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Abstract

Objectives: Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a genetic disorder that affects approximately 100,000 Americans, the majority of whom are African American. SCD-related pain often has deleterious effects on functioning and quality of life. The inherited nature of SCD, SCD-related stigma, and serious physical and functional impact of SCD-related pain create a situation ripe for individuals to appraise their SCD-related pain as unfair or unjust. The aim of this preliminary investigation is to explore the extent to which pediatric patients with SCD appraise their pain as unjust and how these appraisals relate to functioning.

Methods: Participants were youth with SCD (N=30, mean age=11.3, 57% boys) who attended a hematology clinic visit. Patients were invited to complete paper-based questionnaires assessing pain-related injustice appraisals, pain catastrophizing, pain and hurt, functional disability, depression, anxiety, and peer relationships.

Results: Results of hierarchical regressions indicate that pain-related injustice significantly predicted functional disability, depression, and anxiety after controlling for patient pain and catastrophizing.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that pain-related injustice appraisals are an important contributor to the pain experience of youth with SCD. Early identification and remediation of pain-related injustice appraisals could have long-term functional benefits for youth with SCD.
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Introduction

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a genetic disorder that affects approximately 100,000 Americans, the majority of whom are African American[1]. SCD is a lifelong disease characterized by recurrent, unpredictable, and disabling pain due to vaso-occlusive crises (VOCs) [2,3] and progressive end-organ damage[4,5]. In addition to acute painful events, approximately 20% of children with SCD will suffer from chronic pain[6]. Combining painful events with additional sickle cell complications, the acute healthcare costs associated with hospital admissions for SCD patients exceeds $2 billion/year [7] with an estimated $900 million/year of that for treatment of pediatric patients with SCD in the U.S[8].

SCD-related acute and chronic pain have deleterious effects on functioning and quality of life for many children/adolescents with SCD[9,10,11,12,13,14,15]. Some youth with SCD require frequent hospitalizations and emergency department (ED) visits due to acute pain episodes[14]. Medical complications due to SCD often require numerous outpatient medical appointments with specialty services such as hematology, pulmonology, nephrology, and pain management[9]. In addition to these healthcare-related disruptions, acute and chronic pain in SCD interferes with, functioning (cognitive and physical), sleep, mood, and quality of life[10,11,12,13]. Youth with SCD report worse physical functioning due to pain, particularly among youth reporting chronic, compared to episodic SCD-related pain[16]. Youth with SCD have been found to have a high incidence of mental health symptoms including anxiety and depression[17] which is associated with more frequent and longer hospitalizations for VOCs[18]. Children and adolescents with SCD face several barriers when it comes to academic success. SCD has detrimental effects on
cognitive functioning, even without vascular complications (e.g., cerebral infarction)[19]. Additionally, SCD-related acute and chronic pain interferes with school attendance, with an estimated 12-30 school days missed per year[20,21], which may significantly impact child cognitive and social functioning[22].

The burden of SCD – pain-specific and more generally – falls on African Americans who already face a disproportionate number of injustices including poverty[23,24,25], racism [26,27,28,29], and biased criminal justice practices[30,31]. For youth with SCD, perceived racism is associated with higher levels of depressive symptoms and poorer health-related quality of life [32,33]. Studies have also identified that African Americans with SCD are at risk for suboptimal care[34], with healthcare providers’ negative perceptions of patients (e.g. drug seeking) with SCD contributing to this risk. Opioid use and opioid-related hospitalizations have remained stable among SCD patients from 1998 to 2013. This is in contrast to the general population in the U.S. where opioid use and inpatient deaths from opioid-related admissions have risen over time[35]. Additionally, the prevalence of opioid abuse is lower in patients with SCD (0.5%-8%) than in other chronic pain conditions (3%-16%)[36]. Unfortunately, perceptions persist among providers that adolescent and adult patients with SCD are drug seeking and at higher risk for opioid abuse[34,37,38,39,40,41]. These false perceptions have been associated with longer wait times for analgesic administration and poorer provider adherence to the National Institute of Health Lung and Blood Institute Guidelines for SCD treatment[42]. Youth and adult patients are aware of these provider perceptions and consequently feel mistrusted and stigmatized[43,44]. This is important because health-related stigma – defined as negative attribution or discrediting perception of a person or group based on their health status[45] – is
associated with poorer health outcomes and quality of life in adults[46,47] and youth[48] with SCD.

The inherited nature of SCD, the serious physical and functional impact of SCD-related pain, and the all too frequent stigma directed toward people with SCD may give rise to appraisals of unfairness or injustice (i.e., lack of fairness or justice). The focus of these appraisals can vary based on the lived experience of patients with SCD. For example, patients may perceive their (suboptimal) care as unjust. Ezenwa and colleagues[2] examined injustice appraisals surrounding healthcare provider treatment (i.e., healthcare injustice) and found that higher injustice appraisals were associated with more pain and stress in a sample of adults with SCD. Additionally, patients may regard their condition or symptoms, such as pain, as unfair or unjust. In the broader chronic pain literature, pain-related injustice, or appraising one’s pain as unfair or unjust, is associated with poorer physical[49,50,51,52,53,54], emotional[53,55,56] and occupational functioning[52,57,58] in adults with various pain conditions. Similar relationships have found in children and adolescents suffering from chronic pain[59,60].

Despite its seeming relevance, pain-related injustice has yet to be examined in youth with SCD. This is surprising given that the stigma[33,48] and negative outcomes[10,11,12,13] experienced by youth with SCD create a situation ripe for injustice appraisals. Given the severity of medical and psychosocial complications of SCD and that the majority of patients with SCD experience pain, it is critically important to identify appraisals, such as pain-related injustice, that contribute to worse functional outcomes for individuals, particularly youth with SCD. The aims of this
study are to: 1) assess the extent to which pediatric patients with SCD appraise their pain as unjust, 2) compare levels of injustice in pediatric patients with SCD to other samples of youth with non-SCD chronic pain, and 3) investigate how these injustice appraisals uniquely relate to functioning (functional disability, depression, anxiety, and peer relationships) in pediatric patients with SCD. We hypothesize that pain-related injustice will be related to higher levels of disability, depression, and anxiety, as well as worse peer relationships, among children and adolescents with SCD.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants were children and adolescents along with their caregiver who attended a scheduled hematology clinic visit at Children’s Hospital of Alabama during 2018 and 2019. Potentially eligible youths were identified through medical chart review. Eligible participants and their caregivers were approached by research staff during regular hematology clinic visits while waiting to see the hematologist for SCD-related care. Approximately 5 families declined to participate. All individuals provided consent and/or assent (if youth was younger than 14 years old) if they wanted to participate. All individuals who consented completed the study. The sample included 30 children with SCD and their caregiver. Inclusion criteria were: (a) diagnosis of SCD; (b) child age between 8-17 years old; (c) no known history of overt stroke (via medical record review); and (d) have a Full Scale IQ over 70. Exclusion criteria were children and/or parents who did not speak English.
Procedure

All eligible patients and caregivers who expressed interest in completing the questionnaires provided written assent and informed consent prior to study participation. Patients and their caregivers completed paper-based questionnaires in the SCD clinic. Individuals were compensated with $25 cash for each completed packet ($25 for the parent packet and $25 for child packet) at the completion of the study. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Alabama at Birmingham approved the study.

Measures

Socio-demographic Characteristics

Socio-demographic data including genotype, age, sex, race/ethnicity, and current grade were collected from the child’s caregiver.

Injustice Experiences Questionnaire

Pain-related injustice appraisals were assessed using the Injustice Experiences Questionnaire[50]. The IEQ is a 12-item measure that assesses appraisals of injustice related to one's own pain experience. Using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (“never”) to 4 (“all the time”), child participants rate how frequently they identify with statements such as “I should not have to live this way.” Item values are summed to calculate a total score. The instructions for the original measure directed respondents to focus on “thoughts and feelings you may experience when you think about your injury.” For this study, the instructions from the original measure
were modified so that child participants were directed to respond to items in reference to their own pain. This measure has been used in youth with mixed chronic pain conditions and demonstrated similar factor structure to the original measure\cite{59} and good reliability\cite{59,60}. The measure demonstrated good internal consistency in the current sample ($\alpha=.92$).

**Pain Catastrophizing Scale – Child Version**

The Pain Catastrophizing Scale for Children (PCS-C) was used to assess pain catastrophizing\cite{61}. The PCS-C is a 13-item questionnaire adapted from the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS)\cite{62}. The PCS-C assesses the degree an individual engages in rumination, magnification, and feelings of helplessness when in pain. Individuals rate statements on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (“not at all”) to 4 (“extremely”). Responses are summed to calculate a total score. The PCS-C has previously been used in sickle cell samples \cite{63} and showed good internal consistency in the current sample ($\alpha=.90$).

**Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory – Sickle Cell Module – Pain and Hurt Subscale**

Patients’ pain was assessed using the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Sickle Cell Disease Module (PedsQL-SCD)\cite{64,65} Pain and Hurt subscale. The subscale includes 9 self-report items about pain and hurt (e.g., “I hurt a lot” and “I have pain everyday”), which are rated using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (“never”) to 4 (“almost always”). Items are reverse scored and transformed into a 0 to 100 scale. The PedsQL-SCD Pain and Hurt subscale score is calculated by averaging the 9-items, with higher scores reflecting less pain in the individual’s life. The Pain
and Hurt subscale score and clinical classifications (81 – 100 = high levels of HRQOL, 61 – 80 = intermediate levels HRQOL, and 0 – 60 = poor HRQOL related to pain) were used in the current analyses[66]. Reliability and validity of the Pain and Hurt subscale score have been previously established [64]. The PedsQL-SCD Pain and Hurt subscale has previously been used in sickle cell samples [64,65,66] and demonstrated adequate internal consistency in the current sample (α=.80).

**Outcome Variables**

**Functional Disability Inventory**

The level of functional limitation for each patient was measured using the Functional Disability Inventory (FDI)[67]. The FDI is an established and commonly used tool for children and adolescents who have chronic pain[68]. Fifteen items assessing difficulty in performing daily tasks, such as being at school all day and walking to the bathroom, are rated on a scale of 0 (“no trouble at all”) to 4 (“impossible”). The items are summed to create a total score, with higher scores reflecting greater functional disability. The FDI has previously been used in sickle cell samples [69,70] and had good internal consistency in the current sample (α=.86).

**PROMIS Measures (depression, anxiety, and peer relationships)**

PROMIS pediatric measures have been developed using qualitative and item response theory methods and validated in children with SCD[71,72,73]. Validated short forms of the depressive symptoms, anxiety, and peer relationships[74] scales were administered in this study. These
measures assess a child’s report of their depressive (e.g., “I could not stop feeling sad”) and anxiety (e.g., “I felt like something awful might happen”) symptoms, as well as social functioning (e.g., “other kids wanted to be my friend”) over the previous seven days. All scale items included in this study used standardized five-point response options (never, almost never, sometimes, often, almost always). Items on each form are summed with higher scores indicating more of what is being measured. For depression and anxiety, this means higher scores indicate worse depression or anxiety. For peer relationships, this means higher scores indicate better peer relationships. Raw scores were transformed into standard scores for analyses. PROMIS measures have previously been used in sickle cell samples [71,72]. All PROMIS measures demonstrated good internal consistency (Depression: $\alpha=.96$; Anxiety: $\alpha=.86$; Peer Relationships: $\alpha=.85$)

Statistical Analyses

A summary independent samples t-test was used to compare pain-related IEQ scores between the current and a previously reported pediatric sample of children with chronic pain[60]. Zero-order correlations were calculated to assess the bivariate relationship between perceived injustice, pain catastrophizing, and outcomes variables (functional disability, depression, anxiety, and peer relationships). Hierarchical regressions were used to examine the multivariate relationship between perceived injustice and outcomes variables including functional disability, depression, anxiety, and peer relationships. The contribution of pain and hurt (step 1), and pain catastrophizing (step 2) were added as covariates so that the unique role of injustice appraisals can be determined (step 3). Previous literature has identified pain catastrophizing and pain-related injustice are highly correlated[50]. In preliminary analyses, age and gender were explored
as covariates and were not found to be significant predictors in the models ($p > .05$). A power analysis specified that 27 participants were required to detect a medium effect ($d = .50$) at 80% power with three predictors in the regression[75]. Therefore age and gender were removed as covariates in favor of adequately powered statistical analyses. The variance-inflation factors (VIF) of all regression analyses were acceptable (range 1.31–2.54), suggesting that multicollinearity was not an issue. Standardized beta weights were assessed to determine the strongest predictor in each regression. All analyses were run using IBM SPSS Version 26[76].

**Results**

Sample descriptives are summarized in Table 1. The final sample included 30 children and their caregivers; there were slightly more males (N=17) than females (N=13), and all participants identified as Non-Hispanic African American. Majority of caregivers identified as the maternal caregiver (N=26). Average age of the sample was approximately 11.3 years ($SD = 2.73$).

Descriptive information for measures is detailed in Table 2. Using established clinical cutoffs, on average the sample reported a moderate level of catastrophizing[77], and intermediate/moderate level of pain and hurt[66]. In line with other SCD samples [69,70], 63% of the sample reported minimal, 30% reported moderate, and 7% reported a high degree of functional disability according to established clinical cutoffs [68]. The sample average was not clinically elevated on the PROMIS depression, anxiety, or peer relationships scales, which is in line with previous investigations in SCD samples [71,73]. However, a portion of the sample reported elevated scores on the PROMIS depression (30%), anxiety (30%), and peer relationships (36%) scales. Pain-related injustice did not differ based on patient sex ($p > .05$). When compared to a sample of
youth with mixed chronic pain conditions (75% female, 83% White, \( M_{\text{age}} = 14.1 \) years, \( SD = 2.25 \))\(^{[60]} \), the current SCD sample reported significantly lower injustice appraisals \((t(195) = 8.46, p < .01, d = .67)\). This difference may be related to differences on several demographic variables between the two samples (e.g., gender, race, age). However, because systematic differences in pain-related injustice across gender, race, and age have yet to be established in the literature, this finding (lower injustice appraisals among the current sample of patients with SCD) should be interpreted with caution.

**Bivariate relationships between pain-related injustice and pain-related factors/outcomes**

The results of bivariate correlation analyses are presented in Table 2. Higher levels of pain-related injustice were significantly associated with higher levels of pain catastrophizing \((r = .58)\), functional disability \((r = .70)\), depression \((r = .60)\), and anxiety \((r = .72)\), as well as worse pain \((r = -.44)\). Pain-related injustice was not significantly related to age or peer relationships \((p_s > .05)\).

**Hierarchical regressions explaining functional disability, depression, anxiety, and peer relationships**

**Functional disability.** In step 1 of the analysis, pain and hurt did not account for a significant amount of the variance in functional disability \((F(1, 28) = 3.73, p = .06, \text{Table 3})\). In step 2, the addition of catastrophizing was significant, accounting for 18% of unique variance in functional disability \((F(2, 27) = 5.80, p < .01, \text{Table 3})\). In step 3, the addition of pain-related injustice accounted for an additional 21% of the variance in functional disability, above and beyond that
accounted for by pain and hurt and catastrophizing ($F(3, 26)=8.97, p<.01$, Table 3). Pain-related injustice was the only significant predictor in the final model.

**Depression.** Pain and hurt ($F(1, 28)=3.94, p=.06$, Table 3) did not account for significant variance in depression. In step 2, the addition of catastrophizing resulted in a significant model ($F(2, 27)=5.69, p<.01$, Table 3) and accounted for an additional 17% of unique variance in depression. In step 3 of the model, pain-related injustice accounted for an additional 12% of the variance in depression ($F(2, 26)=6.07, p<.01$, Table 3). Pain-related injustice was the only significant predictor in the final model.

**Anxiety.** In step 1, pain and hurt did not account for a significant amount of the variance in anxiety ($F(1, 28)=2.60, p=.12$, Table 3). In step 2, catastrophizing accounted for an additional 30% of unique variance in anxiety ($F(2, 27)=8.60, p<.01$, Table 3). The addition of pain-related injustice in step 4 accounted for an additional 20% of the variance in anxiety above and beyond that accounted for by pain and hurt and catastrophizing ($F(3, 26)=12.34, p<.001$, Table 3). Pain-related injustice and catastrophizing were both significant predictors in the final model.

**Peer relationships.** Pain and hurt, catastrophizing, and pain-related injustice were not significantly associated with peer relationships ($ps>.05$, Table 3).
Discussion

The results of this preliminary study of youth with SCD indicated that higher pain-related injustice was related to worse pain and greater pain catastrophizing, functional disability, depression, and anxiety. Additionally, after controlling for pain and catastrophizing, pain-related injustice appraisals contributed to worse physical functioning and symptoms of anxiety and depression. By contrast, quality of peer relationships was not significantly associated with pain-related justice appraisals. Collectively, these preliminary findings suggest that pain-related injustice is a clinically meaningful contributor to the pain experience of youth with SCD.

Pain-related injustice demonstrated the strongest relationship with functional disability, aligning with previous studies in adults and children[50,51,59,60]. This relationship was considerably stronger than that observed in previous investigations of children with mixed chronic pain[59,60], which may indicate that pain-related injustice is more closely tied to physical functioning for patients with SCD. Pain behaviors (e.g., guarding, bracing) may be one pathway through which pain-related injustice is related to poor physical functioning. Pain behaviors have been associated with increased pain-related injustice[78,79] and have been identified as a mediator in the relationship between pain-related injustice and disability in adults with chronic pain[51]. For individuals who endorse IEQ items such as “most people don’t understand how serious my condition is,” pain behaviors may serve to communicate to others how severe their pain is. However, such pain behaviors may have functional consequences, as they associated with greater disability in children and adolescents[80]. To date, no study has investigated if the connection between injustice, pain behaviors, and physical disability replicates in youth with
chronic pain. Additionally, the degree of social support received by youth with SCD may buffer the relationship between pain-related injustice and functional disability. In a sample of adults with chronic pain and HIV, a significant association between injustice and pain interference was supported only for individuals endorsing low level of social support[81]. This is particularly important for children and adolescents who rely heavily on parents and other close family members to access proper SCD care.

Similar to previous investigations of emotional distress in adult [50,78,82] and youth samples [59,60], pain-related injustice significantly predicted anxiety and depression. VOCs are unpredictable and may leave children worrying about when the next crisis will occur[83]. Other serious SCD-related events such as a stroke or acute chest syndrome, or socially uncomfortable SCD-related symptoms such as priapism[4]may also cause youth to ruminate and worry about the occurrence of these events and symptoms. Moreover, previous studies in youth with SCD found that negative thinking (i.e., catastrophizing, fear, anger) compromises one’s ability to cope with pain and is related to poorer adjustment to painful episodes[84,85,86], as well as to increased anxiety and depressive symptoms[84]. Pain-related injustice appraisals represent another form of negative thinking and, thus, may similarly exacerbate anxiety and depressive symptoms. For example, an adolescent who endorses the belief “most people don’t understand how severe my condition is” (Item 1 on IEQ) may experience greater worry and anxiety that proximal others will not take their pain seriously or help them during a VOC. Similarly, thoughts such as “nothing will ever make up for all that I have gone through” (Item 9 on IEQ) may engender feelings of hopelessness and sadness, both facets of depression[87,88,89]
Pain-related injustice was not significantly associated with peer relationships. This diverges from previous findings in a sample of mixed pain conditions [59,60]. Although these results should be interpreted with caution, several key differences between a sickle cell diagnosis and other chronic pediatric pain conditions may explain this divergence. A diagnosis of sickle cell is confirmed via blood test at birth[90]. Diagnosis confirmation, particularly through objective tests, could legitimize an individual’s condition and may result in less disbelief or invalidation from close friends or family members[91,92]. This feature of SCD stands in contrast to many other pain conditions that lack diagnostic certainty[92] and, thus, elicit skepticism and dismissal about their diagnosis from others[93]. Additionally, given SCD is a well-known racially-weighted disease, same-race peers may have greater empathy for youth affected by SCD.

Previous studies have documented that observers demonstrate greater empathy for same-race compared to other-race individuals in pain[94,95]. Thus, peers may have greater empathy for youth affected by SCD and this may influence the way peers interact with patients with SCD compared to other less-known pediatric pain conditions. However, important to note, SCD can have apparent physical (e.g., short stature) and cognitive signs that might put youth with SCD at risk for teasing or bullying[96].

Of note, the level of pain-related injustice endorsed in the current sample was significantly lower than that of previous samples of youth with mixed chronic pain conditions [59,60]. Several differences between the samples may explain this result. The current sample is younger ($M_{age}$=11.3) than previous samples ($M_{age}$=15 & 14, respectively) which bears heavily both on
current developmental stage (e.g., adolescence) and typical life experiences. As adolescence is a
time of increased independence, pain may increasingly impede age-related milestones (i.e.
development of friendships, educational advancement, and independence from parents),
increasing the likelihood that pain is appraised as unjust. Alternatively, the episodic nature of
SCD-related pain, may result in weaker injustice appraisals compared to conditions that are
characterized by more consistent pain (e.g., Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis, Complex Regional Pain
Syndrome). However, as the likelihood of chronic SCD-related pain increases with age, so too
may injustice appraisals.

Although these results are preliminary and should be replicated in a larger sample, taken together
with previous studies [59,60], they indicate that pain-related injustice may be an important
component of the chronic pain experience for many youth. Sickle cell disease is a lifelong
condition. Therefore, early identification and remediation of pain-related injustice appraisals
could have long-term functional benefits for youth with SCD. Psychologists have a vital role in
helping patients address these negative appraisals. An initial step would be examining whether
existing psychotherapy interventions, such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) [97] and
Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) [98], can target pain-related injustice appraisals,
similar to other negative appraisals (e.g., pain catastrophizing). Additionally, outside of the
therapy context, strategies to reduce unjust experiences in acute care settings during VOCs have
been proposed. One strategy, being used at some institutions, is the creation of individualized
VOC pain protocols as a way to standardize treatment, promote partnership between healthcare
providers and patients, and reduce opportunities for invalidating and unjust experiences [41].
Another strategy is to develop educational modules – focused on common situations or provider-
patient interactions that result in appraisals of injustice – for healthcare trainees and providers [99] so that they may gain insight into how their actions drive injustice appraisals and consequently worse outcomes for patients with SCD.

The current study is not without limitations. The small sample size reduces the power to detect small but significant associations between study variables. However, despite the sample size, pain-related injustice appraisals significantly predicted physical functioning and anxiety, even after controlling for pain catastrophizing. The strong relationships identified between pain-related injustice and important functional outcomes, as well as the previously established reliability[59,60] and validity[59] of the measure in samples of youth with pain point to its utility in measuring pain-related injustice. However, the current IEQ was adapted from a measure created for adults with pain due to injury. Therefore, the IEQ may not capture all facets of what youth appraise as unjust about their pain experiences. Another limitation is that other factors such as demographic factors, SCD genotype, healthcare utilization, and socioeconomic status were not be explored in the current study. Relatedly, SCD occurs within the context of many racially-related injustices (i.e., poverty, discrimination, biased criminal justice practices), which were not assessed in this study but may contribute to the development or maintenance of pain-related injustice appraisals and/or contribute to poor functional and psychosocial outcomes. A recent study in a sample of adults with chronic low back pain found that pain-related injustice mediated the relationships between past discriminatory experiences and disability, as well as past discriminatory experiences and depression for African American participants[100]. Lastly, all study participants were from a single center in the South. Therefore, findings may not generalize to other youth affected by SCD.
As our study was a preliminary step in examining the relationships between pain-related injustice appraisals, pain, and functional outcomes in youth with SCD, numerous future directions remain. Possible precursors to pain-related injustice appraisals, including perceptions of racial bias and health-related stigma surrounding a sickle cell diagnosis, should be examined. The existing literature suggests both are common and contribute to poorer quality of life and health outcomes in youth with SCD[32,33,48]. Parental pain-related injustice appraisals about their child’s pain should also be examined. Previous research has found discordant parent-child injustice appraisals are associated with worse functional outcomes, particularly when the child or adolescent perceives their pain as unjust and the parent does not[60]. Due to the genetic nature of SCD, a youth with SCD may have a parent or family member with SCD. This parallel disease experience may foster shared appraisals of injustice about SCD. Additionally, youth may mirror coping strategies demonstrated by family members with SCD-related pain. As mentioned above, African Americans face compounding injustices. The impact that discriminatory experiences, both general and health-care related, have on SCD trajectory and patient functioning, and the relationship with pain-related injustice should be assessed. Lastly, the differences between findings in the current study and previous pain-related injustice investigations in pediatric mixed chronic pain samples highlight the importance of examining relationships in condition specific samples. Due to differences (e.g., pain severity and fluctuation, required medical care, functional limitations) across pain conditions, the strength of relationships between pain-related injustice and functional outcomes may vary.
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### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N=30</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (56.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic African-American</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10 years</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-13 years</td>
<td>9 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-16 years</td>
<td>8 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotype</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbSS</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbSC</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbSB+ Thalassemia</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Zero-order correlations among study variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Injustice Experience Questionnaire - Child</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. PedsQL - Sickle Cell Pain and Hurt Subscale</td>
<td>68.43</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>-0.44*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pain Catastrophizing Scale - Child Report</td>
<td>20.13</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.58**</td>
<td>-0.41*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Functional Disability Inventory</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>0.70**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.53**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. PROMIS Depression (t-scores)</td>
<td>45.63</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>0.60**</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.52**</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. PROMIS Anxiety (t-scores)</td>
<td>43.49</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>0.072**</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.62**</td>
<td>0.73**</td>
<td>0.69**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. PROMIS Peer Relationships (t-scores)</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PedsQL, Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory; PROMIS, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01
### Table 3. Regression models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression 1: Dependent = Functional Disability</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain and Hurt</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain and Hurt</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophizing</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.66*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain and Hurt</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>0.51**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophizing</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain-related Injustice</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.32**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Regression 2: Dependent = Depression

| Step 1                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | -0.25 | -0.35 | 0.12 | -1.98 | 0.12 |
| Step 2                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | -0.11 | -0.16 | 0.12 | -0.92 | 0.30** | 0.17* |
| Catastrophizing                               | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.18 | 2.58* |   |   |
| Step 3                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | -0.04 | -0.06 | 0.12 | -0.35 | 0.41** | 0.12* |
| Catastrophizing                               | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 1.33 |   |   |
| Pain-related Injustice                        | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 2.26* |   |   |

### Regression 3: Dependent = Anxiety

| Step 1                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | -0.17 | -0.29 | 0.11 | -1.61 | 0.09 |
| Step 2                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | -0.03 | -0.04 | 0.1 | -0.26 | 0.39** | 0.30** |
| Catastrophizing                               | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 3.67** |   |   |
| Step 3                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.65 | 0.59** | 0.20** |
| Catastrophizing                               | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 2.13* |   |   |
| Pain-related Injustice                        | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.14 | 3.54** |   |   |

### Regression 4: Dependent = Peer Relationships

| Step 1                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.01 |
| Step 2                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Catastrophizing                               | -0.09 | -0.12 | 0.15 | -0.58 |   |   |
| Step 3                                        |   |   |   |   |   |
| Pain and Hurt                                 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Catastrophizing                               | -0.10 | -0.14 | 0.18 | -0.54 |   |   |
| Pain-related Injustice                        | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.09 |   |   |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01