1 **Title:** Classification criteria for Sympathetic Ophthalmia

2 Suggested running title: Sympathetic ophthalmia criteria

3 **Authors:** The Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature (SUN) Working Group¹

4 Writing committee: Douglas A. Jabs, MD, MBA^{2,3}; Andrew Dick, MBBS, MD, FRCP, FRCS,

5 FRCOphth⁴⁻⁶; Michal Kramer, MD⁷; Cristina Muccioli, MD, PhD⁸; Neal Oden, PhD⁹; Annabelle A.

6 Okada, MD, DMSc¹⁰; Alan G. Palestine, MD¹¹; Narsing Rao, MD¹²; Russell W, Read, MD,

7 PhD¹³; Jennifer E. Thorne, MD, PhD^{2,3,}; Brett E. Trusko, PhD, MBA¹⁴

8 Affiliations: ¹Members of the SUN Working Group are listed online at ajo.com. From ²the

9 Department of Epidemiology, the Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health,

and ³the Wilmer Eye Institute, the Department of Ophthalmology, the Johns Hopkins University

11 School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; ⁴the Academic Unit of Ophthalmology, Bristol Medical

12 School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ⁵the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical

13 research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, UK; ⁶University College London Institute of

14 Ophthalmology, London UK; ⁷the Department of Ophthalmology, Rabin Medical Center, Sackler

15 School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁸the Department of Ophthalmology,

16 Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; ⁹the Emmes Company, LLC, Rockville, MD,

17 USA; ¹⁰the Department of Ophthalmology, Kyorin University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan;

¹¹the Department of Ophthalmology, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Co,

19 USA; ¹²the USC Roski Eye Institute, the Department of Ophthalmology, the University of

20 Southern California School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹³the Department of

21 Ophthalmology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA; ¹⁴the Department

of Medicine, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

Corresponding author: Douglas A. Jabs, MD, MBA, Department of Epidemiology, the Johns
 Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, 615 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD

25 21205 Phone: . Fax: . Email: <u>djabs@jhmi.edu</u>.

26	Grant support: Supported by grant R01 EY026593 from the National Eye Institute, the
27	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; the David Brown Fund, New York, NY, USA;
28	the Jillian M. And Lawrence A. Neubauer Foundation, New York, NY, USA; and the New York
29	Eye and Ear Foundation, New York, NY, USA.
30	Conflict of Interest: Douglas A. Jabs: none; Andrew Dick: consultant: AbbVie, Alimera,
31	Apitope, Astellas, Gyroscope, Janssen, Roche;; JP Dunn: none; Michal Kramer: none; Cristina
32	Muccioli: none; Neal Oden: none; Annabelle A. Okada: consultant: AbbVie Japan, Astellas
33	Pharma Japan, Bayer AG, Daiichi Sankyo; lecture fees: Alcon Pharm Japan, Mitsubishi Tanabe
34	Pharma, Novartis Pharma Japan, Santen Pharmaceutical Corporation, Senju Pharmaceutical
35	Corporation; grant support from Alcon Pharma Japan, Bayer Yakuhin, Mitsubishi Tanabe
36	Pharma; Alan G. Palestine: none; Narsing Rao: none; Jennifer E. Thorne: Dr. Thorne engaged
37	in a part of this research as a consultant and was compensated for the consulting service; Brett
38	E. Trusko: none.

39 Word count: abstract 220; précis 59; text 1801; tables 4; figures 0.

40 **ABSTRACT**

41 **Purpose:** To determine classification criteria for sympathetic ophthalmia

42 **Design:** Machine learning of cases with sympathetic ophthalmia and 5 other panuveitides.

43 **Methods:** Cases of panuveitides were collected in an informatics-designed preliminary

44 database, and a final database was constructed of cases achieving supermajority agreement on

45 the diagnosis, using formal consensus techniques. Cases were split into a learning set and a

46 validation set. Machine learning using multinomial logistic regression was used on the learning

47 set to determine a parsimonious set of criteria that minimized the misclassification rate among

the intermediate uveitides. The resulting criteria were evaluated on the validation set.

49 **Results:** Nine hundred sixteen of cases panuveitides, including 110 cases of sympathetic

50 ophthalmia, were evaluated by machine learning. The overall accuracy for panuveitides was

51 96.3% in the learning set (95% confidence interval [CI] 94.8, 97.5) and 94.0% in the validation

52 set (95% CI 89.0, 96.8). Key criteria for sympathetic ophthalmia included bilateral uveitis with 1)

a history of unilateral ocular trauma or surgery and 2) an anterior chamber and vitreous

54 inflammation or a panuveitis with choroidal involvement. The misclassification rates for

55 sympathetic ophthalmia were 4.2 % in the learning set and 6.7% in the validation set,

56 respectively.

57 **Conclusions:** The criteria for sympathetic ophthalmia had a low misclassification rate and

58 appeared to perform sufficiently well for use in clinical and translational research.

59 PRECIS

Using a formalized approach to developing classification criteria, including informatics based case collection, consensus-technique-based case selection, and machine learning,
 classification criteria for sympathetic ophthalmia were developed. Key criteria included bilateral
 uveitis with a history of unilateral ocular trauma or surgery and either anterior chamber and
 vitreous inflammation or panuveitis with choroidal involvement. The resulting criteria had a low
 misclassification rate.

66 Bilateral inflammation after unilateral eve trauma or surgery was first termed sympathetic 67 ophthalmia by Mackenzie in 1840.¹ The ocular inflammation begins weeks to months or even 68 years after an initiating traumatic ocular event, either physical trauma (most often a penetrating ocular injury) or intraocular surgery. The patient then develops bilateral inflammation in both the 69 70 injured "exciting" eye and in the fellow "sympathizing" eye. Classically, sympathetic ophthalmia was described as a "granulomatous" (i.e. with mutton fat keratic precipitates) panuveitis, but 71 72 with the advent of modern therapy, full-blown disease may not always be seen. Hence some patients may not have "granulomatous" features and may have minimal anterior chamber 73 inflammation.²⁻⁷ 74

75 Sympathetic ophthalmia is a rare disease, which has been declining in incidence. It is estimated to occur in 0.02% to 0.05% of cases of ocular trauma and 0.01% of cases of ocular 76 77 surgery, typically multiple ocular surgeries, particularly vitreoretinal surgery.^{2,4} A prospective surveillance study in the United Kingdom estimated the incidence as 0.03/100.000/year.⁵ In this 78 series, ocular surgery was a more frequent cause than traumatic ocular injury.⁵ Although nearly 79 80 all cases occur after penetrating ocular injury or intraocular surgery, sympathetic ophthalmia after trans-scleral laser to the ciliary body, pan-retinal photocoagulation, and radiation therapy 81 for choroidal melanoma has been described, albeit rarely. 2-7 82

Sympathetic ophthalmia is by definition a bilateral uveitis, but observation of 83 inflammation in the exciting eye may be prevented by prior enucleation, phthisis, or corneal 84 85 opacity. In the era before modern microsurgery and corticosteroid therapy, enucleation of the 86 injured eye typically was performed to prevent sympathetic ophthalmia, and sometimes of the "exciting" eye to improve outcomes in the "sympathizing" eye (a controversial practice), but the 87 88 low incidence of sympathetic ophthalmia, improvements in globe-preserving surgery, and 89 improvements in therapy largely have led to discontinuation of these practices.⁵ Clinical 90 features on ocular examination include anterior chamber inflammation, keratic precipitates, 91 vitreous inflammation, multifocal choroidal infiltrates, and uncommonly serous retinal

27 April 2021

detachment.²⁻⁷ The choroidal lesions present as multifocal, small, subretinal yellow-white spots,
and are known histologically as Dalen-Fuchs nodules. These nodules are hyperfluorescent on
fluorescein angiography and hypofluorescent on indocyanine green angiography.⁸ Similar
choroidal lesions can be seen in late-stage Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease, sometimes termed
Dalen-Fuchs-like nodules, and sarcoidosis. Optic disc edema is a recognized complication, and
optical coherence tomographic imaging or ultrasound may demonstrate choroidal thickening.⁸

98 The histopathology of sympathetic ophthalmia demonstrates an inflammatory infiltrate 99 with mononuclear inflammatory cells (lymphocytes and macrophages) and classically 100 multinucleated giant cells with granuloma formation. Not all cases have granuloma formation, and some cases have only an inflammatory infiltrate of lymphocytes, both T and B cells. Dalen-101 Fuchs nodules, not found in all cases, are composed of lymphocytes, histiocytes, and de-102 103 pigmented retinal epithelial cells.^{9,10} HLA-DR expression can be detected on retinal pigment epithelial cells,¹¹ leading to speculation about their role in the inflammatory process and as 104 possible antigen presenting cells. However, the pathologic features are similar to other 105 granulomatous eye diseases, such as sarcoidosis.¹⁰ 106

107 The Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature (SUN) Working Group has developed 108 classification criteria for 25 of the most common uveitides using a formal approach to 109 development and classification. Among the diseases studied was sympathetic ophthalmia.¹²⁻¹⁸

110 Methods

The SUN Developing Classification Criteria for the Uveitides project proceeded in four
 phases as previously described: 1) informatics, 2) case collection, 3) case selection, and 4)
 machine learning^{14-16,18}

114 *Case collection and case selection.* De-identified information was entered into the SUN 115 preliminary database by the 76 contributing investigators for each disease as previously 116 described.^{16,18} Cases in the preliminary database were reviewed by committees of 9 117 investigators for selection into the final database.^{16,18} Because the goal was to develop

27 April 2021

classification criteria,¹⁷ only cases with a supermajority agreement (>75%) that the case was the
disease in question were retained in the final database (i.e. were "selected").^{16,18}

120 Machine learning. The final database then was randomly separated into a learning set (~85% of cases) and a validation set (~15% of cases) for each disease as described in the 121 accompanying article.¹⁸ Machine learning was used on the learning set to determine criteria 122 123 that minimized misclassification. The criteria then were tested on the validation set; for both the 124 learning set and the validation set, the misclassification rate was calculated for each disease. 125 For sympathetic ophthalmia, the diseases against which it was evaluated were: Vogt-Koyanagi-126 Harada (VKH) disease (both early-stage and late-stage), Behcet disease uveitis, sarcoidosisassociated panuveitis, syphilitic panuveitis, and tubercular panuveitis. 127

128 Comparisons of subsets of cases with sympathetic ophthalmia. Cases with and without 129 choroidal nodules ("Dalen-Fuchs nodules") and cases with penetrating ocular trauma vs ocular 130 surgery were compared with the chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test if a cell was <5 for 131 categorical variables and the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. P-values were 132 nominal and two-sided.

The study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Institutional Review
Boards (IRBs) at each participating center reviewed and approved the study; the study typically
was considered either minimal risk or exempt by the individual IRBs.

136 Results

One hundred forty-nine cases of sympathetic ophthalmia were collected and 110 (71%) achieved supermajority agreement on the diagnosis during the "selection" phase and were used in the machine learning phase. These cases of sympathetic ophthalmia were compared to 806 cases of other uveitides, including 194 cases of Behçet disease, 156 cases of early-stage VKH, 103 cases of late-stage VKH, 102 cases of sarcoidosis-associated panuveitis, 70 cases of syphilitic panuveitis, and 181 cases of tubercular panuveitis. The details of the machine learning results for these diseases are outlined in the accompanying article.¹⁸ The

144 characteristics at presentation to a SUN Working Group Investigator of cases with sympathetic 145 ophthalmia are listed in Table 1. A comparison of cases due to multiple ocular surgeries only vs those due to penetrating ocular injury is presented as Table 2. Not surprisingly, traumatic cases 146 were younger and more often male. There was an apparent shift in the distribution of vitreous 147 148 cells to higher grades among those with multiple ocular surgeries, but no difference in vitreous haze. Cases of sympathetic ophthalmia due to multiple ocular surgeries also were more likely 149 to have exudative detachments and sunset glow fundus, although these features occurred in a 150 151 minority of cases in both subsets. The comparison of cases with and without choroidal nodules 152 ("Dalen-Fuchs nodules") is presented as Table 3. Cases with choroidal nodules were more likely to be chronic and have either no or mutton fat keratic precipitates. The criteria developed 153 after machine learning for sympathetic ophthalmia are listed in Table 4. The overall accuracy 154 for panuveitides was 96.3% in the learning set (95% confidence interval [CI] 94.8, 97.5) and 155 94.0% in the validation set (95% CI 89.0, 96.8).¹⁸ The misclassification rates for sympathetic 156 ophthalmia were 4.2% in the learning set and 6.7% in the validation set. The disease with 157 which SO most often was confused was tubercular panuveitis. 158

159 Discussion

160 The classification criteria developed by the SUN Working Group for sympathetic 161 ophthalmia have a low misclassification rate, indicating good discriminatory performance 162 against other panuveitides.

163 Sympathetic ophthalmia is considered the prototypical ocular autoimmune disease. 164 Trauma or surgery allows either exposure of an ocular antigen in a privileged site or abrogation 165 of tolerance resulting in autoimmune inflammation in both eyes.^{3,8} Injury to the eye, either 166 penetrating trauma or surgery (typically multiple surgeries), is the *sine qua non* for diagnosis. 167 Classically described as a bilateral "granulomatous" panuveitis, it has become evident that in 168 the modern treatment era the spectrum of disease is broader. Bilateral uveitis is necessary for 169 diagnosis but may not always be observable; nevertheless when both eyes can be examined,

170 bilateral disease is necessary for diagnosis. However, mutton fat keratic precipitates, which are 171 the hallmark of what clinicians call "granulomatous uveitis", were present in a minority of 172 patients (10%), and choroidal nodules ("Dalen-Fuchs nodules") in 63%. As such, some cases with an anterior and intermediate uveitis were considered by a supermajority of the selection 173 174 committee to have sympathetic ophthalmia. Not surprisingly, and consistent with other reports,²⁻ 175 ⁷ patients with sympathetic ophthalmia after ocular trauma were younger and more likely to be 176 male. There was a suggestion that cases of sympathetic ophthalmia after multiple ocular 177 surgeries without penetrating injury might have a more severe vitritis, as evidence by the distribution of vitreous cells, but there was no difference between the two subsets in the 178 distribution of vitreous haze. Cases with choroidal nodules were more likely to be chronic, 179 suggesting that the more "severe" disease may be related to chronicity. However, no cases of 180 an isolated anterior uveitis were diagnosed as sympathetic ophthalmia. Whether sympathetic 181 182 ophthalmia can present as an isolated anterior uveitis cannot be addressed from these data, and the criteria exclude isolated anterior uveitis as sympathetic ophthalmia at this time. 183 An overlap in clinical features between sympathetic ophthalmia and Vogt-Koyanagi-184 Harada disease has previously been described, including exudative retinal detachments and 185 186 sunset glow fundus in a minority of patients with sympathetic ophthalmia,²⁻⁸ leading to 187 speculation about shared pathogenetic pathways. Indeed exudative retinal detachments (the classic ocular feature of early-stage Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada disease) were present in 18% of 188

cases, and sunset glow fundus (the classic ocular feature of late-stage Vogt-Koyanagi-Harada
disease) in 10% of cases of sympathetic ophthalmia. In these cases, it is the history of ocular
trauma that distinguishes between the two diseases.

The presence of any of the exclusions in Table 4 suggests an alternate diagnosis, and the diagnosis of sympathetic ophthalmia should not be made in their presence. In prospective studies many of these tests will be performed routinely, and the alternative diagnoses excluded. However, in retrospective studies based on clinical care, not all of these tests may have been

27 April 2021

performed. Hence the presence of an exclusionary criterion excludes pars planitis, but the
absence of such testing does <u>not</u> always exclude the diagnosis of sympathetic ophthalmia if the
criteria for the diagnosis are met.

Classification criteria are employed to diagnose individual diseases for research 199 200 purposes.¹⁷ Classification criteria differ from clinical diagnostic criteria, in that although both 201 seek to minimize misclassification, when a trade-off is needed, diagnostic criteria typically emphasize sensitivity, whereas classification criteria emphasize specificity,¹⁷ in order to define 202 a homogeneous group of patients for inclusion in research studies and limit the inclusion of 203 204 patients without the disease in question that might confound the data. The machine learning process employed did not explicitly use sensitivity and specificity; instead it minimized the 205 misclassification rate. Because we were developing classification criteria and because the 206 typical agreement between two uveitis experts on diagnosis is moderate at best, ¹⁶ the selection 207 of cases for the final database ("case selection") included only cases which achieved 208 supermajority agreement on the diagnosis. As such, some cases which clinicians would 209 210 diagnose with sympathetic ophthalmia will not be so classified by classification criteria, such as the issue of isolated anterior uveitis discussed above. 211

In conclusion, the criteria for sympathetic ophthalmia outlined in Table 4 appear to
 perform sufficiently well for use as classification criteria in clinical research.^{17,18}

214 **REFERENCES**

- Mackenzie W. A Practical treatise on the Diseases of the Eye. 3rd edition. Longmans,
 London, 1840. pp. 523-34.
- Makley TA, Azar A. Sympathetic ophthalmia: a long-term follow-up. Arch Ophthalmol
 1978;257-62.
- 3. Marak GE. Recent advances in sympathetic ophthalmia. Surv Ophthalmol 1979;24:141-56.
- Lubin JR, Albert DM, Weinstein M. Sixty-five years of sympathetic ophthalmia. A
 clinicopathologic review of 105 cases (1913-1978). Ophthalmology 1980;87:109-21.
- 5. Kilmartin DJ, Dick AD, Forrester JV. Prospective surveillance of sympathetic ophthalmia in
 the UK and Republic of Ireland. Br J Ophthalmol 2000;84:259-63.
- Galor A. Davis JL, Flynn HW Jr, et al. Sympathetic ophthalmia: incidence of ocular
 complications and vision loss in the sympathizing eye. Am J Ophthalmol 2009;148:704-10.
- 7. Tan XL, Seen S, Dutta Majumder P, et al. Analysis of 130 cases of sympathetic ophthalmia
 a retrospective multicenter case series. Ocular Immunol Inflamm 2016;27:1259-66.
- 8. Mahajan S, Invernizzi A, Agrawal R, Biswas J, Rao NA, Gupta V. Multimodal imaging in
 sympathetic ophthalmia. Ocular Immunol Inflamm 2017;25:152-9.
- Chan CC, Nussenblatt RB, Fujikawa LS, et al. Sympathetic ophthalmia. Immunopathologic
 findings. Ophthalmology 1986;93:690-5.
- 10. Chan CC, BenEzra D, Hsu SM, Palestine AG, Nussenblatt RB. Granulomas in sympathetic
 ophthalmia and sarcoidosis. Immunohistochemical study. Arch Ophthalmol 1985;103:198 202.
- 11. Chan CC, Detrick B, Nussenblatt RB, Palestine AG, Fujikawa LS, Hooks JJ. HLA-DR
 antigens on retinal pigment epithelial cells from patients with uveitis. Arch Ophthalmol
 1986;104:725-9.
- 12. Jabs DA, Rosenbaum JT, Nussenblatt RB, the Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature
 (SUN) Working Group. Standardization of uveitis nomenclature for reporting clinical data.
- 240 Report of the first international workshop. Am J Ophthalmol 2005;140:509-16.
- 13. Jabs DA, Busingye J. Approach to the diagnosis of the uveitides. Am J Ophthalmol
 2013;156:228-36.
- 14. Trusko B, Thorne J, Jabs D, et al. Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature Working Group.
 The SUN Project. Development of a clinical evidence base utilizing informatics tools and
- techniques. Methods Inf Med 2013;52:259-65.
- 15. Okada AA, Jabs DA. The SUN Project. The future is here. Arch Ophthalmol

247 2013;131:787-9.

- 248 16. Jabs DA, Dick A, Doucette JT, Gupta A, Lightman S, McCluskey P, Okada AA, Palestine
- AG, Rosenbaum JT, Saleem SM, Thorne J, Trusko, B for the Standardization of Uveitis
- 250 Nomenclature Working Group. Interobserver agreement among uveitis experts on uveitic
- diagnoses: the Standard of Uveitis Nomenclature Experience. Am J Ophthalmol 2018;
- 186:19-24.
- 17. Aggarwal R, Ringold S, Khanna D, et al. Distinctions between diagnostic and classification
 criteria. Arthritis Care Res 2015;67:891-897.
- 18. The Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature Working Group. Development of classification
 criteria for the uveitides. Am J Ophthalmol 2020;volume:pp.
- 257

Characteristic	Result
Number cases	110
Demographics	
Age, median, years (25 th 75 th percentile)	43 (25, 59)
Gender (%)	
Men	67
Women	33
Race/ethnicity (%)	
White, non-Hispanic	61
Black, non-Hispanic	4
Hispanic	2
Asian, Pacific Islander	15
Other	9
Missing	9
Uveitis History	
Uveitis course (%)	
Acute, monophasic	18
Acute, recurrent	1
Chronic	72
Indeterminate	9
Ophthalmic examination	
Keratic precipitates (%)	
None	59
Fine	23
Round	8
Stellate	0
Mutton Fat	10
Other	0
Anterior chamber cells (%)	
Grade 0	16
1⁄2+	19
1+	25
2+	25
3+	12
4+	3
Hypopyon (%)	2
Anterior chamber flare (%)	
Grade 0	33
1+	35
2+	21
3+	9
4+	2
Iris in the sympathizing eye (%)	
Normal	83
Posterior synechiae	17
Sectoral iris atrophy	0
Patchy iris atrophy	0

258 Table 1. Characteristics of Cases with Sympathetic Ophthalmia

Diffuse iris atrophy	0
Heterochromia	0
Intraocular pressure (IOP), involved eyes	
Median, mm Hg (25 th , 75 th percentile)	14 (10, 16)
Proportion patients with IOP>24 mm Hg either eye (%)	4
Vitreous cells (%)	
Grade 0	18
1/2+	25
1+	29
2+	20
3+	7
4+	1
Vitreous haze (%)	
Grade 0	48
1/2+	19
1+	15
2+	10
3+	5
4+	2
Exudative retinal detachment (%)	18
Sunset glow fundus (%)	10
Dalen Fuchs nodules (multifocal choroiditis) (%)	63
Ocular Trauma (%)	
Multiple ocular surgeries	45
Penetrating ocular injury	39
Penetrating ocular injury followed by multiple ocular surgeries	16

259

	Multiple Ocular	Penetrating Ocular	
Characteristic	Surgeries	Injury*	P-value
Number cases	50	60	
Demographics			
Age, median, years (25 th 75 th percentile)	58 (40, 71)	35 (18, 44)	<0.0001
Gender (%)			0.012
Men	54	77	
Women	46	23	
Race/ethnicity (%)			0.15
White, non-Hispanic	61	61	
Black, non-Hispanic	2	5	
Hispanic	0	3	
Asian, Pacific Islander	20	10	
Other	3	16	
Missing	14	5	
Uveitis History			
Uveitis course (%)			0.59
Acute, monophasic	20	18	
Acute, recurrent	1	0	
Chronic	74	70	
Indeterminate	6	12	
Ophthalmic examination			
Keratic precipitates (%)			0.07
None	50	66	
Fine	25	22	
Round	8	8	
Mutton Fat	18	3	
Anterior chamber cells (%)			0.41
Grade 0	10	22	0111
1/2+	16	22	
1+	30	19	
2+	28	24	
3+	14	10	
4+	2	3	
Hypopyon (%)	2	2	1.00
Anterior chamber flare (%)	L	۲	0.51
Grade 0	26	39	0.01
1+	34	39	
2+	26	17	
3+	12	7	
	2	2	
	۷	۷	0.60
Iris in the sympathizing eye (%)	86	80	0.60
Normal	14	20	
Posterior synechiae	14	20	
Intraocular pressure (IOP), involved eyes			0.00
Median, mm Hg (25 th , 75 th percentile)	14 (9, 16)	14 (10, 16)	0.92

Table 2. Comparison of Cases with Multiple Ocular Surgeries only vs Cases withPenetrating Ocular Injury

Percent patients with IOP>24 mm Hg either eye	4	4	1.00
Vitreous cells (%)			0.01
Grade 0	12	22	
1/2+	12	36	
1+	46	19	
2+	24	17	
3+	6	5	
4+	0	2	
Vitreous haze (%)			0.37
Grade 0	40	54	
1/2+	20	19	
1+	18	14	
2+	16	5	
3+	4	7	
4+	2	2	
Exudative retinal detachment (%)	36	17	0.02
Sunset glow fundus (%)	18	2	0.01
Dalen Fuchs nodules (multifocal choroiditis) (%)	62	63	0.94

*Includes eyes with penetrating ocular injury followed by multiple ocular surgeries

Table 3. Comparison of Cases with Choroidal ("Dalen Fuchs") Nodules vs Cases without Choroidal Nodules

Characteristic	Choroidal Nodules	No Choroidal Nodules	P-value
Number cases	69	41	I -value
Demographics	09	41	
Age, median, years (25 th 75 th percentile)	44 (23, 59)	43 (28, 60)	0.88
	44 (23, 39)	43 (20, 00)	
Gender (%)		01	0.39
Men	69	61	
Women	31	39	
Race/ethnicity (%)			0.24
White, non-Hispanic	70	49	
Black, non-Hispanic	5	2	
Hispanic	0	5	
Asian, Pacific Islander	9	22	
Other	10	7	
Missing	6	15	
Uveitis History			
Uveitis course (%)			0.01
Acute, monophasic	9	32	
Acute, recurrent	0	2	
Chronic	83	54	
Indeterminate	8	12	
Ophthalmic examination		12	
Keratic precipitates (%)			0.001
None	70	41	0.001
Fine	13	39	
	4		
Round Mutton Fat	13	15 5	
	13	5	0.4.4
Anterior chamber cells (%)	00	7	0.14
Grade 0	22	7	
1/2+	20	17	
1+	26	22	
2+	23	29	
3+	7	20	
4+	1	5	
Hypopyon (%)	3	0	0.39
Anterior chamber flare (%)			0.20
Grade 0	36	27	
1+	36	34	
2+	22	20	
3+	6	15	
4+	0	5	
Iris in the sympathizing eye (%)			0.89
Normal	84	80	0.00
Posterior synechiae	16	20	
	10	20	
Intraocular pressure (IOP), involved eyes	11 (10 10)	11 (11 16)	0.87
Median, mm Hg (25 th , 75 th percentile)	14 (10, 18)	14 (11, 16)	0.07

Proportion patients with IOP>24 mm Hg either eye	6	3	0.67
Vitreous cells (%)			0.07
Grade 0	26	5	
1⁄2+	22	29	
1+	26	34	
2+	17	24	
3+	7	7	
4+	1	0	
Vitreous haze (%)			0.58
Grade 0	49	46	
1⁄2+	16	24	
1+	13	20	
2+	12	7	
3+	7	2	
4+	3	0	
Exudative retinal detachment (%)	19	36	0.04
Sunset glow fundus (%)	10	10	1.00
Ocular Trauma (%)			
Multiple ocular surgeries only	46	46	1.00
Penetrating ocular injury*	54	54	1.00

*Includes cases with penetrating ocular injury followed by multiple ocular surgeries.

265 Table 4. Classification Criteria for Sympathetic Ophthalmia

Criteria

1. History of unilateral ocular trauma or surgery

AND

- 2. Ocular inflammation, either
 - a. Bilateral OR
 - b. If there is no view in the inciting eye (e.g. enucleated, phthisis, opaque cornea), then detectable inflammation in the sympathizing eye

AND

- 3. Evidence of more than isolated anterior uveitis, either
 - a. Anterior chamber and vitreous inflammation OR
 - b. Panuveitis with choroidal involvement

Exclusions

- 1. Positive serology for syphilis using a treponemal test
- 2. Evidence for sarcoidosis (either bilateral hilar adenopathy on chest imaging or tissue biopsy demonstrating non-caseating granulomata)