Abstract A previous study showed that wearing an obese body suit results in increased snack food consumption. The aim of this study was to explore mechanisms that may explain the effect that wearing an obese body suit has on snack food consumption. We examined two potential explanations; that the psychosocial experience of being overweight resulted in stereotype consistent behaviour (overeating) or in impairments to self-control. Ninety-four women participated in a laboratory study in which they were asked to wear an obese body suit or control clothing in a public setting, before being given access to snack food and alcohol. Clothing condition had no effect on snack food or alcohol consumption. It is possible that the presence of alcohol in the taste test removed the previously observed effect of the obese body suit on snack food consumption. Key Words: Obese Body Suit; Obesity; Weight Stigma; Eating Behaviour

Introduction

51

The stigma attached to heavier body weights is pervasive (Puhl & Brownell, 2001; Puhl & 52 Heuer, 2009). Identifying oneself as being overweight is associated with depression (Roberts 53 & Duong, 2013), maladaptive eating behaviours (Jones, Grilo, Masheb & White, 2010) and 54 weight gain (Robinson, Hunger & Daly, 2015). These studies suggest that the psychosocial 55 experience of identifying as overweight is associated with worse weight management. One 56 57 plausible pathway is that the stigma of identifying as being overweight can cause overeating. In line with this, an experimental study has shown that taking on the psychosocial experience 58 59 of being overweight by wearing an obese body suit can affect eating behaviour. In this study, wearing an obese body suit, relative to control clothing, resulted in increased snack food 60 consumption in women (Incollingo Rodriguez, Heldreth & Tomiyama, 2016). 61 62 Here we examined why the psychosocial experience of being overweight results in greater snack food consumption. Previous studies have shown that perspective taking can 63 result in people displaying stereotype consistent behaviours (Ku, Wang & Galinsky, 2010). 64 For example, taking the perspective of an elderly person resulted in participants walking 65 more slowly (Ku et al., 2010). As such, one possible explanation for the increase in snack 66 food consumption when wearing an obese body suit is that an individual may engage in 67 stereotype consistent behaviour, such as overeating (Brochu & Esses, 2011). Alternatively, 68 69 anticipating rejection or stigma can lead to decreases in self-control (Baumeister, Dewall, 70 Ciarocco & Twenge, 2005; Inzlicht, Mckay & Aronson, 2006). In one study exposure to weight stigmatising messages, relative to neutral messages, led to increased food 71 consumption amongst women who self-identified as being overweight (Major, Hunger, 72 73 Bunyan & Miller, 2013). As such, an alternative explanation for the effect of the obese body suit on consumption, is that the experience of being overweight can lead to anticipated stigma 74 and decreases in self-control (Baumeister et al., 2005; Major et al., 2013). 75

76 In the present study women wore an obese body suit or control clothing in a public setting before completing a bogus taste test in which they were asked to taste and rate snack foods 77 and alcohol. If stereotype consistent behaviour (Ku et al., 2010) is responsible for increases in 78 snack food consumption when wearing an obese body suit (Incollingo Rodriguez et al., 79 2016), then we would predict that there would be an increase in snack food consumption, but 80 not alcohol consumption, in the obese body suit condition relative to the control condition. 81 This is because unlike overeating (Brochu & Esses, 2011), we presume increased alcohol 82 consumption is not a commonly held stereotype about individuals with overweight and 83 84 obesity. However, if the effect of the obese body suit on snack food consumption was due to decreases in self-control as a result of anticipated stigma (Baumeister et al., 2005; Major et 85 al., 2013), we predict that there would be increases in both snack food and alcohol 86 87 consumption in the obese body suit condition relative to the control condition. We also examined a series of other psychological mechanisms that could explain the 88 effect of the psychosocial experience of overweight on snack food consumption shown in a 89 previous study (Incollingo Rodriguez et al., 2016). Identifying as overweight is associated 90 with negative affect (Al Mamun et al., 2007; Roberts & Duong, 2013) and eliciting negative 91 affect has led to increased consumption in a number of studies (Agras & Telch, 1998; Chua, 92 Touyz & Hill, 2004; Schotte, Cools & McNally, 1990). Body appearance concerns could also 93 94 mediate the effect of the obese body suit on snack food consumption as identification of 95 overweight has been associated with high body appearance concerns and low self-esteem (Miller & Downey, 1999). Furthermore, body appearance concerns (Ackard, Neumark-96 Sztainer, Story & Perry, 2003; Matos, Aranha, Faria, Ferreira & Teresa, 2002) and low self-97 98 esteem (Ackard et al., 2003; Martyn-Nemeth, Penckofer, Gulanick, Velsor-Friedrich & Bryant, 2009) are associated with binge eating and maladaptive eating strategies. Thus, 99

wearing an obese body suit may increase negative affect, reduce self-esteem or increase body appearance concerns which in turn could increase snack food consumption.

There are also a number of individual differences that could moderate the effect of the obese body suit on snack food consumption. Individuals with higher trait levels of body dissatisfaction may be more sensitive to situational cues which activate negative body image schemas (Cash, Skinner, Rotter & Bandura, 2012) than those who are more satisfied with their bodies. Furthermore individuals with high levels of dietary restraint may be more likely to eat in response to negative affect (Schotte et al., 1990) and so may be more sensitive to the obese body suit manipulation, as it has been shown to induce negative affect in a previous study (Incollingo Rodriguez et al., 2016). Similarly, those who are able to reappraise negative emotion may be less inclined to eat in response to negative affect than those who attempt to suppress negative affect (Evers, Stok & Ridder, 2010), so we examined whether emotional regulation moderated the relationship between obese body suit and snack food consumption.

Materials and Methods

Sample

The eligibility criteria for participation were: women aged 18 or over with no history of food allergies or eating disorders. We asked participants to refrain from eating for two hours before the study to ensure baseline hunger was balanced across groups. Data was collected at the University of Liverpool by three research assistants. Participants were recruited through an experiment participation requirement system, in which first year undergraduate psychology students participate in experiments for course credit. 94 women took part in the study, the sample's age ranged from 18 - 30 years old (M = 18.62, SD = 1.00) and the sample's BMI ranged from 14.57 - 33.19 (M = 21.17, SD = 4.42). This study was approved by the University of Liverpool ethics committee (Ref: 0567).

125	Measures
126	Effortful Self-Control: Participants were instructed to keep a piece of paper clamped between
127	a handgrip for as long as they could. The researcher recorded how much time passed before
128	the participant loosened their grip and the paper fell out. This task is used as a measure of
129	effortful self-control as the participant will experience muscular ache when clamping the
130	handgrip shut and must override their instinct to loosen their grip (Vohs, Baumeister &
131	Ciarocco, 2005).
132	
133	Inhibitory Control: Participants completed two Stroop tasks, both of which contained the
134	words "blue", "yellow", "red" and "green" repeated 20 times in coloured ink incongruent to
135	the word written. Participants were instructed to read aloud the ink colour rather than the
136	word which was written and the researcher recorded the time taken to do so. The semantic
137	meaning of words generally holds more value than the colour in which they are printed so the
138	participant must override their instinct to read the word meaning rather than the ink colour.
139	The Stroop task is a widely used measure of inhibitory control (Inzlicht & Gutsell, 2007).
140	
141	Body Anxiety: The Physical Appearance State Anxiety Scale (PASTAS) (Reed & Thompson,
142	1991) was used to assess body anxiety. Participants rated how anxious, tense or nervous they
143	felt about 16 body parts (e.g. stomach) on a 5 point Likert scale from "not at all" to
144	"exceptionally so".
145	
146	State Self Esteem: The appearance subscale of the State Self Esteem Scale (Heatherton &
147	Polivy, 1991) was used to assess self-esteem. Participants responded to 6 items (e.g. "I feel
148	satisfied with the way my body looks right now") on a 5 point Likert scale where 1 represents
149	"not at all" and 5 represents "extremely".

150	Affect: The Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS) (Watson & Clark, 1988) was used
151	to assess affect. Participants rated the extent to which they felt 10 positively (e.g. interested)
152	and 10 negatively (e.g. irritable) valanced emotions on a 5 point Likert scale of "very slightly
153	or not at all" to "extremely".
154	
155	Self-Presentation Concerns: A self-presentation concerns questionnaire was created based on
156	a previous study (Incollingo Rodriguez et al., 2016). Participants responded to 5 questions
157	that asked about their experience whilst wearing the study clothing (e.g. "I felt conscious of
158	my appearance" and "I felt like people were making negative judgements about me") on a 5
159	point Likert scale from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree". The 5 items were averaged to
160	produce a single score.
161	
162	Explicit Perception of Overweight: In order to examine explicit perception of overweight,
163	participants recorded if they felt larger than usual, heavier than usual and overweight during
164	the study on a 7 point Likert scale from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree". The 3 items
165	were averaged to provide a single score.
166	
167	Trait Body Satisfaction: The Body Satisfaction Scale (Slade, Dewey, Newton, Brodie &
168	Kiemle, 1990) was used to assess trait body satisfaction. Participants rated how satisfied they
169	were with 7 body parts (e.g. legs) on a 7 point Likert scale from "very dissatisfied" to "very
170	satisfied".
171	
172	Trait Dietary Restraint: The English version of the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire
173	(DEBQ) (Strien, Bergers & Defares, 1986) was used to assess trait dietary restraint.

174 Participants responded to 10 items (e.g. "If you have put on weight, do you eat less than you usually do?") on a five point Likert scale from "never" to "very often". 175 176 Emotional Regulation; The Emotional Regulation Questionnaire (Gross & John, 2003) 177 measures ability to suppress emotional responses (expressive suppression, e.g. "I keep my 178 emotions to myself") and reappraise situations to think of them in a more positive way 179 (cognitive reappraisal, e.g. "I control my emotions by changing the way I think about the 180 situation I am in"). Participants responded to 10 items on a 7 point Likert scale from 181 182 "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree". 183 Bogus Taste Test: Participants were provided with two bowls containing 151g of chocolate 184 185 digestive biscuits and 151g of Maryland chocolate chip cookies, as well as a 175ml glass of red wine and a 200ml glass of water. They were also given two taste perception 186 questionnaires that asked participants to compare the two cookies and the two drinks on a 187 series of sensory properties (e.g. "cookie a/cookie b was crunchy" or 'the wine/water was 188 rich'). Participants were told that they could eat and drink as much or as little as they liked 189 but that they would need to try at least a small amount of each item in order to complete the 190 questionnaires. Participants were left with the food and drinks for 10 minutes. The bowls 191 192 were weighed before and after participants completed the taste perception task and snack 193 food consumption (in grams) was recorded. Similarly, the amount of wine was recorded before and after the taste test and alcohol consumed (in ml) was recorded. The bogus taste 194 test has been shown to be a valid measure of snack food consumption (Robinson et al., 2017). 195 196 197 198

Procedure

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

Participants were told that the aim of the study was to examine the effect of physical appearance on time perception. Participants provided written informed consent before completing baseline measures of trait dietary restraint, body satisfaction, emotional regulation, affect, effortful self-control and inhibitory control. Participants were then randomly assigned to one of two conditions and were asked to wear either an obese body suit with clothing or control clothing that matched the clothing in the obese body suit condition (See Figure 1). Participants also wore a backpack that was empty (obese body suit) or contained a 1kg weight (control) to control for the weight of the body suit. After this participants were told that their next task would be randomly assigned, they were asked to select one slip of paper from a box containing five in order to determine which task they would complete. In reality, all of these tasks were the same and participants were led to believe the task was randomly assigned in order to distract them from the study aims. All participants were then given a route around a relatively busy university building and were asked to find coloured pieces of paper on route. After this, participants completed measures of affect, self-esteem, body anxiety, effortful self-control and inhibitory control. Participants were then asked to select their second 'random task' in the same way as task one. All participants then completed the bogus taste test. Participants were asked to guess the aims of the study, completed measures of self-presentation concern and their explicit perception of overweight. Participants then removed the study clothing, height and weight were measured and participants were debriefed.

220

219

221

222



Figure 1. Clothing participants were in the obese body suit (top) and control (bottom) conditions.

Analysis

Two independent t tests were planned that examined the effect of clothing condition on snack food consumption (grams) and alcohol consumption (ml). Correlation analysis was planned to examine whether any of the proposed mediators (e.g. body anxiety, self-esteem, self-presentation concerns, explicit perception of overweight, effortful self-control, inhibitory control and affect) were associated with snack food or alcohol consumption. If any of these factors were associated with snack food or alcohol consumption we planned to use PROCESS bootstrapped mediation analysis (Hayes, 2013) to examine whether any of these mechanisms mediated the relationship between clothing condition and snack food or alcohol consumption. We also planned PROCESS moderation analyses to examine whether body satisfaction, dietary restraint or emotional regulation (cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression) moderated the effect of the obese body suit on snack food or alcohol consumption.

Results

Snack food and alcohol consumption

There were no significant differences between the obese body suit and control conditions on

snack food consumption [t (92) = -.53, p = .596, d = .11] or alcohol consumption [t (92) =

.92, p = .361, d = .19]. See Table 1 for snack food and alcohol consumption data.

246

247

248

249

245

241

242

243

Table 1.

Snack food and alcohol consumption data for participants in the obese body suit and control

conditions. Values are mean (standard de	eviation).	
--	------------	--

	Obese Body Suit	Control
Snack Food Consumption (grams)	39.08 (21.46)	41.54 (23.31)
Alcohol Consumption (ml)	31.75 (38.52)	25.70 (23.17)

250

251

252

253

254

255

Potential mediators

None of the potential mediators (e.g. body anxiety, self-esteem, self-presentation concerns, explicit perception of overweight, effortful self-control, inhibitory control and affect) were

significantly associated with snack food or alcohol consumption (See Table 2). As such the

conditions for mediation analysis were not met.

256

257

258

259

260

261

Potential moderators

There was no evidence that body dissatisfaction (snack food p = .406, Bias Corrected

Confidence Intervals (BCCI) = -2.21, .90; alcohol p = .465, BCCI = -3.53, 1.62), dietary

restraint (snack food p = .063, BCCI = -.07, 2.60; alcohol p = .127, BCCI = -.50, 3.94),

cognitive reappraisal (snack food p = .975, BCCI = -2.59, 2.51; alcohol p = .901, BCCI = -

2.71, 2.39) or expressive suppression (snack food p = .208, BCCI = -3.78, .84; alcohol p = .469, BCCI = -4.82, 2.24) moderated the relationship between clothing condition and snack food or alcohol consumption.

Table 2.

Correlations between snack food and alcohol consumption and body anxiety, self-esteem, self-presentation concerns, explicit perception of overweight, effortful self-control, inhibitory control, negative affect change and positive affect change (N = 94).

Mediator	Snack Food Consumption	Alcohol Consumption
Body Anxiety	r(94) =068, p = .513	r(94) = .014, p = .892
Self Esteem	r(94) = .061, p = .561	r(94) =040, p = .701
Self-Presentation Concerns	r (94) =067, p = .524	r(94) = .027, p = .800
Explicit Perception of Overweight	r(94) =021, p = .844	r(94) = .140, p = .179
Effortful Control Change	r(94) =091, p = .385	r (94) = .157, p = .132
Inhibitory Control	r(94) =062, p = .552	r(94) =088, p = .399
Negative Affect Change	r(94) = .016, p = .877	r(94) = .038, p = .716
Positive Affect Change	r(94) =100, p = .337	r(94) =075, p = .470

Discussion

Wearing an obese body suit did not affect snack food consumption or alcohol consumption during a bogus taste test. Furthermore there was no support for any of the potential mediators or moderators examined in this study.

These findings are in contrast with one other study (Incollingo Rodriguez et al., 2016) which showed that wearing an obese body suit leads to increased snack food consumption. In

this previous study the taste test did not include an alcoholic beverage and previous research
has shown that consuming alcohol, relative to consuming non-alcoholic beverages, can
increase the reward sensitivity of foods and lead to greater consumption of calorie dense
foods (Yeomans, 2010). As such, it may be that the inclusion of alcohol in the taste test led to
increased snack food consumption in both the obese body suit and control clothing condition
thereby masking the effect of the obese body suit on snack food consumption.
Acknowledgements
We thank Lottie Heimes, Sophie Phillips, and Hayley Morgan for their assistance with data
collection.

298 References

- 299 Ackard, D. M., Neumark-Sztainer, D., Story, M., & Perry, C. (2003). Overeating among
- 300 adolescents: Prevalence and associations with weight-related characteristics and
- psychological health. *Pediatrics*, 111(1), 67–74. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.111.1.67.
- 302 Agras, W. S., & Telch, C. F. (1998). The effects of caloric deprivation and negative affect on
- binge eating obese binge-eating disordered women. *Behavior Therapy*, 29(3), 491–503.
- 304 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894(98)80045-2.
- 305 Al Mamun, A., Cramb, S., McDermott, B. M., O'Callaghan, M., Najman, J. M., & Williams, G.
- M. (2007). Adolescents' perceived weight is associated with depression in young
- adulthood: A longitudinal study. *Obesity*, 15(12), 3097–3105.
- 308 https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2007.369.
- 309 Baumeister, R. F., Dewall, C. N., Ciarocco, N. J., & Twenge, J. M. (2005). Social exclusion
- impairs self-regulation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(4), 589–604.
- 311 https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.88.4.589.
- 312 Brochu, P. M., & Esses, V. M. (2011). What's in a name? The effects of the labels "fat" versus
- "overweight" on weight bias. The Journal of Applied Psychology, 41(8), 1981–2008.
- 314 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2011.00786.x.
- 315 Cash, T. F., Skinner, B. F., Rotter, J., & Bandura, A. (2012). Cognitive-behavioral perspectives
- on body image. *Encyclopedia of Body Image and Human Appearance* (1), 334-342.
- 317 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384925-0.00054-7.
- 318 Chua, J. L., Touyz, S., & Hill, A. J. (2004). Negative mood-induced overeating in obese binge
- eaters: an experimental study. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic*
- 320 *Disorders*, 28(4), 606–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0802595.

321 Evers, C., Stok, F. M., & de Ridder, D. T. D. (2010). Feeding your feelings: Emotional regulation strategies and emotional eating. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 322 323 36(6), 792 - 804. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167210371383. 324 Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: Implications for affect, relationships and well-being. Journal of Personality and Social 325 Psychology, 85(2), 348–362. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348. 326 327 Hayes, A. F. (2013). PROCESS: A versatile computational tool for observed variable 328 mediation, moderation, and conditional process modeling. Retrieved September 8, 2016, from http://www.afhayes.com/ 329 330 Heatherton, T. E., & Polivy, J. (1991). Development and validation of a scale for measuring state self-esteem. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 60(6), 895–910. 331 332 Incollingo Rodriguez, A. C., Heldreth, C. M., & Tomiyama, A. J. (2016). Putting on weight stigma: A randomized study of the effects of wearing a fat suit on eating, well-being, 333 and cortisol. *Obesity*, 24(9), 1892–1898. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21575. 334 335 Inzlicht, M., & Gutsell, J. (2007). Running on empty: Neural signals for self control failure. Psychological Science, 18(11), 933-937. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-336 9280.2007.02004.x. 337 338 Inzlicht, M., Mckay, L., & Aronson, J. (2006). Stigma as ego depletion: How being the target of prejudice affects self-control, Psychological Science, 17(3), 262–269. 10.1111/j.1467-339 9280.2006.01695.x. 340 341 Jones, M., Grilo, C. M., Masheb, R. M., & White, M. A. (2010). Psychological and behavioral correlates of excess weight: Misperception of obese status among persons with Class II 342

obesity. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 43(7), 628–632.

- 344 https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.20746.
- 345 Ku, G., Wang, C. S., & Galinsky, A. D. (2010). Perception through a perspective-taking lens:
- Differential effects on judgment and behavior. *Journal of Experimental Social*
- 347 *Psychology*, 46(5), 792–798. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2010.04.001.
- 348 Major, B., Hunger, J. M., Bunyan, D. P., & Miller, C. T. (2013). The ironic effects of weight
- stigma. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 51, 74-80.
- 350 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2013.11.009.
- 351 Martyn-Nemeth, P., Penckofer, S., Gulanick, M., Velsor-Friedrich, B., & Bryant, F. B. (2009).
- The relationships among self-esteem, stress, coping, eating behavior, and depressive
- mood in adolescents. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 32(1), 96–109.
- 354 https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20304.
- 355 Matos, M. I. R., Aranha, L. S., Faria, A. N., Ferreira, S. R. G., & Teresa, M. (2002). Binge
- eating disorder, anxiety, depression and body image in grade III obesity patients.
- 357 *Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria*, 24(4), 165–169. ISSN1809-452X.
- 358 Miller, C. T., & Downey, K. T. (1999). A meta-analysis of heavyweight and self-esteem.
- 359 *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 3*(1), 68–84.
- 360 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0301_4.
- 361 Puhl, R., & Brownell, K. D. (2001). Bias, discrimination and obesity. Obesity Research, 9(12),
- 362 788–805. 10.1038/oby.2001.108.
- 363 Puhl, R. M., & Heuer, C. A. (2009). The stigma of obesity: A review and update. *Epidemiology*,
- 364 17(5), 941-964. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2008.636.
- 365 Reed, D. L., & Thompson, J. K. (1991). Development and validation of the physical appearance
- state and trait anxiety scale (PASTAS). *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 5, 323–332.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0887-6185(91)90032-O.

- 368 Roberts, R. E., & Duong, H. T. (2013). Perceived weight, not obesity, increases risk for major depression among adolescents. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 47(8), 1110–1117 369 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.03.019 370 371 Robinson, E., Haynes, A., Hardman, C. A., Kemps, E., Higgs, S., & Jones, A. (2017). The bogus taste test: Validity as a measure of laboratory food intake. *Appetite*, 116, 223–231. 372 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.002 373 374 Robinson, E., Hunger, J. M., & Daly, M. (2015). Perceived weight status and risk of weight gain across life in US and UK adults. International Journal of Obesity, 39(12), 1721–6. 375 https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2015.143 376 377 Schotte, D. E., Cools, J., & McNally, R. J. (1990). Film-induced negative affect triggers overeating in restrained eaters. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 99(3), 317–320. 378 https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.99.3.317 379 380 Slade, P. D., Dewey, M. E., Newton, T., Brodie, D., & Kiemle, G. (1990). Development and preliminary validation of the body satisfaction scale (BSS). Psychology & Health, 4(3), 381 213-220. https://doi.org/10.1080/08870449008400391 382 383 Strien, T. Van, Bergers, G. P. A., & Defares, P. B. (1986). The Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ) for assessment of restrained, emotional and external eating 384 behaviour. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 5(2), 295-315. 10.1002/1098-385 108X(198602)5:2<295::AID-EAT2260050209>3.0.CO;2-T 386
- Vohs, K. D., Baumeister, R. F., & Ciarocco, N. J. (2005). Self-regulation and self-presentation:
 regulatory resource depletion impairs impression management and effortful selfpresentation depletes regulatory resources. *Journal of Personality and Social*

390	Psychology, 88(4), 632–657. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.88.4.632
391	Watson, D., & Clark, L. A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive
392	and negative affect: The PANAS Scales. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology,
393	54(6), 1063–1070.
394	Yeomans, M. R. (2010). Short term effects of alcohol on appetite in humans. Effects of context
395	and restrained eating. Appetite, 55(3), 565–573.
396	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.09.005
397	
398	
399	
400	
401	
402	
403	
404	
405	
406	
407	
408	