LETTERS TO THE EDITOR


The National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2) in patients with hypercapnic respiratory failure

The National Early Warning Score (NEWS) was devised to standardise and improve the detection of, and response to, clinical deterioration in patients with acute illness. The original NEWS was released in 2012 and has been widely implemented across the NHS and in other healthcare settings globally. The NEWS has now been endorsed by NHS England and NHS Improvement as the single early warning score to be used by all acute hospitals and ambulance services, and it is anticipated that all NHS hospitals in England will be using the NEWS by 2019.

It was always anticipated that the NEWS would evolve, based on user experience and feedback, and in December 2017 the NEWS2 was released, containing important refinements. One of these changes related to the safer use of oxygen in patients with chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure (HCRF), most commonly seen in some patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD). For such patients, the recommended oxygen saturation range is 88–92% and these patients are at risk of rapidly worsening hypercapnia and death if too much oxygen is delivered. Concern had been expressed that the original NEWS automatically generated a high score for patients with HCRF due to their hypoxia, prompting use of supplemental oxygen (even though their SpO2 may be in the desired range), leading to frequent alerts and ultimately decisions to override the score or apply ad hoc adjustments.

There was concern that the chronically high score in patients with HCRF might encourage the inappropriate use of additional supplemental oxygen in an attempt to reduce the NEWS score by increasing oxygen saturations above the recommended range for these patients. After much discussion and consultation with the British Thoracic Society and others, a new scoring SpO2 scale (scale 2) was added to the NEWS2 chart that was specifically designed for use only in patients with documented HCRF, explicitly noting that most acutely ill patients with AECOPD will not present with HCRF. Supporting this change, audits of hospital care had shown that administration of high-flow oxygen, rather than titrated oxygen, to patients with AECOPD increases mortality, hospital length of stay, requirement for ventilation and admission to higher-dependency care. In contrast, the use of appropriately titrated oxygen resulted in less acidosis and reduced mortality.

In the previous issue of Clinical Medicine, Hodgson and colleagues question the validity of this change. In 2013, several of the same authors published comments consistent with the rationale for the NEWS2 when they wrote: ‘We have shown that the current NEWS system leads to a significant number of patients with AECOPD alerting when they have nationally recommended target oxygen saturations: A simple adjustment of the alerting threshold in this cohort could improve the system’. The conclusions in the recent paper suggest that applying the NEWS2 SpO2 scale 2 to patients admitted to hospital with AECOPD reduced the efficiency of the NEWS2 in detecting acutely ill patients with AECOPD compared with the original NEWS. At face value, the data look compelling. However, the analysis and conclusions are unreliable because the authors have misused the NEWS2 scoring system in their analysis. They have inappropriately applied the NEWS2 SpO2 scale 2 to all patients with AECOPD at first assessment, rather than just those with documented HCRF for whom the scale is specifically designed. The NEWS2 report clearly states ‘For patients confirmed to have hypercapnic respiratory failure on blood gas analysis on either a prior or their current hospital admission, and requiring supplemental oxygen, we recommend (i) a prescribed oxygen saturation target range of 88–92%, and (ii) that the dedicated SpO2 scoring scale (scale 2) on the NEWS2 chart should be used to record and score the oxygen saturation for the NEWS.’ and ‘The decision to use SpO2 scale 2 should be made by a competent clinical decision maker and should be recorded in the patient’s clinical notes.’ and, critically, ‘In all other circumstances, the regular NEWS SpO2 scale 1 should be used.’

The NEWS2 SpO2 scale 2 should not be used for the initial assessment of patients with AECOPD, as was done by Hodgson et al. The SpO2 scale 1 should have been used; this is identical to the original NEWS, which obviously would have generated identical specificities and sensitivities for the NEWS and NEWS2. Patients should only be converted to SpO2 scale 2 if they have documented HCRF, and after review and a decision by a competent clinical decision-maker. What this study actually shows is that if the NEWS2 scoring system is not used properly and as clearly described, it may not work as well. There is clearly an important need for further education about the use of the NEWS2 SpO2 scoring scales in patients with AECOPD. For the avoidance of doubt, the NEWS2 SpO2 scale 1 should be used in all patients at first assessment, unless there is clear documented evidence of HCRF, validated by a competent clinical decision-maker – then, and only then, the SpO2 scale 2 can be used.
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