in late EVT (delta-NIHSS-24h = -2.5 vs 2, P_{adj} =0.01).

Conclusion

In this retrospective analysis of consecutive EVT, the frequency of procedural complications was similar for early and late EVT patients but very short-term outcome seemed less favourable in late EVT patients with complications.

Keywords: Endovascular treatment, ASTRAL registry, acute ischemic stroke, procedural complications

Non-standard Abbreviations and Acronyms

- EVT = Endovascular Treatment
- AIS = Acute Ischemic Stroke
- SAH = Subarachnoid Hemorrhage
- RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial
- ASTRAL = Acute STroke Registry and Analysis of Lausanne
- NIHSS = National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale

Introduction

Endovascular treatment (EVT) is the most effective treatment for acute ischemic stroke (AIS) with proximal intracranial large vessel occlusion (1-3), despite reported complication rates of 4%-29% (4). The prolongation of the time window up to 24 hours in highly radiologically selected AIS was effective in three randomized controlled trials (RCT) (5-7). Prolonged ischemia potentially leads to higher procedural complication rates such as arterial perforation due to necrotic vessels; on the other hand, this could be balanced by stricter radiological selection criteria for late patients. Current data on access and procedural cerebrovascular complications in late EVT are scarce and essentially limited to the three randomized controlled trials (RCTs), i.e. DEFUSE-3, DAWN and ESCAPE. There, they amounted to 2.4%-7%.

Given the paucity of data in this field, we aimed to compare the frequency of access and procedural cerebrovascular complications in early vs. late EVT patients of the modern thrombectomy era in a consecutive series and to assess their impact on short-term outcome in order to evaluate the interventional safety of the procedure in the late time window.

Methods

The anonymized data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Applying the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology checklist (8), we reviewed all patients with AIS arriving within 24 hours from 2015-2019 in the prospectively constructed Acute STroke Registry and Analysis of Lausanne (ASTRAL)(9).

Multiple co-variates were collected and analysed in an anonymous way: demographics, medical history, risk factors, comorbidities, medications, process-oriented data, stroke characteristics including stroke mechanism and the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), metabolic and physiological parameters, and multimodal brain imaging.

Brain imaging and indications for early and late EVT are described in the supplemental material. EVT initiated within 6 hours after onset was considered "early", and within 6-24 hours "late". The interventional neuroradiology team consisted of 3 senior NRI until 2019 and of 4 thereafter. Femoral access for EVT was performed throughout the study period under ultrasound guidance; this method was also used for the two patients with brachial artery and carotid artery access, respectively. At the end of the procedure, we routinely perform sealing of the artery with a sealing device (Angioseal®). We collected treatment delays, device type, total number of passes and degree of recanalization according to the modified Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction score (mTICI) (10).

We defined EVT-related complications according to the current literature (11) into two groups: A) *Access complications* (i.e. hemorrhage in puncture area and arterial access damage) and B) *Procedural cerebrovascular complications* (i.e. embolization in non-ischemic territory, dissections of cervical or intracranial arteries, and arterial perforation or postprocedural subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH)). For detailed definitions, see supplemental material. We did not examine post-EVT re-occlusion or reperfusion injury in the current project due to the specific focus on intra-procedural complications. Still, we plan to assess these events in a future analysis.

The impact of complications on functional outcome was evaluated per complication and only in patients with procedural cerebrovascular complications, as local access complications should have little influence on the neurological handicap. The short-term

4

outcome was delta-NIHSS at 24 hours and was defined as the difference between NIHSS at 24 hours and at admission, with negative values reflecting a neurological improvement.

Statistically, we assessed two primary outcomes (frequency and early outcome) with linear or logistic regression models, depending on the nature of the variable. The ethics committee of Vaud approved the use of the ASTRAL registry for scientific studies.

Results

Among 695 EVT, 202 (29.1%) were treated in the late time window. The baseline characteristics are summarised in table 1, supplemental table I and II. The late group had similar age, sex, admission NIHSS, clot burden score and successful recanalization, but more prestroke handicap, preceding thrombolysis, and higher ASPECTS score (table 1). The majority of late EVT patients were wake-up strokes (59.9%) and thus the exact time of stroke onset remains unknown.

We found no significant difference in individual (table 2) or overall complication rates between early and late EVT cohorts (16.2% vs 16.3%, OR adjusted for: age, sex, admission NIHSS, pre-EVT-thrombolysis, arterial territory, total passes with the EVT device, tandem lesions, proximal occlusion, atheromatous etiology, groin puncture start time, weekend admission, year that the procedure was performed, presence of peripheral artery disease and premedication with anticoagulation = 0.96(CI 95%=0.53-1.77), $p_{adj}=0.90$).

When comparing early vs. late EVT with complications, adjusted short-term outcome was better in early EVT (delta-NIHSS-24h=-2.5 vs 2, beta coefficient adjusted for: age, admission NIHSS, pre-EVT-thrombolysis, groin puncture start time, weekend admission, year that the procedure was performed, presence of peripheral artery disease and premedication with anticoagulation =-8.47(CI 95%=-14.22--2.73), p_{adj} =0.01).

Discussion

In this large consecutive series of EVT, we did not find a difference in the complication rates between early and late EVT. Early complicated EVT had a better early course than late complicated EVT.

Results from late endovascular trials (5-7) suggest lower procedural complication rates than in early thrombectomy (4), but we could not confirm this in a real world sample. This absence of difference in complication rates between early and late patients could have been attenuated by the stricter neuroradiological criteria applied for late EVT. Furthermore, the majority of late EVT patients were sleep-onset strokes (59.9%), many of which may occur close to waking up; therefore, the delay from the real stroke onset to EVT in the "late" group was not much longer than in the early group.

Our relatively high overall complication rate in both groups of about 16% may be explained by our liberal definition for some complications, in particular post-procedural incidental SAH. This complication may not be related to procedural perforation but to arterial lacerating during device pull-back, or to thrombolysis-facilitated rupture of a superficial artery.

The 24-hour course in patients with complications was worse in late EVT, despite a similarly high recanalization rate. Therefore, prevention, monitoring for, and rapid management of procedural complications may even be more important in late than in early EVT.

The main limitations of our study are its retrospective and non-randomized character. Second, procedural complications are not uniformly defined in the current literature (11) and therefore debatable. Another limitation of our study is the relatively small number of patients with procedural complications assessed for the long-term outcome, particularly in the late window; this could lead to a type I statistical error. Finally our population consists of a single center primary and tertiary elderly Caucasian population.

Acknowledgements

We thank Melanie Price Hirt, PhD, for English language correction.

Sources of funding

Supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (FN-320030-182654/1) and the Swiss Heart Foundation.

Disclosures

DS: Swiss Heart Foundation and University of Lausanne.

PM: Research grants from the ERISTA program (BMS/Pfizer); consulting fees from

Medtronic.

Other authors: no disclosures

Supplemental Materials

Expanded methods and results Online tables I-II

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1: Patient baseline characteristics, reported as frequencies and percentages for binary

Variable	Overall population (n=695)	Early EVT (N = 493)	Late EVT (N = 202)	p-value	
Age	74.5(63.4-	74.2(63.4-	75.3(63.5-	0.557	
-	83.1)	82.9)	84.1)		
Female sex	332(47.8%)	224(45.5%)	108(53.5%)	0.066	
mRS pre-stroke	1(0-2)	1(0-1)	1(0-2)	0.008*	
NIHSS	13(7-19)	14(8-19)	12(6-19)	0.111	
Anterior circulation stroke	592(88%)	431(89.6%)	161(83.8%)	0.097	
Time metrics					
Onset-to-groin-puncture	245(180-	205(160-258)	641(455-	<0.001*	
time (min)	414.7)	205(100-250)	880)	<0.001	
Groin-puncture-to-	50(31-81)	47(30-79)	51(35-90)	0.038*	
recanalization time (min)	50(51 01)	17(30 73)	51(55 50)	0.050	
Initial radiological features					
ASPECTS	9(8-10)	9(8-10)	9(7-10)	0.012*	
Clot burden score	6(4-9)	6.5(4.9-9)	6(4-9)	0.491	
Tandem lesion	151(24.4%)	101(22.4%)	50(29.6%)	0.074	
Acute recanalization treatment					
Preceding thrombolysis	425(61.1%)	361(73.2%)	64(31.7%)	<0.001*	

data, and as medians with interquartile range for continuous variables.

Variable	Overall population (n=695)	Early EVT (N = 493)	Late EVT (N = 202)	p-value
Number of device passes	2(1-3)	1(1-3)	2(1-3)	0.126
Recanalization at end of procedure (mTICI≥2b)	610(92.7%)	432(92.9%)	178(92.2%)	0.744

* Significant in univariate analysis.

ASPECTS=Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score.

Table 2: Frequency of access and	procedural cerebrovascular	complications related to EVT.
1 2	1	1

Complication	Total EVT	Early EVT	Late EVT	p-value	
	(n=695)	(N = 493)	(N = 202)	(unadjusted)	
Access complications					
Significant hemorrhage in	12(1.7%)	7(1.4%)	5(2.5%)	0.34	
arterial puncture area					
Arterial access damage	15(2.2%)	10(2.0%)	5(2.5%)	0.71	
• Pseudo-aneurysm, arterial					
dissection	7(46.7%)	5(50%)	2(40%)		
• Occlusion, local floating thrombus,					
peripheral embolization	4(26.6%)	3(30%)	1(20%)		
• Other	4(26.7%)	2(20%)	2(40%)		
Any (at least one) access complication	27(3.9%)	17(3.5%)	10(5%)	0.35	
Procedural cerebrovascular complications					
Embolization in non-ischemic territory	18(2.6%)	15(3.0%)	3(1.5%)	0.25	

Iatrogenic dissection, vasospasmsrequiring therapeutic interventions	27(3.9%)	20(4.0%)	7(3.5%)	0.71	
Procedural cerebral arterial perforation, post-procedural SAH	41(5.9%)	28(5.7%)	13(6.4%)	0.7	
Any (at least one) cerebrovascular complication	86(12.4%)	63(12.8%)	23(11.4%)	0.61	
Summary					
Any EVT procedural complication	113(16.3%)	80(16.2%)	33(16.3%)	1.000	

References

Turc G, Bhogal P, Fischer U, Khatri P, Lobotesis K, Mazighi M, et al. European Stroke
 Organisation (ESO) - European Society for Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT)
 Guidelines on Mechanical Thrombectomy in Acute Ischaemic StrokeEndorsed by Stroke Alliance for
 Europe (SAFE). Eur Stroke J. 2019;4(1):6-12.

 Fiehler J, Cognard C, Gallitelli M, Jansen O, Kobayashi A, Mattle HP, et al. European Recommendations on Organisation of Interventional Care in Acute Stroke (EROICAS). International journal of stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society. 2016;11(6):701-16.

Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, et al. 2018
 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for
 Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke.
 2018;49(3):e46-e99.

4. Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH, Dippel DW, Mitchell PJ, Demchuk AM, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. Lancet. 2016;387(10029):1723-31.

Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S, Christensen S, Tsai JP, Ortega-Gutierrez S, et al.
 Thrombectomy for Stroke at 6 to 16 Hours with Selection by Perfusion Imaging (DEFUSE-3). N Engl J Med. 2018;378(8):708-18.

Nogueira RG, Jadhav AP, Haussen DC, Bonafe A, Budzik RF, Bhuva P, et al. Thrombectomy
 6 to 24 Hours after Stroke with a Mismatch between Deficit and Infarct (DAWN). N Engl J Med.
 2018;378(1):11-21.

7. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel JL, Thornton J, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(11):1019-30.

 von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2008;61(4):344-9.

11

9. Michel P, Odier C, Rutgers M, Reichhart M, Maeder P, Meuli R, et al. The Acute STroke Registry and Analysis of Lausanne (ASTRAL): design and baseline analysis of an ischemic stroke registry including acute multimodal imaging. Stroke. 2010;41(11):2491-8.

 Wintermark M, Albers GW, Broderick JP, Demchuk AM, Fiebach JB, Fiehler J, et al. Acute Stroke Imaging Research Roadmap II. Stroke. 2013;44(9):2628-39.

11. Sacks D, Baxter B, Campbell BCV, Carpenter JS, Cognard C, Dippel D, et al. Multisociety Consensus Quality Improvement Revised Consensus Statement for Endovascular Therapy of Acute Ischemic Stroke: From the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology Society of Europe (CIRSE), Canadian Interventional Radiology Association (CIRA), Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS), European Society of Minimally Invasive Neurological Therapy (ESMINT), European Society of Neuroradiology (ESNR), European Stroke Organization (ESO), Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions (SCAI), Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS), and World Stroke Organization (WSO). Journal of vascular and interventional radiology : JVIR. 2018;29(4):441-53.