Intrinsic reward: potential cognitive and neural mechanisms
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From child play to scientific discovery, many activities human engage in are rewarding in and of themselves. Here, we ask what makes such activities intrinsically rewarding. Based on the existing literature we propose the answer is an increased sense of self-efficacy. That is, an activity that is intrinsically rewarding is one that strengthens a person’s belief that they can execute actions required to successfully deal with prospective situations. We show this notion can explain the rewarding nature of many activities and situations from solving cross word puzzles to helping others, consuming arts and playing sports. We suggest that processes that lead to increased self-efficacy, such as executing agency and learning, activate the neural reward system. This in turn is experienced as pleasure and reinforces the activity that generated the response. Intrinsic rewards would lead biological organism to improve their knowledge and skills which could help them adapt to changing environments.
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Many activities are pleasurable in their own right. They are undertaken even when they do not lead to an external outcome: they are intrinsically rewarding. Playing, problem solving, cross word puzzles, exploring nature, reading, observing works of art, are a few such examples. Engagement in such activities is strongly associated with feelings of happiness, increased well-being, improved mental health [1**,2], and even professional achievement [3]. It is thus important to understand what makes certain activities intrinsically rewarding.

Psychologists and philosophers have debated the characteristics and function of intrinsically rewarding activities for almost a century [4]. More recently, neuroscientist have been studying the neural responses such activities elicit [5**,6**] and computer scientists, who develop intelligent machines, have turned their attention to this issue as well [7]. Here, we highlight some of this recent research to address the question of what makes the so called ‘intrinsically rewarding activities’ rewarding.

Primary, secondary and intrinsic rewards: differences and similarities
Primary rewarding stimuli (e.g. food, water) and activities (e.g. fornicating) are shaped by evolution, guiding the organism to select actions that increase survival and reproduction. Second order rewards (e.g. money) correspond to stimuli that are associated with primary reward. Via such association they take on the rewarding properties of the primary reward, becoming rewarding themselves. When second order rewards are no longer associated with a primary reward they stop being rewarding [8].

Actions that lead to primary or secondary rewards (like labour in exchange for food or money) are not necessarily rewarding in and of themselves. In contrast, intrinsically rewarding activities are rewarding in and of themselves and they do not require an association with a primary or secondary reward to be reinforced. While they may be associated with such rewards, they will not cease to be rewarding when such association is broken [4]. As such they are clearly distinct from secondary rewards. In contrast to primary reward, these activities (such as making art, playing, solving puzzles) do not have clear and immediate survival benefit.

Despite these differences, such activities, which do not have clear and immediate instrumental utility, seem to elicit similar behavioural responses as primary rewards. In particular, rewarding stimuli have the following characteristics: (i) they generate approach/consummatory behaviours, (ii) they are reinforcing in the sense that they increase the likelihood of the action that lead to them, (iii) they elicit positive emotions [4].

Indeed, people approach activities, such as reading novels, listening to music, going for walks, playing, exploring [2]. In fact, the term intrinsic reward was initially coined to describe the persistence of certain behaviours observed in non-human primate, such as playing with mechanical puzzles, in the absence of...
extrinsic rewards [9]. As for reinforcement, performing these activities increase the likelihood of performing it again. For example, it has been observed that when animals engage in an exploratory behaviour this results in an increase of such behaviour in the absence of expectations of extrinsic rewards [10]. Intuitively, once we try a new pleasurable activity or hobby, we are likely to repeat it. Finally, with regards to positive emotions, studies examining momentary affect using real time sampling methods show that people often feel happy when engaging in activities such as playing sports, reading, being in nature, learning [2,11]. A recent study [12] showed that when both extrinsic rewards (e.g. money) and intrinsic rewards (e.g. learning) can be achieved in the same task, happiness variations are driven by the latter more so than the former. What is it then exactly about an activity that causes it to elicit approach, reinforcement and positive feelings, without an association with a primary reward?

What makes an ‘intrinsically rewarding activity’ rewarding?

Here, we speculate that the characteristic which makes an activity ‘intrinsically rewarding’ is its tendency to increase self-efficacy. Self-efficacy is a person’s belief of how well they can execute actions required to successfully deal with prospective situations [12]. We suggest that activities that are associated with cognitive changes that increase the sense of self-efficacy will be intrinsically rewarding. These processes often include variations of learning such as knowledge acquisition, skill development, pattern recognition, problem solving, motor learning, exploration, consolidation etc. (see Figure 1).

Many intrinsically rewarding activities, which on the surface do not seem to offer much in the way of increased self-efficacy do exactly that at closer look. Staring at the ocean, for example, allows a relaxed state for consolidating thoughts [13]. Helping others, which improves well-being [14] increases self-esteem, the feeling of autonomy and of mastery [15]. Let’s take musical pleasure as another example. A recent study suggests that musical pleasure improved memory via activation of reward mechanisms [16]. Music offers an opportunity to learn sequences of notes, which listeners automatically do. Interestingly, people prefer musical pieces with an intermediate level of complexity [17], which are able to manipulate the listener’s expectations to produce both surprise and confirmation [18,19].

Indeed, intrinsically rewarding activities are those which are not completely familiar, but not completely new [11,20]. Very familiar tasks offer little in terms of learning opportunities while tasks involving uncontrollable random process are simply unlearnable [21**]. Activities with intermediate-level of difficulty trigger a sense of ‘flow’ — an experiential state of total absorption, optimal challenge, and non-self-conscious enjoyment [11]. Such levels are optimal for learning [21**]. Biological organisms have limited amount of resources and time at their disposal and thus need to select between the infinite number of activities the natural environment offers. A good choice would be one that allows an optimal rate of learning progress [21**]. Interestingly, activities that are most pleasant are often activities with intermediate levels of difficulty [11]. This suggests that a function of intrinsic reward is to nudge the agent towards activities that allow learning progress. These, in turn, would allow agents to better adapt to changing environment, and even combat existential threats such as climate change or pandemics [22,23]. This is because the scientific and technological advances (such as a vaccine development) are needed to address such threats. Such advances would not be possible if humans were not driven to learn and explore.

According to Self-Determination Theory [24], intrinsic rewarding activities often generate feelings of autonomy and competence (i.e. self-efficacy). Autonomy refers to an experience of volition and of self-organisation. Indeed, studies show that people are more likely to find activities they initiate themselves intrinsically rewarding compared to activities they are forced to do, even if the activity is the same [25,26]. A meta-analysis of 41 studies revealed that agency increases both motivation and performance [27]. In fact, making a choice in and of itself is intrinsically rewarding. When given a choice, people prefer options that allow further choice over those that do not; they are also willing to pay to control their own payoffs, rather than delegate [28]. This is true even when maximizing monetary rewards and minimizing monetary losses are known to require delegation [29]. This is because choosing increases the subjective value of what is chosen: people value items they had selected themselves more than identical items that were selected for them [30]. A sense of agency in its own right is tightly related to a sense of efficacy, but it can also increase learning [32]. For example, exercising agency in the form of creating an object or solving a problem, provides the agent with a blueprint of how to create similar objects and solve similar problems in the future. If an object or a solution is simply provided by another person, the agent would lack such blueprint. Agency also helps inform an agent regarding their own preference [33*]. We therefore suggest that agency is intrinsically rewarding in that it corresponds to a condition that favour an increase in self-efficacy.

If indeed intrinsic rewards are shaped by evolution they would likely be shared with other biological organisms. This notion is supported by the literature. Non-human animals perform activities which seem to be rewarding (that is they are approached, reinforced and seem to elicit pleasure), but do not lead to extrinsic rewards. For example, when wild mice first encounter wheels they run on them continually [34]. Such running can increase motor
skill learning [35] and metabolic efficiency, which can be beneficial in the long run by enhancing the ability to escape from predator and to forage [36]. Pigeons, starlings, rats, monkeys and humans (as quoted in [37]) all display behaviours that suggest uncertainty reduction is intrinsically rewarding. For example, all these species prefer to know in advance about future outcomes even when such knowledge is not instrumental. Humans [6**, 38**] and non-humans primates [39] will even pay for such knowledge, suggesting that they perceive the opportunity to gain knowledge as rewarding. It is thought that the rewarding nature of non-instrumental knowledge acquisition is nonetheless adaptive, because while such knowledge may not be useful at the moment it may end up being useful down the line.

If intrinsically rewarding activities and primary rewards both have evolutionary utility and elicit similar behavioural responses, is there in fact a distinction to be made between them? Indeed, there is. Primary rewards are necessary for survival, while intrinsic rewards can increase survival but are not necessary. For example, if a person has no food or water they will for certain die within days. However, if a person does not find intrinsically rewarding activities rewarding, this may reduce their likelihood of survival in the long run, but they could in principle live a long life. Second, as we mentioned in the introduction the survival benefit of primary rewards manifests itself immediately, while for intrinsic rewards the adaptive benefit manifests over longer periods of time.

We note, however, that an improved sense of efficacy will be intrinsically rewarding even if it is false and thus not always adaptive. For example, having our views confirmed by others or receiving information which create positive expectations will lead to an increased sense of self-efficacy (thus be intrinsically rewarding) even if such confirmations or positive expectations are based on false information. This is analogous to artificial sweeteners that taste like sugar, eliciting the same behavioural and neural response as the real thing, but are calorie free, providing no energy to the organism.

**Neural mechanisms of intrinsically rewarding activities**

While both lay people and experts use the word ‘reward’ to refer to primary, secondary and intrinsic rewards, whether the brain generates the response to all three...
using the same neural architecture and computational rules is not fully understood. Neural response to an intrinsically rewarding activity may well involve neural architecture that is more evolutionary recent, than the neural architecture required to process primary rewards. While some mammals do seem to get pleasure from activities in their own right, it is unclear whether animals lower on the evolutionary ladder do. Moreover, it seems that humans engage with such activities to a larger extent than other mammals. Thus, one hypothesis is that the rewarding response to such activities is neurally distinct from that to primary rewards. It may involve the neocortex and not rely on the dopaminergic midbrain system already in place. Another hypothesis is that the response involves a combination of the evolutionary old system as well as more high-level processing. A third hypothesis is that intrinsic rewards simply ‘hijack’ the exact same system that evolved in order to guide agents towards food/water and reproduction.

The extent to which the response to primary and intrinsic rewards is overlapping, and the details of divergence, can provide new insight into intrinsic rewards. For example, such knowledge can enable us to make predictions regarding how intrinsic rewarding activities earn their reinforcing nature and when this happens over the life span. It will give us clue to when and how intrinsic rewards lose their rewarding properties, such as in certain mental disorders.

There has only been a small number of studies explicitly examining the neural representation of, and response to, intrinsic rewards. This is surprising given the importance of intrinsic reward to productivity and well-being [3]. We can, however, make inferences from studies investigating agency, acquisition of knowledge and learning, play, altruistic behaviour and musical pleasure, even if these studies did not intend to directly study the rewarding nature of these processes. These studies point towards a neural system that partially overlaps but is also partially distinct from that involved in processing primary rewards.

Giving to a charity, controlling the environment, acquiring knowledge, playing, listening to music are activities that seem to have little in common. Yet, recent studies suggest that they are all linked to the activation of the same brain areas as for primary and secondary rewards — that is the VMPFC/OFC and ventral striatum. For example, a recent meta-analysis of 36 studies show that altruistic decisions activate the brain reward system (including the VMPFC and the ventral striatum) more than selfish decisions [40]. Similarly, a recent meta-analysis comparing hedonic responses to food (21 studies) and music (17 studies) revealed a significant conjunction notably in the VMPFC and in the ventral striatum [41]. The VMPFC is also involved in both playing games and getting an extrinsic reward [42**]. Interestingly, individuals for which the VMPFC tracks intrinsic outcomes more so than extrinsic outcomes are also those whose explicit happiness ratings are influenced more by the former [35], suggesting that the VMPFC may reflect the relative preference for intrinsic and extrinsic rewards.

Studies show that the perception of agency is often associated with the brain reward system (e.g. Ref. [3**]), at different decision stages. At stimulus presentation, when a subject is given an option to make a choice, activity in the ventral striatum is enhanced [26]. Post-choice, BOLD signals in the caudate nucleus and VMPFC are greater in response to outcomes participants selected after making a choice relative to before, suggesting that choice boosted the rewarding nature of the outcome [43], and activity in the VMPFC representing the subjective value of selected option was increased by 30% [5**]. Single cell recording in monkeys [44] as well as neuroimaging [6**,40] and pharmacological [38**] studies in humans, show that the opportunity to acquire knowledge is also represented by the same neural system, algorithms and neuromodulators as primary rewards. In particular, it has been shown that information prediction errors (IPEs), that is errors in predicting the opportunity to gain knowledge, are encoded by the same neural system as primary reward prediction errors (including the ventral striatum) [6**,39].

Overall, these results strongly suggest that intrinsically rewarding activity are associated with the same brain areas as primary rewards. Yet, studies also suggest different activations in response to primary/secondary and intrinsic rewards. For example, it has been shown that distinct neurons in the monkey orbitofrontal cortex encode either the values of knowledge acquisition or of primary reward, but not both [44]. Thus, the representation of intrinsic rewards may be partially separable from primary rewards at the cell level. At a system-level, different regions may be necessary for domain specific pleasure. For example, musical pleasure is correlated with activity in the auditory cortex [41*]. This suggests that intrinsic rewards may involve both activation of the system involved in processing primary rewards as well as additional regions within the neocortex. Further studies are needed to support these conclusions.

Concluding remark
Intrinsically rewarding activities include a large variety of human behaviours, ranging from play to scientific discovery and art. We hypothesize that the rewarding nature of these activities lies in their ability to trigger a sense of increased self-efficacy. Often this is the result of learning (e.g. knowledge acquisition, skill development, motor learning, consolidation etc.), but situations that increase one’s sense of confidence and positive expectations (and
thus sense of self-efficacy) will also be rewarding, even if this sense is in fact false. Intrinsically rewarding activities seem to elicit a neural response similar to that elicited by primary and secondary rewards, despite not being directly associated with such rewards. These signals in turn generate positive emotions and reinforce the activity that triggered them.

While a large body of literature has been dedicated to the empirical study of primary and secondary rewards the empirical study of intrinsic rewards is still in its infancy and many open questions remain. Studies are scarce and replicability has not yet been tested. We hope this opinion piece will generate research that examines the key hypothesis described. In particular, are rewarding activities rewarding because they increase self-efficacy? Is an increased sense of self-efficacy necessary for an intrinsically rewarding activity to be rewarding? Do all processes that increase self-efficacy activate the neural reward system? Do they share a common neural response with primary rewards?

A starting point for investigation could be to systematically establish that different tasks which are not linked to any extrinsic rewards are indeed rewarding. This could be done for example by quantifying approach behaviour, positive feeling, reinforcing power and neural response in the brain reward system to these activities. These metrics could also be used along with experimental manipulation of the sense of self-efficacy. Participants could be exposed to the same task under different conditions generating different levels of self-efficacy. Interestingly, the use of false feedbacks could further dissociate between the actual improvement and the subjective belief regarding improvement. This line of research is challenging as intrinsic rewards are difficult to quantify, yet critical for a full and deep understanding of the human experience.
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