

Response to “Correspondence on “Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: results from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician reported registry” by Arnaud and Devilliers”. Risk prediction models for risk of COVID-19-related death.

Pedro M. Machado FRCP PhD

Centre for Rheumatology & Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, University College London, London, United Kingdom; National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Department of Rheumatology, Northwick Park Hospital, London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK.

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8411-7972

p.machado@ucl.ac.uk

Martin Schäfer PhD

German Rheumatism Research Center (DRFZ Berlin), Epidemiology and Health Care Research, Berlin, Germany

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6487-3634

martin.schaefer@drfz.de

Anja Strangfeld MD PhD

German Rheumatism Research Center (DRFZ Berlin), Epidemiology and Health Care Research, Berlin, Germany

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6233-022X

strangfeld@drfz.de

Laure Gossec MD PhD

Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Paris France; Pitié-Salpêtrière hospital, AP-HP.Sorbonne Université, Rheumatology department, Paris, France

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4528-310X

laure.gossec@gmail.com

Milena A. Gianfrancesco MPH PhD

Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8351-4626

Milena.Gianfrancesco@ucsf.edu

Saskia Lawson-Tovey BA

Centre for Genetics and Genomics Versus Arthritis, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom; National Institute of Health Research Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8611-162X

saskia.lawson-tovey@manchester.ac.uk

Elsa F. Mateus PhD

Portuguese League Against Rheumatic Diseases (LPCDR), Lisbon, Portugal; European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Standing Committee of People with Arthritis/Rheumatism in Europe (PARE), Kilchberg, Switzerland

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0059-2141

elsafrazaomateus@gmail.com

Loreto Carmona MD PhD

European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) Congress Committee, Kilchberg, Switzerland; Instituto de Salud Musculoquelética, Madrid, Spain

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4401-2551

loreto.carmona@inmusc.eu

Kimme L. Hyrich MD PhD

Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis, The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom; National Institute of Health Research Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8242-9262

kimme.hyrich@manchester.ac.uk

Philip C. Robinson† MBChB PhD

University of Queensland Faculty of Medicine, Brisbane, Australia; Royal Brisbane & Women's Hospital, Metro North Hospital & Health Service, Queensland, Australia

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3156-3418

philip.robinson@uq.edu.au

Jinoos Yazdany† MD MPH

Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Jinoos.yazdany@ucsf.edu

Corresponding author:

Pedro M Machado

Centre for Rheumatology, UCL Division of Medicine

Rayne Building, 4th Floor, Room 415

5 University Street, London WC1E 6JF, United Kingdom

Email: p.machado@ucl.ac.uk

Telephone number: +442034488014

Fax number: +4402034483633

We thank Arnaud and Devilliers¹ for their correspondence on our article.² They raise the important topic of risk prediction models for estimating the risk of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)-related death, and the potential development of a risk prediction tool using data from our article.

We agree with the authors that patients using immunosuppressive/immunomodulatory agents have different risks, depending on factors such as their age, chronic conditions, and specific drug therapies. However, we also recognize that there are significant limitations in using the estimates published in our manuscript to develop a COVID-19-related death risk prediction model to guide vaccination strategies. These limitations include:

1. While robust models that predict the prognosis of COVID-19 are desirable to support decisions about shielding, hospital admission, treatment, and population level interventions such as COVID-19 vaccination, this was not the primary aim of the published study. Importantly, it should be noted that owing to the voluntary nature of the registry there is an inherent selection bias, with an overrepresentation of severe cases, as discussed in our manuscript. Any model developed in a specific dataset will only reflect the risk for a particular patient under similar circumstances and receiving similar care. Therefore, in the same way that the hospitalisation and death rates reported in our article cannot be extrapolated to the entire population of patients with rheumatic diseases, a risk model developed using the reported data may lack generalisability.³

2. Given the rapid and dynamic evolution of COVID-19, static risk prediction models are likely to rapidly become obsolete. COVID-19 warrants the need to develop “living” risk prediction models which can be updated regularly as our understanding of COVID-19 increases and more data becomes available. For example, the performance and generalisability of any risk prediction model will depend heavily on contextual and environmental time-dependent factors, such as the underlying burden of infection and immunity levels in the population of interest. Temporal trends can be dictated by improved testing capacity, vaccination efforts, and increased ability to better treat patients with COVID-19 (e.g. pharmacological treatment with glucocorticoids and remdesivir, changes in invasive/non-invasive ventilation strategies, prone positioning, and prophylaxis/prevention of complications such as thromboembolic events). They can also arise from typically unmeasured time-dependent factors such as adherence to shielding and other infection control measures (that can affect the likelihood of exposure to SARS-CoV-2) and health-care resource availability, which has not only varied significantly over time, but also between and within countries and regions at the same point in time.⁴

3. A systematic review of published risk prediction models for COVID-19 found that most models are subject to a high risk of bias with optimistic reported performance, raising concern that these models may be unreliable when applied in practice.⁵ Indeed, development of risk prediction models should

follow a robust and standardised approach, as outlined in the Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis Or Diagnosis (TRIPOD) statement.⁶ This recommended standardised approach includes aspects such as assessment of model performance, calibration and internal/external validation. All these steps are essential to be undertaken before a risk prediction model can be used to support population risk stratification in relation to public health interventions such as vaccine utilisation.

All these elements being clarified, and for reasons of data transparency, we provide here the estimate of the intercept of the model ($\hat{\beta}_0 = -4.059$).

We appreciate the comment from Arnaud and Devilliers,¹ and agree that risk prediction models have the potential to help patients and doctors reach a shared understanding of risk, and help stratify risk in populations for public health purposes. However, developing a risk prediction model for risk of COVID-19-related death in patients with rheumatic diseases should constitute a separate effort, undertaken with a larger sample size, and taking the above considerations into account.

Contributors: PMM drafted the first version of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version.

Funding: The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests:

PMM has received consulting/speaker's fees from Abbvie, BMS, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Orphazyme, Pfizer, Roche and UCB, all unrelated to this manuscript, and is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), University College London Hospitals (UCLH), Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). MS has nothing to disclose. AS reports personal fees from lectures for AbbVie, MSD, Roche, BMS, and Pfizer, all outside the submitted work. LG reports personal consultant fees from AbbVie, Amgen, BMS, Biogen, Celgene, Gilead, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Samsung Bioepis, Sanofi-Aventis, UCB, and grants from Amgen, Lilly, Janssen, Pfizer, Sandoz, Sanofi, Galapagos, all unrelated to this manuscript. MAG reports grants from National Institutes of Health, NIAMS, outside the submitted work. SLT has nothing to disclose. SLT has nothing to disclose. EFM reports that LPCDR received support for specific activities: grants from Abbvie, Novartis, Janssen-Cilag, Lilly Portugal, Sanofi, Grünenthal S.A., MSD, Celgene, Medac, Pharmakern, GAfPA; grants and non-financial support from Pfizer; non-financial support from Grünenthal GmbH, outside the submitted work. LC has not received fees or personal grants from any laboratory, but her institute works by contract for laboratories among other institutions, such as Abbvie Spain, Eisai, Gebro Pharma, Merck Sharp & Dohme España, S.A., Novartis Farmaceutica, Pfizer, Roche Farma, Sanofi Aventis, Astellas Pharma, Actelion Pharmaceuticals España, Grünenthal GmbH, and UCB Pharma. KLH reports she has received non-personal speaker's fees from Abbvie and grant income from BMS, UCB, and Pfizer, all unrelated to this manuscript, and is supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre. PCR reports personal fees from Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche and UCB, non-financial support from BMS, research funding from Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB, all outside the submitted work. JY reports consulting fees from Astra Zeneca and Eli Lilly, and grants from Pfizer, outside the submitted work.

Patient and public involvement: Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Disclaimer: The views expressed here are those of the authors and participating members of the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance, and do not necessarily represent the views of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR), the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR), the (UK) National Health Service (NHS), the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), or the (UK) Department of Health, or any other organisation.

References

1. Arnaud L, Devilliers H. Correspondence on “Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: results from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry” by Strangfeld et al. *Ann Rheum Dis*.
2. Strangfeld A, Schafer M, Gianfrancesco MA, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death in people with rheumatic diseases: results from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021.
3. Sperrin M, McMillan B. Prediction models for covid-19 outcomes. *BMJ* 2020;371:m3777.
4. Gianfrancesco MA, Robinson PC. Changing COVID-19 outcomes in patients with rheumatic disease— are we really getting better at this? *Lancet Rheumatology* 2021.
5. Wynants L, Van Calster B, Collins GS, et al. Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19 infection: systematic review and critical appraisal. *BMJ* 2020;369:m1328.
6. Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KG. Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis Or Diagnosis (TRIPOD): the TRIPOD Statement. *Br J Surg* 2015;102:148-158.