

1 **A non-coding indel polymorphism in the *fruitless* gene of *Drosophila***
2 ***melanogaster* exhibits antagonistically pleiotropic fitness effects**

3

4 Michael D. Jardine^{1,2}, Filip Ruzicka³, Charlotte Diffley¹, Kevin Fowler^{1,2}, Max Reuter^{1,2}

5

6 ¹Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London,
7 United Kingdom

8 ²Centre for Life's Origins and Evolution, University College London, London, United Kingdom

9 ³School of Biological Sciences and Centre for Geometric Biology, Monash University,
10 Clayton, Australia

11

12 **Abstract**

13

14 The amount of genetic variation for fitness within populations tends to exceed that
15 expected under mutation-selection-drift balance. Several mechanisms have been proposed
16 to actively maintain polymorphism and account for this discrepancy, including antagonistic
17 pleiotropy, where allelic variants have opposing effects on different components of fitness.

18 Here we identify a non-coding indel polymorphism in the *fruitless* gene of *Drosophila*

19 *melanogaster* and measure survival and reproductive components of fitness in males and

20 females of replicate lines carrying each respective allele. Expressing the fruitless region in a

21 hemizygous state reveals a pattern of antagonistic pleiotropy, with one allele generating

22 greater reproductive fitness and the other conferring greater survival to adulthood.

23 Different fitness effects were observed in an alternative genetic background, which may

24 reflect dominance reversal and/or epistasis. Our findings link sequence-level variation at a

25 single locus with complex effects on a range of fitness components, thus helping to explain

26 the maintenance of genetic variation for fitness. Transcription factors, such as *fruitless*, may

27 be prime candidates for targets of balancing selection since they interact with multiple

28 target loci and their associated phenotypic effects.

29

30

31

32 **Introduction**

33

34 Genetic variation for fitness provides the raw material for selection and genetic drift to
35 cause genetic evolution of populations [1]. The action of both forces, however, tends to
36 reduce genetic variation. This is particularly relevant in the case of traits that are closely
37 linked to fitness and therefore, by definition, under strong directional selection. The classic
38 explanation for the presence of heritable variation for fitness in populations is mutation-
39 selection-drift balance, where standing variation is maintained at an equilibrium between
40 the generation of new variation by recurrent mutation and its reduction through selection
41 and drift [2,3]. Yet most populations typically harbour considerable amounts of genetic
42 variation for traits and fitness—and more than can be accounted for by mutation-selection-
43 drift balance alone [4]. This discrepancy between theoretical expectations and empirical
44 data constitutes a central and perennial puzzle in evolutionary biology [4,5].

45

46 One possible resolution of this paradox is that fitness variation is actively maintained by
47 balancing selection. Initially popularised by Dobzhansky [6], balancing selection is a force
48 actively maintaining two or more allelic variants at a locus. The active maintenance of
49 polymorphism requires that the selective value of an allele depends on the context in which
50 it finds itself [7,8]. Allelic fitness effects can depend on the genetic context within an
51 individual, as in the case of overdominance [9] or reciprocal sign epistasis [10], or the
52 genetic context in the population, as with negative frequency-dependent selection [11] or
53 variable environmental conditions (fluctuating selection, [12]). In the case of antagonistic
54 selection, polymorphism is maintained because the fitness effect of an allele depend on the
55 sex of the carrier (sexual antagonism, [13,14]), or on an individual's life history stage
56 (antagonistic pleiotropy, [15]).

57

58 Antagonistic pleiotropy (AP) occurs when mutations have a beneficial effect on one fitness
59 component but a deleterious effect on another. Initially conceived in the 1950s [15,16], AP
60 has become a major hypothesis for the evolution of ageing, where mutations that increase
61 fitness early in life are proposed to cause deterioration and increased mortality later in life
62 [15,17]. AP could maintain genetic variation if, for example, one allele confers increased

63 early-life fitness and a shorter lifespan, while the other causes a more even reproductive
64 output over a longer life, with both strategies providing similar long-term fitness pay-offs
65 and greater fitness than an intermediate strategy [18,19]. Despite some empirical evidence
66 of pleiotropic trade-offs [20], modelling has shown that the conditions under which AP
67 generates balancing selection and maintains polymorphism are quite restrictive [18,21–23].
68 This, combined with relatively few empirical examples of AP in nature, has led researchers
69 to question whether AP is a major contributor to the maintenance of genetic variation for
70 fitness [22,24].

71

72 However, recent theoretical and empirical studies have re-ignited interest in AP as a
73 mechanism generating balancing selection. Models of metapopulation structure in fungi
74 [25] and viability and fertility selection in flowering plants [26] have demonstrated a crucial
75 role of AP in maintaining genetic variation for fitness in wild populations. Similarly, Mérot et
76 al. [24] found that AP in fitness effects and the resulting variation in life-history trade-offs is
77 most likely responsible for the maintenance of an inversion polymorphism in the seaweed
78 fly *Coelopa frigida*. More recent theoretical models have further shown that the conditions
79 required for AP to generate balancing selection are less stringent than initially believed. For
80 example, taking into account sex-specific fitness effects or even small variations in
81 dominance between traits or over time may be enough for AP to generate balancing
82 selection under a wider range of conditions [27]. Furthermore, AP may generate excess
83 fitness variance (relative to unconditionally deleterious mutation-selection balance) by
84 slowing the removal of deleterious variation, rather than maintaining it *per se* [8,27].
85 Together these developments suggest that the proportion of AP genetic variation (and
86 possibly balanced variation) has been historically under-estimated [4], underscoring the
87 need for further experiments that link sequence-level polymorphism with measurements of
88 fitness components at different life stages, ideally in both sexes.

89

90 In this study we describe AP fitness effects associated with a polymorphism in a non-coding
91 region of the *fruitless* gene (*fru*) of *Drosophila melanogaster*. The *fru* gene is a key
92 component of the sex-determination cascade and is responsible for sex-specific nervous
93 system development and courtship behaviour [28–30]. In line with its crucial functions, *fru*'s
94 protein coding sequence is conserved across insect taxa [31]. Contrasting with the

95 evolutionary constraint that is evident at the phylogenetic level, *fru* also exhibits evidence of
96 positive selection [32]. In line with this evidence for ongoing selection, we identify here a
97 polymorphism within the 5' non-coding region of the *fru* gene. The polymorphism consists
98 of an indel and linked SNPs that segregate at intermediate frequencies across worldwide
99 populations of *D. melanogaster*. To investigate why this locus is unusually polymorphic, we
100 assess the consequences of each respective allele for multiple fitness components in both
101 sexes. We find that one allele confers higher reproductive fitness in both sexes, while the
102 alternative allele results in greater larval survival and, in some cases, greater adult longevity.
103 These effects further depend on the genetic background in which the alleles are expressed,
104 suggesting that dominance reversal and/or epistasis may also contribute to the
105 maintenance of this polymorphism. Our study adds to the growing body of evidence for a
106 reassessment of the role played by antagonistic pleiotropy, and possibly balancing selection,
107 in maintaining individual allele polymorphisms and genetic variation for fitness.

108

109 **Methods**

110

111 **Identification of an indel in a polymorphic region of *fru***

112 A polymorphic region of *fru* was identified by investigating signatures of balancing selection
113 in population genomic data from two collections of wild flies from Raleigh, US (N=205; [33])
114 and Zambia (N=197; [34]), using metrics of genetic diversity (nucleotide diversity, Tajima's
115 D) and linkage disequilibrium (LD, quantified as Kelly's ZnS) (Supplementary Methods 1).
116 Based on these analyses, a 1000bp region of elevated polymorphism and LD was identified.
117 To characterise this region further, we performed Sanger sequencing on a 400bp stretch
118 within this region from chromosomes sampled from LH_M, a laboratory-adapted North
119 American population of fruit flies [35], revealing a polymorphic indel in *fru*, with a long (L)
120 and short (S) allele (Supplementary Methods 1).

121

122 **Fly culture and husbandry**

123 Unless otherwise stated, flies were maintained on corn-agar-molasses medium with a
124 powdering of live yeast in either vials (8ml of media) or bottles (50ml) in 25°C constant
125 temperature rooms at 50% humidity on a 12:12hr light-dark cycle. When required, flies

126 were collected as virgins, every 0-6 hours post-eclosion until sufficient numbers were
127 obtained. Flies were anaesthetised using a CO₂ pad for short periods of time and
128 manipulated using a fly aspirator.

129

130 **Creation of allelic lines**

131 We created allelic lines, which carried S or L alleles in an isogenic genomic background.
132 Allelic lines were created through initial identification of LH_M individuals carrying the S or L
133 allele (Supplementary Methods 1), and then backcrossing these individuals into a *Df(3R)fru*⁴⁻⁴⁰
134 ⁴⁰/*TM6B* stock. Flies of this stock carry chromosomes of an isogenic Canton-S genetic
135 background, except for the third chromosome, where they are heterozygotes for a Canton-S
136 chromosome carrying a deletion covering the *fru* locus (*Df(3R)fru*⁴⁻⁴⁰) [36], and the TM6B
137 balancer chromosome. TM6B contains multiple and nested inversions and carries several
138 homozygous lethal mutations, as well as dominant marker mutations which produce
139 phenotypes for identification, including *Tubby* (*Tb*) that causes a distinct shape of the pupa
140 [37]. Backcrossing was performed over seven generations using the pupal phenotype *Tb* as a
141 marker (for full details of crossing scheme, see Supplementary Methods 2 and
142 Supplementary Figure 1). We used this approach to generate three independent lines each
143 for the S and L allele.

144

145 **Generating focal flies**

146 We performed fitness assays on “focal” flies generated by crossing individuals from the
147 allelic lines to flies from the *Df(3R)fru*⁴⁻⁴⁰/*TM6B* stock. The resulting individuals carried the
148 *fru* allele (L or S) of a line complemented either by the *Df(3R)fru*⁴⁻⁴⁰ deficiency (D) or by the
149 TM6B balancer chromosome (B). Since the deleted region of the *Df(3R)fru*⁴⁻⁴⁰ chromosome
150 extends over the *fru* locus, flies which inherit this chromosome (D) are hemizygous for
151 whichever *fru* allele they inherit. The *fru* alleles can therefore be studied in isolation in D
152 flies. The B chromosome (TM6B) was genotyped (see Supplementary Methods 1) and found
153 to carry the S allele. The contrast of allelic fitness effects between flies complemented with
154 the D deficiency or the B chromosome thus allows us to gain information on dominance
155 effects of the *fru* alleles and epistatic interactions with the genetic background. The cross to
156 generate focal flies also ensures that line-specific recessive deleterious alleles are masked
157 by complementing with both B and D chromosomes, so as to minimally affect fitness

158 measurements associated with the *fru* alleles. Before crossing, flies were maintained for
159 multiple (>10) generations in bottles containing molasses media, at a population size of 200-
160 300 flies per bottle and 3 bottles per line.

161

162 For each line (S1–3 and L1–3), crosses were performed by setting up replicate vials
163 containing 10 virgin allelic line females and 10 *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰/TM6B* males. These vials were
164 left overnight for the flies to mate. To limit larval densities, we twice transferred flies to
165 fresh vials for 4-hour egg lays (~10am–2pm and ~2–6pm). To establish focal flies carrying
166 the *fru* allele paired with either the D complement (wildtype pupal phenotype) or the B
167 complement (*Tb* pupal phenotype), emerging pupae were sorted into separate vials based
168 on their phenotype. Twelve total line sets were thus established, i.e. lines S1–3 and L1–3 in
169 D or B background, referred as S/D, S/B, etc. when referring collectively to all 3 lines
170 carrying a particular allele.

171

172 **Fitness assays**

173 Reproductive success

174 Focal females were mated to males from their own vial before being placed as triplets at 3
175 days old into vials containing 1% agar and fed by a capillary tube through the stopper
176 containing a 4:1 yeast to sugar solution (6.5g yeast extract and 1.625g sugar per 100ml) at
177 25°C and 80% humidity, with new food capillaries supplied daily. Triplets were maintained
178 until the focal females were 4–5 days old, since females are initially reluctant to lay in this
179 novel environment and need time to grow accustomed to it. Triplets were then transferred
180 to new agar vials (this time 0.8% agar was used since a lower agar % enabled clearer photos)
181 at ~4pm and allowed to lay eggs for 18 hours. Vials were photographed using
182 webcamSeriesCapture (github.com/groakat/webcamSeriesCapture) software and a Logitech
183 HD Pro webcam C920. We used the machine learning programme *Quantifly*
184 (github.com/dwaithe/quantifly) [38] to count the eggs in each picture. Vials where a female
185 died or where bubbles, debris, or other contaminants caused counting problems were
186 removed from further analysis. Fitness was assayed in 3 experimental blocks. In total, 863
187 successful female fecundity trials were performed.

188

189 Focal males were reared on standard food in vials of 30 mixed sex flies until 4–5 days old. To
190 assay male mating success, focal males were paired with a competitor male from the
191 *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰/TM6B* stock. Pairs of males were held in vials overnight. The next morning a
192 virgin *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰/TM6B* female was added to the vial without CO₂ anaesthesia and the two
193 males competed for mating. The males were allowed to compete for 90mins, thereby
194 maximising the likelihood of a single mating while keeping the rate of double matings
195 negligible. The males were then removed and the female left to lay eggs over a period of
196 several days. Once the larvae pupated, paternity was scored using the pupal phenotype. If
197 all pupae displayed the *Tb* phenotype then paternity was assigned to the competitor
198 (*Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰/TM6B*) male. If pupae were a mixture of wildtype and *Tb*, paternity was
199 assigned to the focal male. Only vials with >10 pupae were included in further analysis, to
200 ensure that the probability of not observing any wildtype pupae among the offspring of a
201 wildtype male would be minimal ($0.5^{10} = 0.001$) and paternity could be reliably scored. We
202 obtained data on mating success for 1149 males across 3 experimental blocks.

203

204 Larval survival, sex ratio and development time

205 Fifty virgin females from the *fru* allelic lines and fifty males from the *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰/TM6B* line
206 were placed together into egg-laying chambers (~2.5cm diameter, 5cm height) to mate and
207 lay eggs. The floor of these chambers was composed of a grape juice/agar mixture (172ml
208 concentrated grape juice per litre) with a small quantity of yeast as a protein source. After
209 48 hours, once they had acclimatised to the conditions, the flies were transferred to an
210 identical chamber with the same food source and left for a further 24–30 hours to lay the
211 eggs which would become the “focal” larvae assessed in this assay. Newly hatched, 1st instar
212 larvae were picked and placed in groups of 50 into vials containing standard medium and
213 left to develop. Newly formed pupae were removed from the vial and placed into new vials
214 depending on their phenotype (*Tb* or wildtype). For each vial and line, we recorded the
215 number of eclosing flies of each sex, the proportion of surviving larvae, and the sex ratio
216 (once all flies eclosed). Development time was recorded as the number of days from when
217 larvae were placed in the vial until eclosion as an adult. Complete data on larval survival, sex
218 ratio and development time was collected for 2052 flies (1049 females and 1003 males)
219 from 180 vials.

220

221 Lifespan

222 Due to the larger number of flies required for this assay compared to previous assays, focal
223 flies were generated using a slightly different method. Groups of 100 *fru* allelic line females
224 and 100 *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰/TM6B* line males were placed together in an enclosure containing a
225 petri dish filled with corn-agar-molasses medium and left to lay eggs overnight. The next
226 day, small sections of the media, each containing a similar number of eggs, were cut out and
227 placed into individual vials. The eggs were then left to hatch and the larvae to develop. As
228 pupae emerged the flies were separated into vials depending on the pupal phenotype (*Tb* or
229 wildtype). The vials were checked daily until sufficient flies for the experiment eclosed on
230 the same day, which occurred 10 days after eggs were laid. All flies used in the assay were
231 virgins and varied in age by no more than 24 hours. Newly eclosed flies were anaesthetised
232 with CO₂, separated by sex, and placed in vials in groups of 10. Every other day (Monday,
233 Wednesday, Friday), flies were transferred to a new vial without anaesthesia. The number
234 of dead flies at each transfer was recorded and dead flies removed. If a fly escaped this was
235 recorded and included in the analysis by censoring. This process was continued until all flies
236 had died. Complete lifespan data was collected for 1659 flies, with partial data obtained for
237 another 257 flies.

238

239 **Statistical analyses**

240 All statistical analyses were performed in *RStudio* [39]. Mixed effects models were fitted
241 using the package *lme4* [40]. All mixed effects models included the flies' line ID (S1–3 or L1–
242 3) as a random variable. If the assay was carried out in multiple blocks, this was also
243 included as a random effect. P-values for each model term were calculated using parametric
244 bootstrapping (package *pbkrtest* [41]) based on 1000 simulations.

245

246 Egg count output from the *QuantiFly* programme was square root transformed (to achieve
247 better model fitting) and analysed using a linear mixed effects model (LMM) with Gaussian
248 error. The model included the *fru* allele (L or S), chromosomal complement (B or D) and
249 their interaction as fixed effect parameters.

250

251 Male mating success was recorded by scoring paternity (focal vs. competitor male) as a
252 binary response variable. A GLMM (generalised linear mixed effects model) with logit link

253 function and binomial error structure was then fitted for this variable, containing the male's
254 *fru* allele, its chromosomal complement, and the interaction between the two, as fixed
255 effects. We also included a random block effect in the model.

256

257 Larval survival was measured as the number of adult flies emerging from each vial. An LMM
258 with Gaussian error was applied to the log-transformed number of surviving offspring as a
259 response variable. This produced a better fit according to log-likelihood and AIC than using a
260 GLMM with a Poisson error distribution. The offspring's *fru* allele and chromosomal
261 complement were included in the model as fixed effects. An additional random variable was
262 added to account for the identity of the vial housing each fly before separation at the pupal
263 stage. Sex ratio was calculated as the number of males divided by the total number of flies
264 which emerged from each vial and square-root transformed. A Gaussian LMM was applied
265 to the sex ratio values which included *fru* allele and chromosomal complement as fixed
266 effects and an additional random variable to account for differences between individual
267 vials.

268

269 Development time was analysed using a Gaussian LMM including *fru* allele, chromosomal
270 complement, sex and their interactions as fixed effects and larval vial and fly line as random
271 effects. Development time was log-transformed to improve the model fit.

272

273 Lifespan data was analysed using Cox proportional hazard models (CPH) from the R package
274 *survival* [42]. A model was constructed including *fru* allele, sex and chromosomal
275 complement as explanatory variables. Significance of model terms was assessed with
276 sequential likelihood ratio tests. Additional models were run with single explanatory
277 variables on either the entire or stratified datasets to estimate hazard ratios for significant
278 model terms. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were fitted using functions from the *survminer*
279 package [43].

280

281 **Results**

282

283 ***fru* polymorphism**

284 Our population genetic analysis revealed variation in polymorphism levels and LD across *fru*
285 (Figure 1A, top). One region exhibited elevated polymorphism and LD, both in a Zambian
286 population sample from the ancestral distribution range of *D. melanogaster* and in the
287 DGRP, a population sample from the recently colonised North American range of the species
288 (Raleigh, USA) (Figure 1B, Supplementary Results 1). Sanger sequencing of this region (using
289 flies from the North American LH_M population) further revealed an indel polymorphism,
290 with some chromosomes carrying a 43bp insertion that is in perfect LD with eight SNPs in
291 the flanking sequence (Figure 1A, bottom). Given that the flanking SNPs occur at
292 intermediate frequencies in the two distantly related worldwide populations (Raleigh:
293 $f(L)=0.475$, $f(S)=0.525$ – Zambia: $f(L)=0.511$, $f(S)=0.489$; Figure 1C, Supplementary Results 1)
294 and given the very close proximity (~10-80bp) and perfect linkage between flanking variants
295 and the indel in LH_M, we can infer that L (insertion-carrying) and S (deletion-carrying) alleles
296 of the *fru* indel segregate at intermediate frequencies in these two worldwide populations
297 as well.

298

299 **Reproductive success**

300 There was no effect of the *fru* allele alone on the number of eggs laid ($\chi_1^2=2.62$, $p=0.189$;
301 Figure 2A). However, there was an effect on fecundity due to the chromosomal
302 complement, with D females laying 7.3% more eggs than B females ($\chi_1^2=4.31$, $p=0.041$;
303 Figure 2A). Furthermore, there was a significant allele-by-complement interaction, whereby
304 S/D flies laid more eggs (21.6% excess) than all other genotypes ($\chi_1^2=4.29$, $p=0.031$; Figure
305 2A).

306

307 There was no effect of the *fru* allele on male mating success ($\chi_1^2=0.49$, $p=0.562$; Figure 2B).
308 The success rate of B males was 32.5% higher than that of D males ($\chi_1^2=17.38$, $p=0.001$;
309 Figure 2B). There was a clear difference between the alleles when in a hemizygous state (D
310 complement) with S/D males achieving 35.8% more matings than L/D males, though the
311 allele-by-complement interaction was not statistically significant ($\chi_1^2=3.52$, $p=0.058$).

312

313 **Larval survival and sex ratio**

314 A greater number of L allele larvae survived to adulthood compared to S allele larvae (a
315 51.2% survival benefit of the L allele; $\chi_1^2=7.64$, $p=0.016$; Figure 3) and more larvae inheriting

316 the D chromosome survived to adulthood than those inheriting the B chromosome (22.56%
317 more D than B larvae survived; $\chi_1^2=17.95$, $p<0.001$; Figure 3). There was no evidence for an
318 interaction between *fru* allele and chromosomal complement ($\chi_1^2=1.25$, $p=0.275$; Figure 3).
319 There were also no significant effects on the sex-ratio of emerging adult flies due to either
320 *fru* allele ($\chi_1^2=0.054$, $p=0.809$), chromosomal complement ($\chi_1^2=2.14$, $p=0.158$) or their
321 interaction ($\chi_1^2=2.89$, $p=0.097$; Supplementary Figure 2).

322

323 **Development time**

324 Females developed 2.1% faster than males across all genotypes ($\chi_1^2=98.69$, $p=0.001$,
325 Supplementary Figure 3) and the B chromosome lead to faster development than the D
326 chromosome by 2.5% ($\chi_1^2=9.21$, $p=0.003$). Yet, the *fru* allele had no significant effect on
327 development time ($\chi_1^2=0.36$, $p=0.655$), nor was there support for two-way interactions
328 between any of the variables (allele-by-sex: $\chi_1^2=0.91$, $p=0.357$; allele-by-chromosome:
329 $\chi_1^2=0.038$, $p=0.848$; chromosome-by-sex: $\chi_1^2=2.52$, $p=0.106$) nor between all three variables
330 ($\chi_1^2=0.012$, $p=0.921$) (Supplementary Figure 3).

331

332 **Lifespan**

333 A global analysis across the entire dataset did not reveal a significant effect of allele ($p=0.71$;
334 Figure 4). We did find, however, a significant effect of complement ($p<0.001$), with greater
335 lifespan (smaller hazard) in flies with the D than the B complement ($HR_{D/B}=0.72$), and sex
336 ($p<0.001$), with greater lifespan in males ($HR_{M/F}=0.82$). The latter effect is probably largely
337 driven by a significant complement-by-sex interaction ($p<0.001$), where the direction of the
338 sex-difference in survival is reversed between the D complement ($HR_{M/F}=1.27$) and the B
339 complement, with a large drop in survival of B females ($HR_{M/F}=0.50$, Figure 4). In addition,
340 we found significant pairwise interactions between allele and complement ($p=0.001$; D
341 complement: $HR_{S/L}=0.84$; B complement: $HR_{S/L}=1.14$) and between allele and sex ($p=0.028$;
342 females: $HR_{S/L}=1.04$; males: $HR_{S/L}=0.93$). The three-way interaction was not significant
343 ($p=0.25$).

344

345 **Discussion**

346

347 In this study, we identified an indel polymorphism in the fruitless gene and measured the
348 performance of allelic lines for a number of relevant fitness components, in both sexes. The
349 data provide evidence for complex allelic fitness effects (see Table 1 for a summary), with
350 variation in the impact of the *fru* alleles between fitness components, sexes and
351 chromosomal complements.

352

353 For cases where the *fru* allele was present in a hemizygous state (paired with the D
354 chromosome) the effects are compatible with AP, in which alleles affect fitness in different
355 and opposing ways (Table 1). Thus, flies inheriting the S allele outperformed L flies in assays
356 of male and female adult reproductive fitness, with S females laying more eggs than L
357 females and S males tending to have greater competitive mating success than L males.
358 Conversely, flies inheriting the L allele had greater larval survival than those with the S allele
359 in both sexes. These contrasting effects on reproductive fitness and survival suggest that
360 allelic variants at the *fru* locus act antagonistically, contributing to a major life history trade-
361 off.

362

363 In addition to AP effects, we also find evidence for interactions between the focal *fru* alleles
364 and their chromosomal complement, which is either a wildtype chromosome carrying the
365 deficiency *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰* (D) or a balancer chromosome *TM6B* (B). Because the latter carries
366 an S allele, such that L/B flies are L/S heterozygotes while S/B flies are S/S homozygotes, the
367 comparison between the genotypes in the two complements allows us to make some
368 inferences about dominance. Estimates of phenotypic means from our data suggest
369 dominance for two traits, male mating success and larval survival. For male mating success,
370 S/B (S/S) and L/B (L/S) males perform equally well while S/– males have greater mating
371 success than L/– males (Figure 2B, significant allele-by-complement interaction), suggesting
372 dominance of the S allele. For larval survival, in contrast, the difference in eclosion rate
373 between S/S and S/L individuals is similar to the difference between S/– and L/– individuals
374 (Figure 3; significant allelic effect but no allele-by-complement interaction), suggesting that
375 the L allele is dominant for this phenotype. These findings of trait-specific dominance raise
376 the intriguing possibility of adaptive dominance reversal, where the beneficial allele is
377 dominant for both traits.

378

379 Yet there is also evidence for more complex genetic interactions. Thus, there was no
380 difference between the effect of the two alleles on adult mortality when paired with the D
381 chromosome, but in females L flies had lower adult mortality than S flies when paired with
382 the B chromosome. This pattern is indicative of epistatic interactions between the focal
383 polymorphism and the genetic background (as well as the sex-determining pathway). It is
384 not surprising that such interactions should be apparent in our data, given the large number
385 of sequence differences that will be present between the B and D chromosomes. What is
386 less clear is to what degree these effects are biologically meaningful, given the presumably
387 unnaturally high deleterious mutation load on the balancer chromosome. Nevertheless, the
388 fact that epistatic allelic differences for particular fitness components arise in the presence
389 of both complements makes it plausible that similar, albeit potentially weaker, effects
390 would occur in interactions of *fru* alleles with naturally occurring polymorphisms elsewhere
391 in the genome.

392

393 Life-history traits, such as adult fecundity and survival probability [18,21] that we measured
394 here, are often thought to be associated with genetic trade-offs [19]. In such cases, an
395 increase in performance in one fitness component leads to concurrent decreases in
396 performance in another, for example due to resource allocation. Within this framework, AP
397 is likely to occur when mutations affect the allocation that underlies the trade-off. AP
398 effects can sometimes maintain genetic polymorphism in general models [18,21], models
399 replicating the properties of specific natural systems [25,26] and in empirical observations
400 [24]. Similarly, the antagonistic fitness relationship we have discovered between the two *fru*
401 alleles may maintain genetic variation at the *fru* locus.

402

403 Supporting this interpretation, our findings contradict some of the arguments that had been
404 put forward against a plausible role of AP in maintaining polymorphism through balancing
405 selection [22,23]. For example, classic theory predicts that in order for AP to maintain
406 polymorphism, fitness effects need to be large and similar across fitness components,
407 leading to doubts about the ability for AP as a source of balancing selection based on the
408 assumption that fitness effects are small ($\leq 1\%$) in most cases [5,22]. Interestingly, however,
409 the fitness differences we observe are considerable. In D flies, where AP is evident, S
410 females lay 25.1% more eggs than L females (29.67 versus 23.57) and S males achieve a

411 third more matings than L males (40% versus 30%), while L flies of both sexes survive to
412 adulthood with a probability that is 46.5% greater than that of S flies (14.62% versus 9.98%).
413 The efficacy of AP-selection would also be weakened if fitness effects were limited to one
414 sex [22,23]. But this again is not the case here: we observe similar effects in both sexes for
415 both reproductive fitness and egg-to-adult survival, although we find no reversal of fitness
416 effects between the sexes (sexual antagonism), which could have further facilitated
417 maintenance of polymorphism in conjunction with AP [27]. Another property that aids the
418 maintenance of polymorphism via AP is dominance reversal, where the beneficial effect of
419 each allele on a given fitness component is dominant [23]. Interestingly, our data provides
420 some evidence for such a pattern, with the S allele exhibiting a dominant beneficial effect
421 on male mating success, while the L allele exhibits a dominant beneficial effect on larval
422 survival (see Figures 2B and 3 and discussion above). The aggregate heterozygote advantage
423 produced by these two effects will generate balancing selection that helps stabilise the
424 polymorphism at *fru*. In addition, genetic variation could be further stabilised by epistatic
425 interactions [8] such as those observed in fly survival (Figure 4) and discussed above.
426 Theoretical models don't often consider epistatic effects in regards to AP, but models have
427 shown that epistasis can help maintain polymorphism at sexually antagonistic loci [44] and
428 similar processes could, in principle, affect AP loci.
429

430 Beyond evolutionary dynamics, our results raise the question of how genetic variation at the
431 *fru* locus generates phenotypic effects across the different fitness components we measure.
432 The FRU protein is a BTB-zinc-finger transcription factor and is produced in multiple
433 isoforms, some of which are sex-limited [29,30,36]. The sequence differences between the L
434 and S alleles are upstream of the coding regions, close to the sex-specific promotor P1.
435 Accordingly, the differences observed here between the alleles must arise due to
436 differences in expression levels rather than coding changes, and potentially due to the
437 relative concentrations of different sex-limited and shared isoforms. Both the absolute and
438 relative concentrations of different isoforms could potentially have important consequences
439 on organismal function and phenotypes, given *fru*'s role as a top-level transcription factor.
440 The number of its targets (between 217–291 depending on the particular isoform, [45])
441 would be expected to generate considerable trickle-down effects through the regulatory
442 cascade. Even slight initial differences in *fru* expression between L and S alleles could

443 potentially result in major, and pleiotropic, effects on a range of phenotypes. For example,
444 mutations in *fru* can result in drastic changes in male mating behaviour and brain
445 development [28,29,46]. The large number of target sites also provides a potential
446 mechanism for the epistatic interactions we observe, depending on the interplay between
447 the abundance of the different FRU isoforms, the specific sites they bind to and the
448 regulation that results from that binding. It is difficult to make inferences about these
449 regulatory effects. But investigation of the sites which interact with fruitless is ongoing [45]
450 and together with a more detailed knowledge of how the target loci are involved in
451 behavioural and morphological traits, this will shed light on the mechanism(s) that link *fru* to
452 downstream traits.

453

454 In addition to the effects of allelic variants, complements and their interaction, we observed
455 a significant amount of fitness variation between individual lines carrying the same
456 genotype. The method of introgression used to create the allelic lines involved naturally
457 occurring, stochastically placed break points. As a consequence, introgressing a specific
458 allelic variant into the region of interest will also introduce some flanking sequence of
459 unknown size. Variation in the extent of that flanking sequence can generate differences in
460 phenotype between lines carrying a given genotype in the target region. In principle,
461 variation in flanking sequence could also produce systematic differences between S and L
462 lines. In this case, however, the causative variation would require high LD with the S and L
463 alleles.

464

465 Notwithstanding these caveats, our study provides a rare manipulative experimental test of
466 the hypothesis that AP maintains polymorphic variation at an individual candidate gene. Our
467 results provide evidence for allelic variants at the *fru* locus generating AP between fitness
468 components where one allele (L) enhances survival and the other allele (S) enhances
469 reproduction. Since the *fru* polymorphism influences multiple fitness components, and each
470 allele is beneficial in some instances and deleterious in others, our data supports the idea
471 that the *fru* polymorphism is maintained through large antagonistic effects on fitness
472 components, in conjunction with dominance reversal. Our results complement recent
473 findings in other systems [24], indicating that AP is a plausible mechanism for maintaining
474 genetic variation for fitness.

475

476

477 **Funding.** MJ and FR were supported by a pair of London NERC DTP PhD studentships
478 (NE/L002485/1). MR was supported by BBSRC responsive mode grants BB/R003882/1 and
479 BB/S003681/1.

480

481

482 **Acknowledgements.** We are very grateful to Didem Snaith, Harvinder Pawar, Olivia
483 Davidson and Mark Hill for their help with pilot experiments, to Florencia Camus for
484 guidance on experimental design and analysis, to Rebecca Finlay for stock maintenance and
485 media preparation, and to Quentin Saintain for genotyping the TM6B balancer. We further
486 thank members of the MR and A. Pomiankowski research groups for their comments on the
487 results.

488

489

490 **References**

- 491 1. Fisher RA. 1930 The genetical theory of natural selection. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- 492 2. Muller HJ. 1950 Our load of mutations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2, 111–176.
493 (doi:10.1007/BF00139458)
- 494 3. Lewontin RC. 1974 The genetic basis of evolutionary change. New York: Columbia
495 University Press.
- 496 4. Charlesworth B. 2015 Causes of natural variation in fitness: Evidence from studies of
497 *Drosophila* populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 1662–1669.
498 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1502053112)
- 499 5. Charlesworth B, Hughes KA. 2000 The maintenance of genetic variation in life-history
500 traits. In *Evolutionary Genetics from Molecules to Morphology* (eds RS Singh, CB
501 Krimbas), pp. 369–392. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 502 6. Dobzhansky T. 1955 A review of some fundamental concepts and problems of
503 population genetics. *Cold Spring Harb. Lab. Press* 20, 1–15.
- 504 7. Gloss AD, Whiteman NK. 2016 Balancing selection: walking a tightrope. *Curr. Biol.* 26,
505 R73–R76. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.023)

- 506 8. Llaurens V, Whibley A, Joron M. 2017 Genetic architecture and balancing selection:
507 the life and death of differentiated variants. *Mol. Ecol.* 26, 2430–2448.
508 (doi:10.1111/mec.14051)
- 509 9. Johnston SE, Gratten J, Berenos C, Pilkington JG, Clutton-Brock TH, Pemberton JM,
510 Slate J. 2013 Life history trade-offs at a single locus maintain sexually selected
511 genetic variation. *Nature* 502, 93–95. (doi:10.1038/nature12489)
- 512 10. Ono J, Gerstein AC, Otto SP. 2017 Widespread genetic incompatibilities between
513 first-step mutations during parallel adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to a
514 common environment. *PLoS Biol.* 15, 1–26. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002591)
- 515 11. Sinervo B, Lively CM. 1996 The rock-paper-scissors game and the evolution of
516 alternative male strategies. *Nature* 380, 240–243. (doi:10.1038/380240a0)
- 517 12. Wittmann MJ, Bergland AO, Feldman MW, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA. 2017 Seasonally
518 fluctuating selection can maintain polymorphism at many loci via segregation lift.
519 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E9932–E9941. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1702994114)
- 520 13. Kidwell JF, Clegg MT, Stewart FM, Prout T. 1977 Regions of stable equilibria for
521 models of differential selection in the two sexes under random mating. *Genetics* 85,
522 171–183.
- 523 14. Bonduriansky R, Chenoweth SF. 2009 Intralocus sexual conflict. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24,
524 280–288. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.12.005)
- 525 15. Williams GC. 1957 Pleiotropy, natural selection, and the evolution of senescence.
526 *Evolution* 11, 398–411.
- 527 16. Caspari E. 1950 On the selective value of the alleles *Rt* and *rt* in *Ephestia kuhniella*.
528 *Am. Nat.* 84, 367–380.
- 529 17. Williams PD, Day T. 2003 Antagonistic pleiotropy, mortality source interactions, and
530 the evolutionary theory of senescence. *Evolution* 57, 1478–1488.
531 (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00356.x)
- 532 18. Rose MR. 1982 Antagonistic pleiotropy, dominance, and genetic variation. *Heredity*
533 48, 63–78. (doi:10.1038/hdy.1982.7)
- 534 19. Stearns ASC. 1989 Trade-Offs in life-history evolution. *Funct. Ecol.* 3, 259–268.
- 535 20. Rose M, Charlesworth B. 1981 Genetics of life history in *Drosophila melanogaster*. I.
536 Sib analysis of adult females. *Genetics* 97, 173–186.

- 537 21. Rose MR. 1985 Life history evolution with antagonistic pleiotropy and overlapping
538 generations. *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 28, 342–358. (doi:10.1016/0040-5809(85)90034-6)
- 539 22. Curtsinger JW, Service PM, Prout T. 1994 Antagonistic pleiotropy , reversal of
540 dominance , and genetic polymorphism. *Am. Nat.* 144, 210–228.
- 541 23. Hedrick PW. 1999 Antagonistic pleiotropy and genetic polymorphism: A perspective.
542 *Heredity* 82, 126–133. (doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6884400)
- 543 24. Mérot C, Llaurens V, Normandeau E, Bernatchez L, Wellenreuther M. 2020 Balancing
544 selection via life-history trade-offs maintains an inversion polymorphism in a
545 seaweed fly. *Nat. Commun.* 11. (doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14479-7)
- 546 25. Tellier A, Villaréal LMMA, Giraud T. 2007 Antagonistic pleiotropy may help
547 population-level selection in maintaining genetic polymorphism for transmission rate
548 in a model phytopathogenic fungus. *Heredity* 98, 45–52.
549 (doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800902)
- 550 26. Brown KE, Kelly JK. 2018 Antagonistic pleiotropy can maintain fitness variation in
551 annual plants. *J. Evol. Biol.* 31, 46–56. (doi:10.1111/jeb.13192)
- 552 27. Zajitschek F, Connallon T. 2018 Antagonistic pleiotropy in species with separate
553 sexes, and the maintenance of genetic variation in life-history traits and fitness.
554 *Evolution* 72, 1306–1316. (doi:10.1111/evo.13493)
- 555 28. Kimura KI, Ote M, Tazawa T, Yamamoto D. 2005 Fruitless specifies sexually
556 dimorphic neural circuitry in the *Drosophila* brain. *Nature* 438, 229–233.
557 (doi:10.1038/nature04229)
- 558 29. Neville MC et al. 2014 Male-specific fruitless isoforms target neurodevelopmental
559 genes to specify a sexually dimorphic nervous system. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 229–241.
560 (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.035)
- 561 30. Ryner LC, Goodwin SF, Castrillon DH, Anand A, Vellella A, Baker BS, Hall JC, Taylor BJ,
562 Wasserman SA. 1996 Control of male sexual behavior and sexual orientation in
563 *Drosophila* by the *fruitless* gene. *Cell* 87, 1079–1089. (doi:10.1016/S0092-
564 8674(00)81802-4)
- 565 31. Gailey DA, Billeter JC, Liu JH, Bauzon F, Allendorfer JB, Goodwin SF. 2006 Functional
566 conservation of the *fruitless* male sex-determination gene across 250 Myr of insect
567 evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 23, 633–643. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msj070)

- 568 32. Parker DJ, Gardiner A, Neville MC, Ritchie MG, Goodwin SF. 2014 The evolution of
569 novelty in conserved genes; Evidence of positive selection in the *Drosophila fruitless*
570 gene is localised to alternatively spliced exons. *Heredity* 112, 300–306.
571 (doi:10.1038/hdy.2013.106)
- 572 33. MacKay TFC et al. 2012 The *Drosophila melanogaster* genetic reference panel.
573 *Nature* 482, 173–178. (doi:10.1038/nature10811)
- 574 34. Lack JB, Cardeno CM, Crepeau MW, Taylor W, Corbett-Detig RB, Stevens KA, Langley
575 CH, Pool JE. 2015 The *Drosophila* genome nexus: a population genomic resource of
576 623 *Drosophila melanogaster* genomes, including 197 from a single ancestral range
577 population. *Genetics* 199, 1229–1241. (doi:10.1534/genetics.115.174664)
- 578 35. Rice WR, Linder JE, Friberg U, Lew TA, Morrow EH, Stewart AD. 2005 Inter-locus
579 antagonistic coevolution as an engine of speciation: assessment with hemiclinal
580 analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 6527–6534. (doi:10.17226/11310)
- 581 36. Anand A et al. 2001 Molecular genetic dissection of the sex-specific and vital
582 functions of the *Drosophila melanogaster* sex determination gene *fruitless*. *Genetics*
583 158, 1569–1595.
- 584 37. Miller DE, Cook KR, Arvanitakis A V., Hawley RS. 2016 Third chromosome balancer
585 inversions disrupt protein-coding genes and influence distal recombination events in
586 *Drosophila melanogaster*. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 6, 1959–1967.
587 (doi:10.1534/g3.116.029330)
- 588 38. Waithe D, Rennert P, Brostow G, Piper MDW. 2015 QuantiFly: Robust trainable
589 software for automated *Drosophila* egg counting. *PLoS One* 10, 1–16.
590 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127659)
- 591 39. R Core Team. 2019 R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 592 40. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. 2015 Fitting linear mixed-effects models
593 using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* 67. (doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01)
- 594 41. Halekoh U, Højsgaard S. 2014 A Kenward-Roger approximation and parametric
595 bootstrap methods for tests in linear mixed models – The R Package pbrtest. *J. Stat.*
596 *Softw.* 59, 128–129. (doi:10.1002/wics.10)
- 597 42. Therneau TM. 2015 *_A Package for Survival Analysis in S_.* version 2.38.
- 598 43. Kassambara A, Kosinski M, Biecek P. 2019 survminer: drawing survival curves using
599 ‘ggplot2’. R package version 0.4.6.

600 44. Arnqvist G, Vellnow N, Rowe L. 2014 The effect of Epistasis on sexually antagonistic
601 genetic variation. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 281. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0489)

602 45. Vernes SC. 2014 Genome wide identification of Fruitless targets suggests a role in
603 upregulating genes important for neural circuit formation. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 1–11.
604 (doi:10.1038/srep04412)

605 46. Nojima T, Neville MC, Goodwin SF. 2014 Fruitless isoforms and target genes specify
606 the sexually dimorphic nervous system underlying *Drosophila* reproductive behavior.
607 *Fly* 8. (doi:10.4161/fly.29132)

608

609

610

611 **Figure 1.** Population genetic signatures of elevated polymorphism in the *fru* gene. **A)** Map of
612 the *fru* gene, including breakpoints of chromosome bands, gene model, approximate span
613 of the *Df(3R)fru⁴⁻⁴⁰* deletion, nucleotide diversity (in RAL) in 1000bp windows (grey
614 horizontal lines = median genome-wide nucleotide diversity; dark grey horizontal lines =
615 95% quantile of genome-wide nucleotide diversity) and position of the *fru* indel (vertical red
616 dashed line). Alignments of a subset of the ~400bp region spanning the *fru* indel (brackets)
617 obtained through Sanger sequencing of LH_M-derived chromosomes are also shown, with
618 closely linked SNPs (used to construct the haplotype network shown in C.) shown as red
619 arrows. **B)** Histograms of nucleotide diversity, Tajima's D and Kelly's ZnS for all 1000bp
620 windows across the genome in RAL and ZI populations, with the vertical red dashed line
621 representing the 1000bp window encompassing the *fru* indel. **C)** Haplotype network
622 constructed from SNPs closely linked to the *fru* indel (red arrows in A.) in RAL and ZI
623 populations.

624

625 **Figure 2. A)** Number of eggs laid by triplets of focal females from each line (L1-3 and S1-3)
626 and chromosomal complement (B and D) over an 18-hour period. Allelic means represented
627 by dashed lines (L/B: 23.57 ± 0.79 ; S/B: 26.03 ± 1.06 ; L/D: 23.57 ± 0.78 ; S/D: 29.67 ± 1.13). **B)**
628 Proportion of matings (\pm standard error) obtained by focal males for each line (L1-3 and S1-
629 3) and chromosomal complement (B and D). Allelic means represented by dashed lines (L/B:
630 0.47 ± 0.028 ; S/B: 0.468 ± 0.031 ; L/D: 0.299 ± 0.027 ; S/D: 0.407 ± 0.029). Individual data points
631 are not shown in panel B, as the response is binary (taking only values of 0 and 1).
632

633 **Figure 3.** Number of offspring surviving from egg to adulthood for each line (L1-3 and S1-3)
634 and chromosomal complement (B and D). Allelic means represented by dashed lines (L/B:
635 12.22 ± 0.57 ; S/B: 7.78 ± 0.64 ; L/D: 14.62 ± 0.6 ; S/D: 9.98 ± 0.51).
636

637 **Figure 4.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves of flies carrying the B complement **(A)** and D
638 complement **(B)**. Line colour designates *fru* genotype (red = L allele, and blue = S) and line
639 type indicates sex (solid line = females and dashed line = males). For example, the blue
640 dashed line represents S allele males.

641 **Table 1.** Summary of the effects of *fru* alleles S and L on fitness components, in each sex and
642 chromosome complement. The table indicates instances where, based on data, the S allele
643 or the L allele resulted in greater or smaller values ($S > L$ and $S < L$, respectively) or similar
644 values ($S = L$) for measures of a fitness component. NA denotes cases where a trait could not
645 be measured.

646

647

648

649