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We would like to congratulate Meng et al. on their recent study on the follow up of men with PIRADS 4/5 prostate MRI lesions and benign pathologic findings on targeted biopsy (TB). This paper adds to substantial recent efforts to understand the mechanism of false positive MRI phenotypes in the prostate. Although relationships between non-cancerous pathologies (especially inflammation) and false positive MRI are often discussed in somewhat definitive terms, very few studies previously provided evidence justifying this level of certainty. Fortunately, this landscape changed in the last couple of years: on review of targeted biopsies from 98 PIRADS 5 lesions Sheridan and colleagues found that, in 18 benign ones, 39% (7/18) contained benign prostatic hyperplasia changes and 28% (5/18) inflammation. Interestingly, a negative biopsy result from such lesions was associated with lower PSA density, something our group also corroborated in a diagnostic context. Gordetsky and colleagues reviewed 62 lesions in 41 patients who had initial negative systematic biopsy (SB) and a subsequent combined TB/SB procedure with a negative TB component. The mean percentage of stroma, basal cell hyperplasia and inflammation were increased in TB tissue compared to SB-derived material, while atrophic glands and chronic inflammation showed a positive correlation with higher PIRADS scores. More recently, Hupe and colleagues also looked at 34 PIRADS 4/5 cancer-negative lesions and used contralateral cancer-negative SBs as control. The frequency of any stromal, glandular and inflammatory alterations was substantially higher in tissue from cancer-negative TBs compared to control SBs, while vascular changes were almost exclusive in TB tissue.

In this paper Meng and colleagues not only corroborate similar relationships of non-cancerous pathology with false positive MRIs, but go a step further by looking at the evolution of false positive lesions over time. The first finding, a 73% decrease from PI-RADS 4/5 to PI-RADS ≤3 (including 35% complete resolution), might reflect the high volatility of microenvironmental perturbations engendering false positive phenotypes and implies that a reasonable strategy for biopsy-negative lesions is repeat imaging to confirm their resolution.

The extent to which these processes are influenced - or govern for that matter - the natural history of prostate cancer is undetermined, but lesion regression was not equally evident in men with HGPIN or ASAP at their initial TB. It is certainly plausible that MRI-TB captures premalignancy in tissue where cancer initiation is destined to happen, but this finding could also be underestimation of tumour burden if one considers that entities like PIN have a spatial (not just temporal) association with established tumours. Missed malignant tissue can of course exist within a lesion, but the additional possibility of adjacent MRI-invisible disease should always be considered, especially in the context of random, non-imaging-based SB which misses a substantial proportion of significant
tumours.\textsuperscript{8,9} The study results have to be interpreted with caution due to the small sample size and the influence of radiologist experience on a false positive MRI reading, particularly in the PZ.\textsuperscript{4,10} However, such research should be actively encouraged.
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