

Title: Exposure to multiple childhood social risk factors and adult body mass index trajectories from ages 20 to 64 years

Authors: Rishi Caleyachetty MBBS PhD^{1,2} Mai Stafford PhD² Rachel Cooper PhD²
Emma L Anderson PhD^{3,4} Laura D Howe PhD^{3,4} Theodore D Cosco PhD^{2,5} Diana Kuh
PhD² Rebecca Hardy PhD²

Affiliation:

1 Nuffield Department of Population Health (NDPH) University of Oxford, UK

2 MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing at UCL, London, UK

3 MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

4 School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

5 Oxford Institute of Population Ageing, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Address for correspondence: Dr Rishi Caleyachetty MBBS PhD.

Nuffield Department of Population Health (NDPH), University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF

E: r.caleyachetty@icloud.com; T: +44 (0)1865 743660

Abstract

Background: While childhood social risk factors appear to be associated with adult obesity, it is unclear whether exposure to multiple childhood social risk factors is associated with accelerated weight gain during adulthood.

Methods: We used the MRC National Survey of Health and Development, a British population-based birth cohort study of participants born in 1946, height and weight were measured by nurses at ages 36, 43, 53, and 60-64 and self-reported at 20 and 26 years. Nine childhood socioeconomic risk factors and eight binary childhood psychosocial risk factors were measured, with 13 prospectively measured at age 4 years (or at 7 or 11 years if missing) and three were recalled when participants were age 43. Multilevel modeling was used to examine the association between the the number of childhood social risk factors and changes in BMI with age.

Results: Increasing exposure to a higher number of childhood socioeconomic risk factors was associated with higher mean BMI across adulthood for both sexes and with a faster increase in BMI from 20 to 64 years, among women but not men. Associations remained after adjustment for adult social class. There was no evidence of an association between exposure to childhood psychosocial risk factors and mean BMI in either sex at any age.

Conclusions: Strategies for the prevention and management of weight gain across adulthood may need to tailor interventions in consideration of past exposure to multiple socioeconomic disadvantage experienced during childhood.

Keywords: BMI; trajectories; childhood social disadvantage

Introduction

The epidemic of overweight and obesity presents a major challenge to health,¹¹ and has been fuelled by social inequalities.² These social inequalities can develop through childhood and may potentiate the spread of the overweight and obesity epidemic in adulthood. Children experiencing social disadvantage which is either socioeconomic (e.g. low parental occupation, low parental education level and economic distress) or psychosocial (e.g. lack of care and abuse) have been individually associated with obesity or higher body mass index (BMI) in adulthood.³⁻¹⁰ However, children may be exposed to multiple social disadvantages.¹¹ The confluence of socioeconomic and psychosocial risk factors may play a particularly important role in weight gain over time. Most of the research on multiple exposures of childhood social disadvantage and BMI have been cross-sectional and relied predominantly on retrospective reporting childhood social disadvantage or measurement of BMI at a single time point.^{4, 5, 11}

We used the Medical Research Council (MRC) National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD), a British birth cohort of males and females born in 1946, to assess the prospective association between multiple exposure to childhood social risk factors in relation to the trajectory of BMI from young adulthood through to early old age (20-64 years).

Methods

Sample

The UK Medical Research Council (MRC) National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD) is based on a social class stratified sample (n=5,362) of all singleton births that occurred within 1 week in March 1946 in England, Scotland and Wales, with regular follow-up across life. At the assessment at 60–64 years (2006–2010), eligible cohort members (those known to be alive and with a known address in England, Scotland or Wales) were invited for an assessment at one of the six clinical research facilities (CRFs) or to be visited by a research nurse at home. Invitations were not sent to those who had died (n=778, 14.5% of the original cohort), who had emigrated (570, 10.6%), who were lost to follow-up (594, 11.1%) and who had previously withdrawn from the study (564, 10.5%). Of the 2856 cohort members invited, 1690 (59.2%) attended a CRF for full examination and 539 (18.9%) were examined at home by a trained nurse. At ages 36, 43, and 53 teams of trained nurses visited participants in their homes for interviews and assessments. The study has received relevant ethical approval and obtained participant consent.

Childhood socioeconomic and psychosocial risk factors

We use the term socioeconomic to reflect the factors that influence the positions individuals or groups will hold within the structure of a society.^{12–15} This also includes

housing characteristics and amenities as markers of material circumstances¹⁶ and children's living conditions related to parent's financial resources.^{17, 18} Although there is no agreed definition of psychosocial risk,¹⁹ a psychosocial risk factor can be defined as a measurement that relates psychological phenomena to the social environment and/or to pathophysiological changes.²⁰ This broad definition reflects the wide variety of psychosocial variables which have been studied in the etiology of adult health.⁵ The nine binary childhood factors reflecting socioeconomic disadvantage (low maternal education, low father occupational social class, private landlord owned home, poor household amenities, overcrowding, poorly repaired house, unclean child, poorly cleaned house, and poor state of child's clothes and shoes) and eight childhood factors reflecting psychosocial disadvantage (maltreatment, low parental concern for their child's education, parental psychiatric history, parental divorce, mother's affectionless control, father's affectionless control, parent death and maternal separation) were used to create two summed scores.

A description of the seventeen childhood social risk factors used in analyses is given in table 1. Thirteen of these were prospectively measured at age 4 years or at 7 or 11 years if missing (1950-1957) and three were recalled when participants were age 43 (1989).²¹

The nine binary childhood socioeconomic risk factors and eight binary childhood psychosocial risk factors were each summed separately to create two summed scores. Scores of three and above were combined for analyses, due to the small number of

participants in higher categories of the psychosocial variable (n=27 in 4 and above), resulting in variables with 4 categories (0, 1, 2 and ≥ 3).

Adult BMI

Height and weight were self-reported at ages 20 and 26 years, and measured at 36 and 43 years, 53 and 60-64 years (mm and 0.1 kg) according to standard protocols by trained nurses. BMI at each age was calculated as weight/height² (kg/m²).

Adult social class

We based adult social class on the head of household's occupation at age 53 years. Occupational was based on the Registrar General's social class classification and categorised into six groups: I professional, II intermediate, III skilled non-manual, III skilled manual, IV semi-skilled, V unskilled.

Statistical analysis

Multilevel modeling was used to examine the association between the the number of childhood social risk factors and changes in BMI with age. We fitted models with random intercepts and random slopes. Such models take account of the correlation between the repeated measures of BMI on the same individual and enable inclusion of participants

with some missing outcome data under a missing at random assumption. Participants with only one record were excluded because they contribute no information about change in BMI. As the association between BMI and age was known to be non-linear²¹ we modeled BMI as a cubic function using age, age² and age³. Since the patterning of BMI gain differs between the sexes,²² and previous analyses have shown associations between childhood social class and BMI to vary according to sex,²³ we conducted our main analyses separately for men and women. We also carried out tests for interaction between each summed score and sex in combined models.

We first considered the associations between the number of childhood socioeconomic and psychosocial risks separately. The summed score was included in the BMI trajectory and we assessed whether any association with BMI was non-linear using the likelihood ratio test, comparing the model with the summed score included as a continuous variable with a model including it as categorical. We assessed whether the summed score was associated with change in BMI by including interactions with the linear age term, and then with the higher order polynomial age terms. When there was no evidence of interaction ($p > 0.05$), these terms were dropped from the final model. To examine the potential mediating role of adult social class we adjusted the model for adult social class including relevant adult social class and social class by age term interactions. We then fitted a model which included both summed scores and their relevant interactions with age to assess whether these represented independent associations and subsequently adjusted for adult social class.

Missingness in the covariates was handled using a multiple imputation with chained equations approach. This approach allows for uncertainty about missing data by creating several different plausible imputed data sets and appropriately combining results obtained from each of them. Imputation models contained all the variables included in the analysis models including the outcomes. A total of 20 imputed data sets were obtained via chained equations. We present results based on the 3991 eligible study members excluding those with ≤ 2 of the 6 BMI measurements ($n=1350$) and who had missing information on ≥ 12 out of the 17 childhood social risk factors (an additional 21). Of these, 3376 had full information for the socioeconomic risk score analysis and 2095 for the psychosocial risk. Details of missing data are provided in supplementary table 1.

We carried out sensitivity analyses excluding father's social class from the summed score of childhood socioeconomic risk factors and then fitting a model including both this score and father's social class to assess whether the summed score of childhood socioeconomic risk factors adds to previous findings in NSHD showing that manual father's social class is associated with higher adult BMI and greater increases in BMI across adulthood.^{22, 23} All statistical analyses were done with STATA version 13.

Results

Characteristics of participants in childhood

The prevalence of each childhood socioeconomic and psychosocial risk factor is shown in table 1.

The childhood socioeconomic risk factor with the lowest prevalence was children with unclean clothes and shoes (2.3%) and the highest prevalence being low maternal education (62.7%). The childhood psychosocial risk factor with the lowest prevalence was children living with parents with a psychiatric disorder (2.4%) and the highest prevalence being children with a neglectful father or mother (30% for both). The overall prevalence of exposure to 3 or more childhood socioeconomic risk factors was 26.7% (27.2% in males and 26.2% in females) and 9.7% (9.7% in males and 9.8% in females) for exposure to 3 or more childhood psychosocial risk factors (Figure 1).

Multiple exposure to childhood socioeconomic risk factors and BMI trajectories

Among men, mean BMI increased linearly with increasing exposure to childhood socioeconomic risk factors (p for trend <0.001) (supplementary table 2 model 1). There was no evidence that the summed score of childhood socioeconomic risk factors was associated with rate of change in BMI [summed socioeconomic risk-by-age interaction for linear ($p=0.4$)]. This association, constant across all ages (Figure 2), remained, albeit

somewhat weakened, after adjustment for adult social class (supplementary table 2 model 2). Similar to men, women's mean BMI increased with greater exposure to childhood socioeconomic risk factors (p for trend <0.001) (supplementary table 2). However, there was also evidence that the summed score of childhood socioeconomic risk factors was associated with BMI increases over time with interactions between both linear ($p = <0.001$) and quadratic ($p = 0.002$) terms (supplementary table 1). These interactions indicate that a greater exposure to childhood socioeconomic risk factors was associated with more rapid increases in BMI during midlife (Figure 2). Thus the mean difference between those with a score of 0 and a score of 3 is 0.8kg/m^2 at age 20, increasing to 2.0kg/m^2 at age 62. After adjustment for adult social class, the associations were somewhat but not completely attenuated (supplementary table S2).

Multiple exposure to childhood psychosocial risk factors and BMI trajectories

There was no evidence of an association between the summed score of childhood psychosocial risk factors and BMI or rate of change in BMI in either men or women ($p = 0.4$) (supplementary table 3). There was no change after adjustment for adult social class (Supplementary table 3).

In both men and women when both summed scores were included in the same model, findings remained similar (supplementary table 4) compared to the main analyses. Adjusting for adult social class again attenuated the association with the summed score of childhood socioeconomic risk factors in both sexes (supplementary table 4).

Using a summed score of childhood socioeconomic risk factors that excluded father's social class, associations remained similar (supplementary table 5) and were only slightly attenuated after addition of father's social class (supplementary table 5). In these mutually adjusted models, low father's social class also showed associations with higher BMI and, in women, with greater BMI change.

Discussion

Using extensive information on both socioeconomic and psychosocial risk factors in childhood and repeated longitudinal measures of adult BMI in a nationally representative British birth cohort study, we have showed exposure to a greater number of childhood socioeconomic risk factors among women but not men, was associated with a faster increase in adult BMI from 20 to 64 years. This association was present even with adjustment for adult social class. Among both sexes, there was no evidence of an association between increasing exposure to childhood psychosocial risk factors and mean BMI.

Previous research has examined experiences of multiple childhood psychosocial risk factors and BMI trajectories across childhood.^{24–26} To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the number of childhood social risk factors by type (socioeconomic and psychosocial) with BMI trajectories across adulthood. Senese et al. reported that childhood socioeconomic position (usually measured by a single indicator such as parental social class) is inversely associated with adult obesity in females, with findings in males being weaker and less consistent.⁸ Findings from NSHD have also reported associations between lower father's social class and higher adult BMI (up to age 53) which were stronger in women than men; the association in women also strengthened with increasing age at BMI measurement).^{21, 22} However, our results show that exposure to multiple childhood socioeconomic risk factors on BMI across adulthood is not driven solely by father's social class.

The present study's findings are in contrast with previous studies that showed exposure to multiple childhood psychosocial risk factors to be associated with higher BMI^{5, 11} or greater weight change over time.⁶ The Adverse Childhood Experiences study of US adults aged 25 years and older registered with the Kaiser Permanente's San Diego Health Appraisal Clinic between 1995-1996 showed that exposure to an increasing number of childhood psychosocial risk factors was associated with a greater prevalence of BMI ≥ 35 kg/m.^{2, 5} Similarly, in a nationally representative sample of adults aged 18-70 years from England, exposure to multiple childhood psychosocial risk factors was reported to be associated with a greater likelihood of having a BMI ≥ 40 kg/m.^{2, 4} In the Americans' Changing Lives Survey, among women (aged 24-96) in 1986, those with higher numbers of childhood psychosocial risk factors were more likely to have greater weight gain over a 15 year period.⁶ The difference between these studies and the null associations seen in our study may be for several reasons. Both these studies used BMI cut-points indicating obese or morbidly obese whereas we examined BMI as a continuous outcome. It may therefore be that the impact of psychosocial risk factors is only seen at the extreme end of the BMI distribution. In all of the previous studies all childhood psychosocial risk factors were based on retrospective reports whereas in our study the majority of childhood psychosocial risk factors were prospectively reported. Associations between retrospectively reported psychosocial childhood risk factors and BMI can be upwardly biased due to recall failure or current state of mind; and early adversity has been shown to be related to poorer mental health in NSHD and other cohorts.^{27, 28} Whereas, associations between prospectively reported psychosocial

childhood risk factors from long-term cohort data may be downwardly biased due to systematic sample attrition. In our study, the retrospective and prospective childhood psychosocial risk factors examined did not measure the same psychosocial risk factors and we are unable to make direct comparisons between reporting methods. These studies all had very wide age ranges and were from different birth cohorts,^{5, 6, 11} and most^{5, 6} but not all¹¹ were in different geographic areas. Another difference is that the other studies^{5, 6, 11} focused on sexual, physical and emotional abuse. We may not have captured this accurately with our single item on maltreatment.

A major strength of this study is that we utilised the oldest and longest running British birth cohort to emphasise the adverse long-term association of accumulated child socioeconomic disadvantage on weight gain in adulthood. Additionally we examined both childhood socioeconomic and psychosocial risk factors. However, there are several limitations to the current analysis. BMI may not be the optimal measure of adiposity, but the utility of BMI is well acknowledged in large epidemiological studies.²⁹ There was missing data on both childhood socioeconomic and psychosocial risk exposures. We addressed this using a common and valid missing data approach,²⁸ although uncertainty in estimates may be a particular concern for psychosocial risk exposures given the higher rates of missingness in some of those indicators. Missing data on BMI were dealt with in the multilevel modelling approach that uses all available data in the estimation of model parameters. **In addition to examining multiple childhood social disadvantages on the basis of exposure to the sum of individual risk factors occurring in the household, we were unable to examine what happens to adult BMI trajectories when children are**

exposed to risk factors across different domains of risk. For example, future research could estimate adult BMI trajectories for the domains of household and neighbourhood separately, and then examine BMI trajectories for children with risk in no single domain, risk in one domain, or risk in both domains. Neighbourhood risk factors may include socioeconomic conditions, food outlet abundance and fitness and recreational assets.³⁰

³¹ The majority of participants in NSHD are of white ethnic origin, while the UK is becoming increasingly ethnically diverse.³² Certain ethnic groups are most likely to live in low income and material deprivation. Understanding childhood socioeconomic patterns in adult BMI trajectories across ethnic groups is therefore important, particularly when there are promising culturally tailored interventions that could potentially address issues such as socioeconomic disadvantage.³³

Children who experienced multiple social disadvantages may be embedded with life conditions where they are more likely to confront a wider array of risk factors for weight gain throughout the lifecourse than less disadvantaged children. They may also experience a greater paucity of social resources that might otherwise provide some buffering to their obesogenic environment. We found that women who experienced a greater childhood social disadvantage were more likely to have faster weight gain over a across adulthood than men. Coping with the repercussions of socioeconomic disadvantage from childhood may be gender specific. Women's coping style tends to be more emotion-focused,³⁴ and they may be more likely to increase the amount they eat as a way of coping.³⁵ Men are more likely to engage in other less weight-related coping strategies such as isolation.⁶

There is some evidence that primary care delivered tailored weight loss programmes targeted at low-income women appears to be effective at least in the short term.³⁶ In the context of rising childhood socioeconomic disadvantage in the UK,³⁷ an outstanding question is whether weight reduction interventions across adulthood can also demonstrate effectiveness among adults who have experienced multiple childhood socioeconomic disadvantages.

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that exposure to a greater number of socioeconomic risk factors during childhood was associated with higher adult BMI and in females but not males, with a faster increase in BMI over a 44 year period. Prevention and management of adult weight gain needs to take into consideration accrued socioeconomic disadvantage during childhood.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (U1200632239, MC_UU_12019/1, MC_UU_12019/2 and G1001143). Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01AG048835. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This work was supported by a grant from the UK Economic and Social Research Council [ES/M010317/1]. LDH is supported by a fellowship from the UK Medical Research Council [MR/M020894/1]. LDH works in a unit that receives funding from the University of Bristol and the UK Medical Research Council [MC_UU_12013/5 and MC_UU_12013/9].

Key points

- Children may be exposed to multiple social disadvantages which can either reflect socioeconomic disadvantage or psychosocial disadvantage.
- Exposure to a greater number of childhood socioeconomic risk factors is associated with higher adult BMI and in women only, with a faster increase in BMI over a 44 year period.
- An outstanding question is whether early interventions that prevent or buffer the effects of childhood socioeconomic disadvantage can reduce accelerated weight gain later in adulthood, particularly among women.

References

1. Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, Reitsma MB et al. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:13-27.
2. Devaux M, Sassi F. Social inequalities in obesity and overweight in 11 OECD countries. *Eur J Public Health*. 2013;23:464-469.
3. Bann D, Cooper R, Wills AK, Adams J, Kuh D. Socioeconomic position across life and body composition in early old age: findings from a British birth cohort study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2014;68:516-523.
4. Bellis MA, Lowey H, Leckenby N, Hughes K, Harrison D. Adverse childhood experiences: retrospective study to determine their impact on adult health behaviours and health outcomes in a UK population. *J Public Health*. 2014;36:81-91.
5. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med*. 1998;14:245-58.
6. Liu H, Umberson D. Gender, stress in childhood and adulthood, and trajectories of change in body mass. *Soc Sci Med*. 2015;139:61-69.
7. Norman RE, Byambaa M, De R, Butchart A, Scott J, Vos T. The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2012;9:e1001349.

8. Senese LC, Almeida ND, Fath AK, Smith BT, Loucks EB. Associations between childhood socioeconomic position and adulthood obesity. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2009;31:21-51.
9. Tamayo T, Christian H, Rathmann W. Impact of early psychosocial factors (childhood socioeconomic factors and adversities) on future risk of type 2 diabetes, metabolic disturbances and obesity: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2010;10:525.:10.1186/1471-2458.
10. Vamosi M, Heitmann BL, Kyvik KO. The relation between an adverse psychological and social environment in childhood and the development of adult obesity: a systematic literature review. *Obes Rev.* 2010;11:177-184.
11. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Leckenby N, Perkins C, Lowey H. National household survey of adverse childhood experiences and their relationship with resilience to health-harming behaviors in England. *BMC Med.* 2014;12:72.:10.1186/1741-7015.
12. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G. Indicators of socioeconomic position (part 2). *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2006;60:95-101.
13. Krieger N, Williams DR, Moss NE. Measuring social class in US public health research: concepts, methodologies, and guidelines. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 1997;18:341-378.
14. Kuh D, Power C, Blane D, Bartley M. Social pathways between childhood

and adult health. In: Kuh D, Ben-Shlomo Y, eds. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2004

15. Lynch J, Smith GD, Hillemeier M, Shaw M, Raghunathan T, Kaplan G. Income inequality, the psychosocial environment, and health: comparisons of wealthy nations. *Lancet*. 2001;358:194-200.

16. Galobardes B, Shaw M, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Lynch J. Indicators of Socio-economic Position. In: Michael Oakes J, Kaufman JS, eds. *Methods in Social Epidemiology*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2006:45-85.

17. Evans GW, Kantrowitz E. Socioeconomic status and health: the potential role of environmental risk exposure. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2002;23:303-331.

18. Hakovirta M, Kallio J. Childrens Perceptions of Poverty. *Child Indicators Research*. 2016;9: 317–334

19. Martikainen P, Bartley M, Lahelma E. Psychosocial determinants of health in social epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2002;31:1091-1093.

20. Neylon A, Canniffe C, Anand S et al. A global perspective on psychosocial risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2013;55:574-581.

21. Caleyachetty R, Hardy R, Cooper R et al. Modeling Exposure to Multiple Childhood Social Risk Factors and Physical Capability and Common Affective Symptoms in Later Life. *Journal of Aging and Health*. 20160898264316680434.

22. Strand BH, Murray ET, Guralnik J, Hardy R, Kuh D. Childhood social class

and adult adiposity and blood-pressure trajectories 36–53 years: gender-specific results from a British birth cohort. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2012;66:512-518.

23. Hardy R, Wadsworth M, Kuh D. The influence of childhood weight and socioeconomic status on change in adult body mass index in a British national birth cohort. *International journal of obesity*. 2000;24:725.

24. Liu R, Shelton RC, Eldred-Skemp N, Goldsmith J, Suglia SF. Early Exposure to Cumulative Social Risk and Trajectories of Body Mass Index in Childhood. *Child Obes*. 2019;15:48-55.

25. Wells NM, Evans GW, Beavis A, Ong AD. Early childhood poverty, cumulative risk exposure, and body mass index trajectories through young adulthood. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100:2507-2512.

26. Wickrama KK, O'Neal CW, Lee TK. Early community context, genes, and youth body mass index trajectories: an investigation of gene-community interplay over early life course. *J Adolesc Health*. 2013;53:328-334.

27. Reiss F. Socioeconomic inequalities and mental health problems in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Social science & medicine*. 2013;90:24-31.

28. Rodgers B. Adult affective disorder and early environment. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1990;157:539-50

29. Prentice AM, Jebb SA. Beyond body mass index. *Obes Rev.* 2001;2:141-147.
30. Poulsen MN, Glass TA, Pollak J et al. Associations of multidimensional socioeconomic and built environment factors with body mass index trajectories among youth in geographically heterogeneous communities. *Prev Med Rep.* 2019;15:100939.
31. Sjöholm P, Pahkala K, Davison B, Juonala M, Singh G. Socioeconomic status, remoteness and tracking of nutritional status from childhood to adulthood in an Australian Aboriginal Birth Cohort: the ABC study. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10:e033631.
32. Rees P WP, Norman P, Boden P. Ethnic population projections for the UK, 2001–2051. *J Population Res.* 2012;29:45-89.
33. Gallegos D, Do H, To QG, Vo B, Goris J, Alraman H. The effectiveness of living well multicultural-lifestyle management program among ethnic populations in Queensland, Australia. *Health Promot J Austr.* 2020
34. Matud MP. Gender differences in stress and coping styles. *Personality and individual differences.* 2004;37:1401-1415.
35. Adam TC, Epel ES. Stress, eating and the reward system. *Physiol Behav.* 2007;91:449-458.

36. Hillier-Brown FC, Bambra CL, Cairns JM, Kasim A, Moore HJ, Summerbell CD. A systematic review of the effectiveness of individual, community and societal-level interventions at reducing socio-economic inequalities in obesity among adults. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2014;38:1483-1490.

36. Wickham S, Anwar E, Barr B, Law C, Taylor-Robinson D. Poverty and child health in the UK: using evidence for action. *Arch Dis Child*. 2016;101:759-766.