

# 1 Microglia tweak retinogeniculate pathways during visual circuit 2 refinement

3

4 Tara Keck

5 Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College  
6 London, 21 University St., London, WC1E 6DE, UK

7 Correspondence: t.keck@ucl.ac.uk

8

9 Abstract: Cheadle et al. reveal that microglia expressing TWEAK facilitate synapse  
10 elimination through a novel, non-phagocytic mechanism in the retinogeniculate  
11 pathway during visual circuit development. This novel mechanism is experience-  
12 dependent and occurs through the local binding of TWEAK to postsynaptic Fn14.

13

14 Sensory-dependent plasticity plays a critical role in the development of the visual  
15 system. While molecular cues and spontaneous activity are essential for establishing  
16 connections early in development, visual experience is critical for the precise  
17 refinement of these circuits in the later stages (Hooks and Chen, 2006). The  
18 retinogeniculate pathway has been used extensively as a model to examine both  
19 components of circuit development. To date, a number of mechanisms have been  
20 described for the early stages of development (Huberman, 2007), but the molecular  
21 mechanisms associated with experience-dependent refinement are less clear.

22

23 To examine the molecules that regulate synaptic refinement, previous work from  
24 Cheadle et al. used single-nucleus RNA sequencing to demonstrate that the cytokine  
25 receptor fibroblast growth factor-inducible protein 14kDa (Fn14) was induced in  
26 excitatory thalamocortical neurons in response to visual stimulation during  
27 experience-dependent visual development (age p20-p27) (Cheadle et al., 2018).  
28 Using electrophysiology and ultrastructural methods, they showed that the  
29 strengthening of connections between retinal ganglion cells (RGC) and  
30 thalamocortical neurons required Fn14. Synapses were not strengthened as  
31 expected either in Fn14 knockout (KO) mice or following sensory deprivation, which  
32 resulted in a reduction of Fn14 induction (Cheadle et al., 2018). Furthermore, the  
33 absence of Fn14 through genetic manipulation or deprivation also reduced the

34 elimination of weak synapses that occurs during circuit refinement (Lin et al., 2014).  
35 The effects of manipulating Fn14 were only present during the later period of visual  
36 development (between p20 and p27), but not prior to p20. While this study  
37 highlighted the important role of Fn14 in experience-dependent visual refinement,  
38 how Fn14 induction differentially regulated synapse strengthening and elimination  
39 remained unclear.

40

41 In this issue, the authors build on their previous work to describe the mechanism  
42 underpinning the opposing Fn14 effects on the retinogeniculate connections  
43 (Cheadle, 2020). They used a novel form of semi-automated serial transmission  
44 electron microscopy (TEM) to examine the structural properties of synapses during  
45 this period of experience-dependent visual development. Consistent with their  
46 previous work (Cheadle et al., 2018), the authors found that synaptic strengthening  
47 and elimination is dependent on Fn14. In the absence of Fn14, the total percentage  
48 of bulbous spines (mushroom-like spines with a spine head that is at least twice as  
49 wide as the neck) receiving RGC inputs decreased from 60% to 30%. Furthermore,  
50 in wildtype mice, bulbous spines on average have four synapses per spine,  
51 compared to one to two synapses per spine in thin and non-bulbous spines. In mice  
52 where Fn14 was eliminated via genetic manipulation or sensory deprivation, the  
53 bulbous spines had 40% fewer synapses per spine. This Fn14-dependent effect was  
54 largely confined to bulbous spines, with little effect on the number of synapses in thin  
55 or non-bulbous spines. Together, this decrease in both the number of bulbous spines  
56 and the number of synapses on each bulbous spine in the absence of Fn14  
57 corresponds to a strong decrease in the total RGC input to these neurons when  
58 Fn14 function is disrupted. Additionally, the authors developed an Fn14 conditional  
59 KO mouse, which allowed them to spatially localize the effect of Fn14. They found  
60 that postsynaptic expression of Fn14 combined with sensory experience was  
61 necessary for the development of bulbous spines, with limited contribution from  
62 presynaptic effects.

63

64 Having characterized the effects of Fn14 on dendritic spines, the authors examined  
65 the molecular mechanisms facilitating this effect. The cytokine tumor necrosis factor  
66 (TNF)-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK), is a member of the TNF superfamily  
67 and binds to Fn14 (Wiley and Winkles, 2003). The authors first showed that TWEAK

68 is expressed in a subset of microglia in the thalamus in an experience-dependent  
69 manner. Seventy percent of microglia express TWEAK after visual stimulation,  
70 whereas only 8% express TWEAK in unstimulated mice. Furthermore, TWEAK was  
71 shown to bind in a highly localized way to retinogeniculate synapses expressing  
72 Fn14. In the absence of Fn14, TWEAK was no longer reliably detectable at  
73 synapses.

74

75 The authors then examined the effect of the TWEAK-Fn14 interaction on spines.  
76 They found that overexpression of soluble TWEAK via an adeno-associated virus  
77 (AAV) injection resulted in a 25% decrease in the bulbous spine population.  
78 Conversely, in TWEAK KO mice, the bulbous spine population was increased by  
79 30%. The increase in spine percentage in the KO mice could be reduced to wildtype  
80 levels through re-expression of TWEAK via viral injection. Together, these results  
81 suggest that TWEAK acts locally through Fn14 to facilitate the elimination of bulbous  
82 spines.

83

84 The authors next examined how TWEAK-expressing microglia may facilitate synaptic  
85 elimination. Previous work has described mechanisms by which microglia are  
86 involved in synaptic pruning, with phagocytic engulfment as one of the best-studied  
87 mechanisms of microglial-synaptic interactions (Schafer et al., 2013). The authors  
88 examined if the TWEAK-mediated elimination of synapses occurred through  
89 phagocytic engulfment. They found that while presynaptic components of the  
90 synapse did undergo phagocytic engulfment, postsynaptic spines did not. These  
91 results suggest that TWEAK acts through a non-phagocytic mechanism. Additionally,  
92 there was no difference in phagocytic engulfment in wildtype or TWEAK KO mice,  
93 either during early (P7) or experience-dependent development (p27), suggesting that  
94 TWEAK is not involved in phagocytic engulfment. Together these results point to  
95 microglia acting through a novel experience-dependent mechanism.

96

97 Postsynaptic Fn14 is necessary for synapse strengthening and, through interactions  
98 with TWEAK, elimination. The authors next examined how the TWEAK-Fn14  
99 interaction targets particular synapses for elimination. They found a spatial  
100 organization of spines that are strengthened or eliminated. Spines that were in the  
101 vicinity of microglia expressing TWEAK were eliminated. Specifically, within 200 nm

102 of a given microglial cell, there was an inverse correlation between TWEAK  
103 expression levels and the number of bulbous spines. These results suggest that  
104 there is a spatial element to synapse elimination. Whether additional genetic or  
105 experience-dependent influences determine the position of TWEAK expressing  
106 microglia with respect to the dendritic spines will require further study.

107

108 In summary, Cheadle et al. (2020) show that microglia play a novel role in shaping  
109 synaptic organization during the experience-dependent phase of retinogeniculate  
110 circuit refinement. In the early phase of visual development (prior to p20), synaptic  
111 changes occur that are independent of Fn14-TWEAK signalling. After p20, there is  
112 an experience-dependent expression of Fn14 in the thalamic relay neurons and  
113 TWEAK in microglia. In this later phase, microglia employ an experience-dependent  
114 process to sculpt postsynaptic spine morphology and pruning ((Cheadle, 2020)  
115 Figure 8).

116

117 While the results presented here occur during late visual development, many  
118 immune-related molecules have ongoing roles in plasticity throughout the brain  
119 (Fourgeaud and Boulanger, 2010). Thus, the plasticity mechanism described here  
120 may generalize to other developmental periods and brain regions. In line with this,  
121 TNF-alpha, which is also from the TNF superfamily and released locally from  
122 microglia, is known to be critical for homeostatic synaptic strengthening throughout  
123 development and adulthood (Barnes et al., 2017; Pribiag and Stellwagen, 2014). The  
124 degree to which the experience-dependent plasticity mechanisms described here  
125 reflect homeostatic or Hebbian processes remains to be seen, but the work adds to  
126 the literature suggesting that this class of immune-related molecules may have an  
127 important role in plasticity. Overall, the work from Cheadle et al. suggests a novel  
128 role for microglia in shaping the synaptic connectivity and organization of neural  
129 circuits, providing further evidence for the importance of this cell type in the  
130 development and plasticity of neural circuitry.

131 References

132 Barnes, S.J., Franzoni, E., Jacobsen, R.I., Erdelyi, F., Szabo, G., Clopath, C., Keller,  
133 G.B., and Keck, T. (2017). Deprivation-Induced Homeostatic Spine Scaling In Vivo Is  
134 Localized to Dendritic Branches that Have Undergone Recent Spine Loss. *Neuron*  
135 96, 871-882 e875.

136  
137 Cheadle, L., Tzeng, C.P., Kalish, B.T., Harmin, D.A., Rivera, S., Ling, E., Nagy, M.A.,  
138 Hrvatin, S., Hu, L., Stroud, H., *et al.* (2018). Visual Experience-Dependent  
139 Expression of Fn14 Is Required for Retinogeniculate Refinement. *Neuron* 99, 525-  
140 539 e510.

141  
142 Cheadle, L., Rivera, S.A., Phelps, J.S., Ennis, K.A., Stevens, B., Burkly, L.C., Lee,  
143 W.C. and Greenberg, M.E. (2020). Sensory Experience Engages Microglia to Shape  
144 Neural Connectivity through a Non-Phagocytic Mechanism. *Neuron*  
145 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.08.002>.

146  
147 Fourgeaud, L., and Boulanger, L.M. (2010). Role of immune molecules in the  
148 establishment and plasticity of glutamatergic synapses. *Eur J Neurosci* 32, 207-217.

149  
150 Hooks, B.M., and Chen, C. (2006). Distinct roles for spontaneous and visual activity  
151 in remodeling of the retinogeniculate synapse. *Neuron* 52, 281-291.

152  
153 Huberman, A.D. (2007). Mechanisms of eye-specific visual circuit development. *Curr*  
154 *Opin Neurobiol* 17, 73-80.

155  
156 Lin, D.J., Kang, E., and Chen, C. (2014). Changes in input strength and number are  
157 driven by distinct mechanisms at the retinogeniculate synapse. *J Neurophysiol* 112,  
158 942-950.

159  
160 Pribiag, H., and Stellwagen, D. (2014). Neuroimmune regulation of homeostatic  
161 synaptic plasticity. *Neuropharmacology* 78, 13-22.

162  
163 Schafer, D.P., Lehrman, E.K., and Stevens, B. (2013). The "quad-partite" synapse:  
164 microglia-synapse interactions in the developing and mature CNS. *Glia* 61, 24-36.

165  
166 Wiley, S.R., and Winkles, J.A. (2003). TWEAK, a member of the TNF superfamily, is  
167 a multifunctional cytokine that binds the TweakR/Fn14 receptor. *Cytokine Growth*  
168 *Factor Rev* 14, 241-249.

169