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A CLINICAL REVIEW: CONSTIPATION IN ULCERATIVE COLITIS

Abstract

Clinical experience suggests that there is a cohort of patients with refractory colitis who do have faecal stasis that contributes to symptoms. The underlying physiology is poorly understood, partly because until recently the technology to examine segmental colonic motility hasn’t existed. Patients are given little information on how proximal faecal stasis can complicate colitis. Treatment guidelines are scanty and many patients are offered little apart from laxatives and advice on increasing fibre intake, which often makes symptoms worse. This article aims to review the history, pathology, and management, and create impetus for future research on this underappreciated condition.

Background

The development of symptoms of constipation (‘proximal constipation’) is well recognised in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC)[1-4], typically in the setting of active left-sided and distal disease. The presumed pathogenesis is faecal stasis proximal to the diseased segment, and uncomfortable symptoms of constipation can occur alongside symptoms of a UC flare. However, as constipation can occur in patients with pancolitis, as well as those with distal disease [5], the term “proximal constipation” may be misrepresentative. A previously proposed term “Ulcerative colitis-associated constipation” (UCAC) is more appropriate and will be adopted (6) for the purposes of this review.

The concept of disease extent influencing the tendency to UCAC was recognised in the 1950s. Disease extent was noted to influence the stool form and consistency [7]: when the disease was restricted to the distal colon, difficult voiding was seen more with normal rather than loose stool. A classic case series published in the 1960s, when barium enema was a commonly used diagnostic tool [3], included six cases of left-sided colitis with evidence of faecal loading on barium study; in some cases adequate treatment of constipation was required for successful treatment of the colitis. In one case barium was retained for five weeks in the right side of the colon and in another the constipation caused subacute intestinal obstruction. Notably, the faecal loading was clinically unexpected in the majority of cases.

While the concept of UCAC is generally accepted, identification of UCAC based on symptoms alone may not be accurate. Tenesmus and left iliac fossa discomfort due to constipation can be confused with disease activity, and this may result in
unnecessary investigation and treatment escalation. Constipation should always be considered in cases of refractory distal UC [1,8]. Constipation symptoms occur in between a third and a half of patients with UC [6,9]. There is no correlation with stool consistency or frequency in flaring UC patients identified with constipation in transit studies [10]. However, others have observed that constipation symptoms are present in a similar proportion of patients with quiescent disease [11]. There is anecdotal [12], case series [3] and study [13] evidence that adequate treatment of UCAC can improve outcomes, including achieving clinical remission. In addition to symptomatic benefit and achieving remission, adequate treatment of UCAC may conceivably help avoid unnecessary treatment escalation to immunomodulators and biologics.

Clinical presentation

In the absence of an accepted definition for UCAC, diagnosis is usually made on clinical grounds coupled with a plain abdominal radiograph (AXR), but both these domains are of questionable value. A positive response to laxative treatment may also be suggestive.

Symptoms and Questionnaires

UCAC is typically suspected in patients with a flare of left-sided UC with PR bleeding associated with symptoms suggestive of constipation with passage of hard stool, bloating, excessive flatus with the sensation of incomplete emptying. However, the overlapping symptoms of a flare and constipation including pain and tenesmus make diagnosis of UCAC on clinical grounds difficult. We know that stool consistency and frequency don’t necessarily correlate with UCAC as demonstrated by transit study in UC flares [10], so judgment on symptoms alone is likely to be inaccurate. UCAC can occur in times of remission as well so the typical perception of the patient with UCAC may be incorrect.

Available definitions for constipation in the general population such as Rome III criteria have been adopted and modified to help define UCAC. (Table 1) [6]. The threshold symptom duration, with a minimum of 3 days a month, is low which could explain the high prevalence of 46% found compared with the previously mentioned studies [6]. However, with these criteria all patients had supportive AXR findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Modified Rome III criteria for defining UCAC. At least 2 symptoms for &gt; 3 days for at least 3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Bloating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Excessive or troublesome wind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal cramping pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reduced frequency of defecation compared with patient’s own frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Passage of hard or dry stool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Straining at stool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sensation of incomplete defecation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreover, Rome criteria IV have superseded this, other more useful tools are available for the assessment of constipation [14]. The PAC-SYM questionnaire is regularly used in research and may provide a better framework for a definition. It has 11 symptom components each having a score weighting from 1-5 (absent to severe) (Table 2). This allows for a more detailed assessment of symptoms, particularly severity, as well as using patient reported outcomes. Modification of this questionnaire with thresholds for symptom severity may be a more effective tool in identifying UCAC in clinical practice, research and assessing treatment response.

**Table 2: PAC-SYM Questionnaire**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How severe have each of these symptoms been in the last 2 weeks</th>
<th>Absent (0)</th>
<th>Mild (1)</th>
<th>Moderate (2)</th>
<th>Severe (3)</th>
<th>Very severe (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discomfort in your abdomen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain in your abdomen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach cramps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painful bowel movements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal burning during or after a bowel movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete bowel movement, like you didn't &quot;finish&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel movements that were too hard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel movements that were too small</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straining or squeezing to try to pass bowel movements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling like you have to pass a bowel movement but you couldn't</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Abdominal Radiograph**

The AXR is the most routinely used investigation for UCAC but has significant limitations. It provides only a snapshot of faecal burden dependent on time of last meal and defecation, and provides no dynamic assessment of transit. Its performance in the assessment of constipation is unsatisfactory and its clinical utility questionable [15-17]. One study demonstrated a marked disagreement between observers in up to 18% of AXRs viewed [15]; it utilised a formal scoring system [18] for assessing faecal burden demarcating the colon into 3 segments (right colon, left colon and rectosigmoid colon) using anatomic landmarks, with a score of 0-5 in each sector. Notably, even when used as a supportive tool, it doesn’t correlate faecal loading with symptom severity. This is a time consuming and not routinely utilized assessment.

It is hard to justify repeated AXRs in clinical practice given the ionizing radiation exposure and legislation attempting to protect patients from unnecessary exposure [19]. Other diagnostic tools are very much needed.

**Pathophysiology of UCAC**

UC is increasingly considered a progressive disease with chronic inflammation causing accumulation of damage over time [20]. Earlier aggressive treatment may avoid sequelae including dysmotility and propensity to the development of UCAC.” The accumulation of gut wall structural damage and histological disturbance results in changes in the enteric nervous system and hence colonic motility [20-22].

**Altered enteric nervous system**

The enteric nervous system is a complex regulatory system important in effective colonic motor function. It co-ordinates timed colonic propulsive smooth muscle contractions as well as segmental contractions, allowing passage of stool aborally.

In UC the inflammation-driven morphological and quantitative changes may involve the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC) [20,21], the pacemaker cells that determine the maximal contraction frequency of each gut region. Myenteric ganglia are also morphologically altered in mouse models of UC [21,23]. Myenteric neurons have a critical role in peristalsis [24], so their injury is likely to alter motility and predispose to symptoms.

When exposed to inflammation enteric nervous system abnormalities can persist even after recovery from inflammation [23], which may explain the tendency to UCAC even in times of remission.
Altered colonic motility and transit in UC

Motility and transit are clearly linked but are differing concepts, and in simple terms, represent physiological and clinical phenomena, respectively. Colonic motility is circadian in nature and more active in daylight hours [22]. Colonic contents are moved predominantly by two types of contraction, the high amplitude propagated contractions (HAPC) and low amplitude propagated contractions (LAPC). Both are important in propulsion of colonic contents, with HAPCs being more important in propulsion of solid stool [22] from the ascending colon to the rectosigmoid junction. HAPCs typically occur 6 times a day [25]. There is also physiological retrograde propulsion of colonic contents, critical in water reabsorption, stool formation and continence maintenance.

In quiescent UC, manometric studies demonstrated an increase only in LAPCs compared to controls [26]. By contrast in active UC, 24 hour manometry demonstrated an increased number of HAPC and LAPC compared to control, in a study where the majority had a left-sided disease distribution [27]. A disordered gastro-colic reflex – a phenomenon mediated by a combination of autonomic and humoral factors - has been reported with reduced post-prandial colonic motility in response to meals in patients with active left-sided UC [28].

These findings suggest that motility differs in times of remission and active disease, which in turn could affect the tendency to UCAC. Considering that reduced HAPC is well recognised in slow transit constipation [29], the finding of increased HAPC in active UC is the likely substrate of the increased stool frequency and liquidity at such times. It is possible that in patients with UCAC during active phases, this increased contractility is ineffective in altering transit.

Colonic transit studies using markers and plain abdominal radiographs suggest that passage of markers is slow through the right side of the colon, however, passage through the diseased segment tends to be rapid [30]. Remarkably, one study showed that a proportion of patients with active UC had total colonic transit times greater than 1 week using this methodology [12]. Slow proximal transit has also been corroborated by radiotelemetry capsule studies in UC patients with constipation where transit could take 5 days across the right side of the colon [31] and in patients with severe UC [32]. Slowed proximal transit may not normalize once clinical remission has been achieved, again highlighting that disturbances can persist once inflammation has resolved [32].

Demonstrable changes in colonic motility in UC patients aren't entirely consistent [20, 22]. This is likely related to case heterogeneity and small study numbers. A further factor for manometric studies is that catheters used in older studies were inaccurate as sensors were spaced too far apart, limiting spatial resolution of contractile activity [29].

As with other painful anorectal conditions, puborectal dyssynergia, may be a contributing factor to UCAC. Normal pelvic muscle function with coordinated contraction and relaxation is important in the process of effective defecation.
This condition is well recognised in IBD patients [33,34] and in one study 45% of patients were diagnosed with an evacuatory disorder [33].

In summary, UCAC probably involves delayed right-sided transit in active UC resulting in a build-up of stool that becomes progressively more desiccated. The underlying motility issue is unclear, but may be related to reduced or ineffective/uncoordinated contractions, or increased number of retrograde contractions that result in impaired transit and development of UCAC.

**Consequences of disturbed motility and transit on drug distribution**

UCAC and altered motility may be part of the underlying mechanism in studies that suggest drug delivery to the diseased segment is impaired during disease activity. A study in active UC [13] measured serum sulphapyridine levels as a proxy for adequate sulphalsalazine dosing. In patients who had satisfactory levels but ongoing endoscopically confirmed disease activity, all achieved clinical remission with the introduction of hydrophilic colloid and bran without a dosage change. The authors described these patients as "constipated colitics". The findings suggest that UCAC may impair delivery of the active metabolite to the inflamed distal colon with diminished therapeutic effect.

Regional distribution of orally administered eudragit-coated resin was examined in patients with active left-sided UC and healthy controls [35]. It found higher levels in the right side of the colon in UC patients (54% versus 36%) with significantly reduced levels in the left side of the colon (10% versus 31%) that suggests impairment in drug delivery in active disease.

**Investigation**

A palpable colon on clinical examination isn't by itself evidence of constipation and the limited clinical utility of the abdominal radiograph has already been discussed. Although rarely used for patients suspected to have constipation in UC, AXR colonic transit studies are readily available and provide an assessment on transit as well as a snapshot of faecal burden. Interpretation depends on methodology used, but movement of markers seems to be dependent on mass transit associated with HAPCs. However, transit studies haven't been validated in IBD and the use of transit studies in this setting requires research. They aren't used routinely at our institution currently.

Most other available tests have clear limitations and aren't routinely used. CT can provide a snapshot of faecal burden but isn't justifiable due to significant ionising radiation. Colonic scintigraphy can be used to assess transit, however, its availability is limited to specialist centres, requires multiple visits and exposure to ionizing radiation. Colonic manometry remains a research tool and can give insights into colonic motility.

Telemetric capsule isn't used routinely; it has been used to investigate intestinal transit in UC as well as acute severe UC (ASUC) [31,32] but its use is limited by cost and availability.
Anorectal manometry (including high resolution) may provide important pathophysiological information on sphincter tone and recto-anal reflexes, thus identifying patients with dyssynergic defecation and guiding tailored biofeedback programs [36].

MRI isn't currently routinely used but is being used increasingly in research to assess intestinal motility [37,38], including in constipation [39] and assessment of treatment response in Crohn's disease [40]. MRI as an investigative technique is appealing in IBD given its lack of ionizing radiation exposure and safety. So-called "Cine MRI" captures bowel motility at high temporal resolution (e.g., 2 images per second) and provides dynamic assessment of regional and global motility. [37,41]. In addition to assessing faecal burden at a point in time, software is now available that can quantify regional luminal contents that could help objectively measure it in UCAC [41]. There is the potential for an array of simultaneous assessments that could be performed including colonic motility, transit, colonic volume and contents. Currently, it has the potential to provide significant insights into the pathophysiology of UCAC in research and may have a role in future clinical practice. For example, with this data we may be able to identify which patients may benefit from pro-kineties rather than osmotic laxatives. If information from disease activity on the scan could be obtained simultaneously then an invasive endoscopic evaluation may be avoided.
Management

Guidelines on managing UCAC are sparse. The BSG makes mention of it being a common problem that it may contribute to treatment refractory proctitis [2]. It suggests that functional bowel symptoms including constipation are common during remission. ECCO similarly advise to assess for unrecognised constipation in refractory proctitis and distal colitis. ECCO recommends that an abdominal radiograph maybe useful in assessment and if visible faecal loading then a laxative should be considered [1]. There isn’t specific recommendation on diet, type or duration of laxative, or other drugs such as pro-kinetics in either guideline. There are no randomised controlled trials on the treatment of this condition.

Basic measures that may help include training to use the toilet at routine times, making use of the gastro-colic response when there is increased colonic motility, to attempt defecation approximately 30 minutes after meals or after waking [42].

Increasing dietary fibre, including bulk forming laxatives can be considered. Though widely used, the role of increasing dietary fibre in the management of UCAC isn’t clear. Increased soluble fibre can be beneficial in other patient groups with constipation and may be beneficial in managing UCAC, however the data for insoluble fibre is conflicting [36] [43]. Sterculia has been used commonly in the management of UCAC [6], and there is some evidence that both bran and hydrophilic colloid in UCAC can help in achieving remission without the alteration of UC medication [13]. Thus a trial of ispaghula husk (or methylcellulose) in addition to dietary amendments may be a consideration.

Laxatives can be difficult to accept for a patient with a diarrhoea causing condition. This is especially the case if incorrectly diagnosed and a patient only experiences the side effects. There is no consensus on optimal duration and class of laxative. In an Australian study of 125 patients the most commonly used laxative in patients with UCAC was macrocol and less frequently used were magnesium sulphate and picosulfate [6]. In refractory distal colitis with suspected constipation, the use of one or two sachets of picolax or fleet phosphosoda has also been proposed [8]. Ideally therapeutic trials should be informed by physiology but this isn’t currently the case, and this a future clinical goal.

If the primary problem in UCAC is mechanical obstruction by colonic contents then osmotic laxatives are likely to be most beneficial. Anecdotally, therapeutic trials of movicol can be effective. In this context, a high fibre diet may be unhelpful, and this may explain our centre’s experience that increased fibre intake can have deleterious effects.

If the primary problem is disordered motility then pro-kinetics such as prucalopride, with a pan-enteric effect, may be a sensible treatment. In addition to prucalopride, bisacodyl also can induce HAPC’s [44, 45]. In the context of a current lack of a supportive diagnostic test a trial short therapeutic trial could be
considered as second line, or in conjunction with a typically used osmotic laxative. Intestinal secretagogues such as linaclotide may also be considered in laxative refractory cases, although there is no specific evidence for its use in UC [46].

Defecatory disorders, including pelvic dyssynergia are common in patients with IBD [47] and must be considered especially when there is failure of mentioned management interventions. It is treatable with biofeedback that can alleviate pelvic floor muscle dysfunction and help with symptoms of constipation as well as other troublesome symptoms such as anal incontinence [33,34].

There is a well-described but incompletely understood interplay between the gut and brain, and functional gut symptoms are common in IBD and should be considered as part of holistic management approach. This is especially true when there is a co-existent psychological disorder, but needs to be considered even when there is not. There is evidence for the effectiveness of low FODMAP diet in the setting of IBD [48]. Antidepressants and psychological therapies may offer benefit [49].

Anecdotal recommendations for managing UCAC include the use of formulations of mesalazine such as olsalazine that may accelerate transit time [50].

UCAC is recognised in the setting of ASUC. Plain abdominal X-ray is not a useful modality in this setting, either to assess disease extent or presence of proximal faecal loading [51,52]. However, there is a paucity of evidence in the effectiveness of its treatment. Furthermore, laxative therapy can complicate assessment of treatment response.

We suggest an outline of a management algorithm in table 3.

**Conclusion and future outlook**

UCAC is a well-recognised and common problem and currently hindered by issues with diagnosis and management. We lack a validated symptomatic definition, a sensitive and specific diagnostic tool, an understanding of the underlying pathophysiology, and an evidence-based management strategy (see suggested research questions table 4).

Although research and clinical investigation of UCAC is methodologically difficult, it is surprising this topic receives such limited attention in the literature, particularly as the issue has been previously shortlisted as an important research question in the IBD community [4].

We would recommend studies into the use of questionnaires such as PAC-SYM in future attempts to devise a symptomatic definition, although a validated UCAC dedicated questionnaire capturing the unique characteristic of the condition would be desirable. There is also a need to research correlations between
symptom clusters and objective diagnostic findings to develop a validated clinical definition for UCAC.

The AXR is a non-specific and non-sensitive diagnostic marker for UCAC and we wouldn't recommend its use. Given the current lack of viable alternative, colonic AXR transit studies could be a helpful tool for diagnosing UCAC but requires validation. It may give additional information on regional and total colonic transit, including pelvic dyssynergia. We propose that cine and quantitative MRI has great potential to improve understanding of the pathophysiology and for assessment and diagnosis of UCAC in future clinical practice. Concurrent assessment of disease activity may also be a possibility, avoiding endoscopic investigation.

Improved definitions and diagnostic methods of identifying constipation and assessing colonic motility would help characterize UCAC. With better identification and understanding of the pathology we could better optimize treatment. It remains unclear which strategies of osmotic non-stimulant laxatives, stimulant laxatives, pro-kinetics or increasing dietary fibre provides optimal outcomes. The current practice of blind therapeutic trials is unsatisfactory.

Prevention and treatment of UCAC may improve aminosalicylate luminal distribution and efficacy in addition to symptomatic benefit. It is conceivable that there are patients who are escalated to thiopurines or even a biologic because this condition hasn't been suitably addressed. Preventing exposure to side effects of unnecessary medications as well as cost implications make satisfactory treatment appealing. How truly common and clinically important a problem this condition is yet to be ascertained. For now it remains a headache in clinical practice.

Table 4. Future research questions include:

1. What is the underlying motility disturbance in this condition?
2. What is the optimal diagnostic test to diagnose UCAC?
3. What is the optimal diet or dietary intervention for this condition?
4. What is the optimal laxative and pharmacological treatment strategy for this condition?

Key points

1. Assessment of UCAC is currently inaccurate and AXR is not recommended, AXR transit studies may provide more useful information.
2. Chronic inflammation has significant effects on the enteric nervous system, colonic structure and motility that may predispose to UCAC.
3. Management currently is not evidence based and includes consideration of laxatives, pro-kinetics and biofeedback.
4. Adequate treatment of UCAC may help achieve clinical remission in flares and may help avoid unnecessary treatment escalation.
5. New diagnostic tools are required and cine MRI could play a future role.
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