# Association of nutritional components with falls in oldest-old men

The Helsinki Businessmen Study (HBS)

Jyväkorpi SK<sup>1</sup>, PhD, Urtamo A<sup>1</sup>, MSc, Kivimäki M<sup>1</sup>, Professor, PhD, Strandberg TE<sup>2</sup>, Professor, MD, PhD

<sup>1</sup> University of Helsinki, Clinicum and Helsinki University Central Hospital, Unit of Primary Health Care, Finland

<sup>2</sup>University of Helsinki, Clinicum, and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; University of Oulu, Center for Life Course Health Research, Oulu, Finland

Corresponding author: Satu Jyväkorpi Tukholmankatu 8 B, 00014 University of Helsinki Tel: +358 50 4920970

satu.jyvakorpi@gery.fi

#### Abstract

**Introduction**: Falls are associated with increased morbidity and mortality in older people. We examined how nutritional factors are associated with self-reported falls in the oldest-old community-dwelling men.

٠

**Methods**: Participants of the longitudinal and socioeconomically homogenous Helsinki Businessmen Study are men born in 1919-1934. A cross-sectional analysis from a random sample of 122 home-living oldest-old men who underwent medical examinations in 2017-2018 is reported here. Food and nutrient intakes were retrieved from 3-day food diaries, and the number of falls during past year was requested in the screening questionnaire. Nutritional status was assessed using Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form (MNA-SF) and waist circumference was measured. Body composition was assessed with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)-scans, physical performance with short physical performance battery (SPPB), sarcopenia status using European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People's 2 (EWGSOP2) criteria, and frailty with phenotypic criteria.

**Results:** Mean age of participants was 87 years (range 83-99 years) and 30% reported at least one fall during past year. Falls were associated with higher waist circumference (p=.031), frailty (p <.001) and sarcopenia (p = .002), and inversely associated with SPPB total score (p=.002). Of nutritional factors, intakes of fish (p=.016), fish protein (p=.039), berry (p=.027) and vitamin D (p=.041), and snacking more protein between breakfast and lunch (p=.017) were inversely associated with falls. Red meat intake was associated with higher frequency of falls (p=.044). **Conclusion:** Higher waist circumference, but not body mass index, was associated with increased frequency of falls. Healthy dietary choices appeared protective from falls in these oldest-old men of similar socioeconomic status.

Keywords: Falls, waist circumference, fish intake, berry intake, vitamin D, snacking,

# 1 Introduction

Falls are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in older adults (1). Every year, more than 30% 2 of community-dwelling older adults > 65 years of age fall at least once, while percentage of falls of 3 those over 75 years is even higher (2-5). Accidental falls with even minor injuries are associated 4 with an increased fear of falling which may lead to self-imposed limitations, avoidance of physical 5 6 activity, and reduced quality of life (6,7). General risk factors for falls among community-dwelling 7 older adults include higher age, history of falls, problems with balance or slow gait disorders, muscle weakness, walking difficulty, cognitive or visual impairment, arthritis, stroke, frailty and 8 polypharmacy (2,4, 8-10). 9

10 Malnutrition manifested as unintentional weight loss, insufficient intake of energy, protein and micronutrients, anemia and vitamin D deficiency along with dehydration are associated with 11 increased risk of falls in older adults (11-14). However, findings from specific nutritional factors 12 have so far been inconsistent. Higher protein intake, for example, has been associated with reduced 13 fall risk (15), been neutral to it (16), or reduced fall risk in younger (50-69 years), but not in older 14 15 age groups (70-89 years) of adults (17). Moreover, protein source and distribution in connection to falls are less studied (18). In Framingham longitudinal study with two years follow-up, protein 16 intake from both animal and plant sources were linked to fewer falls in older people who 17 experienced weight loss (15, 18). Even mealtime protein distribution was associated with higher 18 muscle strength, but not physical decline during 3-years follow-up in older adults in Quebec 19 longitudinal study on Nutrition as a Determinant of Successful Aging (18). Vitamin D 20 supplementation has reduced falls in residential care and hospital settings (19). 21 . Besides overall nutritional status and vitamin D supplementation, other nutrition related factors are 22 often overlooked as potential factors in the etiology of falls, especially in the oldest-old age group. 23

Our objective here was to explore the association between a wide variety of nutrition-related factorsand falls in oldest-old community dwelling men.

26

#### 27 Methods

In the Helsinki Businessmen Study (HBS), a socioeconomically homogenous cohort of men, born between 1919 and 1934, has been followed-up since the 1960s (20). In the present cross-sectional analysis, we report findings from the most recent clinic visit in 2017/18. A random sub-cohort of 180 home-living survivors of HBS was invited to the clinic.

32 At the clinic visit, body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m) squared,

nutritional status assessed with Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) (21), and body composition
measured with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)-scans. Physical performance was assessed
with Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB) (22). Sarcopenia status was determined according
to the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People 2 (EWGSOP2)'s criteria 1) Low

muscle strength (< 27 kg), 2) low appendicular muscle mass (< 20 kg), and 3) low physical

performance (Short Physical Performance Battery  $\leq 8$  points) (23). Participants were classified into

robust (none of the criteria fulfilled), probable sarcopenia (1 criterium) and being sarcopenic (at

40 least 2 criteria). Frailty phenotype was determined according to Fried phenotype criteria: 1) Weight

41 loss >5% of body weight during the last year; 2) Low physical activity/exercise, explored with a

42 question "Do you exercise regularly?"; 3) Walking speed  $\leq 0.8$  m/s; 4) Handgrip strength < 27 kg;

43 and 5) self-reported exhaustion, explored with a question: "Have you felt unusually tired or

exhausted most of the time or all the time during last month?" (24). Accordingly, participants were

45 classified to robust, (zero criteria fulfilled), pre-frail (1 to 2 criteria) and frail (at least 3 criteria).

Food, energy and nutrient intakes were retrieved from 3-day food diaries. Furthermore, daily
protein distribution and protein source (amounts of vegetable, animal; milk, meat, fish, and egg
proteins) were calculated from 3-day food diaries.

Frequency of falls was explored with a question: "How many times did you fall during past year?" response options being 0 times, 1-2 times, more than 2 times. Since only six participants reported falling more than two times, the falls were further categorized into: 1) no falls and 2) one or more falls during past year. The participants were further asked whether a fall resulted a bone fracture.

53 Statistical significance for differences between non-fall and fall groups were evaluated using independent t-test for evenly distributed continuous variables and Mann Whitney U-test for 54 unevenly distributed variables. Univariate general linear model was used to investigate associations 55 with waist circumference and falls between fall groups. Results are shown as parameter estimates 56 57 using three different statistical models for each outcome: model 1 includes intercept, age, and fall groups. Model 2 additionally includes MNA-SF score, and Model 3 additionally includes protein 58 intake. Effect sizes (B) provide the estimated differences in the outcome variables between 59 variables. The level of significance was set at two-sided p < 0.05. Analyses were performed using 60 the SPSS statistical program, version 24 (SPSS IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). 61

62

# 63 Ethics

All participants signed an informed consent and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics
Committee of the Helsinki University Hospital, Department of Medicine. The study is registered
with ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02526082.

67

#### 69 **Results**

Of the random sample, 130 (70% of the invited) men participated in the clinic visits, 126 returned 70 71 food diaries, and 122 reported both falls and returned food diaries. Mean age of the participants in this analysis was 87 years (range 83-99 years) and 30% reported at least one fall during past year 72 and 12 participants reported an injurious fall, resulting a bone fracture. Those reporting an injurious 73 74 falls did not differ in baseline characteristics or in nutrition related factors from fallers without injuries 75 While age, MNA or BMI were not associated with falls (Table 1), those in the fall group had more 76 77 sarcopenia (p = .002) and frailty (p < .001) and higher waist circumference (p=.031), whereas SPPB total score (p=.002) was higher in the no falls- group. Of the nutritional factors, fish (p=.016) and 78 berry (p=.027) consumption, vitamin D intake (p=.041), as well as snacking more protein between 79 breakfast and lunch (p=.017) were higher in the group with no falls. Of the participants a little over 80 half (n = 65) reported snacking between breakfast and lunch. More red meat was consumed by the 81

fall group (p=.044) (Table 2). Protein intake g/kg BW/d was somewhat higher in the no falls- group,

but this result did not reach statistical significance (p = .071). Of the protein sources, only fish

protein (p=.039) was significantly higher in the no falls-group. In univariate models adjusted for

age, MNA, and protein intake, higher waist circumference remained significantly associated with
falls in models 1-3 (Table 3).

- 87
- 88

#### 89 **Discussion**

In our study of oldest-old community-dwelling men with similar socioeconomic status, healthy
dietary habits were inversely associated with falls, whereas those who reported falling during last
year had larger waist circumference – but no difference in BMI -- and consumed more red meat.

93 Similarly to our findings, abdominal obesity was associated with increased fall risk in communitydwellers Taiwanese older people aged  $\geq 65$  years (n = 1377) who participated annual geriatric 94 health examinations (25). In addition to increasing metabolic problems, abdominal obesity may 95 96 cause unstable center-of-gravity predisposing to falls and more severe injuries (26). Furthermore, larger waist circumference combined with sarcopenia is especially harmful for balance increasing 97 98 fall risk considerably (27). Frailty has also been associated with abdominal obesity (28). In our 99 oldest-old participants, all these factors - sarcopenia, frailty and wider waist circumference - were more common in the fall group. 100

Fish intake was higher in the no falls-group compared to the fall-group of our participants. Fish contains several essential and bioactive compounds important for human health (29). Especially fatty fish is a source of anti-inflammatory omega-3 fatty acids that may be beneficial for muscle health in old age (30, 31). Fish is also a major source of dietary vitamin D shown to be important for fall prevention (32). Eating more fish increases vitamin D intakes, which was significantly higher in the no falls-group, in agreement with findings from randomized controlled trials (32).

Berry intake was higher in no falls-group. Berries are high in vitamins and bioactive compounds that could affect heath (33). On the other hand, their intake could also indicate healthier diet as a whole, whereas higher red meat consumption could indicate the opposite. Higher red meat intake has also been associated with increased obesity risk which could explain our finding of higher red meat intake in the fall-group (34).

Protein intake in relation to falls has been studied extensively but the results are conflicting (15-17). In our study, protein intake was somewhat higher in the no falls-group compared to the fall group, but this finding did not reach statistical significance, perhaps due to low power in our study. Protein distribution in respect to falls has not been thoroughly studied. In our study, those in the no fallsgroup snacked more protein between breakfast and lunch compared to the fall group. Although the difference was not marked, keeping stable blood sugar throughout the day and avoiding long pauses
between meals could potentially affect fall risk. This hypothesis, however, needs to be verified in
other studies.

Unlike in previous studies (11-13), overall nutritional status did not differ between the no-falls and fall groups in our study. This could be due to the homogeneity of our cohort, minimizing any effect of social or economic factors on nutrition. Although frailty was associated with falls, in this study on nutrition, we did not adjust for frailty, because it is likely to be in the pathogenic pathway.

The strengths of our study include its robust findings – despite the relatively small study sample --124 125 and the fact that there are few other studies on oldest-old (> 85 years of age) people. Limitations to this study include the measurement of falls by a single question and thus relying on respondents' 126 memory of the past year, which may cause bias to the results. Dietary records are subject to under-127 128 or over-reporting. However, a nutritionist gave the participants oral and written instruction how to fill the food diaries and called the participants after the return of the food records in order to verify 129 amounts and types of eaten foods used as accurately as possible. The mealtime distribution of 130 protein intake differed between fallers and non-fallers in our study. However, due to low power of 131 our study, it is not possible to define protein patterns related to falls. The participants were home-132 133 living men from upper socioeconomic class differing in many ways from the general population. This limits the generalizability of our findings. However, this is also a strength as it probably 134 reduces confounding by socioeconomic factors. Finally, the cross-sectional design of the study 135 prevents drawing conclusions about temporal relationships. 136

#### 137 Conclusion

Not falling was associated with healthier dietary habits and lower abdominal obesity in the oldestold men. These findings suggest that promoting healthy diet and avoiding abdominal obesity may
be important for fall prevention. Several results of our study point to new research ideas for fall

- 141 preventions: interventions targeting abdominal obesity with simultaneously increasing muscle
- strength through healthy nutrition and exercise should be more thoroughly investigated. In addition,
- 143 protein distribution during day and even meals spacing should be further explored in respect to falls
- 144 in larger and more diverse cohorts.

#### 146 Acknowledgements

1	л	7
-	+	1

### 148 Funding

- 149 This work was supported by Foundation of Nutrition Research, VTR-funding of the Helsinki
- 150 University Hospital (EVO), Helsinki University Hospital Internal Medicine and Rehabilitation and
- 151 Academy of Finland, grant number 311492. MK was supported by NordForsk, the Academy of
- 152 Finland (311492), and Helsinki Institute of Life Science. The sponsors did not have any role in the
- study design, analysis or interpretation of data, nor in writing the report or the decision to submit
- this article. The authors were independent researchers not associated with the funders.

155

#### 156 **Conflict of interest**

- 157 SKJ: reports no conflict of interest
- 158 AU: reports no conflict of interest
- 159 MK: reports no conflict of interest
- 160 TES: reports having various educational and consultative cooperation with several companies,
- 161 including Nutricia, Abbott, Amgen, Merck, Pfizer, Novartis, and Novo-Nordisk; a minor amount of
- stock in Orion Pharma; and is a board member and former president of executive board of European
- 163 Union Geriatric Medicine Society which has cooperation also with the nutrition industry.
- 164
- 165
- 166

# 167 **References**

- Burns E, Kakara R. Deaths from Falls Among Persons Aged ≥65 Years United States, 2007–
   2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:509–514.
- 170 2. Tinetti ME, Kumar C. The patient who falls: "It's always a trade-off." J Ame Med Assoc.

171 2010;303(3):258–66.

- 3. Tinetti ME. Clinical practice. Preventing falls in elderly persons. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(1):42–
  49.
- 4. Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the
  community. N Engl J Med 1988;319(26):1701–1707.
- 5. Rubenstein LZ, Josephson KR. The epidemiology of falls and syncope. In: Kenny RA, O'Shea D,
  editors. Falls and Syncope in Elderly Patients. Clinics in Geriatric Medicine. Philadelphia: W.B.
  Saunders Co.; 2002.
- 6. Stenhagen M, Ekström H, Nordell E, Elmståhl S. Accidental falls, health-related quality of life,
  and life satisfaction: a prospective study of the general elderly population. Arch Gerontol Geriatr.
  2014;58:95-100.
- 7. Ambrose AF, Paul G, Hausdorff JM. Risk factors for falls among older adults: a review of the
  literature. Maturitas. 2013;75:51-61.
- 184 8. Gale CR, Cooper C, Sayer AA. Prevalence and risk factors for falls in older men and women:
- 185 The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing Age and Ageing 2016;6:789–794,
- 186 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afw129.
- 9. Sattin RW, Lambert Huber DA, DeVito CA, et al. The incidence of fall injury events among the
  elderly in a defined population. Am J Epidemiol 1990;13:1028–1037.

- 10. Campbell AJ, Borrie MJ, Spears GF. Risk factors for falls in a community-based prospective
  study of people 70 years and older. J Gerontol 1989;44:M112–M117.
- 191 11. Chien MH, Guo HR. Nutritional status and falls in community-dwelling older people: a
- longitudinal study of a population-based random sample. PLoS One 2014;9:e91044.
- 193 12. Shahar D, Levi M, Kurtz I, Shany S, Zvili I, Mualleme E, Shahar A, Sarid O, Melzer I.
- 194 Nutritional status in relation to balance and falls in the elderly: a preliminary look at serum folate.

195 Ann Nutr Metab. 2009;54(1):59-66.

- 13.Larsen ER, Mosekilde L, Foldspang A. Correlates of falling during 24 h among elderly Danish
  community residents. Prev Med 2004;39:389–98.
- 14. Julius M, Kresevic D, Turcoliveri M, Cialdella-Kam L, Burant CJ. Malnutrition as a Fall Risk
  Factor. Fed Pract. 2017;34:27-30.
- 200 15, Zoltick ES, Sahni S, McLean RR, Quach L, Casey VA, Hannan MT. Dietary protein intake and
  201 subsequent falls in older men and women: the Framingham Study. J Nutr Health Aging.
  202 2011;15:147-152.
- 16. Larocque SC, Kerstetter JE, Cauley JA, Insogna KL, Ensrud K, Lui LY, Allore HG. Dietary
- Protein and Vitamin D Intake and Risk of Falls: A Secondary Analysis of Postmenopausal Women
  from the Study of Osteoporotic Fractures. J Nutr Gerontol Geriatr. 2015;34:305-18.
- 17. Wengreen HJ, Munger RG, West NA, et al. Dietary protein intake and risk of osteoporotic hip
  fracture in elderly residents of Utah. J Bone Miner Res. 2004;19(4):537–545.
- 208 18. Farsijani S, Payette H, Morais JA, Shatenstein B, Gaudreau P, Chevalier S. Even mealtime
- 209 distribution of protein intake is associated with greater muscle strength, but not with 3-y physical

210	function decline, in free-living older adults: the Quebec longitudinal study on Nutrition as a
211	Determinant of Successful Aging (NuAge study). Am J Clin Nutr 2017;1:113-124.
212	19. Cameron ID, Dyer SM, Panagoda CE, Murray GM, Hill KD, Cumming RG, Kerse N.
213	Interventions for preventing falls in older people in care facilities and hospitals. Cochrane Database
214	Syst Rev 2018;9(9):CD005465.
215	20. Strandberg TE, Salomaa V, Strandberg AY et al. Cohort Profile: The Helsinki Businessmen
216	Study (HBS). Int J Epidemiol 2016;45:1074-1074h.
217	21. Vellas B, Guigoz Y, Garry PJ et al. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) and its use in
218	grading the nutritional state of elderly patients. Nutrition 1999;15(2):116-122.
219	22. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG et al. A short
220	physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported
221	disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. J Gerontol 1994;49:M85-94.
222	23. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyere O, Cederholm T et al. Sarcopenia: revised
223	European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age and Ageing 2019; 48:16–31.
224	24. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C et al. Frailty in Older Adults:
225	Evidence for a Phenotype.J Geront: Ser A 2001;3:M146-M157.
226	25. Lin CH, Liao KC, Pu SJ, Chen YC, Liu MS. Associated Factors for Falls among the
227	Community-Dwelling Older People Assessed by Annual Geriatric Health Examinations. Plos One
228	2011.
229	26. Leung WS, Chi HT, Hu MH, Liu MT (2005) Fall mechanism and injury severity in community
230	dwelling older people. Formosa J Phy Ther 30: 105–115.

- 231 27. Follis S, Cook A, Bea JW, et al. Association Between Sarcopenic Obesity and Falls in a
- 232 Multiethnic Cohort of Postmenopausal Women. J Am Geriatr Soc 2018;66:2314–2320.
- 233 28. Hubbard RE, Lang IA, Llewellyn DJ, Rockwood K. Frailty, Body Mass Index, and Abdominal
- Obesity in Older People.J Geront Ser A 2010;4:377–381.
- 235 29. Rondanelli M, Rigon C, Perna S, Gasparri C, Iannello G, Akber R et al. Novel Insights on
- Intake of Fish and Prevention of Sarcopenia: All Reasons for an Adequate Consumption. Nutrients2020;12:307.
- 30. Smith, G.; Julliand, S.; Reeds, D.N.; Sinacore, D.R.; Klein, S.; Mittendorfer, B. Fish oil-derived
- 239 n-3 PUFAtherapy increases muscle mass and function in healthy older adults. Am J Clin Nutr
- 240 2015;102:115–122.
- 31. Tachtsis, B.; Camera, D.; Lacham-Kaplan, O. Potential roles of n-3 PUFAs during skeletal
  muscle growth and regeneration. Nutrients 2018;10,:309.
- 243 32.Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Staehelin HB, Orav JE, Stuck AE, Theiler R, Wong
- 244 JB, Egli A, Kiel DP, Henschkowski J. Fall Prevention With Supplemental and Active Forms of
- 245 Vitamin D: A Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials BMJ. 2009;339:b3692.
- 33 Yang B, Kortesniemi M. Clinical evidence on potential health benefits of berries. Curr Opin
  Food Sci 2015;2:36-42.
- 34. You W, Henneberg M. Meat consumption providing a surplus energy in modern diet contributes
  to obesity prevalence: an ecological analysis. BMC Nutr 2016;2:22.

251	Table 1. Baseline characteristics	, protein distribution and	d source according to fall groups.
-----	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

Falls during past year	No falls	$\geq 1$ fall	p- value
	n = 36	n = 86	
Baseline characteristics			
Age, years	87.4 (3.0)	87.2 (3)	.686
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25.5 (2.5)	26.4 (3.0)	.087
Waist circumference, cm	100.1 (8.2)	104.0 (10.0)	.031
MNA points (range 0-14) (SD)	13 (1.3)	13 (1.3)	.722
SPPB points (range 1-12) (SD)	9.9 (2.3)	8.3 (2.5)	.003
Sarcopenia, %			<.001
robust	50.0	19.4	
probable sarcopenia	36.0	44.4	
sarcopenia	14.0	36.1	
Frailty, %			<.001
robust	38.4	13.9	
pre-frail	52.3	52.8	
frail	9.3	33.3	
		16 (8)	897
Protein distribution between daily meal			
Breakfast, g (SD)	16 (8)	16 (8)	.897
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD)	16 (8) 4 (7)	2 (3)	.017
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)	2 (3) 20 (19)	<b>.017</b> .843
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14)	.017 .843 .764
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5)	.017 .843 .764 .262
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD) Protein % of total energy	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD) Protein % of total energy	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD) Protein % of total energy Protein source, g Animal protein total, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)         18.5%	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17) 18.6%	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447 .791
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD) Protein % of total energy Protein source, g	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)         18.5%	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17) 18.6% 51 (16)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447 .791
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD) Protein % of total energy Protein source, g Animal protein total, g (SD) Meat protein, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)         18.5%         53 (21)         21 (13)	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17) 18.6% 51 (16) 22 (13)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447 .791 .513 .803
Breakfast, g (SD) Morning snack, g (SD) Lunch, g (SD) Dinner, g (SD) Afternoon snack, g (SD) Evening snack, g (SD) Total Protein, g (SD) Protein % of total energy Protein source, g Animal protein total, g (SD) Meat protein, g (SD)	16 (8)         4 (7)         23 (14)         20 (19)         5 (7)         7 (7)         75 (24)         18.5%	2 (3) 20 (19) 19 (14) 4 (5) 8 (6) 72 (17) 18.6% 51 (16) 22 (13) 18 (11)	.017 .843 .764 .262 .424 .447 .791 .791

distributed variables and Mann-Whitney U test for unevenly distributed variables, differences between
categorical variables were tested with chi-square-test. SD = Standard deviation; BMI = body mass index, kg

255 = kilo, m = meter, MNA = Mini Nutritional Assessment, SPPB = Short Physical Performance Battery; ALM

- 256 = Appendicular Lean Mass

# Table 2. Food group intakes according to falls during past year.

Falls during past year	No falls	$\geq 1$ fall	p- values*
	n = 36	n = 86	
Food intakes day			
Total fruits and vegetables, g (SD)	295 (225)	318 (225)	.619
Vegetable intake, g (SD)	148 (135)	177 (132)	.289
Fruit intake, g (SD)	129 (150)	134 (174)	.842
Berry intake, g (SD)	24 (33)	12 (23)	.027
Cereal products, g (SD)	331 (153)	347 (140)	.603
Legumes, g (SD)	6 (18)	10 (24)	.315
	~ /	4 (7)	.732
Nuts, g (SD)	5 (16)	~ /	
Fish, g (SD)	71 (59)	44 (45)	.016
Milk products, g (SD)	329 (246)	320 (206)	.848
Red meat, g (SD)	41 (44)	61 (57)	.044
Processed meat, g (SD)	33 (38)	30 (34)	.714
Chicken, g (SD)	28 (43)	21 (39)	.429
Egg, g (SD)	15 (28)	17 (26)	.770
Alcohol, g (SD)	5 (8)	5 (8)	.851
Tea, g (SD)	109 (143)	117 (198)	.814
Coffee, g (SD)	266 (203)	263 (177)	.935
Energy and nutrient intakes			
	1(00/201)	1542 (211)	261
Energy, kcal (SD)	1609 (381)	1543 (311)	.361
Protein, g (SD)	75 (24)	72 (17)	.445
g/kg BW/d	1.0 (.3)	.9 (.2)	.071
Carbohydrates, g (SD)	171 (47)	169 (34)	.827
Fat, g (SD)	65 (20)	60 (19)	.215
Vitamin D, µg (SD)	10 (8)	7 (5)	.041
Vitamin E, mg (SD)	11 (5)	9 (4)	.063
Iron, mg, (SD)	10 (3)	10 (3)	.888

\*Difference between higher and no-fall group and fall-group was tested with independent t-test in even

263 distributed variables and Mann-Whitney U test for unevenly distributed variables, differences between

categorical variables were tested with chi-square-test. SD = Standard deviation, g = gram; mg = milligram;

266

260

- 268
- 269

 $<sup>\</sup>mu g = microgram.$ 

Table 3. ANCOVA models of factors associated with higher waist circumference

		95% confidence interval		
	В	Lower Bound	<b>Upper Bound</b>	<b>P-value</b>
Model 1				
Intercept	129.085	82.426	175.745	<.001
Age	287	821	.246	.288
Falls; no falls vs. falls	-3.851	-7.370	331	.032
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	.032			
Model 2				
Intercept	86.024	32.617	139.43	.002
Age				
Falls; no falls vs. falls	-4.140	-7.578	702	.019
MNA	1.939	.689	3.189	.003
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	.103			
Model 3				
Intercept	80.484	27.735	133.233	.003
Age	069	612	.476	.805
Falls; no falls vs. falls	-4.392	-7.775	-1.009	.011
MNA	1.857	.632	3.083	.003
Protein intake, g	.079	.010	.148	.026
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	.134			

271 MNA = Mini Nutritional Assessment; Bold value indicates the amount of observed variation that can be

explained by the model's inputs