Two major events of 2020 concern the legacy of racism and colonialism on UK soil. The first is the COVID-19 pandemic, and particularly its disproportionate effect on BAME communities. The second is the resurgence of Black Lives Matter protests in the US this year, which moved across to Britain and ignited a public debate about the figures we memorialise in British public spaces. In particular, the statue of slave trader Edward Colston was removed and thrown into the river Avon in the latest turn of a long-standing dispute in Bristol about the veneration of men who benefited from slavery.

At first sight, these issues appear to be separate, even disconnected. Yet when Marvin Rees, the black mayor of Bristol, addressed the Digital, Culture, Media, and Sport (DCMS) Select Committee’s ‘Hearing into Physical Heritage’, he was keen to emphasize the relationship between them. He argued that the challenge to the Colston statue had to be seen in the light of a wider landscape of socio-spatial inequalities, which have a particularly negative effect on BAME people: “I think there is a lot that has been built up over the years”, he said, “whether we’re talking about unaffordable housing, gentrification feeding into this, or being left behind by the national or the international economy”. Elsewhere, he and Cllr Asher Craig explained that the increase of hate crime against Black Bristolians in the aftermath of these events demonstrated the need to establish a history commission: “we will be in a better position to bring the whole city with us as we understand who we are, how we got here, and whom we wish to honour”. Such a position links the representational and symbolic politics of the Colston statue to unequal material conditions, in a manner that reveals the role of planning in generating inequality, and its responsibility to ameliorate these effects.

Planning must address the makings of BAME inequalities in the UK, not least because it bears some responsibility for their creation. Britain’s post-war history created a series of spatial inequities that directly impacted the lives and health of BAME communities, the ramifications
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of which we are witnessing today in these times of pandemic.\textsuperscript{55} In the realm of housing, a discriminatory labour market, different housing needs (e.g. multi-generational dwelling units), formal policies of housing allocation, exclusionary financial conditions on products such as mortgages, and informal racism all combined to ensure that black and minority ethnic people settled in poorer urban areas, where housing was of a lower standard.\textsuperscript{56} Many BAME communities could only access housing in “twilight zones”, blighted areas, left behind in post-war planning redevelopment schemes.\textsuperscript{57} Even where housing allocation systems were ostensibly based on need, negative perceptions of BAME applicants for housing could influence decision-making.\textsuperscript{58} More recently, algorithmic decision-making around financial issues like credit scores often contains inbuilt racial assumptions.\textsuperscript{59} The move away from Government Equality Impact Assessments have concealed how planning reforms, such as permitted development of office to residential, consign poorer groups, including BAME communities, to housing disadvantage in a way that creates multiple deprivations. The result has been a long-term association between BAME communities, and poor quality housing, which is a major determinant of poor health outcomes.\textsuperscript{60}

Furthermore, BAME communities face other types of adverse environmental conditions as a direct result of planning policy. The location of transport infrastructure, waste facilities, and industry close to poorer neighbourhood has ensured that poor BAME communities in the UK are disproportionately affected by issues such as bad air quality and exposure to toxic
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pollutants from traffic, industry, and incinerators. They are also far more likely to live in areas where there is a lack of high quality green space for healthy exercise.

If we shift to a more international lens, we begin to see a powerful intersectional relationship between these environmental injustices suffered by communities in the UK, and the uneven geographical distribution of carbon emissions versus climate change harms at a more international level. As black activists have recently argued, there is a deep and historically extensive relationship between racial and colonial justice and environmental justice. The development of fossil fuel capitalism in the UK was made possible by expropriative and extractive forms of colonialism and imperialism, involving black slavery and coerced labour elsewhere. This neglect of the black ‘other’ outside of our national borders persists today, in our racialized failure to consider the impacts of the contemporary decisions we make on poor, vulnerable and marginalised black and indigenous communities in the global south. Since building and construction account for 39% of global energy use and 39% of energy-related CO₂ emissions, the controls that the planning system can exert are potentially vital if we are to meet our wider ethical responsibilities to BAME communities, both in the UK and abroad.

Planners must also consider their own disciplinary heritage, including the ways that British planning expertise continues to be exported overseas, as a colonial and imperial technique to manage and control land, territory, and peoples. Far too little attention has been paid to this
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history, with professional institutes like the RTPI virtually silent on the racist history of certain spatial tools. To give a recent example, the government’s White Paper suggests that the UK planning system should adopt techniques of zoning. Yet in the US, zoning was a technique of racial exclusion and segregation, and this legacy persisted despite legislative attempts to eradicate it. This potential for zoning to act in ways that exacerbate racial inequalities means that this tool should be used in a manner that reflects its potentially discriminatory possibilities.

We should not assume that BAME communities lack agency or power. There is a need both for BAME political and professional representation within planning, and for a greater knowledge of, and interest in, racial and ethnic inequalities or experience. However, while we remain ignorant or silent about planning’s colonial history or the role it has played in sustaining racial inequality in Britain, attempts to engage with the BAME community are likely to remain tokenistic and unable to influence meaningful change.

How would we begin to decolonise planning? There are a number of areas that require attention:

- Acknowledge the racial and Eurocentric history of planning techniques, both in Britain and abroad.
- Recognise the structural existence of racism, beyond individual actions and behaviours, and use the planning system to combat it.
- Ensure that robust spatial redistribution is approached through a lens of race, as well as class, acknowledging the pressing needs of BAME communities when it comes to access to services and green space, high standard housing, etc.
- Diversify the planning profession, removing barriers to BAME entry.
- Critique ideas of professional technical expertise as a form of ‘neutrality’ that doesn’t need to concern itself with race; recognise the different views and voices of the BAME community.
- Question taken-for-granted assumptions about the use of space, and challenge the attitude that the alteration of existing regulations to accommodate BAME life is a ‘special accommodation’.
- Consider the racial implications of participatory elements within the planning system. For example, the appearance of hostile and racist public comments on planning
portals is common, particularly where the proposed development concerns non-
Christian places of worship. This issue needs to be discussed and addressed.
● Engage in a dialogue with communities about public spaces and memorialization, and
the messages that they send. Develop more inclusive places that welcome and visibly
recognise the contribution made by the BAME community to British life.
● Commit to strong principles of environmental justice, and adopt an international lens
on the climate change impact of changes to the UK built environment, developing
preventative and mitigation policies.