# <sup>1</sup> A Statistical Survey of Low-Frequency Magnetic <sup>2</sup> Fluctuations at Saturn

# $_3$  Dong-Xiao Pan $^1$ , Zhong-Hua Yao $^1$ , Rui-Long Guo $^2$ , Bertrand Bonfond $^2$ , Yong  $_{4} \rm{Wei^{1},~William~Dunn^{3},~Bin-Zheng~ Zhang^{4},~Qiu-Gang~Zong^{5},~Xu-Zhi~Zhou^{5},}$ Denis Grodent<sup>2</sup>, and Wei-Xing Wan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy

<sup>7</sup> of Sciences, Beijing, China 2 <sup>8</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Atmospherique et Planetaire, STAR institute, Universite de Liege, Liege,

<sup>9</sup><br><sup>3</sup>University College London, Mullard Space Science Laboratory, Dorking, UK<br><sup>4</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China<br><sup>5</sup>School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, B

### 13 Key Points:

- <sup>14</sup> Using the complete Cassini magnetometer dataset, we present the global picture <sup>15</sup> of ULF waves in Saturns magnetosphere.
- $\bullet$  The wave power shows a rapid decrease beyond 25 R<sub>S</sub> in both the morning and <sup>17</sup> afternoon sectors.
- <sup>18</sup> The wave activity peaks in noon sector, implying that these waves could be driven <sup>19</sup> by the solar wind interaction with Saturns magnetopause.

Corresponding author: Zhong-Hua Yao, z.yao@ucl.ac.uk

the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through this version and the [Version of Record](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028387). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1029/2020JA028387.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028387)

### Abstract

Accepted **Articles Articles 1988**<br>
Accepted **Articles 1988**<br>
Accepted **Articles 1988**<br>
Accepted **Articles 1988**<br>
Accepted Articles 1988<br>
Accepted Articles 1988<br>
Accepted Articles 1988<br>
Accepted Articles 1988<br>
Accepted Ar Low-frequency waves are closely related to magnetospheric energy dissipation processes. The Cassini spacecraft explored Saturns magnetosphere for over 13 years, until Septem- ber 2017, covering a period of more than a complete solar cycle. Using this rich heritage dataset, we systematically investigated key physical parameters of low-frequency waves in Saturns magnetosphere, including their local time distribution and the dependence on solar activity. We found that the wave activity peaked in the near noon sector. For <sup>27</sup> the nightside, the wave intensity also appeared to peak pre and post-midnight. Due to the limited local time coverage for each solar phase, we were not able to draw a firm con- clusion on the waves dependence on solar activity. In general, the wave power showed a monotonically decreasing trend towards larger distances in nightside sectors especially <sup>31</sup> during the declining phase, which implied that low-frequency waves mainly originate from <sup>32</sup> the relatively inner regions of the magnetosphere. On the dayside, stronger waves were 33 mostly located at/within ∼25  $R_s$ , near the magnetopause. The study shows a global pic- ture of low-frequency waves in Saturns magnetosphere, providing important implications for how magnetospheric energy dissipates into Saturns polar ionosphere and atmosphere.

## 1 Introduction

<sup>37</sup> For the terrestrial magnetosphere the plasma source is predominately the solar wind via the Dungey cycle process (Dungey, 1961). In contrast, the particle source for Sat- urn's outer magnetosphere is escaping water vapor from its moon Enceladus(Hansen et al., 2006; Waite et al., 2006; Blanc et al., 2015), which drives Saturns magnetospheric processes. Despite different energy sources at each planet, similarities are found in many fundamental processes. For example, magnetic reconnection and dipolarization processes <sup>43</sup> are fundamental plasma processes in accelerating and circulating particles in the mag- netosphere, and they are found at Earth (Baker et al., 1996; Angelopoulos et al., 2008; Akasofu, 2017), Mercury (Slavin et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2015), Saturn (Jackman et al., 2007, 2015; Yao et al., 2017a) and Jupiter (Russell et al., 1998; Vogt et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2019). Recent studies also reveal similar auroral structures between Saturn and Earth (Radioti et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2017b; Radioti et al., 2019), due to the similar processes.

 Among the similarities between planetary magnetospheric processes, low-frequency plasma waves, also known as ultralow frequency (ULF) waves (Hasegawa & Chen, 1974; Lee & Lysak, 1989; Chen, 1999; Zong et al., 2009), have been extensively investigated at different planets (Glassmeier et al., 2004; Kleindienst et al., 2009), as they are fun- damental perturbations in magnetized plasma environments. Due to the very different sizes of planetary magnetospheres, the eigenfrequency of magnetic field line resonances between the northern and southern hemispheres can vary significantly from planet to planet.  $\frac{57}{25}$  For example, the fundamental periods of magnetic field line resonances at Earth are usu- ally a few minutes, while the fundamental periods for Mercury are a few seconds and for <sup>59</sup> the giant planets they can be tens of minutes (Glassmeier et al., 2004; Kleindienst et al., 2009). Despite the large difference in temporal scales, the fundamental physical processes are similar.

 The low-frequency waves at Saturn are generated by various processes. Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices, a consequence of solar wind-magnetosphere interaction, are often formed on Sat- urns dawnside magnetopause, which could systematically excite field line resonances (Masters et al., 2009, 2010; Delamere et al., 2013). The plasma circulation from Vasyliunas (in- ternally driven) reconnection may also be an energy source to excite magnetic field line  $\sigma$  resonances (Yao et al., 2017c). Furthermore, solar wind compressions could also directly form compressional mode waves on the magnetopause, and the wave would transform into shear Alfvn waves when propagating towards the inner magnetosphere(Zong et al.,  $\frac{2017}{30}$ ; Allan & Poulter, 1992). To date, it is unclear whether or not there is a system-

atic correlation between solar activity and Saturns low-frequency wave activities. In this

study, the low-frequency waves at Saturn are defined as magnetic perturbations at pe-

riods of 10-60min.

 The low-frequency fluctuations have been identified not only from magnetic field measurements, but also from aurora and energetic particle observations, indicating that these fluctuations are global processes from the magnetosphere to the ionosphere and atmosphere. Quasi-periodic 1-hour pulsations are found at Saturns cusp aurora (Palmaerts, Radioti, et al., 2016). Such pulsations are believed to be connected to in situ observa- $\frac{79}{79}$  tions of particle pulsations in the magnetosphere, which have similar periodicities (Palmaerts, 80 Roussos, et al., 2016; Roussos et al., 2016). The Kelvin-Helmholtz instability is often con-<sup>81</sup> sidered to be a plausible mechanism for these pulsations. A recent study suggests that rotationally driven magnetodisc reconnection could also trigger such pulsations (Guo, Yao, Wei, et al., 2018), although the detailed connections are yet to be understood.

<sup>84</sup> Throughout its mission, the Cassini spacecraft collected in situ magnetic field data from Saturn throughout an entire solar cycle. The large dataset allows us to perform a systematic investigation of Saturns low-frequency magnetic fluctuations, including lo-<sup>87</sup> cal time distributions and the dependence on solar activity. In this paper, we perform a statistical survey of low-frequency magnetic perturbations (with periods between 10- 89 60min) using the large Cassini magnetometer (MAG) dataset (Dougherty et al., 2004).

# 2 Cassini Observations From 2005 to 2014: Dependence on Solar Ac- $\mathfrak{v}_1$  tivity

**Particles Articles II**<br> **Articles II**<br> **Articles II**<br> **Accepted Articles II**<br> **Accepted Articles II**<br> **Articles II**<br> **Article**  We investigate low-frequency magnetic fluctuations at Saturn from 2005 to 2014. Based on the 27-day averaged sunspot number adopted from the omni dataset (Figure 1a), we further select four subsets from the Cassini MAG observations to represent dif- ferent solar cycle phases. Each subset includes measurements during a two-year explo- ration, i.e., during the declining phase (2005-2006), solar minimum (2008-2009), ascend- ing phase (2010-2011), and solar maximum (2013-2014), respectively. Figure 1b-1i present Cassini trajectories for the four subsets in Kronocentric Solar Magnetospheric (KSM) system. During the selected 8 years, Cassinis trajectory covered an extensive area, in- cluding all local times and with radial distance up to ~  $65R<sub>S</sub>$  (1 $R<sub>S</sub>$  = 60268km). Nev- ertheless, we need to bear in mind that the Cassini orbits show a significant bias towards specific local times and radial distances at each solar phase, which could superpose the effects of solar activity and spatial variations.

 To conduct the statistical analysis on low-frequency magnetic fluctuations, we ap- plied the Lomb-Scargle periodogram method (Lomb, 1976; Scargle, 1982) to obtain the 106 power spectral density  $(PSD)$  of the fluctuating magnetic field with a 6-hour window. Figure 2 shows an example of a wave event that occurred on October 28, 2015. Figure 2a and 2b present the trajectories of Cassini during this period. We used 6-hour aver- aged magnetic field measurements to represent the background magnetic field (red line in Figure 2c). Figure 2e shows the power spectrum density of the detrended magnetic field (Figure 2d). The red horizontal line is the power-level threshold that is consistent with a probability of detection of 0.99, significantly lower than the observed wave power at specific frequencies. A wave event is thus selected when the probability of detection exceeds 0.99 to ensure that the peak in the spectrum is not due to random fluctuations.  $_{115}$  For each event, we define the mean value of *PSD* within the periods between 10min to  $_{116}$  60min, as the wave intensity  $PSD_{wave}$ .

 We systematically investigate Saturns low-frequency magnetic fluctuations and their dependence on solar activity. Figure 3 shows the  $PSD_{wave}$  distributions of low-frequency waves during different solar phases and strong wave (with  $PSD_{wave}$  above  $10^3 \text{nT}^2/\text{Hz}$ ) occurrence rates. The abscissa are the equatorial distances  $D(D = \pm \sqrt{X_{KSM}^2 + Y_{KSM}^2})$ 





Figure 1. (a)27-day averaged sunspot number from 2004 to 2017 adopted from omni dataset (http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/), the color bars mark the four solor phases; (b-i) Cassini trajectories during declining phase, solar minimum, ascending phase and solar maximum (in KSM coordinates, where X directs to the Sun,  $X - Z$  plane contains Saturn's centered magnetic dipole axis and Y completes right handed set.)



Figure 2. An example wave event on October 28, 2005. Cassini locations represented by red dots on (a)  $X_{KSM}$  –  $Y_{KSM}$  and (b)  $X_{KSM}$  –  $Z_{KSM}$  plane; The magenta and black curves are potential and possible magnetopause positions based on the A06 model with improved parameters for solar wind pressure of 0.00906Pa (Kanani et al., 2010; Guo, Yao, Wei, et al., 2018)(c) The intensity of the magnetic field detected by Cassini MAG instrument. The red curve represents background magnetic field, obtained from 6-hour running average. (d) The detrended magnetic field and (e) power spectral density  $(PSD)$  for the detrended magnetic field which is obtained from the Lomb-Scargle periodogram method. The red horizontal line shows power-level thresholds that are consistent with a probability of detection equal to 0.99.





Figure 3. Scatterplots of low-frequency waves  $PSD_{wave}$  versus equatorial distances D from Saturn (positive when  $X_{KSM} > 0$ ; negative when  $X_{KSM} < 0$ ) and the occurrence rates for intense waves in different regions, during (a-b) declining phase, (c-d) solar minimum, (e-f) ascending phase and (g-h) solar maximum. The dayside sectors contain events in the local time range of 9-15 LT, while nightside events are in the local time range of 21-03 LT. Blue dots are events with  $|Z_{KSM}| < 5R_S$ , while red dots are events with  $|Z_{KSM}| > 5R_S$ . The black stars represent the median value of  $PSD_{wave}$  for each bin and the shaded areas mark events between upper quartile and lower quartile. Each bin contains at least 10 data points. Blue bar charts show occurence rates for intense waves, which are defined as the number of events with  $PSD_{wave}$  $>10^3$ nT<sup>2</sup>/Hz divided by the number of all events in each bin.

**Access 1226**<br> **Access 228**<br> **Article**<br> **Arti**  $121$  from Saturn in  $X_{KSM}-Y_{KSM}$  plane. The dayside wave events are selected to be those <sup>122</sup> where the local time ranges from 9 to 15. The blue dots are events at low latitude with  $|Z_{KSM}| < 5R_S$ , and red dots are events at high latitude with  $|Z_{KSM}| > 5R_S$ . The 124 black stars are the median value of  $PSD_{wave}$  for each bin, while the upper (lower) bound-<sup>125</sup> aries of shaded areas are consistent with the upper (lower) quartiles, displaying the overall trend of the data. We note that events with large  $PSD_{wave}$  (>  $10^3 \text{nT}^2/\text{Hz}$ ) at the  $127$  dayside sector are mostly located at/within ∼25R<sub>S</sub>, indicating strong wave activities near/within 128 magnetopause. The  $PSD_{wave}$  and the occurrence rates of these strong waves at dayside  $(~\sim 40\%)$  are similar for the four solar cycle phases. The  $PSD_{wave}$  also shows a decreas- $\log$  ing trend for  $D > 30$  R<sub>S</sub> as shown in Figures 3e and 3g. The nightside wave power spec-<sup>131</sup> trum density is monotonically decreasing toward large distances during the declining phase <sup>132</sup> (Figure 3a) and is largely scattered with a relatively low mean value during the solar max-<sup>133</sup> imum phase (Figure 3g). There was insufficient data to obtain the trend in the night- $134$  side for the other two solar phases (Figure 3c, 3e), so that it is hard to study the daynight asymmetry or compare the nightside wave activities.

 Figure 4 focuses on events located in the dawn sector (03-09 LT) and the dusk sec- tor (15-21 UT). The positive value of the abscissas in Figure 4 represent the dusk sec-<sup>138</sup> tor  $(Y_{KSM} > 0)$ . The wave intensity decreases with increasing equatorial distances D, in both the dawnside (Figure 4a and 4g) and the duskside (Figure 4c and 4e) for each  $_{140}$  phase of the solar cycle. The  $PSD_{wave}$  distributions for events at high latitude (red dots) are usually much more dispersed than those events at low latitude (blue dots). We noted that the bias of the Cassini orbits strongly mixes the effects of solar activities and spa- tial variations so that it is hard to compare the dawnside/duskside wave activities. In addition, we used electron data with energy up to 28 keV provided by Cassini-CAPS (Young et al., 2004), to examine the wave intensity distribution inside the magnetopause. We analyzed the events with an electron temperature greater than 100eV, as the electron temperature in the magnetosheath is well below this value (Supplementary Figure S1 and S2). The results show that only intense waves remained, implying that most of the weak waves  $(PSD_{wave} < 10^3 \text{nT}^2/\text{Hz})$  are from the magnetosheath, which is consistent 150 with the results in Figure 5 (shown later).

### <sup>151</sup> 3 An Overview: Dependence on Local Time

 In this section, we combine data from all sub-solar phases as marked in Figure 1 to investigate the dependence of wave activity with spatial variations. Figure 5a and 5b 154 shows the distribution of the wave events on the  $X_{KSM} - Y_{KSM}$  and  $X_{KSM} - Z_{KSM}$  plane combining the data from all four subsets. Each dot represents a wave event and the color represents the wave intensity. The magenta curve predicted the magnetopause position calculated from the A06 model with improved parameters (Kanani et al., 2010) using the solar wind pressure of 0.00906Pa (Guo, et al., 2018). The inner and outer black curves represent the possible magnetopause positions corresponding to the root mean square errors of the A60 model coefficients. It is clear that the wave activity is strong near ∼25R<sub>S</sub> and inside, especially near the subsolar point. We find that the wave activ- ity rapidly decreases outside of ~25R<sub>S</sub> at dayside, which is consistent with the nominal magnetopause location (Kanani et al., 2010). We would like to point out that there is 164 little data along the subsolar line at  $>25$  R<sub>S</sub>, but the trend is clear in both the morn- ing and afternoon sectors, implying that low-frequency waves are magnetospheric as op- posed to a magnetosheath or solar wind process. The main periods of these intense waves <sub>167</sub> are 30-60min, shown in Figure 5c.

 $\frac{1}{168}$  Figure 5d shows the  $PSD_{wave}$  as a function of local time with events located at  $_{169}$  a distance D ranging from  $20R<sub>S</sub>$  to  $30R<sub>S</sub>$ . The grey dots represent the wave events. The  $_{170}$  red stars are the median value of  $PSD_{wave}$  for each local time, while blue bars repre-<sub>171</sub> sent the occurrence rate of intense waves. The wave activity peaks in the noon sector, <sup>172</sup> implying that solar winds interaction with Saturns magnetopause is an important mech-

# **Accepted Article**



Figure 4. Same format as Figure 3, but for dawnside and duskside events. The abscissas represent equatorial distances D from Saturn (positive when  $Y_{KSM} > 0$ ; negative when  $Y_{KSM} < 0$ ). The dawnside sectors contain events within the range 03-09 LT, while the duskside sectors are defined as events in the local time range 15-21 LT.





Figure 5. Low-frequency wave activity distributions on (a)  $X_{KSM}$  –  $Y_{KSM}$  and (b)  $X_{KSM}$  –  $Z_{KSM}$  plane. The color scheme represents the magnitude of  $log_{10}PSD_{wave}$ . The magenta and black curves are potential and possible magnetopause positions based on A06 model with improved parameters for solar wind pressure of 0.00906Pa (Kanani et al., 2010; Guo, Yao, Wei, et al., 2018) (c) The distribution of periods for intense waves  $(PSD_{wave} > 10^3 \text{nT}^2/\text{Hz})$  (d) The dependence of low-frequency wave power spectrum density with local time. Each grey dot represents a wave event, and red stars gives the median value of  $PSD_{wave}$  for each local time for the events located at distance  $D$  from  $20R<sub>S</sub>$  to  $30R<sub>S</sub>$ . Blue bars represent the occurrence rate of intense waves for each local time.

 anism in driving the magnetospheric low-frequency waves. Magnetopause surface waves (Masters et al., 2012, 2009) and/or Kelvin-Helmholtz waves(Wilson et al., 2012) caused by the interactions between the solar wind and Saturns magnetopause are likely the ma- jor contributions. We also noted that the wave intensity also peaks at pre-midnight and 177 post-midnight. The driver for nightside waves is inconsistent with the magnetopause sur- face waves. Nightside waves may instead be a consequence of Titan torus perturbation or nightside transient plasma processes (e.g., magnetic reconnection or bursty bulk flows).

### 180 4 Conclusions

 Low-frequency wave activity is a consequence of many fundamental magnetospheric processes that perturb the magnetic field, energetic particles, auroral emissions, etc. In this study, the low-frequency waves are selected only based on the periodicity. There are many proposed mechanisms for driving these waves, for example, K-H instabilities or so- lar wind pressure pulses. Since the wave power peaks near the magnetopause, we sug-186 gest the interaction between the solar wind and the rotating magnetosphere to be piv- otal for the wave generation. These waves are associated with macro-processes, thus they <sup>188</sup> are likely MHD waves. However, the interaction between the solar wind and the rotat-<sup>189</sup> ing magnetosphere can also modify particle distribution and this may induce kinetic ef- fects. The limited data coverage in local times for each solar phase does not allow us to draw a firm conclusion on a connection with solar activity. Nevertheless, no significant dependence on solar activity is identified from the existing dataset. The results also show that dayside wave activity is generally stronger than at nightside near solar maximum, probably indicating the presence of a systematic wave driver on the magnetopause. It 195 is clear that the wave power rapidly decreases beyond ∼25R<sub>S</sub> at morning and afternoon sectors, indicating that the detected waves are not from the magnetosheath or solar wind.

 In analysing the local time sectors, we found that a peak wave power was found near the noon sector, which is probably related to the active auroral region in the prenoon sector (Bader et al., 2019). The dayside wave distribution might also be related to drizzle- like reconnection, which also displays a peak occurrence probability near noon (Delamere et al., 2015). Auroral observations suggest pulsating auroral emissions with a periodic-202 ity of ∼ 60 min (Palmaerts, Radioti, et al., 2016), which is consistent with the wave pe- riodicities shown in this study. Our results suggest that low-frequency waves could be an important source of auroral emission at Saturn, as was proposed at Earth (Keiling et al., 2003, 2019; Zhao et al., 2019) and Jupiter (Saur et al., 2018). A further study com- bining magnetic perturbations and auroral images will help to answer this question. It is also worth conducting a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation to examine the im-portance of Alfvnic precipitation in driving auroral emissions.

### Acknowledgments

**Accept 160**<br>
177<br>
178<br>
177<br>
178<br>
179<br>
179<br>
179<br>
180<br>
180<br>
180<br>
180<br>
184<br>
185<br>
186<br>
186<br>
186<br>
186<br>
186<br>
186<br>
187<br>
188<br>
186<br>
187<br>
190<br>
191<br>
192<br>
193<br>
194<br>
194<br>
194<br>
195<br>
191<br>
194<br>
195<br>
194<br>
195<br>
194<br>
195<br>
194<br>
195<br>
195<br>
19 This work was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA17010201). The Cassini data presented in this paper are avail- able at http://pds-ppi.igpp.ucla.edu/. D.G. and B.B. are supported by the PRODEX program managed by ESA in collaboration with the Belgian Federal Science Policy Of- fice. W.D. was supported by a Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) research grant to University College London (UCL) and by European Space Agency (ESA) con- $\text{216}$  tract no. 4000120752/17/NL/MH. The authors wish to thank the International Space Science Institute in Beijing (ISSI-BJ) for supporting and hosting the meetings of the In- ternational Team on 'The morphology of auroras at Earth and giant planets: character- istics and their magnetospheric implications', during which the discussions leading/contributing to this publication were held. It was also made possible by the Key Research Program of the Institute of Geology and Geophysics CAS (grant IGGCAS201904).

### References

- Akasofu, S.-I. (2017, OCT). Auroral substorms: Search for processes causing the expansion phase in terms of the electric current approach. Space Science  $Re$ -views, 212 (1-2), 341-381. doi: 10.1007/s11214-017-0363-7
- Allan, W., & Poulter, E. M. (1992, May). ULF waves-their relationship to the structure of the Earth's magnetosphere. Reports on Progress in Physics,  $55(5)$ , 533-598. doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/55/5/001
- Angelopoulos, V., McFadden, J. P., Larson, D., Carlson, C. W., Mende, S. B., Frey, H., . . . Kepko, L. (2008, AUG 15). Tail reconnection triggering substorm onset. Science, 321 (5891), 931-935. doi: 10.1126/science.1160495
- Bader, A., Badman, S. V., Cowley, S. W. H., Yao, Z. H., Ray, L. C., Kinrade, J., . . . <sup>233</sup> Pryor, W. R. (2019, SEP 1). The dynamics of saturn's main aurorae. Geophys-ical Research Letters, 46 (17-18), 10283-10294. doi: 10.1029/2019GL084620
- Baker, D., Pulkkinen, T., Angelopoulos, V., Baumjohann, W., & McPherron, R. (1996, JUN 1). Neutral line model of substorms: Past results and present view. Journal of Geophysical Research, 101 (A6), 12975-13010. doi:
- 10.1029/95JA03753 Blanc, M., Andrews, D. J., Coates, A. J., Hamilton, D. C., Jackman, C. M., Jia,  $X_{1}, \ldots$  Westlake, J. H. (2015, OCT). Saturn plasma sources and associ-ated transport processes. Space Science Reviews,  $192(1-4)$ , 237-283. doi:
- 10.1007/s11214-015-0172-9
- Chen, L. (1999, FEB 1). Theory of plasma transport induced by low-frequency hy- $1_{244}$  dromagnetic waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,  $104(A2)$ ,  $2421-2427$ . doi: 10.1029/1998JA900051
- Cutler, J. C., Dougherty, M. K., Lucek, E., & Masters, A. (2011, OCT 19). Evidence <sup>247</sup> of surface wave on the dusk flank of saturn's magnetopause possibly caused by <sup>248</sup> the kelvin-helmholtz instability. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116. doi: 10.1029/2011JA016643
- Delamere, P. A., Otto, A., Ma, X., Bagenal, F., & Wilson, R. J. (2015, JUN). Mag-<sub>251</sub> netic flux circulation in the rotationally driven giant magnetospheres. Journal of Geophysical Research, 120 (6), 4229-4245. doi: 10.1002/2015JA021036
- $\begin{array}{r|l|l} \textbf{225} & \textbf{226} & \textbf{228} & \textbf{228} & \textbf{229} & \textbf{220} & \textbf{227} \\ \textbf{227} & \textbf{228} & \textbf{229} & \textbf{230} & \textbf{231} & \textbf{232} & \textbf{233} \\ \textbf{231} & \textbf{232} & \textbf{233} & \textbf{234} & \textbf{235} & \textbf{236} & \textbf{237} \\ \textbf{236} & \textbf{237} & \text$  Delamere, P. A., Wilson, R. J., Eriksson, S., & Bagenal, F. (2013, JAN). Mag- netic signatures of kelvin-helmholtz vortices on saturn's magnetopause:  $\text{Global survey.}$  Journal of Geophysical Research, 118(1), 393-404. doi: 10.1029/2012JA018197
	- Dougherty, M., Kellock, S., Southwood, D., Balogh, A., Smith, E., Tsurutani, B., . . . Cowley, S. (2004). The cassini magnetic field investigation. Space Science Reviews, 114 (1-4), 331-383. doi: 10.1007/s11214-004-1432-2
	- 260 Dungey, J. (1961). Interplanetary magnetic field and auroral zones. PHYSICAL RE- $VIEW LETTERS, 6(2), 47-&. doi:  $10.1103/PhvsRevLett.6.47$$
	- Glassmeier, K.-H., Klimushkin, D., Othmer, C., & Mager, P. (2004). Ulf waves at mercury: Earth, the giants, and their little brother compared. Advances in  $p_{\text{264}}$  Space Research, 33(11), 1875 - 1883. Retrieved from doi: https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.asr.2003.04.047
	- Guo, R. L., Yao, Z. H., Sergis, N., Wei, Y., Mitchell, D., Roussos, E., . . . Wan, W. X. (2018, DEC 1). Reconnection acceleration in saturn's dayside magne- todisk: A multicase study with cassini. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 868 (2). doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aaedab
	- Guo, R.-L., Yao, Z.-H., Wei, Y., Ray, L. C., Rae, I. J., Arridge, C. S., . . . Dougherty, M. K. (2018, AUG). Rotationally driven magnetic reconnection in saturn's dayside. Nature Astronomy, 2 (8), 640-645. doi: 10.1038/s41550-018-0461-9
	- Hansen, C., Esposito, L., Stewart, A., Colwell, J., Hendrix, A., Pryor, W., . . . West,  $R.$  (2006, MAR 10). Enceladus' water vapor plume. *Science*, 311(5766), 1422-1425. doi: 10.1126/science.1121254
- Hasegawa, A., & Chen, L. (1974). Theory of magnetic pulsations. Space Science Re-views, 16 (3), 347-359. doi: 10.1007/BF00171563
- Jackman, C. M., Russell, C. T., Southwood, D. J., Arridge, C. S., Achilleos, N., & Dougherty, M. K. (2007, JUN 14). Strong rapid dipolarizations in saturn's <sup>280</sup> magnetotail: In situ evidence of reconnection. Geophysical Research Letters,  $\frac{34}{11}$ . doi: 10.1029/2007GL029764
- **Accepted Article** Jackman, C. M., Thomsen, M. F., Mitchell, D. G., Sergis, N., Arridge, C. S., Fe- lici, M., . . . Dougherty, M. K. (2015, MAY). Field dipolarization in saturn's magnetotail with planetward ion flows and energetic particle flow bursts: Evi-285 dence of quasi-steady reconnection. Journal of Geophysical Research,  $120(5)$ , 3603-3617. doi: 10.1002/2015JA020995
	- Kanani, S. J., Arridge, C. S., Jones, G. H., Fazakerley, A. N., McAndrews, H. J., Sergis, N., . . . Krupp, N. (2010, JUN 17). A new form of saturn's magne- topause using a dynamic pressure balance model, based on in situ, multi- instrument cassini measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research, 115 . doi: 10.1029/2009JA014262
	- Keiling, A., Thaller, S., Wygant, J., & Dombeck, J. (2019, JUN). Assess- ing the global alfven wave power flow into and out of the auroral acceleration region during geomagnetic storms. Science Advances,  $5(6)$ . doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aav8411
	- Keiling, A., Wygant, J., Cattell, C., Mozer, F., & Russell, C. (2003, JAN 17). The global morphology of wave poynting flux: Powering the aurora. Science, 299 (5605), 383-386. doi: 10.1126/science.1080073
	- Kleindienst, G., Glassmeier, K. H., Simon, S., Dougherty, M. K., & Krupp, N. (2009). Quasiperiodic ulf-pulsations in saturn's magnetosphere. Annales Geophysicae, 27 (2), 885-894. doi: 10.5194/angeo-27-885-2009
	- $\sim$  Lee, D., & Lysak, R. (1989, DEC 1). Magnetospheric ulf wave coupling in the dipole  $\frac{303}{400}$  model - the impulsive excitation. Journal of Geophysical Research,  $94 \text{(A12)}$ , 17097-&. doi: 10.1029/JA094iA12p17097
	- Lepping, R., BURLAGA, L., & KLEIN, L. (1981). Surface-waves on saturns magne-topause. Nature, 292 (5825), 750-753. doi: 10.1038/292750a0
	- $\frac{307}{207}$  Lomb, N. (1976). Least-squares frequency-analysis of unequally spaced data. Astro-*physics and Space Science*,  $39(2)$ , 447-462. doi: 10.1007/BF00648343
	- Masters, A., Achilleos, N., Bertucci, C., Dougherty, M. K., Kanani, S. J., Arridge, C. S., . . . Coates, A. J. (2009, DEC). Surface waves on saturn's dawn flank 311 magnetopause driven by the kelvin-helmholtz instability. *PLANETARY AND* SPACE Science, 57 (14-15), 1769-1778. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2009.02.010
	- Masters, A., Achilleos, N., Cutler, J., Coates, A., Dougherty, M., & Jones, G.  $(2012)$ . Surface waves on saturn's magnetopause. Planetary and Space Sci-ence, 65 (1), 109 - 121. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2012.02.007
	- Masters, A., Achilleos, N., Kivelson, M. G., Sergis, N., Dougherty, M. K., Thomsen, M. F., . . . Coates, A. J. (2010, JUL 27). Cassini observations of a kelvin- helmholtz vortex in saturn's outer magnetosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research, 115 . doi: 10.1029/2010JA015351
	- Palmaerts, B., Radioti, A., Roussos, E., Grodent, D., Gerard, J. C., Krupp, N., & Mitchell, D. G. (2016, DEC). Pulsations of the polar cusp aurora at saturn. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,  $121(12)$ , 11952-11963. doi: 323 10.1002/2016JA023497
	- Palmaerts, B., Roussos, E., Krupp, N., Kurth, W. S., Mitchell, D. G., & Yates, J. N. (2016, JUN 1). Statistical analysis and multi-instrument overview of the quasi- periodic 1-hour pulsations in saturn's outer magnetosphere. Icarus, 271 , 1-18. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.01.025
	- Radioti, A., Grodent, D., Yao, Z. H., Gerard, J. C., Badman, S. V., Pryor, W., & Bonfond, B. (2017, DEC). Dawn auroral breakup at saturn initiated by auro-<sub>330</sub> ral arcs: Uvis/cassini beginning of grand finale phase. *Journal of Geophysical*

Research, 122 (12), 12111-12119. doi: 10.1002/2017JA024653

- Radioti, A., Yao, Z., Grodent, D., Palmaerts, B., Roussos, E., Dialynas, K., . . . Bonfond, B. (2019, NOV 1). Auroral beads at saturn and the driving mech-<sup>334</sup> anism: Cassini proximal orbits. Astrophysical Journal Letters, 885(1). doi: <sup>335</sup> 10.3847/2041-8213/ab4e20
- Roussos, E., Krupp, N., Mitchell, D. G., Paranicas, C., Krimigis, S. M., Andri- opoulou, M., . . . Dougherty, M. K. (2016, JAN 1). Quasi-periodic injections of relativistic electrons in saturn's outer magnetosphere. *Icarus*,  $263(SI)$ , 101-116. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2015.04.017
- Russell, C., Khurana, K., Huddleston, D., & Kivelson, M. (1998, MAY 15). Lo-<sup>341</sup> calized reconnection in the near jovian magnetotail. *Science*, 280(5366), 1061-1064. doi: 10.1126/science.280.5366.1061
- Saur, J., Janser, S., Schreiner, A., Clark, G., Mauk, B. H., Kollmann, P., . . . Kot- siaros, S. (2018, NOV). Wave-particle interaction of alfven waves in jupiter's <sup>345</sup> magnetosphere: Auroral and magnetospheric particle acceleration. *Journal of* Geophysical Research, 123 (11), 9560-9573. doi: 10.1029/2018JA025948
- Scargle, J. (1982). Studies in astronomical time-series analysis .2. statistical aspects <sup>348</sup> of spectral-analysis of unevenly spaced data. Astrophysical Journal, 263(2), 835-853. doi: 10.1086/160554
- Slavin, J. A., Acuna, M. H., Anderson, B. J., Baker, D. N., Benna, M., Boardsen, S. A., . . . Zurbuchen, T. H. (2009, MAY 1). Messenger observations of mag- netic reconnection in mercury's magnetosphere. Science, 324 (5927), 606-610. doi: 10.1126/science.1172011
- Sun, W.-J., Slavin, J. A., Fu, S., Raines, J. M., Zong, Q.-G., Imber, S. M., . . . Baker, D. N. (2015, MAY 28). Messenger observations of magnetospheric substorm activity in mercury's near magnetotail. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (10), 3692-3699. doi: 10.1002/2015GL064052
- Vogt, M. F., Kivelson, M. G., Khurana, K. K., Joy, S. P., & Walker, R. J. (2010, JUN 29). Reconnection and flows in the jovian magnetotail as inferred from <sup>360</sup> magnetometer observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115. doi: <sup>361</sup> 10.1029/2009JA015098
- Waite, J., Combi, M., Ip, W., Cravens, T., McNutt, R., Kasprzak, W., . . . Tseng, W. (2006, MAR 10). Cassini ion and neutral mass spectrometer: Ence- $_{364}$  ladus plume composition and structure. Science, 311 (5766), 1419-1422. doi: 10.1126/science.1121290
- Wilson, R. J., Delamere, P. A., Bagenal, F., & Masters, A. (2012). Kelvin-helmholtz <sup>367</sup> instability at saturn's magnetopause: Cassini ion data analysis. *Journal of* Geophysical Research, 117 (A3). doi: 10.1029/2011JA016723
- Yao, Z. H., Grodent, D., Ray, L. C., Rae, I. J., Coates, A. J., Pu, Z. Y., . . . Dunn, W. R. (2017a). Two fundamentally different drivers of dipolariza- $\frac{371}{371}$  tions at Saturn. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,  $122(4)$ , 4348-4356. doi: <sup>372</sup> 10.1002/2017JA024060
- Yao, Z., Pu, Z. Y., Rae, I. J., Radioti, A., & Kubyshkina, M. V. (2017b). Auroral streamer and its role in driving wave-like pre-onset aurora. Geoscience Letters,  $\frac{4(1)}{8}$ , 8. doi: 10.1186/s40562-017-0075-6
- Yao, Z. H., Radioti, A., Rae, I. J., Liu, J., Grodent, D., Ray, L. C., . . . Palmaerts, B. (2017c). Mechanisms of saturn's near-noon transient aurora: In situ ev- $\frac{378}{378}$  idence from cassini measurements. Geophysical Research Letters,  $\frac{1}{4}(22)$ , 11,217-11,228. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075108
- **Accepted Article** Yao, Z. H., Grodent, D., Kurth, W. S., Clark, G., Mauk, B. H., Kimura, T., . . . Levin, S. M. (2019). On the relation between jovian aurorae and the load- ing/unloading of the magnetic flux: Simultaneous measurements from juno,  $\mathcal{L}_{383}$  hubble space telescope, and hisaki. Geophysical Research Letters,  $\mathcal{L}_b(21)$ , 11632-11641. doi: 10.1029/2019GL084201
	- Young, D. T., Berthelier, J. J., Blanc, M., Burch, J. L., Coates, A. J., Goldstein, R.,

<sup>386</sup> . . . Zinsmeyer, C. (2004, September). Cassini Plasma Spectrometer Investiga-<sup>387</sup> tion. Space Science Reviews, 114 (1-4), 1-112. doi: 10.1007/s11214-004-1406-4

- <sup>388</sup> Zhao, H., Zhou, X.-Z., Liu, Y., Zong, Q.-G., Rankin, R., Wang, Y., . . . Chen, X. <sup>389</sup> (2019). Poleward-moving recurrent auroral arcs associated with impulse- $\text{390}$  excited standing hydromagnetic waves. Earth and Planetary Physics,  $3(4)$ , <sup>391</sup> 305-313. doi: 10.26464/epp2019032
- <sup>392</sup> Zong, Q., Rankin, R., & Zhou, X. (2017, December). The interaction of ultra-low-<sup>393</sup> frequency pc3-5 waves with charged particles in Earth's magnetosphere. Re-<sup>394</sup> views of Modern Plasma Physics, 1 (1), 10. doi: 10.1007/s41614-017-0011-4
- <sup>395</sup> Zong, Q.-G., Zhou, X.-Z., Wang, Y. F., Li, X., Song, P., Baker, D. N., . . . Pedersen, <sup>396</sup> A. (2009, OCT 10). Energetic electron response to ulf waves induced by inter-<sup>397</sup> planetary shocks in the outer radiation belt. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, <sup>398</sup> 114 . doi: 10.1029/2009JA014393