

1 **Imaging outcomes in clinical trials of treatments for glaucoma**

2
3 **Authors: Georgios Lazaridis, Jibrán Mohamed-Noriega, Soledad Aguilar-Munoa,**
4 **Katsuyoshi Suzuki, Hiroki Nomoto, David F. Garway-Heath, on behalf of the United**
5 **Kingdom Glaucoma Treatment Study Investigators**

6
7 Currently, all therapies for glaucoma have been licenced on the basis of their ability to lower
8 intraocular pressure (IOP). However, the main outcome of interest to people with glaucoma
9 is vision-related (VR) quality of life (QoL). Instruments measuring VR QoL are unlikely to be
10 sensitive enough to function as the primary outcome for clinical trials,¹ but they remain
11 important as secondary outcomes to capture side-effects of treatment. Although lowering
12 IOP has been shown to slow visual field (VF) loss,² IOP is a far-removed surrogate for VR QoL
13 in glaucoma. Furthermore, IOP would obviously be an inappropriate outcome for a trial of a
14 neuroprotective treatment with no effect on IOP. In contrast, the association of VR QoL
15 measures with VF loss and other measures of vision has been established.³ Measurements
16 of visual function are recognized by regulatory authorities as the appropriate primary
17 outcome measure for clinical trials in glaucoma⁴ and the major clinical trials which have
18 evaluated vision function as the primary outcome have used progressive VF loss as the main
19 outcome measure.

20 VF tests results are recognised to be highly variable, making the detection of change
21 challenging. The low precision leads to the requirement for large trial sample sizes, a long
22 duration of follow-up and frequent repeat VF tests. Before the UK Glaucoma Treatment
23 Study (UKGTS),² typical observation periods for trials of visual field preservation in glaucoma

24 were > 4 years. Long trial duration increases drug development costs and delays bringing
25 new treatments to the patient.

26 Because of the well-established association between VF loss and imaging-based
27 measurements of glaucoma-relevant structures (such as the peripapillary retinal nerve fiber
28 layer [RNFL] thickness), evidence that imaging can identify progressive glaucomatous
29 damage and the perceived better measurement precision of imaging-based measurements,
30 there has been considerable interest in investigating the potential role of such
31 measurements as surrogate outcomes for clinical trials.

32 Medeiros reviewed requirements which need to be met for surrogate endpoints to be
33 regarded as valid.⁵ These include that the surrogate endpoint must be able to predict the
34 clinically relevant endpoint, in this case progressive VF loss, and the effect of a treatment on
35 the surrogate endpoint must capture the effect of the treatment on the clinically relevant
36 endpoint.

37 The UKGTS is the only glaucoma trial to assess the vision-preserving efficacy of one disease-
38 modifying drug with both VF and optical coherence tomography (OCT) outcomes. Time-
39 domain OCT (TD OCT) was employed since spectral-domain OCT (SD OCT) was not in
40 widespread clinical use at the time of trial initiation. Although the rate of TD OCT RNFL
41 thinning was a significant predictor of VF loss, it was not able to distinguish the treatment
42 groups.⁶ Thus, the condition that the effect of a treatment on the surrogate endpoint should
43 capture the effect of the treatment on the clinically relevant endpoint was not met. The
44 failure of RNFL thickness measurements to capture the treatment effect may have been a
45 consequence of the poor measurement precision of TD OCT, which is known to be lower
46 than SD OCT, resulting in a poor signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Therefore, we argued that if the

47 SNR of TD OCT were improved, the RNFL thickness measurements may then be able to
48 capture treatment effects.

49 In our companion paper,⁷ we report a deep learning technique, called super-resolution. The
50 algorithm was trained on TD OCT (Figure, a) and SD OCT (Figure, b) image pairs to convert
51 the TD OCT image to a 'synthesized SD OCT' image (Figure, c). The method was trained and
52 validated on an independent data set and then applied to the UKGTS data set. When applied
53 to the training dataset, the method significantly improved the agreement of segmented TD
54 OCT RNFL thickness measurements with real SD OCT measurements and significantly
55 reduced the test-retest variability. When applied to the UKGTS TD OCT data set, the
56 strength of the predictor 'rate of RNFL thickness loss' for the outcome 'time to incident VF
57 progression' was strengthened: hazard ratio 1.09 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.21) (p=0.035) for TD OCT
58 and 1.24 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.39) (p=0.011) for synthesized SD OCT. Furthermore,
59 measurements of the rate of RNFL thickness loss from synthesized SD OCT images was able
60 to distinguish the UKGTS treatment groups. The mean difference in the rate of RNFL change
61 between the treatment and placebo arms of the UKGTS with TD OCT was 0.24 $\mu\text{m}/\text{year}$
62 (p=0.08; Figure d) and with synthesized SD OCT was 0.43 $\mu\text{m}/\text{year}$ (p=0.0017; Figure d)
63 [Mann Whitney U test]. The mean difference in the rate of VF loss (mean deviation, MD) in
64 the same subsample of the UKGTS data set was -0.41 (2.27) dB per year in the placebo
65 group and -0.04 (0.91) dB per year in the latanoprost group (Figure, e-right); (Figure, e-left)
66 shows the original UKGTS data. Thus, we have now been able to show that an imaging
67 outcome captures the treatment effect of IOP-lowering by latanoprost on the primary
68 outcome, progressive VF loss.

69 We now evaluate the sample size required should RNFL thickness measurements from SD
70 OCT images be the primary outcome in a clinical trial of a glaucoma treatment. Calculating

71 the sample sizes required to identify a difference between treatment groups with a power
72 of 90% and two-sided significance of 5% from the rates of RNFL thinning illustrated in the
73 figure (d, e), we obtain 4146 for TD OCT and 769 for synthesized SD OCT. For comparison,
74 the sample size required for the rate of MD change, a sample size of 624 is required. The
75 precision of RNFL thickness measurements from synthesized SD OCT images is still inferior
76 to that from real SD OCT images, so it is reasonable to expect that the use of SD OCT in a
77 trial would result in a still smaller sample size.

78 The results of this work provide evidence that imaging measurements predict the clinically
79 relevant outcome and capture the treatment effect of latanoprost on the VF. In these
80 respects, OCT imaging of the RNFL meets the requirements to be a surrogate outcome. OCT
81 imaging is unlikely to replace the VF as the primary outcome for clinical trials of glaucoma,
82 but these results provide a justification for the development trial designs in which imaging
83 supplements the VF outcome.

84

85 **Acknowledgements:** unrestricted grant from Pfizer (UKGTS) and Santen (PhD studentship).

86 **HUMAN SUBJECTS:** The UKGTS, and the subsequent analysis of anonymized data in this
87 study, adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by local
88 institutional review boards (Moorfields and Whittington Research Ethics Committee on June
89 1, 2006, ethics approval reference, 09/H0721/56). Study participants provided written
90 informed consent.

91

92 **References**

93

94 1. Jones L, Garway-Heath DF, Azuara-Blanco A, Crabb DP; United Kingdom Glaucoma
95 Treatment Study Investigators. Are Patient Self-Reported Outcome Measures Sensitive
96 Enough to Be Used as End Points in Clinical Trials?: Evidence from the United Kingdom
97 Glaucoma Treatment Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2019;126(5):682-689.

98 doi:10.1016/j.opthta.2018.09.034

99

- 100 2. Garway-Heath DF, Crabb DP, Bunce C, et al. Latanoprost for open-angle glaucoma
101 (UKGTS): a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled trial [published correction appears
102 in *Lancet*. 2015 Jul 11;386(9989):136]. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9975):1295-1304.
103 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)62111-5
104
- 105 3. Shakarchi AF, Mihailovic A, West SK, Friedman DS, Ramulu PY. Vision Parameters Most
106 Important to Functionality in Glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2019;60(14):4556-4563.
107 doi:10.1167/iovs.19-28023
108
- 109 4. Weinreb RN, Kaufman PL. Glaucoma research community and FDA look to the future, II:
110 NEI/FDA Glaucoma Clinical Trial Design and Endpoints Symposium: measures of structural
111 change and visual function. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52(11):7842-7851. Published
112 2011 Oct 4. doi:10.1167/iovs.11-7895
113
- 114 5. Medeiros FA. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in glaucoma clinical trials. *Br J*
115 *Ophthalmol*. 2015;99(5):599-603. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2014-305550
116
- 117 6. Garway-Heath DF, Zhu H, Cheng Q, et al. Combining optical coherence tomography with
118 visual field data to rapidly detect disease progression in glaucoma: a diagnostic accuracy
119 study. *Health Technol Assess*. 2018;22(4):1-106. doi:10.3310/hta22040
120
- 121 7. Lazaridis G, Lorenzi M, Mohamed-Noriega J, et al. OCT signal enhancement with deep
122 learning. *Ophthalmology*. Glaucoma. 2020 Oct. DOI: 10.1016/j.ogla.2020.10.008.
123 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ogla.2020.10.008>.