NAME OF PRESENTING AUTHOR: Biyao Wang

EMAIL ADDRESS OF PRESENTING AUTHOR: biyao.wang@ucl.ac.uk

LOCATION OF PRESENTING AUTHOR: Europe

TIME ZONE OF PRESENTING AUTHOR: British Summer Time

TYPE OF SUBMISSION: Poster

MEMBER STATUS: Non-member

ELIGIBLE FOR THOMPSON AWARD: Yes/No

ELIGIBLE FOR ROWEWARD: Yes/No

TITLE:

The impact of genetic nurture on educational attainment: a meta-analysis

FULL AUTHOR LIST: Biyao Wang¹, Jessie Baldwin¹, Tabea Schoeler¹, Jean-Baptiste Pingault^{1,2}

AFFILIATIONS: ¹ Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London, London, United Kingdom ² Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS:

Educational Attainment; genetic nurture; polygenic score; family-based study; meta-analysis

ABSTRACT:

Research on the intergenerational transmission of complex traits such as Educational Attainment (EA) is often confounded by the mixture of genetic effects and environmental effects as parents both transmit genes and create environments for their offspring. The

phenomenon of parental non-transmitted alleles affecting offspring outcomes is called 'genetic nurture'' (Kong et al. 2018). Genotyped families of parent-child pairs or motherfather-child trios allow us to identify the effect of genetic nurture by testing whether parents' genetics predict child outcomes over and above children's genetics. Here, we conducted the first meta-analysis to quantify the effect of genetic nurture on EA. Multilevel random-effects models and meta-regression were employed to (a) estimate the associations between parental genotype and child genotype with children's EA, (b) estimate the effect of genetic nurture on the associations between genotype and EA. We identified 52 estimates from 9 studies of genotyped families (N=19316). We found that child EA was predicted by both parental genotype (beta=0.20, 95% CI [0.16, 0.25]) and child genotype (beta=0.24, 95% CI [0.20, 0.28]). Genetic nurture had a small effect on child EA, as parental genotype predicted child EA over and above child genotype (beta_{adjusted}=0.08, 95% CI [0.06, 0.10]), while the effect of child genotype attenuated after controlling for genetic nurture (beta_{adjusted}=0.15, 95% CI [0.11, 0.20]). These results demonstrate a consistent effect of genetic nurture on child EA across studies and highlight the impact of intergenerational transmission through environmental pathways. Reference:

Kong et al. (2018). The nature of nurture: Effects of parental genotypes. Science, 359(6374), 424-428.

GRANT SUPPORT:
