

**Genome-wide RNAi screen for regulators of UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants  
with defects in mitochondrial fusion**

Simon Haeussler<sup>\*</sup>, Assa Yeroslaviz<sup>†</sup>, Stéphane G. Rolland<sup>\*,‡,1</sup>, Sebastian Luehr<sup>\*</sup>, Eric J. Lambie<sup>\*\*</sup> and Barbara Conradt<sup>\*,§,\*\*,1</sup>

**Author Affiliation:**

<sup>\*</sup> Faculty of Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, 82152 Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

<sup>†</sup> Computational Biology Group, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, 82152 Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

<sup>‡</sup> Present address: Center for Genomic Integrity, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Ulsan 44919, South Korea

<sup>§</sup> Center for Integrated Protein Science, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, 82152 Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

<sup>\*\*</sup> Research Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Division of Biosciences, University College London, London WC1E 6AP, United Kingdom

**Author List Footnotes:**

<sup>1</sup> Lead Contacts

**UPR<sup>mt</sup> screen in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants (37 characters)**

**Keywords:** mitochondrial unfolded protein response, mitochondrial dynamics, *fzo-1*, IP<sub>3</sub> signaling, Mitoguardin

**Correspondence:**

Barbara Conradt, Research Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Division of Biosciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6AP, United Kingdom; Phone: +44 20 3108 6268; Email: [b.conradt@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:b.conradt@ucl.ac.uk)

Stéphane Rolland, Center for Genomic Integrity, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Ulsan 44919, South Korea; Phone: +82 52 217 5538; Email: [srolland@ibs.re.kr](mailto:srolland@ibs.re.kr)

## ABSTRACT

Mitochondrial dynamics plays an important role in mitochondrial quality control and the adaptation of metabolic activity in response to environmental changes. The disruption of mitochondrial dynamics has detrimental consequences for mitochondrial and cellular homeostasis and leads to the activation of the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR<sup>mt</sup>), a quality control mechanism that adjusts cellular metabolism and restores homeostasis. To identify genes involved in the induction of UPR<sup>mt</sup> in response to a block in mitochondrial fusion, we performed a genome-wide RNAi screen in *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants lacking the gene *fzo-1*, which encodes the ortholog of mammalian Mitofusin, and identified 299 suppressors and 86 enhancers. Approximately 90% of these 385 genes are conserved in humans, and one third of the conserved genes have been implicated in human disease. Furthermore, many have roles in developmental processes, which suggests that mitochondrial function and the response to stress are defined during development and maintained throughout life. Our dataset primarily contains mitochondrial enhancers and non-mitochondrial suppressors of UPR<sup>mt</sup>, indicating that the maintenance of mitochondrial homeostasis has evolved as a critical cellular function, which, when disrupted, can be compensated for by many different cellular processes. Analysis of the subsets ‘non-mitochondrial enhancers’ and ‘mitochondrial suppressors’ suggests that organellar contact sites, especially between the ER and mitochondria, are of importance for mitochondrial homeostasis. In addition, we identified several genes involved in IP<sub>3</sub> signaling that modulate UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1* mutants and found a potential link between pre-mRNA splicing and UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation.

(244/250 words)

## INTRODUCTION

Mitochondria are important for cellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production, iron-sulfur-cluster biogenesis, lipid metabolism and apoptosis, and therefore, mitochondrial homeostasis is tightly regulated by several quality control mechanisms (Tatsuta and Langer, 2008; Kornmann, 2014). Moreover, mitochondria are required to respond to environmental challenges, which are often accompanied by alterations in energy demand (Youle and van der Bliek, 2012). Mitochondrial dynamics controls mitochondrial shape and distribution, thus playing a central role in both mitochondrial homeostasis and the adjustment to changing energy demands (Yaffe, 1999; van der Bliek *et al.*, 2013). Dynamics of mitochondrial membranes is controlled by large guanosine triphosphate-binding proteins (GTPases) of the dynamin-like family, which are conserved from yeast to humans (Hales and Fuller, 1997; Otsuga *et al.*, 1998; Smirnova *et al.*, 1998; Bleazard *et al.*, 1999; Labrousse *et al.*, 1999; Shepard and Yaffe, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2003; Santel *et al.*, 2003; Ichishita *et al.*, 2008; Kanazawa *et al.*, 2008). In the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, fusion of the outer and inner mitochondrial membrane (OMM and IMM) is facilitated by FZO-1<sup>MFN1,2</sup> (Ichishita *et al.*, 2008) and EAT-3<sup>OPA1</sup> (Kanazawa *et al.*, 2008), respectively. Conversely, fission of the OMM and IMM is carried out by DRP-1<sup>DRP1</sup> (Labrousse *et al.*, 1999), whose ortholog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Dnm1p) has been shown to form constricting spirals around mitochondria (Ingerman *et al.*, 2005). The disruption of mitochondrial dynamics has detrimental consequences for mitochondrial and ultimately cellular homeostasis and is associated with several human diseases. Thus, mitochondrial homeostasis is controlled by several additional protective quality control mechanisms, including the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR<sup>mt</sup>) and mitophagy (Chen and Chan, 2004; Youle and van der Bliek, 2012; van der Bliek *et al.*, 2013; Kornmann, 2014). How these quality control mechanisms are coordinated with mitochondrial dynamics is not fully understood. Recently,

disruption of mitochondrial dynamics has been shown to induce UPR<sup>mt</sup> (Kim and Sieburth, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Rolland *et al.*, 2019; Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). UPR<sup>mt</sup> has been studied extensively in the past decade using genome-wide RNAi screens in *C. elegans* (Haynes *et al.*, 2007; Runkel *et al.*, 2013; Bennett *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2014; Rolland *et al.*, 2019). Upon mitochondrial stress and the concomitant decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential, the master regulator of UPR<sup>mt</sup>, ‘activating transcription factor associated with stress 1’ (ATFS-1<sup>ATF4,5</sup>), instead of being imported into mitochondria, translocates from the cytosol to the nucleus, where it activates a broad transcriptional program (Haynes *et al.*, 2010; Nargund *et al.*, 2012; Rolland *et al.*, 2019). UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation leads to the expression of a large set of cytoprotective genes including genes encoding chaperones (*e.g.* *hsp-6*<sup>mtHSP70</sup> and *hsp-60*<sup>HSDP1</sup>, whose transcription is commonly used to monitor UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation (Yoneda *et al.*, 2004)) or proteases, and has been shown to promote mitochondrial biogenesis and coordinate cellular metabolism (Nargund *et al.*, 2012; Rauthan *et al.*, 2013; Liu *et al.*, 2014; Ranji *et al.*, 2014; Nargund *et al.*, 2015; Oks *et al.*, 2018; Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). (All genes that are specifically up- or downregulated upon induction of UPR<sup>mt</sup> are referred to as UPR<sup>mt</sup> effectors.) Moreover, UPR<sup>mt</sup> has been shown to act in a cell non-autonomous way, and once activated in a certain tissue can result in a systemic response (Durieux *et al.*, 2011; Shao *et al.*, 2016; Kim and Sieburth, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Kim and Sieburth, 2020).

In this study, we performed a genome-wide RNAi screen to identify regulators of UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants and identified 299 suppressors and 86 enhancers. We analyzed this dataset using bioinformatic tools, such as GO enrichment analysis, gene network analysis and analysis of transcription factor binding sites in promoters of candidate genes. Furthermore, we determined the specificities of the candidates identified with respect to their ability to modulate UPR<sup>mt</sup> using secondary screens. Finally, we identified the *C. elegans* ortholog of the mammalian genes *Miga1* and *Miga2*, which have been implicated in mitochondrial fusion,

and demonstrate that the loss of the *C. elegans* ortholog leads to mitochondrial fragmentation and the induction of UPR<sup>mt</sup>.

## METHODS

### General *C. elegans* methods and strains

*C. elegans* strains were cultured as previously described (Brenner, 1974). Bristol N2 was used as the wild-type strain. All experiments were carried out at 20°C and all strains were maintained at 20°C. The following alleles and transgenes were used: LGI: *spg-7(ad2249)* (Zubovych *et al.*, 2010); LGII: *fzo-1(tm1133)* (National BioResource Project); *eat-3(ad426)* (Kanazawa *et al.*, 2008); LGIV: *drp-1(tm1108)* (National BioResource Project); *bcSi9* ( $P_{hsp-6}::gfp::unc-54$  3'UTR) (Haeussler *et al.*, 2020); LGV: *miga-1(tm3621)* (National BioResource Project). Additionally, the following multi-copy integrated transgenes were used: *zcIs9* ( $P_{hsp-60}::gfp::unc-54$  3'UTR), *zcIs13* ( $P_{hsp-6}::gfp::unc-54$  3'UTR) (Yoneda *et al.*, 2004); *bcIs78* ( $P_{myo-3}::gfp^{mt}$ ) (Rolland *et al.*, 2013).

### RNA-mediated interference

RNAi by feeding was performed using the updated 'Ahringer' RNAi library (Kamath and Ahringer, 2003), which covers around ~87% of the currently annotated *C. elegans* protein coding genes. For the primary and secondary screens with the multi-copy *zcIs13* transgene in the *fzo-1(tm1133)*, *drp-1(tm1108)*, *eat-3(ad426)* or *spg-7(ad2249)* background, RNAi clones were cultured overnight in 100 µL of LB containing carbenicillin (100 µg/mL) in a 96 well plate format at 37°C and 200 rpm. 10 µL of each RNAi culture was used to seed one well of a 24 well RNAi plate containing 0.25% Lactose (w/v) as described previously (Rolland *et al.*, 2019). The plates were incubated at 20°C in the dark. 24 hours later, 3 L4 larvae of all strains carrying the *fzo-1(tm1133)* and *spg-7(ad2249)* allele, and 2 L4 larvae of *drp-1(tm1108)* were transferred to each well of the RNAi plates. The F1 generation was scored by eye for fluorescence intensity of the  $P_{hsp-6}$  mtHSP70*gfp* reporter after 4-12 days and compared to worms of the respective genotype on the negative control *sorb-1(RNAi)*.

For double-RNAi experiments (Figure S1), RNAi clones were cultured as described above but experiments were conducted in three independent experiments using RNAi plates containing 6 mM IPTG. *rps-1(RNAi)* was diluted 1:1 with either empty vector RNAi (L4440) or *kgb-1(RNAi)*.

### Screening procedure and sequencing of RNAi-clones

For the primary screen, all RNAi clones of the library were tested once. Bacterial RNAi clones that enhanced or suppressed the  $P_{hsp-6}$  *mtHSP70gfp* reporter were picked from the wells and inoculated in 100  $\mu$ L of LB containing carbenicillin (100  $\mu$ g/mL) in a 96 well plate format and cultured overnight at 37°C and 200 rpm. Glycerol stocks of these overnight cultures were prepared the following day by adding 100  $\mu$ L of LB containing 30% glycerol and frozen at -80°C. After all RNAi clones of the library were tested, the 657 identified candidates were re-tested at least three times in duplicates for verification of the observed phenotype. The RNAi clones that reproduced the suppression or enhancement phenotype at least three out of six times were considered as verified candidates.

The 385 verified RNAi clones were sequenced. For this, colony PCRs were performed directly from the glycerol stocks using the primers *L4440F* and *L4440R*. To remove excessive primers and nucleotides, PCR products were treated with ExoSAP-IT™ (Applied Biosystems, Cat.no. 78200.200.UL) according to manufacturer's protocol. After PCR clean-up, samples were sent for sequencing using *L4440F* primer.

*L4440 F* 5'-TGGATAACCGTATTACCGCC-3'

*L4440 R* 5'-GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTT-3'

According to our sequencing results, seven of the RNAi clones covered two genes. These are indicated in column B ('Sequence') in Table S1. These RNAi clones were assigned to the GO

group of the gene, which was predominantly covered by our sequencing result and all subsequent analysis were carried out using this gene.

Subsequently, the verified and sequenced clones were rescreened in technical duplicates in three independent experiments in the secondary screens in *drp-1(tm1108)*, *eat-3(ad426)* and *spg-7(ad2249)* mutant backgrounds.

### **Identification of human orthologs**

Human orthologs and OMIM data (Amberger *et al.*, 2018) were extracted from wormbase.org using <https://intermine.wormbase.org> (Harris *et al.*, 2019). Human orthologs were then manually verified using ‘alliancegenome.org’ (The Alliance of Genome Resources, 2019), ‘orthodb.org’ (Kriventseva *et al.*, 2018), ‘ensembl.org’ (Hunt *et al.*, 2018) and ‘uniprot.org’ (Consortium, 2018).

### **Prediction of mitochondrial localization and mitochondrial targeting sequences**

First, <https://intermine.wormbase.org> (Harris *et al.*, 2019) was used to identify all candidate genes, which are related to any mitochondrial processes/pathways. To that end, we extracted all 698 genes currently associated with at least one of the 404 GO-terms containing ‘mitochondrion’ and checked how many of our 385 candidate genes are among them. Additionally, we used the online platform ‘MitoProt’ (<https://ihg.gsf.de/ihg/mitoprot.html>) (Claros and Vincens, 1996) for computational prediction of mitochondrial targeting sequences. Proteins for which the value of a mitochondrial targeting sequence was  $\geq 0.5$  in this analysis were predicted to be mitochondrial.

### **Gene ontology enrichment analysis using DAVID**

In search of enriched gene ontology terms, we used the DAVID tool (version 6.8 (Huang *et al.*, 2008, 2009)) and ran the list of candidates against all genes of the *C. elegans* genome as a background list. Using an EASE score from the modified fisher-exact test, the clustering algorithm groups genes based on their association in GO categories and assigns a significance value to the group (Huang *et al.*, 2007). The clustered groups were then plotted using modified functions from the GO plot package (R version 1.0.2 (Walter *et al.*, 2015)).

### **Transcription factor enrichment analysis**

We searched for enriched transcription factors using the tool g:Profiler (a tool for functional enrichment analysis using over-representation (Raudvere *et al.*, 2019)). The two input lists (suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>) with WBGene-IDs of the identified candidate genes were used to search in the Transfac database (annotations: TRANSFAC Release 2019.1 classes: v2 (Knüppel *et al.*, 1994; Matys *et al.*, 2006)).

### **Construction of gene networks of FZO-1 and MFN1/2, and the UPR<sup>mt</sup>**

The *C. elegans* interactomes were compiled for FZO-1 or all 16 genes that are currently associated with the GO-term ‘mitochondrial unfolded protein response’ (GO:0034514) from scientific literature (Durinck *et al.*, 2009; Simonis *et al.*, 2009) and databases such as mentha (Calderone *et al.*, 2013), BioGRID3.5 (Oughtred *et al.*, 2018), IntAct (Orchard *et al.*, 2014) and STRING (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2018) (STRING was only used to build the FZOome). The human orthologs of those genes were identified and were searched as well. Whenever possible, the interaction partners were converted back to *C. elegans* genes using biomaRt (Durinck *et al.*, 2009) and available scientific literature (Shaye and Greenwald, 2011; Kim *et al.*, 2018). The complete list of interactions was uploaded to cytoscape (v.3.7.2 (Shannon *et*

*al.*, 2003)) and a network was calculated, highlighting both enhancers and suppressors from the screening results.

### **Image acquisition, processing and analysis**

For double-RNAi experiments (Figure S1), 10-20 *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants carrying *bcSi9* ( $P_{hsp-6\text{mtHSP70}}gfp$ ) were immobilized with M9 buffer containing 10 mM levamisole on 2% agarose pads and imaged using a Nikon SMZ18 dissecting microscope and Nikon-Elements software.

For each mutant in Figure S2, 10-20 animals were immobilized with M9 buffer containing 150 mM sodium azide on 2% agarose pads and imaged using a Leica GFP dissecting microscope (M205 FA) and Leica Application Suite software (3.2.0.9652).

For the analysis of mitochondrial morphology a strain carrying *bcIs78* ( $P_{myo-3}::gfp^{mt}$ ) was imaged using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 with a 63x objective and MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). Subsequently, mitochondrial morphology was assessed using the deep learning algorithm MitoSegNet (Fischer *et al.*, 2020).

## RESULTS & DISCUSSION

### Genome-wide RNAi screen for suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> identifies highly conserved set of genes with relevance to human health

The disruption of mitochondrial dynamics in *C. elegans* induces the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR<sup>mt</sup>) (Kim and Sieburth, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Rolland *et al.*, 2019; Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). To identify genes affecting mitochondrial homeostasis in animals with defects in mitochondrial dynamics, we used a loss-of-function mutation of *fzo-1*<sup>MFN1,2</sup>, *tm1133*, (National BioResource Project) to induce the UPR<sup>mt</sup> reporter  $P_{hsp-6\text{ mtHSP70}}gfp$  (*zcIs13*) and screened the *C. elegans* genome for modifiers. To that end, we used RNA-mediated interference (RNAi) and targeted ~87% of the currently annotated protein coding genes (Kamath and Ahringer, 2003) (Figure 1A). The moderate induction of the  $P_{hsp-6\text{ mtHSP70}}gfp$  reporter in the *fzo-1(tm1133)* background allowed the identification of both suppressors and enhancers of the response. Using a protocol in which the F1 generation is scored for  $P_{hsp-6\text{ mtHSP70}}gfp$  expression levels in the fourth larval stage of development (L4), we initially identified 657 candidate genes of which 385 reproduced. Of the 385 candidates identified, 299 act as suppressors upon knock-down and 86 as enhancers (Figure 1B and Table S1). In addition, upon knock-down, many candidates result in synthetic slow growth and/or reduced fertility (indicated in the ‘Overview’ sheet in Table S1). In order to assess whether the 86 identified enhancers are specific to the *fzo-1(tm1133)* background or if their depletion induces UPR<sup>mt</sup> also in the absence of mitochondrial stress, we knocked them down in a wild-type background and tested for induction of the  $P_{hsp-6\text{ mtHSP70}}gfp$  reporter. All except three genes (*copd-1*<sup>ARCN1</sup>, *F25H9.6*<sup>PPCDC</sup>, *metl-17*<sup>METTL17</sup>) induce  $P_{hsp-6\text{ mtHSP70}}gfp$  expression when knocked-down in wild-type animals, suggesting that the induction of UPR<sup>mt</sup> by depletion of these candidates is independent of the loss of *fzo-1*. (Candidates that encode mitochondrial

proteins and that induce UPR<sup>mt</sup> in a wild-type background upon knock-down were included in a recent publication, which reported the systematic identification of mitochondrial inducers of UPR<sup>mt</sup> (Rolland *et al.*, 2019)).

Among the 299 suppressors, only 25 (8%) have previously been found to suppress UPR<sup>mt</sup> induced by other means upon knock-down (Haynes *et al.*, 2007; Runkel *et al.*, 2013; Liu *et al.*, 2014). Similarly, among the 86 enhancers, only 15 (17%) have previously been shown to induce UPR<sup>mt</sup> upon knock-down (indicated ‘Previously identified’ in the ‘Overview’ sheet of Table S1). This may be due to different genetic backgrounds and to differences in RNAi-protocols. Moreover, false negatives in RNAi screens have been estimated to vary between 10% and 30%, even if the same protocol is used by the same laboratory (Simmer *et al.*, 2003).

Using ‘alliancegenome.org’ (The Alliance of Genome Resources, 2019), ‘orthodb.org’ (Kriventseva *et al.*, 2018), ‘ensembl.org’ (Hunt *et al.*, 2018), ‘uniprot.org’ (Consortium, 2018) and ‘wormbase.org’ (Harris *et al.*, 2019) databases, we found that approximately 90% of the suppressors and enhancers (348) have at least one ortholog in humans (indicated ‘Human ortholog’ in the ‘Overview’ sheet of Table S1). For comparison, the overall conservation of genes from *C. elegans* to humans is only about 41% (Shaye and Greenwald, 2011; Kim *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, we found that the orthologs of 36% (126) of the conserved candidates have previously been associated with human disease and are listed in the ‘Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man’ database (Amberger *et al.*, 2018) (indicated ‘OMIM’ in the ‘Overview’ and ‘OMIM’ sheet of Table S1). In summary, we identified a set of predominantly conserved genes, many of them relevant to human health, which when knocked-down affect mitochondrial homeostasis in mutants with defects in mitochondrial fusion.

## **Genes with functions in development, receptor-mediated endocytosis and metabolism modulate UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling**

In order to obtain an overview of the type of processes that affect *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>, we analyzed the gene ontology (GO) terms of all 385 candidates, sorted them into ‘functional groups’ (Figure 1B) and performed a clustered gene enrichment analysis using DAVID (Huang *et al.*, 2008, 2009) (Figure 2 and Table S2). (Thirty-one suppressors and enhancers could not be assigned to functional groups since these genes are uncharacterized in *C. elegans* and/or lack orthologs in humans. For this reason, they were assigned to the functional group ‘uncharacterized’ (Figure 1B)).

In the clustered gene enrichment analysis, we found that the majority of both suppressors and enhancers are associated with at least one of the following GO-terms: ‘nematode larval development’, ‘embryo development ending in birth or egg hatching’ or ‘reproduction’ (Table S2). It has been shown that reducing the functions of some genes encoding components of the ETC (e.g. *cox-5B(RNAi)*) in specific tissues and at specific times during development can lead to both systemic activation of UPR<sup>mt</sup> and longevity (Dillin *et al.*, 2002; Rea *et al.*, 2007; Durieux *et al.*, 2011). This indicates that the activity levels of mitochondria in an individual animal are ‘set’ at a specific developmental stage and, once set, are maintained throughout development and adult life. Our results demonstrate that disrupting development compromises this process, thereby affecting an animal’s ability to cope with mitochondrial stress and to respond to UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation, which is expected to indirectly affect processes such as its lifespan. In support of this notion, we found that approximately 20% of the suppressors carry the GO-term ‘determination of adult lifespan’ (Table S2).

Among the suppressors, the GO-term ‘receptor-mediated endocytosis’ is enriched (Figure 2A and Table S2). It contains many genes with roles in vesicular trafficking and vesicle budding. Genes required for vesicular trafficking have been shown to affect mitochondrial morphology

and homeostasis when inactivated, and it has been proposed that this is the result of altered contact sites between organelles and altered lipid transfer into mitochondria (Altmann and Westermann, 2005). Furthermore, we recently demonstrated that approximately half of the candidates in this GO-category are negative regulators of autophagy. Upon knock-down, these genes suppress *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> most probably by inducing autophagy thereby causing changes in lipid metabolism (Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, many cellular signaling pathways originate at the plasma membrane and, thus, are dependent on endocytosis (Sorkin and von Zastrow, 2009; Di Fiore and von Zastrow, 2014). Therefore, we speculate that depletion of the genes associated with the GO-term ‘receptor mediated endocytosis’ may either cause changes in lipid metabolism thereby suppressing UPR<sup>mt</sup> or disrupt cell non-autonomous UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling.

The functional group ‘ribosome biogenesis’ contains 78 (26%) of the suppressors (Figure 1B) and includes both small- and large ribosomal subunits, as well as proteins with roles in the maturation or transport of ribosomal subunits and rRNAs. Accordingly, in all three GO-domains (Biological Process, Cellular Compartment, Molecular Function), we found that several GO-terms related to the ribosome were significantly enriched (Figure 2A and Table S2). (The GO-term ‘apoptotic process’ also contains many ribosomal subunits leading to its enrichment in our analysis.)

Moreover, we assigned a substantial part of the suppressors to the groups ‘RNA processing’ (38), ‘transcription’ (35) and ‘translation’ (27) (Figure 1B). Hence, we found five GO-terms related to translation-, two to transcription- and one to RNA-related processes to be enriched in a statistically significant manner in the GO enrichment analysis (Figure 2A and Table S2). These results raise the question whether knock-down of the candidates involved in cytosolic translation specifically suppresses UPR<sup>mt</sup> or simply reduces the expression of the  $P_{hsp-6}$ <sub>mtHSP70gfp</sub> reporter. A previous study also identified many genes related to ribosome

biogenesis and cytosolic translation in a screen for suppressors of paraquat-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> (Runkel *et al.*, 2013). Runkel and colleagues reported reduced levels of two other reporters ( $P_{hsp-16.2} CRYABgfp$ ,  $P_{hsp-4} HSPA5gfp$ ) upon attenuation of cytosolic translation by *rpl-36(RNAi)*. In contrast, they showed that  $P_{gst-4} HPGDSgfp$  was slightly hyperactivated (Runkel *et al.*, 2013), as previously shown for this reporter upon knock-down of several other genes related to cytosolic translation (Melo and Ruvkun, 2012). We recently showed that knock-down of the cytosolic tRNA synthetase *hars-1*<sup>HARS1</sup>, which we found to suppress  $P_{hsp-6} mtHSP70gfp$  expression in *fzo-1(tm1133)* and which presumably also compromises cytosolic translation, results in reduced expression of a control reporter that is unrelated to other stress responses,  $P_{ges-1} GES2gfp$  (Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). Taken together, we cannot exclude the possibility that the knock-down of candidates related to the functional groups of transcription, RNA processing, ribosome biogenesis and translation may, at least to some extent, interfere with reporter expression *per se*. In addition, Runkel and colleagues showed that depletion of KGB-1<sup>MAPK10</sup>, a JNK-like MAP-kinase mediating cellular surveillance-activated detoxification and defenses (cSADDs) in *C. elegans* (Melo and Ruvkun, 2012), derepresses UPR<sup>mt</sup> induced by paraquat upon attenuation of cytosolic translation (Runkel *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, we tested whether knock-down of *kgb-1* also relieves the induction of UPR<sup>mt</sup> upon knock-down of *rps-1* and found that  $P_{hsp-6} mtHSP70gfp$  expression was partially restored under these conditions (Figure S1). Thus, attenuation of cytosolic translation may activate cSADDs through KGB-1<sup>MAPK10</sup>, thereby preventing UPR<sup>mt</sup> induction in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants.

Among the enhancers, we assigned most candidates to the functional groups ‘metabolism’ and ‘mitochondrial ribosome biogenesis’ as well as ‘cellular trafficking’, ‘mitochondrial translation’ and ‘ETC assembly’ (Figure 1B). Accordingly, GO analysis of the enhancers shows that the cellular compartments ‘mitochondrion’, ‘mitochondrial small ribosomal subunit’, ‘mitochondrial large ribosomal subunit’, ‘mitochondrial inner membrane’,

‘mitochondrial matrix’ and ‘ribosome’ are enriched (Figure 2B and Table S2). In addition, the biological processes ‘translation’ (which also includes ‘mitochondrial translation’), ‘tricarboxylic acid cycle’ and ‘receptor-mediated endocytosis’ are enriched as is the molecular function ‘structural constituent of ribosome’ (Figure 2B and Table S2). Among the enhancers carrying the GO-term ‘receptor-mediated endocytosis’, we identified many subunits of the mitochondrial ribosome and genes required for mitochondrial translation, which are most likely misannotated and therefore led to enrichment of this GO-term. In summary, we showed that disrupting mitochondrial translation and metabolism induces UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)*. Disruption of these processes has also previously been shown to induce UPR<sup>mt</sup> in wild type (Durieux *et al.*, 2011; Houtkooper *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, we conclude that reducing mitochondrial function induces UPR<sup>mt</sup> independently of the genetic background.

In summary, the GO enrichment analysis revealed that depletion of the majority of candidates in our dataset may modulate UPR<sup>mt</sup> due to their role in development. Furthermore, we propose that the suppressors with roles in endocytosis modulate UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling indirectly and speculate that cellular signaling and/or alterations in organellar contact sites may influence mitochondrial metabolism and hence, UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling. Finally, we find disruption of mitochondrial metabolism and translation to robustly enhance UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling in *fzo-1(tm1133)*.

### **Mitochondrial fitness balances cellular homeostasis**

Next, we determined which fraction of the identified enhancers and suppressors encode proteins that have a mitochondrial function or localize to mitochondria. We extracted all 698 genes that are associated with at least one of the 404 GO-terms containing ‘mitochondr’ using the ‘WormMine’ database (<https://intermine.wormbase.org>) (Harris *et al.*, 2019), and then determined how many of our candidate genes are associated with any of these GO-terms.

Using this approach, we identified 11 suppressors and 59 enhancers that encode proteins that localize to mitochondria or play a role in mitochondrial metabolism and dynamics, respectively (indicated ‘GO mitochond’ in ‘Overview’ and ‘Mitochondrial’ sheet of Table S1). Next, we used the online platform ‘MitoProt’ (<https://ihg.gsf.de/ihg/mitoprot.html>) (Claros and Vincens, 1996) for computational prediction of mitochondrial targeting sequences and identified an additional 5 suppressors and 14 enhancers that are predicted to localize to mitochondria (cut-off value  $\geq 0.5$ ) (indicated ‘MitoProt prediction’ in ‘Mitochondrial’ sheet of Table S1). Third, by literature searches, we found that the orthologs of 3 enhancers localize to mitochondria (Shafqat *et al.*, 2003; Spaan *et al.*, 2005; Cambier *et al.*, 2012). In summary, 76 out of 86 (88%) enhancers and 16 out of 299 (5%) suppressors encode proteins that have a mitochondrial function. This suggests that only few processes exist outside of mitochondria that can perturb mitochondrial homeostasis when compromised. Conversely, many processes and mechanisms exist outside of mitochondria that can compensate for mitochondrial dysfunction, thereby ensuring mitochondrial and consequently cellular homeostasis.

Among the 10 ‘non-mitochondrial’ enhancers of  $UPR^{mt}$  are three genes (*F29B9.8*, *Y61A9LA.11*, *C25H3.10*) with yet unknown functions, which lack orthologs in other systems.  $ORC-1^{ORC1}$  is a component of the origin recognition complex and plays a role in DNA replication (Gavin *et al.*, 1995; Ohta *et al.*, 2003; Tatsumi *et al.*, 2003). The disruption of DNA replication or cell cycle progression has previously not been reported to lead to  $UPR^{mt}$  induction. We speculate that disruption of DNA replication leads to developmental defects and therefore induces  $UPR^{mt}$ . *F25H9.6<sup>PPCDC</sup>* is the *C. elegans* ortholog of phosphopantothenoylcysteine decarboxylase, an enzyme required for biosynthesis of coenzyme A (CoA) (Daugherty *et al.*, 2002). Thus, knock-down of *F25H9.6<sup>PPCDC</sup>* may interfere with critical biosynthetic and metabolic pathways (including the TCA cycle) and therefore enhance  $UPR^{mt}$ . *NHR-209<sup>HNF4A,G</sup>* is orthologous to Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 $\alpha$

(HNF4A) and belongs to the family of nuclear hormone receptors, a class of cofactor and ligand-inducible transcription factors (TFs) that regulate various cellular processes, including metabolism, development and homeostasis (Aranda and Pascual, 2001; Bolotin *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, long-chain fatty acids are ligands of HNF4A and, depending on their chain length and degree of saturation, activate or repress the transcriptional activity of HNF4A (Hertz *et al.*, 1998; Dhe-Paganon *et al.*, 2002; Wisely *et al.*, 2002; Duda *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, HNF4 $\alpha$  activity has been shown to be required for  $\beta$ -oxidation of fatty acids both in mice and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Palanker *et al.*, 2009; Chen *et al.*, 2020). Thus, NHR-209<sup>HNF4A,G</sup> may have a similar role in *C. elegans* and act as a metabolic sensor, which when deactivated, enhances UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)*. Moreover, we identified *cpna-3*<sup>CPNE5,8,9</sup>, an ortholog of mammalian copine family members, a class of calcium dependent phospholipid binding proteins with roles in intracellular signaling and membrane trafficking (Creutz *et al.*, 1998; Tomsig *et al.*, 2003; Tomsig *et al.*, 2004; Ramsey *et al.*, 2008). Previously, another gene of the copine family, *gem-4*<sup>CPNE8</sup>, has been shown to be upregulated upon UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation (Nargund *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, we speculate that signaling via copine family members may be important for UPR<sup>mt</sup> regulation. Another non-mitochondrial enhancer, *copd-1*<sup>ARCN1</sup>, encodes a protein orthologous to the delta subunit of coatamer in *S. cerevisiae* and humans (RET2 and ARCN1, respectively), which is involved in the formation of coat protein complex I (COPI) vesicles. COPI vesicles play a central role in the secretory pathway and are required for the retrieval of lipids and proteins from the Golgi apparatus and the subsequent retrograde transport of these lipids and proteins to the ER (Lee *et al.*, 2004; Beck *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, the trafficking to their final destination of most non-mitochondrial and non-peroxisomal transmembrane proteins, as well as proteins required for the release of neurotransmitters, such as SNARE proteins, is dependent on COPI-mediated transport (Beck *et al.*, 2009). Thus, disruption of the secretory pathway affects many intra- and intercellular signaling pathways, including the Ras and TOR signaling pathways, as well as signaling via

G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and receptor tyrosine kinases (Farhan and Rabouille, 2011). Moreover, disruption of the retrograde transport system has been shown to lead to erroneous secretion of ER resident proteins (e.g. ER chaperones) and, consequently, to the activation of UPR in the ER (UPR<sup>ER</sup>) (Aguilera-Romero *et al.*, 2008; Izumi *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, we speculate that the enhancement of UPR<sup>mt</sup> induction in *fzo-1(tm1133)* animals upon *copd-1(RNAi)* may be due to alterations in one of the above-mentioned signaling pathways. This notion is supported by the finding that phospholipase C (PLC-1<sup>PLCE1</sup>), a GPCR associated enzyme, is among the non-mitochondrial enhancers, as well as *srh-40* (serpentine receptor class H), which is predicted to encode a GPCR. Taken together, we identified many genes among the ‘non-mitochondrial’ enhancers, which regulate intra- and intercellular signaling cascades, and we speculate that these may play a role in signaling of UPR<sup>mt</sup>, both in a cell autonomous and cell non-autonomous fashion. In addition, we identified ‘non-mitochondrial’ enhancers that directly regulate metabolic homeostasis and, thus, enhance UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants.

Among the 16 identified ‘mitochondrial suppressors’ of UPR<sup>mt</sup> are candidates, such as TFG-1<sup>TFG</sup> and GBF-1<sup>GBF1</sup>, that encode proteins that have been shown to associate with mitochondria but also other organelles. GBF-1<sup>GBF1</sup> is a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) for the small GTPase ARF-1.2<sup>ARF1</sup>, which in yeast recruits ARF-1.2<sup>ARF1,3</sup> to ER-mitochondria contact sites (Ackema *et al.*, 2014). Depletion of GBF-1<sup>GBF1</sup> leads to altered ARF-1.2<sup>ARF1,3</sup> localization and changes in mitochondrial morphology both in yeast and *C. elegans* and this appears to be independent of their roles in endosomal transport (Ackema *et al.*, 2014). Ackema and colleagues observed an increase in mitochondrial connectivity upon GBF-1<sup>GBF1</sup> depletion, similar to that observed upon knock-down of *miro-1*<sup>MIRO1</sup> and *vdac-1*<sup>VDAC</sup>, both of which encode proteins that also localize to ER-mitochondria contact sites. However, the alterations in mitochondrial morphology of FZO-1<sup>MFN1,2</sup> depleted animals were

shown to be epistatic to the changes in mitochondrial morphology observed upon *gbf-1(RNAi)* and *arf-1.2(RNAi)*. Therefore, the suppression of UPR<sup>mt</sup> observed in *fzo-1(tm1133)* animals upon *gbf-1(RNAi)* may not be due to a rescue of the mitochondrial morphology defect but rather be the consequence of changes in ER-mitochondria contact sites. This highlights the importance of organellar contact sites for the maintenance of mitochondrial and consequently cellular homeostasis. Furthermore, we identified TFG-1<sup>TFG</sup>, a component of the secretory pathway via COPII vesicles (Witte *et al.*, 2011), as a suppressor of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>. COPII vesicles transport newly synthesized proteins and lipids from specialized ER zones, so called ER exit sites (ERES), to the Golgi apparatus (Budnik and Stephens, 2009; Kurokawa and Nakano, 2018). Similar to what we propose for *copd-1(RNAi)* (see above), we speculate that disruption of the secretory pathway may lead to alterations in cellular signaling, ER-mitochondria contact sites and, depending on the context, either to suppression or enhancement of UPR<sup>mt</sup>. Taken together, we demonstrate that the perturbation of primarily mitochondrial processes leads to the enhancement of UPR<sup>mt</sup>. However, the identification of non-mitochondrial enhancers demonstrates that disruption of processes taking place outside of mitochondria can also compromise mitochondrial function and activate or enhance UPR<sup>mt</sup>. Alterations in cellular signaling pathways and/or organellar contact sites may play a role in this respect. Moreover, we find that the majority of suppressors of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> are non-mitochondrial, suggesting that many cellular pathways outside of mitochondria exist that can compensate for mitochondrial stress and, hence, ensure mitochondrial homeostasis. In line with this notion, we identified a few ‘mitochondrial suppressors’, most of which are involved in the maintenance of contacts to other organelles, especially the ER.

## Defects in mitochondrial fusion and fission are suppressed and enhanced by the same pathways

In order to define the specificity of the 299 suppressors and 86 enhancers, we carried out secondary screens. To identify general modifiers of UPR<sup>mt</sup>, we rescreened the candidates in the background of *spg-7(ad2249)*, which induces UPR<sup>mt</sup> (Figure S2). *spg-7<sup>AFG3L2</sup>* encodes a mitochondrial matrix AAA-protease, which induces UPR<sup>mt</sup> when depleted and which is commonly used as a positive control for UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation (Yoneda *et al.*, 2004; Haynes *et al.*, 2007; Haynes *et al.*, 2010). To identify genes in our dataset that specifically modify UPR<sup>mt</sup> induced by defects in mitochondrial membrane fusion, we rescreened all candidates in the *eat-3(ad426)* background, in which IMM fusion is blocked. Finally, to identify genes that may modulate UPR<sup>mt</sup> induced by defects in mitochondrial dynamics, we rescreened all candidates in the *drp-1(tm1108)* background, in which mitochondrial fission is blocked. In the *drp-1(tm1108)* background, of the 385 candidates, 291 suppress and 59 enhance. In the *eat-3(ad426)* background, 242 suppress and, 49 enhance. Finally, in the *spg-7(ad2249)* background, 181 suppress and 54 enhance (Table S1). (Of note, there is an inverse correlation between the level of  $P_{hsp-6}$  mtHSP70*gfp* expression in the above-mentioned mutant background and the number of candidates that reproduce. Hence, the level of reporter expression may correlate with the number of false negatives in a given dataset of the secondary screens, for both suppressors and enhancers.) Since more suppressors reproduced in *drp-1(tm1108)* and *eat-3(ad426)* compared to *spg-7(ad2249)*, we conclude that defects in mitochondrial dynamics, to some extent, are suppressed or enhanced by the same pathways. Moreover, the suppressors of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> that were sorted into the functional groups ‘ribosome biogenesis’, ‘RNA processing’ and ‘translation’, reproduced comparably well in all secondary screens. Thus, attenuation of cytosolic translation may either be a general mechanism to suppress UPR<sup>mt</sup> or, as discussed above, interfere with reporter expression.

Among the enhancers, genes that sorted into the functional groups ‘ETC assembly factors’, ‘mitochondrial ribosome biogenesis’ and ‘mitochondrial translation’ showed the highest overlap among the secondary screens (Table S1), which demonstrates that disruption of mitochondrial translation robustly enhances  $UPR^{mt}$ , independent of genetic background.

While we did not identify any suppressors that act exclusively in the *fzo-1(tm1133)* background, we found six enhancers (*slc-25A26<sup>SLC25A26</sup>*, *frh-1<sup>FXN</sup>*, *sdha-1<sup>SDHA</sup>*, *sucg-1<sup>SUCLG2</sup>*, *metl-17<sup>METTL17</sup>*, *K03B4.1*) that did not reproduce in any of the secondary screens. Among these, *metl-17<sup>METTL17</sup>*, a methyltransferase required for mitochondrial ribosome assembly and mitochondrial translation in mice (Shi *et al.*, 2019), also did not induce  $UPR^{mt}$  expression in wild type and, thus, specifically enhances *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced  $UPR^{mt}$ .

Twelve candidates that suppressed  $UPR^{mt}$  in the primary screen using *fzo-1(tm1133)*, enhanced  $UPR^{mt}$  in one or more of the secondary screens. Conversely, ten enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced  $UPR^{mt}$  suppress  $UPR^{mt}$  in at least one of the mutants in the secondary screens (listed in the ‘Opposing  $UPR^{mt}$  phenotypes’ sheet in Table S1). For example, knock-down of *icd-1<sup>BNAC</sup>* suppresses  $P_{hsp-6} \text{ mtHSP70gfp}$  in all mitochondrial dynamics-related backgrounds, but enhances *spg-7(ad22449)*-induced  $UPR^{mt}$ . Knock-down of *icd-1<sup>BNAC</sup>* in *C. elegans* has been reported to induce  $UPR^{ER}$  in wild-type embryos (Arsenovic *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, *icd-1<sup>BNAC</sup>* has been described as a cytosolic stress sensor, which in the absence of stress associates with ribosomes to promote cytosolic translation, and which acts as a chaperone in the cytosol upon heat stress (Kirstein-Miles *et al.*, 2013). We recently showed that *icd-1<sup>BNAC</sup>* is a negative regulator of autophagy and that increased autophagic flux fuels mitochondria with certain triacylglycerols, thereby suppressing  $UPR^{mt}$  in *fzo-1(tm1133)* and *drp-1(tm1108)* mutants (Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). Thus, blocking mitochondrial dynamics may reduce the flux of lipids into mitochondria, which can be compensated for by the induction of autophagy and we speculate that this mechanism may also apply to *eat-3(ad426)* mutants.

Conversely, we speculate that defects in mitochondrial homeostasis induced by a point mutation in *spg-7*, may exert stress to the cytosol and that this is normally compensated for by factors, such as *icd-1*<sup>BNAC</sup>. Knocking-down *icd-1*<sup>BNAC</sup> may therefore increase cytosolic stress, which in turn enhances UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *spg-7(ad2249)* mutants. Taking the candidates into account that have opposing UPR<sup>mt</sup> phenotypes in the secondary screens, 95% of the suppressors and 66% of the enhancers reproduce in *drp-1(tm1108)*, while 79% of the suppressors and 57% of the enhancers reproduce in *eat-3(ad426)*. We found the lowest overlap of candidate genes in *spg-7(ad2249)* mutants, with 59% of the suppressors and 60% of the enhancers reproducing in this background. Taken together, the results of the secondary screens show that there are candidates that, when depleted, act to influence UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling in general whereas others are specific to a certain type of UPR<sup>mt</sup> induction, such as the disruption of mitochondrial dynamics.

### **Transcription factor enrichment analysis identifies factors with roles in development, metabolism and oxidative stress response**

Next, we identified TF binding sites in the promoters of our candidates using ChIP-seq datasets from the modENCODE project (Celniker *et al.*, 2009) in order to test for enrichment of TFs that bind to these sites. To that end, we used g:Profiler, a tool for functional enrichment analysis using over-representation (Raudvere *et al.*, 2019), which utilizes TRANSFAC resources (Knüppel *et al.*, 1994; Matys *et al.*, 2006). Using this approach, we found 15 TFs to be enriched in a statistically significant manner (Figure 3 and Table S3). Ten of these TFs only bind promotor regions of suppressors (7) or enhancers (3) ('suppressor- or enhancer specific'). The remaining five TFs bind to promotor regions of both suppressors and enhancers ('shared'). The 'shared' TFs have previously been implicated in cell fate determination or developmental timing. Five out of seven 'suppressor specific' TFs have been

shown to exclusively control developmental processes. The remaining two ‘suppressor-specific’ TFs are ELT-3<sup>GATA3,4</sup> and HLH-11<sup>TFAP4</sup>, which have been shown to play a role in development, ageing and the response to oxidative stress (Gilleard *et al.*, 1999; Budovskaya *et al.*, 2008; Hu *et al.*, 2017) and to act as a dietary sensor that regulates metabolic gene expression, respectively (Soo-Ung *et al.*, 2009; Watson *et al.*, 2013).

Three TFs (SKN-1<sup>NFE2,NFE2L1,2,3</sup>, HLH-29 and VAB-7<sup>EVX2</sup>) were identified to be ‘enhancer-specific’ (Figure 3 and Table S3). VAB-7<sup>EVX2</sup> and HLH-29 are both required for certain aspects of development (Ahringer, 1996; Esmaili *et al.*, 2002; Pocock *et al.*, 2004; Neves and Priess, 2005; McMiller *et al.*, 2007; Grove *et al.*, 2009) and HLH-29 has additional roles in fatty acid metabolism and energy homeostasis (McMiller *et al.*, 2007; Quach *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, HLH-29 and SKN-1<sup>NFE2,NFE2L1,2,3</sup> are regulators of the oxidative stress response (An and Blackwell, 2003; An *et al.*, 2005; Inoue *et al.*, 2005; Quach *et al.*, 2013) and SKN-1<sup>NFE2,NFE2L1,2,3</sup> has previously been implicated in the UPR<sup>mt</sup> pathway in *C. elegans* (Nargund *et al.*, 2012; Nargund *et al.*, 2015; Wu *et al.*, 2018). In summary, we identified several TFs that bind to promoters of our candidate genes, which have previously been implicated in oxidative stress response, cellular metabolism and development in *C. elegans*. Interestingly, *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants have previously been shown to be slightly sensitive to oxidative stress and have increased levels of carbonylated proteins, a measure for oxidative damage (Yasuda *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, in *isp-1(qm150)* and *clk-1(qm30)* mutants, both of which have increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Van Raamsdonk *et al.*, 2010; Yang and Hekimi, 2010; Dues *et al.*, 2017), UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation has been shown to lead to ATFS-1<sup>ATF4,5</sup>-dependent expression of genes required for detoxification of reactive oxygen species (Wu *et al.*, 2018). This induction is orchestrated by ATFS-1<sup>ATF4,5</sup> but may, to some extent, additionally be facilitated through activation of ELT-3<sup>GATA3,4</sup> and HLH-29, as it has previously been shown for SKN-1<sup>NFE2,NFE2L1,2,3</sup> (Nargund *et al.*, 2012; Nargund *et al.*, 2015;

Wu *et al.*, 2018). The identification of many TFs controlling developmental processes is in agreement with our finding that GO-terms related to developmental processes are enriched among our dataset. This again highlights that the activity levels of critical cellular processes and responses in somatic tissues appear to be set during development. Finally, we previously found that the induction of autophagy suppresses UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants most likely through increased metabolic activity (Haeussler *et al.*, 2020). In our analysis, we identified two TFs, which regulate energy homeostasis and metabolic gene expression. This supports the notion that UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants acts to compensate for metabolic defects. In summary, we identified several TFs with roles in development, oxidative stress response and metabolism that previously have not been connected to UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling. These TFs may be specific to UPR<sup>mt</sup> in *fzo-1(tm1133)* but some may generally be involved in UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling.

### **Interactome of UPR<sup>mt</sup> reveals potential new regulators**

In order to determine whether any of the suppressors or enhancers that we identified have previously been shown to interact with *fzo-1*<sup>MFN1,2</sup> or its mammalian orthologs *MFN1* or *MFN2*, we built a gene network containing all known interactions of *fzo-1*<sup>MFN1,2</sup> and its mammalian orthologs *MFN1* and *MFN2*. Using the interaction databases ‘string-db.org’, ‘IntAct’, ‘BioGRID3.5’, ‘Genemania’, ‘CCSB’ and ‘mentha’ (Warde-Farley *et al.*, 2010; Calderone *et al.*, 2013; Orchard *et al.*, 2014; Rolland *et al.*, 2014; Oughtred *et al.*, 2018; Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2018), we included genetic and physical interactions (but not predicted interactions or co-expression data) and uploaded them to the cytoscape software (Shannon *et al.*, 2003) to calculate a complete interaction network. The resulting network contains 38 genes and 67 interactions (Figure S3). None of the 10 interactors of *fzo-1*<sup>MFN1,2</sup> in *C. elegans* was identified in our screen (turquoise dots in Figure S3). Next, we manually annotated the *C. elegans* orthologs of 24 interactors of *Mfn1* or *Mfn2* in mammals (except FAF2, MAVS,

TCHP, SLC25A38 for which we did not find any orthologs in *C. elegans*, indicated in dark blue in Figure S3) but again did not find any overlap between the gene network and our screen dataset (orange dots in Figure S3). In summary, in our screen for modifiers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>, we did not find any previously known interactors of *fzo-1*<sup>MFN1,2</sup>. These could either have been missed in the RNAi screen, be essential in the *fzo-1(tm1133)* background or not have a function in mitochondrial homeostasis and, hence, UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling.

Similar to the approach described above, we used the 16 *C. elegans* genes currently associated with the GO-term ‘mitochondrial unfolded protein response’ (GO:0034514) (referred to as ‘input genes’), identified their human orthologs and included known physical and genetic interactors from the interaction databases ‘BioGRID3.5’, ‘IntAct’ and ‘mentha’ (Calderone *et al.*, 2013; Orchard *et al.*, 2014; Oughtred *et al.*, 2018) to calculate an interaction network containing 2603 genes and 4655 interactions (Figures S4, Figure S5, Figure S6). In this ‘UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome’, we identified 129 genes (including the 16 ‘input genes’), 36 of which are enhancers and 77 of which are suppressors of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>, with a total of 213 interactions (Figure 4 and Table S4). For the ‘input gene’ *atfs-1*<sup>ATF4,5</sup>, we found five interactors (*gtf-2F2*<sup>GTF2F2</sup>, *lin-54*<sup>LIN54</sup>, *rps-6*<sup>RPS6</sup>, *spr-2*<sup>SET</sup>, *tbp-1*<sup>TBP</sup>) that suppress *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> and the gene products of four of these localize to the nucleus (Sopta *et al.*, 1989; Lichtsteiner and Tjian, 1993; Wen *et al.*, 2000; Thomas *et al.*, 2003; Harrison *et al.*, 2006; Tabuchi *et al.*, 2011). These could potentially facilitate or directly be involved in the transcription of UPR<sup>mt</sup> effectors upon activation of the UPR<sup>mt</sup> response. Moreover, for the ‘input gene’ *ubl-5*<sup>UBL5</sup>, we found four interactors that overlap with our dataset of suppressors, three of which are splicing factors (*pqbp-1.2*<sup>PQB1</sup>, *sfa-1*<sup>SF1</sup>, *snr-3*<sup>SNRPD1</sup>) (Thomas *et al.*, 1988; Krämer, 1992; Arning *et al.*, 1996; Imafuku *et al.*, 1998; Kambach *et al.*, 1999; Mazroui *et al.*, 1999; Waragai *et al.*, 1999). Of note, HUB1, the ortholog of UBL-5<sup>UBL5</sup> in *Saccharomyces pombe*, has been shown to interact with

components of the spliceosome. Furthermore, the loss of *HUB1* results in reduced splicing efficiency of a variety of mRNAs (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2004). However, in *C. elegans*, *ubl-5(RNAi)* has previously been reported to not cause splicing defects (Haynes *et al.*, 2007). Thus, the identification of the splicing factor genes *pqbp-1.2<sup>PQBP1</sup>*, *sfa-1<sup>SF1</sup>*, *snr-3<sup>SNRPD1</sup>* in our dataset presents an interesting potential link between UPR<sup>mt</sup> activation and pre-mRNA splicing via UBL-5<sup>UBL5</sup>. In addition, we identified *taf-4<sup>TAF4</sup>*, which encodes an associated factor of transcription factor TFIID, to interact with the ‘input gene’ *sphk-1<sup>SPHK1.2</sup>* and to suppress *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> upon knock-down. *taf-4<sup>TAF4</sup>* has previously been shown to be required for life span extension in *isp-1(qm150)*, *clk-1(qm30)* and *tpk-1(qm162)* mutants, (Walker *et al.*, 2001; Walker *et al.*, 2004; Khan *et al.*, 2013). Finally, we identified many genes interacting with the ‘input gene’ *bar-1<sup>JUP,CTNNB1</sup>*, which has previously been shown to be involved in cell non-autonomous propagation of UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling (Zhang *et al.*, 2018). Among these interactors is phospholipase C (*plc-1<sup>PLCE</sup>*), which enhances *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> and plays a central role in the inositol triphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) signaling pathway (Clandinin *et al.*, 1998; Kariya *et al.*, 2004). In summary, we identified several genes in our dataset using gene network analysis that have previously not been identified to play a role in UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling in *C. elegans*. The genes with roles in pre-mRNA splicing and IP<sub>3</sub> signaling may be particularly interesting in this respect. Furthermore, we propose that these genes may directly influence UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling through interactions with known players of the UPR<sup>mt</sup> pathway.

### **Interactome analysis reveals involvement of IP<sub>3</sub> signaling pathway in UPR<sup>mt</sup> regulation in *fzo-1(tm1133)***

In our gene network analysis, we identified *plc-1<sup>PLCE</sup>*, which encodes phospholipase C, as an interactor of *bar-1<sup>β-catenin</sup>* (Byrne *et al.*, 2007). Interestingly, we and others found several genes

that play a role in inositol triphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>) signaling (Figure 5) (Liu *et al.*, 2014). The IP<sub>3</sub> pathway is well known for its role in the regulation of intracellular calcium levels and transmits signals from the extracellular space via GPCRs and second messengers to the ER (Berridge, 2009). Thus, this signaling pathway may have a role in cell non-autonomous propagation of UPR<sup>mt</sup>. We identified the enzyme CDGS-1<sup>CDS1</sup>, which is essential for the production of phosphatidylinositol (PI) (Wu *et al.*, 1995; Vance, 1998), and EFR-3<sup>EFR3B</sup>, which targets PI-4-kinase (PI4K) to the plasma membrane (Nakatsu *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, we identified the sole type I PIP kinase in *C. elegans*, PPK-1<sup>PIP5K1A</sup> (Weinkove *et al.*, 2008), which phosphorylates PI4P to form PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> (Ishihara *et al.*, 1996; Loijens and Anderson, 1996). PLC-1<sup>PLCE</sup> is activated via GPCR and hydrolyzes PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub> to generate the second messengers DAG and IP<sub>3</sub>, known regulators of several signal transduction pathways (Clandinin *et al.*, 1998; Kariya *et al.*, 2004). One mechanism that is dependent on IP<sub>3</sub>-signaling is the release of calcium from the ER (Clandinin *et al.*, 1998; Kariya *et al.*, 2004; Kovacevic *et al.*, 2013). Interestingly, the IP<sub>3</sub> receptor at the ER, ITR-1<sup>ITPR1</sup>, has previously also been identified as a suppressor of antimycin-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> (Liu *et al.*, 2014). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that altering IP<sub>3</sub> signaling influences cellular calcium signaling in *fzo-1(tm1133)*, thereby affecting mitochondrial homeostasis and consequently UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling. Moreover, we propose that the effect on UPR<sup>mt</sup> signaling may be indirect since we previously showed that knock-down of mitochondrial genes controlling calcium homeostasis does not induce UPR<sup>mt</sup> in wild type (Rolland *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, we propose that *fzo-(tm1133)* mutants may be more prone to changes in IP<sub>3</sub> signaling and, consequently, calcium signaling since these mutants may have altered ER-mitochondria contact sites, as shown in tissue culture cells lacking the mammalian ortholog MFN2 (de Brito and Scorrano, 2008; Cosson *et al.*, 2012; Filadi *et al.*, 2015, 2016; Leal *et al.*, 2016; Naon *et al.*, 2016; Basso *et al.*, 2018).

## ***miga-1(tm3621)* mutants show mitochondrial fragmentation and induce UPR<sup>mt</sup>**

One of the enhancers we identified is *K01D12.6*, which is conserved from *C. elegans* to humans. The *D. melanogaster* ortholog of this gene has previously been identified in a screen for genes, which when knocked-down induce photoreceptor cell neurodegeneration. Furthermore, it was shown to be required for the maintenance of mitochondrial morphology and hence, named ‘Mitoguardin’ (Zhang *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, the two orthologs of this gene in mammals (*MIGA1*, *MIGA2*) were found to regulate mitochondrial fusion and to be critical for mitochondrial function in human tissue culture cells and in mice (Liu *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2016; Liu *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, we named *K01D12.6* ‘mitoguardin homolog-1 (*miga-1*)’. We verified UPR<sup>mt</sup> induction using the  $P_{hsp-60}$  HSPD1*gfp* (*zcIs9*) reporter in the *miga-1(tm3621)* mutant background (Figure 6A). On average, the induction of  $P_{hsp-60}$  HSPD1*gfp* is higher in *miga-1(tm3621)* animals compared to *fzo-1(tm1133)* animals. Moreover, we tested the effects of *miga-1(tm3621)* on steady-state mitochondrial morphology, which, in *C. elegans*, is carried out using a mitochondrial matrix-targeted GFP under a promoter that expresses the transgene in body wall muscle cells ( $P_{myo-3}$  MYH*gfp*<sup>mt</sup>) (Labrousse *et al.*, 1999; Ichishita *et al.*, 2008; Rolland *et al.*, 2013). While wild-type worms show a tubular network of mitochondria, *miga-1(tm3621)* mutants have a ‘fragmented mitochondria’ phenotype, which is less severe than that caused by the loss of *fzo-1* (Figure 6B). In addition, we analyzed mitochondrial morphology using the MitoSegNet algorithm (Fischer *et al.*, 2020) and confirmed the ‘fragmented mitochondria’ phenotype of *miga-1(tm3621)* mutants. Specifically, for most of the shape descriptors analyzed, *miga-1(tm3621)* mutants were statistically different from wild type but distinct from *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants (Figure 6C). In summary and in line with previous observations in other organisms, we see drastic changes in mitochondrial morphology in *miga-1(tm3621)* mutants, which are accompanied by the induction of UPR<sup>mt</sup>.

## Data Availability

Strains are available upon request. Figure S1 contains data about involvement of the cSADDs response in suppression of UPR<sup>mt</sup> upon attenuation of cytosolic translation. Figure S2 shows different mutants inducing the UPR<sup>mt</sup> reporter. Figure S3 shows the FZOome. Figure S4 contains a subset of the UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome coming from direct interactions in *C. elegans*. Figure S5 depicts a subset of the UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome coming from interactions of human orthologs. Figure S6 shows the complete UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome. Table S1 contains all suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> identified in a genome-wide RNAi screen in *C. elegans*. Table S2 contains the gene ontology enrichment analysis of suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>. Table S3 contains transcription factor enrichment analysis of suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>. Table S4 contains the results of the interactome analysis (UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome). The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables. The supplemental material is available at figshare: <https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.14262425>.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank members of the Conradt lab and the ‘Mito Club’ for lively discussions. We thank M. Bauer, L. Jocham, N. Lebedeva and M. Schwarz for excellent technical support and S.

Mitani (National BioResource Project, Tokyo, Japan) for *fzo-1(tm1133)*, *drp-1(tm1108)* and *miga-1(tm3621)*. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

### **FUNDING**

This work was supported by funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (CO204/6-1 and CO204/9-1) and a Royal Society Wolfson Fellowship (RSWF\R1\180008) to BC.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### **REFERENCES**

Ackema, K.B., Hench, J., Böckler, S., Wang, S.C., Sauder, U., *et al.* (2014). The small GTPase Arf1 modulates mitochondrial morphology and function. *The EMBO journal* *33*, 2659-2675.

Aguilera-Romero, A., Kaminska, J., Spang, A., Riezman, H., and Muñoz, M. (2008). The yeast p24 complex is required for the formation of COPI retrograde transport vesicles from the Golgi apparatus. *Journal of Cell Biology* *180*, 713-720.

Ahringer, J. (1996). Posterior patterning by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* even-skipped homolog *vab-7*. *Genes & Development* *10*, 1120-1130.

Altmann, K., and Westermann, B. (2005). Role of Essential Genes in Mitochondrial Morphogenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* *16*, 5410-5417.

Amberger, J.S., Bocchini, C.A., Scott, A.F., and Hamosh, A. (2018). OMIM.org: leveraging knowledge across phenotype–gene relationships. *Nucleic Acids Research* *47*, D1038-D1043.

Ambros, V., and Horvitz, H.R. (1984). Heterochronic mutants of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science (New York, NY)* *226*, 409.

An, J.H., and Blackwell, T.K. (2003). SKN-1 links *C. elegans* mesendodermal specification to a conserved oxidative stress response. *Genes & Development* *17*, 1882-1893.

An, J.H., Vranas, K., Lucke, M., Inoue, H., Hisamoto, N., *et al.* (2005). Regulation of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* oxidative stress defense protein SKN-1 by glycogen synthase kinase-3. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* *102*, 16275.

Aranda, A., and Pascual, A. (2001). Nuclear Hormone Receptors and Gene Expression. *Physiological Reviews* *81*, 1269-1304.

Armakola, M., and Ruvkun, G. (2019). Regulation of *Caenorhabditis elegans* neuronal polarity by heterochronic genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* *116*, 12327.

Arning, S., Grüter, P., Bilbe, G., and Krämer, A. (1996). Mammalian splicing factor SF1 is encoded by variant cDNAs and binds to RNA. *RNA* *2*, 794-810.

Arsenovic, P.T., Maldonado, A.T., Colletuori, V.D., and Bloss, T.A. (2012). Depletion of the *C. elegans* NAC Engages the Unfolded Protein Response, Resulting in Increased Chaperone Expression and Apoptosis. *PloS one* *7*, e44038.

- Basso, V., Marchesan, E., Peggion, C., Chakraborty, J., von Stockum, S., *et al.* (2018). Regulation of ER-mitochondria contacts by Parkin via Mfn2. *Pharmacological Research* *138*, 43-56.
- Baugh, L.R., Hill, A.A., Claggett, J.M., Hill-Harfe, K., Wen, J.C., *et al.* (2005). The homeodomain protein PAL-1 specifies a lineage-specific regulatory network in the *C. elegans* embryo. *Development* *132*, 1843.
- Beck, R., Ravet, M., Wieland, F.T., and Cassel, D. (2009). The COPI system: Molecular mechanisms and function. *FEBS Letters* *583*, 2701-2709.
- Bennett, C.F., Vander Wende, H., Simko, M., Klum, S., Barfield, S., *et al.* (2014). Activation of the mitochondrial unfolded protein response does not predict longevity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature communications* *5*, 3483.
- Berridge, M.J. (2009). Inositol trisphosphate and calcium signalling mechanisms. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* *1793*, 933-940.
- Bleazard, W., McCaffery, J.M., King, E.J., Bale, S., Mozdy, A., *et al.* (1999). The dynamin-related GTPase Dnm1 regulates mitochondrial fission in yeast. *Nature cell biology* *1*, 298-304.
- Bolotin, E., Liao, H., Ta, T.C., Yang, C., Hwang-Verslues, W., *et al.* (2010). Integrated approach for the identification of human hepatocyte nuclear factor 4a target genes using protein binding microarrays. *Hepatology* *51*, 642-653.
- Brenner, S. (1974). The Genetics of *Caenorhabditis Elegans*. *Genetics* *77*, 71-94.
- Budnik, A., and Stephens, D.J. (2009). ER exit sites – Localization and control of COPII vesicle formation. *FEBS Letters* *583*, 3796-3803.
- Budovskaya, Y.V., Wu, K., Southworth, L.K., Jiang, M., Tedesco, P., *et al.* (2008). An elt-3/elt-5/elt-6 GATA Transcription Circuit Guides Aging in *C. elegans*. *Cell* *134*, 291-303.
- Byrne, A.B., Weirauch, M.T., Wong, V., Koeva, M., Dixon, S.J., *et al.* (2007). A global analysis of genetic interactions in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Journal of Biology* *6*, 8.
- Calderone, A., Castagnoli, L., and Cesareni, G. (2013). mentha: a resource for browsing integrated protein-interaction networks. *Nature Methods* *10*, 690-691.
- Cambier, L., Rassam, P., Chabi, B., Mezghenna, K., Gross, R., *et al.* (2012). M19 modulates skeletal muscle differentiation and insulin secretion in pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells through modulation of respiratory chain activity. *PloS one* *7*, e31815.

Celniker, S.E., Dillon, L.A.L., Gerstein, M.B., Gunsalus, K.C., Henikoff, S., *et al.* (2009). Unlocking the secrets of the genome. *Nature* 459, 927-930.

Ceol, C.J., and Horvitz, H.R. (2001). dpl-1 DP and efl-1 E2F Act with lin-35 Rb to Antagonize Ras Signaling in *C. elegans* Vulval Development. *Molecular cell* 7, 461-473.

Chang, S., Johnston, R.J., and Hobert, O. (2003). A transcriptional regulatory cascade that controls left/right asymmetry in chemosensory neurons of *C. elegans*. *Genes & Development* 17, 2123-2137.

Chen, H., and Chan, D.C. (2004). Mitochondrial dynamics in mammals. *Current topics in developmental biology* 59, 119-144.

Chen, H., Detmer, S.A., Ewald, A.J., Griffin, E.E., Fraser, S.E., *et al.* (2003). Mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2 coordinately regulate mitochondrial fusion and are essential for embryonic development. *The Journal of cell biology* 160, 189-200.

Chen, L., Vasoya, R.P., Toke, N.H., Parthasarathy, A., Luo, S., *et al.* (2020). HNF4 Regulates Fatty Acid Oxidation and Is Required for Renewal of Intestinal Stem Cells in Mice. *Gastroenterology* 158, 985-999.e989.

Chi, W., and Reinke, V. (2006). Promotion of oogenesis and embryogenesis in the *C. elegans* gonad by EFL-1/DPL-1 (E2F) does not require LIN-35 (pRB). *Development* 133, 3147.

Clandinin, T.R., DeModena, J.A., and Sternberg, P.W. (1998). Inositol Trisphosphate Mediates a RAS-Independent Response to LET-23 Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Activation in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 92, 523-533.

Claros, M.G., and Vincens, P. (1996). Computational Method to Predict Mitochondrially Imported Proteins and their Targeting Sequences. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 241, 779-786.

Consortium, T.U. (2018). UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47, D506-D515.

Cosson, P., Marchetti, A., Ravazzola, M., and Orci, L. (2012). Mitofusin-2 Independent Juxtaposition of Endoplasmic Reticulum and Mitochondria: An Ultrastructural Study. *PloS one* 7, e46293.

Creutz, C.E., Tomsig, J.L., Snyder, S.L., Gautier, M.C., Skouri, F., *et al.* (1998). The copines, a novel class of C2 domain-containing, calcium-dependent, phospholipid-binding proteins conserved from *Paramecium* to humans. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 273, 1393-1402.

Daugherty, M., Polanuyer, B., Farrell, M., Scholle, M., Lykidis, A., *et al.* (2002). Complete Reconstitution of the Human Coenzyme A Biosynthetic Pathway via Comparative Genomics. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277, 21431-21439.

de Brito, O.M., and Scorrano, L. (2008). Mitofusin 2 tethers endoplasmic reticulum to mitochondria. *Nature* 456, 605.

Dhe-Paganon, S., Duda, K., Iwamoto, M., Chi, Y.-I., and Shoelson, S.E. (2002). Crystal Structure of the HNF4 $\alpha$  Ligand Binding Domain in Complex with Endogenous Fatty Acid Ligand. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277, 37973-37976.

Di Fiore, P.P., and von Zastrow, M. (2014). Endocytosis, signaling, and beyond. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 6, a016865.

Dillin, A., Hsu, A.-L., Arantes-Oliveira, N., Lehrer-Graiwer, J., Hsin, H., *et al.* (2002). Rates of Behavior and Aging Specified by Mitochondrial Function During Development. *Science* (New York, NY) 298, 2398-2401.

Duda, K., Chi, Y.-I., and Shoelson, S.E. (2004). Structural Basis for HNF-4 $\alpha$  Activation by Ligand and Coactivator Binding. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279, 23311-23316.

Dues, D.J., Schaar, C.E., Johnson, B.K., Bowman, M.J., Winn, M.E., *et al.* (2017). Uncoupling of oxidative stress resistance and lifespan in long-lived isp-1 mitochondrial mutants in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 108, 362-373.

Durieux, J., Wolff, S., and Dillin, A. (2011). The cell-non-autonomous nature of electron transport chain-mediated longevity. *Cell* 144, 79-91.

Durinck, S., Spellman, P.T., Birney, E., and Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nature protocols* 4, 1184-1191.

Esmaeili, B., Ross, J.M., Neades, C., Miller, D.M., and Ahringer, J. (2002). The *C. elegans* even-skipped homologue vab-7 specifies DB motoneurone identity and axon trajectory. *Development* 129, 853.

Etchberger, J.F., Lorch, A., Sleumer, M.C., Zapf, R., Jones, S.J., *et al.* (2007). The molecular signature and cis-regulatory architecture of a *C. elegans* gustatory neuron. *Genes & Development* 21, 1653-1674.

Farhan, H., and Rabouille, C. (2011). Signalling to and from the secretory pathway. *Journal of cell science* 124, 171-180.

Filadi, R., Greotti, E., Turacchio, G., Luini, A., Pozzan, T., *et al.* (2015). Mitofusin 2 ablation increases endoplasmic reticulum–mitochondria coupling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* *112*, E2174-E2181.

Filadi, R., Greotti, E., Turacchio, G., Luini, A., Pozzan, T., *et al.* (2016). Presenilin 2 Modulates Endoplasmic Reticulum-Mitochondria Coupling by Tuning the Antagonistic Effect of Mitofusin 2. *Cell reports* *15*, 2226-2238.

Fischer, C.A., Besora-Casals, L., Rolland, S.G., Haeussler, S., Singh, K., *et al.* (2020). MitoSegNet: Easy-to-use Deep Learning Segmentation for Analyzing Mitochondrial Morphology. *iScience* *23*, 101601.

Furuno, K., Ikeda, K., Hamano, S., Fukuyama, K., Sonoda, M., *et al.* (2008). Onecut transcription factor OC2 is a direct target of T-bet in type-1 T-helper cells. *Genes & Immunity* *9*, 302-308.

Garbe, D., Doto, J.B., and Sundaram, M.V. (2004). *Caenorhabditis elegans* lin-35/Rb, efl-1/E2F and Other Synthetic Multivulva Genes Negatively Regulate the Anaphase-Promoting Complex Gene mat-3/APC8. *Genetics* *167*, 663.

Gavin, K.A., Hidaka, M., and Stillman, B. (1995). Conserved initiator proteins in eukaryotes. *Science (New York, NY)* *270*, 1667-1671.

Gilleard, J.S., Shafi, Y., Barry, J.D., and McGhee, J.D. (1999). ELT-3: A *Caenorhabditis elegans* GATA Factor Expressed in the Embryonic Epidermis during Morphogenesis. *Developmental Biology* *208*, 265-280.

Grove, C.A., De Masi, F., Barrasa, M.I., Newburger, D.E., Alkema, M.J., *et al.* (2009). A Multiparameter Network Reveals Extensive Divergence between *C. elegans* bHLH Transcription Factors. *Cell* *138*, 314-327.

Haeussler, S., Köhler, F., Witting, M., Premm, M.F., Rolland, S.G., *et al.* (2020). Autophagy compensates for defects in mitochondrial dynamics. *PLoS genetics* *16*, e1008638.

Hales, K.G., and Fuller, M.T. (1997). Developmentally regulated mitochondrial fusion mediated by a conserved, novel, predicted GTPase. *Cell* *90*, 121-129.

Hallam, S., Singer, E., Waring, D., and Jin, Y. (2000). The *C. elegans* NeuroD homolog *cnd-1* functions in multiple aspects of motor neuron fate specification. *Development* *127*, 4239.

Harris, T.W., Arnaboldi, V., Cain, S., Chan, J., Chen, W.J., *et al.* (2019). WormBase: a modern Model Organism Information Resource. *Nucleic Acids Research* *48*, D762-D767.

Harrison, M.M., Ceol, C.J., Lu, X., and Horvitz, H.R. (2006). Some *C. elegans* class B synthetic multivulva proteins encode a conserved LIN-35 Rb-containing complex distinct from a NuRD-like complex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103, 16782-16787.

Haynes, C.M., Petrova, K., Benedetti, C., Yang, Y., and Ron, D. (2007). ClpP mediates activation of a mitochondrial unfolded protein response in *C. elegans*. *Developmental cell* 13, 467-480.

Haynes, C.M., Yang, Y., Blais, S.P., Neubert, T.A., and Ron, D. (2010). The matrix peptide exporter HAF-1 signals a mitochondrial UPR by activating the transcription factor ZC376.7 in *C. elegans*. *Molecular cell* 37, 529-540.

Hertz, R., Magenheimer, J., Berman, I., and Bar-Tana, J. (1998). Fatty acyl-CoA thioesters are ligands of hepatic nuclear factor-4 $\alpha$ . *Nature* 392, 512-516.

Horn, M., Geisen, C., Cermak, L., Becker, B., Nakamura, S., *et al.* (2014). DRE-1/FBXO11-Dependent Degradation of BLMP-1/BLIMP-1 Governs *C. elegans* Developmental Timing and Maturation. *Developmental cell* 28, 697-710.

Houtkooper, R.H., Mouchiroud, L., Ryu, D., Moullan, N., Katsyuba, E., *et al.* (2013). Mitonuclear protein imbalance as a conserved longevity mechanism. *Nature* 497, 451-457.

Hu, Q., D'Amora, D.R., MacNeil, L.T., Walhout, A.J.M., and Kubiseski, T.J. (2017). The Oxidative Stress Response in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Requires the GATA Transcription Factor ELT-3 and SKN-1/Nrf2. *Genetics* 206, 1909.

Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2008). Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Research* 37, 1-13.

Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols* 4, 44-57.

Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., Tan, Q., Collins, J.R., Alvord, W.G., *et al.* (2007). The DAVID Gene Functional Classification Tool: a novel biological module-centric algorithm to functionally analyze large gene lists. *Genome Biol* 8, R183.

Huang, J.D., Dubnicoff, T., Liaw, G.J., Bai, Y., Valentine, S.A., *et al.* (1995). Binding sites for transcription factor NTF-1/Elf-1 contribute to the ventral repression of decapentaplegic. *Genes & Development* 9, 3177-3189.

Huang, T.-F., Cho, C.-Y., Cheng, Y.-T., Huang, J.-W., Wu, Y.-Z., *et al.* (2014). BLMP-1/Blimp-1 Regulates the Spatiotemporal Cell Migration Pattern in *C. elegans*. *PLoS genetics* 10, e1004428.

Hunt, S.E., McLaren, W., Gil, L., Thormann, A., Schuilenburg, H., *et al.* (2018). Ensembl variation resources. *Database* 2018.

Ichishita, R., Tanaka, K., Sugiura, Y., Sayano, T., Mihara, K., *et al.* (2008). An RNAi Screen for Mitochondrial Proteins Required to Maintain the Morphology of the Organelle in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *The Journal of Biochemistry* 143, 449-454.

Imafuku, I., Waragai, M., Takeuchi, S., Kanazawa, I., Kawabata, M., *et al.* (1998). Polar Amino Acid-Rich Sequences Bind to Polyglutamine Tracts. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 253, 16-20.

Ingerman, E., Perkins, E.M., Marino, M., Mears, J.A., McCaffery, J.M., *et al.* (2005). Dnm1 forms spirals that are structurally tailored to fit mitochondria. *The Journal of cell biology* 170, 1021-1027.

Inoue, H., Hisamoto, N., An, J.H., Oliveira, R.P., Nishida, E., *et al.* (2005). The *C. elegans* p38 MAPK pathway regulates nuclear localization of the transcription factor SKN-1 in oxidative stress response. *Genes & Development* 19, 2278-2283.

Ishihara, H., Shibasaki, Y., Kizuki, N., Katagiri, H., Yazaki, Y., *et al.* (1996). Cloning of cDNAs Encoding Two Isoforms of 68-kDa Type I Phosphatidylinositol4-phosphate 5-Kinase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 271, 23611-23614.

Izumi, K., Brett, M., Nishi, E., Drunat, S., Tan, E.-S., *et al.* (2016). ARCN1 Mutations Cause a Recognizable Craniofacial Syndrome Due to COPI-Mediated Transport Defects. *Am J Hum Genet* 99, 451-459.

Jacquemin, P., Lemaigre, F.P., and Rousseau, G.G. (2003). The Onecut transcription factor HNF-6 (OC-1) is required for timely specification of the pancreas and acts upstream of Pdx-1 in the specification cascade. *Developmental Biology* 258, 105-116.

Kamath, R.S., and Ahringer, J. (2003). Genome-wide RNAi screening in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Methods (San Diego, Calif)* 30, 313-321.

Kambach, C., Walket, S., and Nagai, K. (1999). Structure and assembly of the spliceosomal small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 9, 222-230.

Kanazawa, T., Zappaterra, M.D., Hasegawa, A., Wright, A.P., Newman-Smith, E.D., *et al.* (2008). The *C. elegans* Opa1 Homologue EAT-3 Is Essential for Resistance to Free Radicals. *PLoS genetics* 4, e1000022.

Kariya, K.-i., Kim Bui, Y., Gao, X., Sternberg, P.W., and Kataoka, T. (2004). Phospholipase C $\epsilon$  regulates ovulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Developmental Biology* 274, 201-210.

Khan, M.H., Ligon, M., Hussey, L.R., Hufnal, B., Farber, R., *et al.* (2013). TAF-4 is required for the life extension of *isp-1*, *clk-1* and *tpk-1* Mit mutants. *Aging* 5, 741-758.

Kim, J., Yeon, J., Choi, S.-K., Huh, Y.H., Fang, Z., *et al.* (2015). The Evolutionarily Conserved LIM Homeodomain Protein LIM-4/LHX6 Specifies the Terminal Identity of a Cholinergic and Peptidergic *C. elegans* Sensory/Inter/Motor Neuron-Type. *PLoS genetics* 11, e1005480.

Kim, S., and Sieburth, D. (2018). Sphingosine Kinase Activates the Mitochondrial Unfolded Protein Response and Is Targeted to Mitochondria by Stress. *Cell reports* 24, 2932-2945.e2934.

Kim, S., and Sieburth, D. (2020). FSHR-1/GPCR Regulates the Mitochondrial Unfolded Protein Response in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 214, 409-418.

Kim, W., Underwood, R.S., Greenwald, I., and Shaye, D.D. (2018). OrthoList 2: A New Comparative Genomic Analysis of Human and *Caenorhabditis elegans* Genes. *Genetics* 210, 445-461.

Kirstein-Miles, J., Scior, A., Deuerling, E., and Morimoto, R.I. (2013). The nascent polypeptide-associated complex is a key regulator of proteostasis. *The EMBO journal* 32, 1451-1468.

Klimova, L., Antosova, B., Kuzelova, A., Strnad, H., and Kozmik, Z. (2015). OneCut1 and OneCut2 transcription factors operate downstream of Pax6 to regulate horizontal cell development. *Developmental Biology* 402, 48-60.

Knüppel, R., Dietze, P., Lehnberg, W., Frech, K., and Wingender, E. (1994). TRANSFAC Retrieval Program: A Network Model Database of Eukaryotic Transcription Regulating Sequences and Proteins. *Journal of Computational Biology* 1, 191-198.

Kornmann, B. (2014). Quality control in mitochondria: use it, break it, fix it, trash it. *F1000Prime Rep* 6, 15-15.

Kovacevic, I., Orozco, J.M., and Cram, E.J. (2013). Filamin and Phospholipase C- $\epsilon$  Are Required for Calcium Signaling in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Spermatheca. *PLoS genetics* 9, e1003510.

Krämer, A. (1992). Purification of splicing factor SF1, a heat-stable protein that functions in the assembly of a presplicing complex. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 12, 4545-4552.

Kriventseva, E.V., Kuznetsov, D., Tegenfeldt, F., Manni, M., Dias, R., *et al.* (2018). OrthoDB v10: sampling the diversity of animal, plant, fungal, protist, bacterial and viral genomes for

evolutionary and functional annotations of orthologs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47, D807-D811.

Kurokawa, K., and Nakano, A. (2018). The ER exit sites are specialized ER zones for the transport of cargo proteins from the ER to the Golgi apparatus. *The Journal of Biochemistry* 165, 109-114.

Labrousse, A.M., Zappaterra, M.D., Rube, D.A., and van der Bliek, A.M. (1999). *C. elegans* Dynamin-Related Protein DRP-1 Controls Severing of the Mitochondrial Outer Membrane. *Molecular cell* 4, 815-826.

Leal, N.S., Schreiner, B., Pinho, C.M., Filadi, R., Wiehager, B., *et al.* (2016). Mitofusin-2 knockdown increases ER-mitochondria contact and decreases amyloid  $\beta$ -peptide production. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* 20, 1686-1695.

Lee, M.C.S., Miller, E.A., Goldberg, J., Orci, L., and Schekman, R. (2004). Bi-directional protein transport between the ER and Golgi. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 20, 87-123.

Lei, H., Liu, J., Fukushige, T., Fire, A., and Krause, M. (2009). Caudal-like PAL-1 directly activates the bodywall muscle module regulator hlh-1 in *C. elegans* to initiate the embryonic muscle gene regulatory network. *Development* 136, 1241.

Lichtsteiner, S., and Tjian, R. (1993). Cloning and properties of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* TATA-box-binding protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 90, 9673-9677.

Liu, X.-M., Zhang, Y.-L., Ji, S.-Y., Zhao, L.-W., Shang, W.-N., *et al.* (2017). Mitochondrial Function Regulated by Mitoguardin-1/2 Is Crucial for Ovarian Endocrine Functions and Ovulation. *Endocrinology* 158, 3988-3999.

Liu, X.-M., Zhang, Y.-P., Ji, S.-Y., Li, B.-T., Tian, X., *et al.* (2016). Mitoguardin-1 and -2 promote maturation and the developmental potential of mouse oocytes by maintaining mitochondrial dynamics and functions. *Oncotarget* 7.

Liu, Y., Samuel, B.S., Breen, P.C., and Ruvkun, G. (2014). *Caenorhabditis elegans* pathways that surveil and defend mitochondria. *Nature* 508, 406-410.

Loijens, J.C., and Anderson, R.A. (1996). Type I Phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-Kinases Are Distinct Members of This Novel Lipid Kinase Family. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 271, 32937-32943.

Maduro, M.F., Kasmir, J.J., Zhu, J., and Rothman, J.H. (2005). The Wnt effector POP-1 and the PAL-1/Caudal homeoprotein collaborate with SKN-1 to activate *C. elegans* endoderm development. *Developmental Biology* 285, 510-523.

Matys, V., Kel-Margoulis, O.V., Fricke, E., Liebich, I., Land, S., *et al.* (2006). TRANSFAC and its module TRANSCompel: transcriptional gene regulation in eukaryotes. *Nucleic acids research* 34, D108-110.

Mazroui, R., Puoti, A., and KrÄMer, A. (1999). Splicing factor SF1 from *Drosophila* and *Caenorhabditis*: Presence of an N-terminal RS domain and requirement for viability. *RNA* 5, 1615-1631.

McMiller, T.L., Sims, D., Lee, T., Williams, T., and Johnson, C.M. (2007). Molecular characterization of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* REF-1 family member, hlh-29/hlh-28. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression* 1769, 5-19.

Melo, Justine A., and Ruvkun, G. (2012). Inactivation of Conserved *C. elegans* Genes Engages Pathogen- and Xenobiotic-Associated Defenses. *Cell* 149, 452-466.

Miller, J.G., Liu, Y., Williams, C.W., Smith, H.E., and O'Connell, K.F. (2016). The E2F-DP1 Transcription Factor Complex Regulates Centriole Duplication in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 6, 709.

Nakatsu, F., Baskin, J.M., Chung, J., Tanner, L.B., Shui, G., *et al.* (2012). PtdIns4P synthesis by PI4KIII $\alpha$  at the plasma membrane and its impact on plasma membrane identity. *The Journal of cell biology* 199, 1003-1016.

Naon, D., Zaninello, M., Giacomello, M., Varanita, T., Grespi, F., *et al.* (2016). Critical reappraisal confirms that Mitofusin 2 is an endoplasmic reticulum–mitochondria tether. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113, 11249-11254.

Nargund, Amrita M., Fiorese, Christopher J., Pellegrino, Mark W., Deng, P., and Haynes, Cole M. (2015). Mitochondrial and Nuclear Accumulation of the Transcription Factor ATFS-1 Promotes OXPHOS Recovery during the UPRmt. *Molecular cell* 58, 123-133.

Nargund, A.M., Pellegrino, M.W., Fiorese, C.J., Baker, B.M., and Haynes, C.M. (2012). Mitochondrial Import Efficiency of ATFS-1 Regulates Mitochondrial UPR Activation. *Science (New York, NY)* 337, 587-590.

Neves, A., and Priess, J.R. (2005). The REF-1 Family of bHLH Transcription Factors Pattern *C. elegans* Embryos through Notch-Dependent and Notch-Independent Pathways. *Developmental cell* 8, 867-879.

Ohta, S., Tatsumi, Y., Fujita, M., Tsurimoto, T., and Obuse, C. (2003). The ORC1 cycle in human cells: II. Dynamic changes in the human ORC complex during the cell cycle. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 278, 41535-41540.

Oks, O., Lewin, S., Goncalves, I.L., and Sapir, A. (2018). The UPR<sup>mt</sup> Protects *Caenorhabditis elegans* from Mitochondrial Dysfunction by Upregulating Specific Enzymes of the Mevalonate Pathway. *Genetics* 209, 457-473.

Orchard, S., Ammari, M., Aranda, B., Breuza, L., Briganti, L., *et al.* (2014). The MIntAct project--IntAct as a common curation platform for 11 molecular interaction databases. *Nucleic acids research* 42, D358-363.

Otsuga, D., Keegan, B.R., Brisch, E., Thatcher, J.W., Hermann, G.J., *et al.* (1998). The Dynamin-related GTPase, Dnm1p, Controls Mitochondrial Morphology in Yeast. *Journal of Cell Biology* 143, 333-349.

Oughtred, R., Stark, C., Breitkreutz, B.-J., Rust, J., Boucher, L., *et al.* (2018). The BioGRID interaction database: 2019 update. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47, D529-D541.

Palanker, L., Tennessen, J.M., Lam, G., and Thummel, C.S. (2009). *Drosophila* HNF4 Regulates Lipid Mobilization and  $\beta$ -Oxidation. *Cell metabolism* 9, 228-239.

Pocock, R., Ahringer, J., Mitsch, M., Maxwell, S., and Woollard, A. (2004). A regulatory network of T-box genes and the even-skipped homologue *vab-7* controls patterning and morphogenesis in *C. elegans*. *Development* 131, 2373.

Pradel, E., Zhang, Y., Pujol, N., Matsuyama, T., Bargmann, C.I., *et al.* (2007). Detection and avoidance of a natural product from the pathogenic bacterium *Serratia marcescens* by *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104, 2295.

Quach, T.K., Chou, H.T., Wang, K., Milledge, G.Z., and Johnson, C.M. (2013). Genome-Wide Microarray Analysis Reveals Roles for the REF-1 Family Member HLH-29 in Ferritin Synthesis and Peroxide Stress Response. *PloS one* 8, e59719.

Rahe, D.P., and Hobert, O. (2019). Restriction of Cellular Plasticity of Differentiated Cells Mediated by Chromatin Modifiers, Transcription Factors and Protein Kinases. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 9, 2287.

Ramsey, C.S., Yeung, F., Stoddard, P.B., Li, D., Creutz, C.E., *et al.* (2008). Copine-I represses NF-kappaB transcription by endoproteolysis of p65. *Oncogene* 27, 3516-3526.

Ranji, P., Rauthan, M., Pitot, C., and Pilon, M. (2014). Loss of HMG-CoA Reductase in *C. elegans* Causes Defects in Protein Prenylation and Muscle Mitochondria. *PloS one* 9, e100033.

Raudvere, U., Kolberg, L., Kuzmin, I., Arak, T., Adler, P., *et al.* (2019). g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Research* 47, W191-W198.

Rauthan, M., Ranji, P., Aguilera Pradenas, N., Pitot, C., and Pilon, M. (2013). The mitochondrial unfolded protein response activator ATFS-1 protects cells from inhibition of the mevalonate pathway. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* *110*, 5981-5986.

Rea, S.L., Ventura, N., and Johnson, T.E. (2007). Relationship Between Mitochondrial Electron Transport Chain Dysfunction, Development, and Life Extension in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLOS Biology* *5*, e259.

Rolland, S.G., Motori, E., Memar, N., Hench, J., Frank, S., *et al.* (2013). Impaired complex IV activity in response to loss of LRPPRC function can be compensated by mitochondrial hyperfusion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* *110*, E2967-2976.

Rolland, S.G., Schneid, S., Schwarz, M., Rackles, E., Fischer, C., *et al.* (2019). Compromised Mitochondrial Protein Import Acts as a Signal for UPR<sup>mt</sup>. *Cell reports* *28*, 1659-1669.e1655.

Rolland, T., Taşan, M., Charlotheaux, B., Pevzner, Samuel J., Zhong, Q., *et al.* (2014). A Proteome-Scale Map of the Human Interactome Network. *Cell* *159*, 1212-1226.

Runkel, E.D., Liu, S., Baumeister, R., and Schulze, E. (2013). Surveillance-activated defenses block the ROS-induced mitochondrial unfolded protein response. *PLoS genetics* *9*, e1003346.

Santel, A., Frank, S., Gaume, B., Herrler, M., Youle, R.J., *et al.* (2003). Mitofusin-1 protein is a generally expressed mediator of mitochondrial fusion in mammalian cells. *Journal of cell science* *116*, 2763-2774.

Schwarz, E.M., Kato, M., and Sternberg, P.W. (2012). Functional transcriptomics of a migrating cell in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* *109*, 16246.

Shafqat, N., Marschall, H.-U., Filling, C., Nordling, E., Wu, X.-Q., *et al.* (2003). Expanded substrate screenings of human and *Drosophila* type 10 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (HSDs) reveal multiple specificities in bile acid and steroid hormone metabolism: characterization of multifunctional 3 $\alpha$ /7 $\alpha$ /7 $\beta$ /17 $\beta$ /20 $\beta$ /21-HSD. *Biochemical Journal* *376*, 49-60.

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., *et al.* (2003). Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Research* *13*, 2498-2504.

Shao, L.-W., Niu, R., and Liu, Y. (2016). Neuropeptide signals cell non-autonomous mitochondrial unfolded protein response. *Cell Research* *26*, 1182-1196.

Shaye, D.D., and Greenwald, I. (2011). OrthoList: A Compendium of *C. elegans* Genes with Human Orthologs. *PloS one* 6, e20085.

Shepard, K.A., and Yaffe, M.P. (1999). The Yeast Dynamin-like Protein, Mgm1p, Functions on the Mitochondrial Outer Membrane to Mediate Mitochondrial Inheritance. *Journal of Cell Biology* 144, 711-720.

Shi, Z., Xu, S., Xing, S., Yao, K., Zhang, L., *et al.* (2019). Mettl17, a regulator of mitochondrial ribosomal RNA modifications, is required for the translation of mitochondrial coding genes. *FASEB J* 33, 13040-13050.

Simmer, F., Moorman, C., van der Linden, A.M., Kuijk, E., van den Berghe, P.V.E., *et al.* (2003). Genome-Wide RNAi of *C. elegans* Using the Hypersensitive rrf-3 Strain Reveals Novel Gene Functions. *PLOS Biology* 1, e12.

Simonis, N., Rual, J.-F., Carvunis, A.-R., Tasan, M., Lemmens, I., *et al.* (2009). Empirically controlled mapping of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* protein-protein interactome network. *Nature Methods* 6, 47-54.

Smirnova, E., Shurland, D.-L., Ryazantsev, S.N., and van der Blik, A.M. (1998). A Human Dynamin-related Protein Controls the Distribution of Mitochondria. *Journal of Cell Biology* 143, 351-358.

Soo-Ung, L., Hyun-Ok, S., Wonhae, L., Gunasekaran, S., Jae-Ran, Y., *et al.* (2009). Identification and Characterization of a Putative Basic Helix-Loop-Helix (bHLH) Transcription Factor Interacting with Calcineurin in *C. elegans*. *Molecules and cells* 28, 455-461.

Sopta, M., Burton, Z.F., and Greenblatt, J. (1989). Structure and associated DNA-helicase activity of a general transcription initiation factor that binds to RNA polymerase II. *Nature* 341, 410-414.

Sorkin, A., and von Zastrow, M. (2009). Endocytosis and signalling: intertwining molecular networks. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* 10, 609-622.

Spaan, A.N., Ijlst, L., van Roermund, C.W.T., Wijburg, F.A., Wanders, R.J.A., *et al.* (2005). Identification of the human mitochondrial FAD transporter and its potential role in multiple acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency. *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism* 86, 441-447.

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., *et al.* (2018). STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47, D607-D613.

Tabuchi, T.M., Deplancke, B., Osato, N., Zhu, L.J., Barrasa, M.I., *et al.* (2011). Chromosome-Biased Binding and Gene Regulation by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* DRM Complex. *PLoS genetics* 7, e1002074.

Tatsumi, Y., Ohta, S., Kimura, H., Tsurimoto, T., and Obuse, C. (2003). The ORC1 cycle in human cells: I. cell cycle-regulated oscillation of human ORC1. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 278, 41528-41534.

Tatsuta, T., and Langer, T. (2008). Quality control of mitochondria: protection against neurodegeneration and ageing. *The EMBO journal* 27, 306-314.

The Alliance of Genome Resources, C. (2019). Alliance of Genome Resources Portal: unified model organism research platform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 48, D650-D658.

Thomas, J.D., Conrad, R.C., and Blumenthal, T. (1988). The *C. elegans* Trans-spliced leader RNA is bound to Sm and has a trimethylguanosine cap. *Cell* 54, 533-539.

Thomas, J.H., Ceol, C.J., Schwartz, H.T., and Horvitz, H.R. (2003). New Genes That Interact With *lin-35* Rb to Negatively Regulate the *let-60 ras* Pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 164, 135-151.

Tomsig, J.L., Snyder, S.L., and Creutz, C.E. (2003). Identification of targets for calcium signaling through the copine family of proteins. Characterization of a coiled-coil copine-binding motif. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 278, 10048-10054.

Tomsig, J.L., Sohma, H., and Creutz, C.E. (2004). Calcium-dependent regulation of tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  receptor signalling by copine. *Biochem J* 378, 1089-1094.

Uchida, O., Nakano, H., Koga, M., and Ohshima, Y. (2003). The *C. elegans* *che-1* gene encodes a zinc finger transcription factor required for specification of the ASE chemosensory neurons. *Development* 130, 1215.

van der Blik, A.M., Shen, Q., and Kawajiri, S. (2013). Mechanisms of Mitochondrial Fission and Fusion. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 5.

Van Raamsdonk, J.M., Meng, Y., Camp, D., Yang, W., Jia, X., *et al.* (2010). Decreased Energy Metabolism Extends Life Span in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Without Reducing Oxidative Damage. *Genetics* 185, 559-571.

Vance, J.E. (1998). Eukaryotic lipid-biosynthetic enzymes: the same but not the same. *Trends in biochemical sciences* 23, 423-428.

Venkatesan, K., McManus, H.R., Mello, C.C., Smith, T.F., and Hansen, U. (2003). Functional conservation between members of an ancient duplicated transcription factor family, LSF/Grainyhead. *Nucleic Acids Research* 31, 4304-4316.

Walker, A.K., Rothman, J.H., Shi, Y., and Blackwell, T.K. (2001). Distinct requirements for *C.elegans* TAFII in early embryonic transcription. *The EMBO journal* 20, 5269-5279.

Walker, A.K., Shi, Y., and Blackwell, T.K. (2004). An Extensive Requirement for Transcription Factor IID-specific TAF-1 in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Embryonic Transcription. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279, 15339-15347.

Walter, W., Sánchez-Cabo, F., and Ricote, M. (2015). GOpilot: an R package for visually combining expression data with functional analysis. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 31, 2912-2914.

Waragai, M., Lammers, C.H., Takeuchi, S., Imafuku, I., Udagawa, Y., *et al.* (1999). PQBP-1, a novel polyglutamine tract-binding protein, inhibits transcription activation by Brn-2 and affects cell survival. *Human molecular genetics* 8, 977-987.

Ward-Farley, D., Donaldson, S.L., Comes, O., Zuberi, K., Badrawi, R., *et al.* (2010). The GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. *Nucleic Acids Research* 38, W214-W220.

Watson, E., MacNeil, Lesley T., Arda, H.E., Zhu, Lihua J., and Walhout, Albertha J.M. (2013). Integration of Metabolic and Gene Regulatory Networks Modulates the *C. elegans* Dietary Response. *Cell* 153, 253-266.

Weinkove, D., Bastiani, M., Chessa, T.A.M., Joshi, D., Hauth, L., *et al.* (2008). Overexpression of PPK-1, the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Type I PIP kinase, inhibits growth cone collapse in the developing nervous system and causes axonal degeneration in adults. *Developmental Biology* 313, 384-397.

Wen, C., Levitan, D., Li, X., and Greenwald, I. (2000). *spr-2*, a suppressor of the egg-laying defect caused by loss of *sel-12* presenilin in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, is a member of the SET protein subfamily. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 97, 14524-14529.

Wilanowski, T., Tuckfield, A., Cerruti, L., O'Connell, S., Saint, R., *et al.* (2002). A highly conserved novel family of mammalian developmental transcription factors related to *Drosophila* grainyhead. *Mechanisms of Development* 114, 37-50.

Wilkinson, C.R.M., Dittmar, G.A.G., Ohi, M.D., Uetz, P., Jones, N., *et al.* (2004). Ubiquitin-like Protein Hub1 Is Required for Pre-mRNA Splicing and Localization of an Essential Splicing Factor in Fission Yeast. *Current Biology* 14, 2283-2288.

- Wisely, G.B., Miller, A.B., Davis, R.G., Thornquest, A.D., Johnson, R., *et al.* (2002). Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 Is a Transcription Factor that Constitutively Binds Fatty Acids. *Structure* *10*, 1225-1234.
- Witte, K., Schuh, A.L., Hegermann, J., Sarkeshik, A., Mayers, J.R., *et al.* (2011). TFG-1 function in protein secretion and oncogenesis. *Nature cell biology* *13*, 550-558.
- Wu, L., Niemeyer, B., Colley, N., Socolich, M., and Zuker, C.S. (1995). Regulation of PLC-mediated signalling in vivo by CDP-diacylglycerol synthase. *Nature* *373*, 216-222.
- Wu, Z., Senchuk, M.M., Dues, D.J., Johnson, B.K., Cooper, J.F., *et al.* (2018). Mitochondrial unfolded protein response transcription factor ATFS-1 promotes longevity in a long-lived mitochondrial mutant through activation of stress response pathways. *BMC Biology* *16*, 147.
- Yaffe, M.P. (1999). The Machinery of Mitochondrial Inheritance and Behavior. *Science (New York, NY)* *283*, 1493-1497.
- Yang, W., and Hekimi, S. (2010). A Mitochondrial Superoxide Signal Triggers Increased Longevity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLOS Biology* *8*, e1000556.
- Yasuda, K., Hartman, P.S., Ishii, T., Suda, H., Akatsuka, A., *et al.* (2011). Interrelationships between mitochondrial fusion, energy metabolism and oxidative stress during development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* *404*, 751-755.
- Yoneda, T., Benedetti, C., Urano, F., Clark, S.G., Harding, H.P., *et al.* (2004). Compartment-specific perturbation of protein handling activates genes encoding mitochondrial chaperones. *Journal of cell science* *117*, 4055-4066.
- Youle, R.J., and van der Bliek, A.M. (2012). Mitochondrial fission, fusion, and stress. *Science (New York, NY)* *337*, 1062-1065.
- Zhang, Q., Wu, X., Chen, P., Liu, L., Xin, N., *et al.* (2018). The Mitochondrial Unfolded Protein Response Is Mediated Cell-Non-autonomously by Retromer-Dependent Wnt Signaling. *Cell* *174*, 870-883.e817.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Bai, J., Tian, X., Zhao, X., *et al.* (2016). Mitoguardin Regulates Mitochondrial Fusion through MitoPLD and Is Required for Neuronal Homeostasis. *Molecular cell* *61*, 111-124.
- Zubovych, I.O., Straud, S., Roth, M.G., and Newmeyer, D.D. (2010). Mitochondrial Dysfunction Confers Resistance to Multiple Drugs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* *21*, 956-969.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1: Overview of genome-wide RNAi screen for suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>.** (A) Schematic overview of the RNAi screening procedure using the RNAi feeding library (Kamath and Ahringer, 2003) in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants that express the UPR<sup>mt</sup> reporter  $P_{hsp-6}^{mt}HSP70gfp$  (*zcIs13*). The moderate induction of the reporter in the *fzo-1(tm1133)* background allowed screening for both suppressors and enhancers of the response. (B) The screen resulted in identification of 299 suppressors and 86 enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>, which were sorted into categories that we defined according to their function. ETC: electron transport chain.

**Figure 2: Gene ontology enrichment analysis of suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> using DAVID.** (A) Results of the clustered gene ontology enrichment analysis of suppressors of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> using DAVID (Huang *et al.*, 2008, 2009). (B) Results of the clustered gene ontology enrichment analysis of enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> using DAVID. (A) & (B) Statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) enriched GO-terms, except the nematode specific GO-terms, of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> are depicted. Circle size correlates with the number of genes associated with a specific GO-term.

**Figure 3: Enrichment analysis of transcription factors binding to promoters of candidate genes that suppress or enhance *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup>.** (A) Transcription factor (TF) binding sites were identified using the modENCODE database (Celniker *et al.*, 2009) and enrichment analysis was performed separately for suppressors and enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> using g:profiler (Knüppel *et al.*, 1994; Raudvere



*et al.*, 2019). TFs that are statistically enriched among the candidate genes are shown. References: [1] (Grove *et al.*, 2009), [2] (Hallam *et al.*, 2000), [3] (Horn *et al.*, 2014), [4] (Huang *et al.*, 2014), [5] (Armakola and Ruvkun, 2019), [6] (Ceol and Horvitz, 2001), [7] (Garbe *et al.*, 2004), [8] (Chi and Reinke, 2006), [9] (Miller *et al.*, 2016), [10] (Baugh *et al.*, 2005), [11] (Maduro *et al.*, 2005), [12] (Lei *et al.*, 2009), [13] (Schwarz *et al.*, 2012), [14] (Gilleard *et al.*, 1999), [15] (Budovskaya *et al.*, 2008), [16] (Hu *et al.*, 2017), [17] (Soo-Ung *et al.*, 2009), [18] (Watson *et al.*, 2013), [19] (An and Blackwell, 2003), [20] (An *et al.*, 2005), [21] (Inoue *et al.*, 2005), [22] (Nargund *et al.*, 2012), [23] (Nargund *et al.*, 2015), [24] (Kim and Sieburth, 2018), [25] (Wu *et al.*, 2018) [26] (Neves and Priess, 2005), [27] (McMiller *et al.*, 2007), [28] (Quach *et al.*, 2013), [29] (Ahringer, 1996), [30] (Esmaeili *et al.*, 2002), [31] (Pocock *et al.*, 2004), [32] (Jacquemin *et al.*, 2003), [33] (Furuno *et al.*, 2008), [34] (Klimova *et al.*, 2015), [35] (Ambros and Horvitz, 1984), [36] (Chang *et al.*, 2003), [37] (Uchida *et al.*, 2003), [38] (Etchberger *et al.*, 2007), [39] (Rahe and Hobert, 2019), [40] (Huang *et al.*, 1995), [41] (Wilanowski *et al.*, 2002), [42] (Venkatesan *et al.*, 2003), [43] (Pradel *et al.*, 2007), [44] (Kim *et al.*, 2015). **(B)** Graphical representation of enriched TFs and the cellular processes they control. ‘Suppressor specific’ TFs are indicated in blue, ‘enhancer specific’ TFs in orange and ‘shared’ TFs in green. The number of candidate genes controlled by a certain group of TFs is indicated in each circle below the functional group name.

**Figure 4: Analysis of a gene network – the UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome.** Interactors of all genes that are currently associated with the GO-term ‘mitochondrial unfolded protein response’ and of their human orthologs were identified to build the complete UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome using ‘IntAct’, ‘BioGRID3.5’ and ‘mentha’ databases (Calderone *et al.*, 2013; Orchard *et al.*, 2014; Oughtred *et al.*, 2018). 129 genes are depicted, which overlapped between the complete UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome and the candidate list of our screen in *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants. Turquoise circles: ‘input genes’

currently associated with GO-term ‘mitochondrial unfolded protein response’, red arrowheads: suppressors of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> that overlap with the complete UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome, green triangles: enhancers of *fzo-1(tm1133)*-induced UPR<sup>mt</sup> that overlap with the complete UPR<sup>mt</sup>ome. Interactions of two genes that were identified for *C. elegans* genes are indicated with green lines, interactions that were identified in human orthologs are indicated with blue lines.

**Figure 5: Candidate genes with roles in IP<sub>3</sub> signaling.** We identified four genes in our dataset that either play a direct role in the IP<sub>3</sub> signaling pathway or are crucial for the synthesis of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-biphosphate (PI(4,5)P<sub>2</sub>). The IP<sub>3</sub> receptor has previously been identified (Liu *et al.*, 2014). Suppressors are shown in yellow boxes, enhancers in green boxes. PA phosphatidic acid, CDP-DAG cytidine biphosphate-diacylglycerol, PI phosphatidylinositol, PI(4)P phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate, IP<sub>3</sub> inositol triphosphate, ER endoplasmic reticulum, GPCR G-protein coupled receptor.

**Figure 6: *miga-1(tm3621)* mutants induce UPR<sup>mt</sup> and have altered mitochondrial morphology.** (A) Fluorescence images of L4 larvae expressing  $P_{hsp-60}$  mtHSPD1*gfp* (*zcIs9*) in wild type (+/+), *miga-1(tm3621)* or *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants. Scale bar: 200  $\mu$ m (B) Fluorescence images of L4 larvae expressing mitochondrial targeted *gfp* ( $P_{myo-3}$ *gfp*<sup>mt</sup>) in wild type (+/+), *miga-1(tm3621)* or *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants. Representative images are shown. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m. (C) Fluorescence images of L4 larvae expressing mitochondrial targeted *gfp* ( $P_{myo-3}$ *gfp*<sup>mt</sup>) in wild type (+/+), *miga-1(tm3621)* or *fzo-1(tm1133)* mutants were quantified using the MitoSegNet algorithm (Fischer *et al.*, 2020). ns: not significant, \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$  using Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc test for multiple comparison among all three genotypes,  $n \geq 15$ . px: pixel.

**A****B**



A

	Gene name	Human Ortholog	Sequence Logo	P-value	Reference
Suppressor specific	<i>hb-2::ond-1</i>	NEUROD1,4,8		3.39E-13	[1], [7]
	<i>blmp-1</i>	PRDM1		4.82E-08	[3], [4], [6]
	<i>ek-1</i>	E2F4, E2F8		4.64E-06	[6], [7], [8], [9]
	<i>pat-1</i>	COX1		0.000402	[10], [11], [12]
	<i>hb-2::hb-19</i>	TGFP2-ASGL4		0.001199	[1], [13]
	<i>ek-3</i>	GATA3,4		0.020084	[14], [15], [16]
	<i>hb-11</i>	TFAP4		0.032103	[1], [17], [18]
Enhancer specific	<i>ekn-1</i>	NF2, NFE2L1,2,3		0.049998	[19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [26]
	<i>hb-25</i>	n.s.		0.049995	[1], [25], [27], [28]
	<i>yab-7</i>	EVO2		0.049999	[29], [30], [31]
Shared	<i>ekb-43</i>	DMEC1/1,2,3		Table S3	[32], [33], [34]
	<i>ko-14</i>	n.s.		Table S3	[35]
	<i>ekb-1</i>	ZNF693, ZSCAN4		Table S3	[36], [37], [38], [39]
	<i>grb-1</i>	GRHL1		Table S3	[40], [41], [42]
	<i>lin-4</i>	LFD3,8		Table S3	[43], [44]


B


number of candidate genes controlled


Suppressor specific

Enhancer specific


Shared








**A**



**B**



**C**

