Flux Transfer Event Showers at Mercury: Dependence on Plasma $\beta$ and Magnetic Shear and Their Contribution to the Dungey Cycle
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Abstract

Mercury's flux transfer event (FTE) showers are dayside magnetopause crossings accompanied by large numbers ($\geq 10$) of magnetic flux ropes (FRs). These shower events are common, occurring during 52% (1,953/3,748) of the analyzed crossings. Shower events are observed with magnetic shear angles ($\theta$) from 0° to 180° across the magnetopause and magnetosheath plasma $\beta$ from 0.1 to 10 but are most prevalent for high $\theta$ and low plasma $\beta$. Individual FR duration correlates positively, while spacing correlates negatively, with $\theta$ and plasma $\beta$. FR flux content and core magnetic field intensity correlate negatively with plasma $\beta$, but they do not correlate with $\theta$. During shower intervals, FRs carry 60% to 85% of the magnetic flux required to supply Mercury's Dungey cycle. The FTE showers and the large amount of magnetic flux carried by the FTE-type FRs appear quite different from observations at Earth and other planetary magnetospheres visited thus far.

Plain Language Summary

Any planet with an interior dynamo will interact with the outward streaming stellar wind and likely form a magnetosphere. The magnetopause is a boundary between the shocked solar wind and planetary magnetic field, which can prevent most of the solar wind from directly entering into the magnetosphere. The multiple X-line reconnection that frequently occurs in the magnetopause creates helical magnetic fields that are termed magnetic flux ropes (FRs) about which open and interplanetary magnetic fields drape. FTE-type FRs generally have magnetic field lines with one end embedded in the solar wind and the other end connected to the planet through the magnetospheric cusp. The investigation of FTEs in Mercury's magnetosphere is of particular interest because they often occur in large numbers with extremely small temporal spacing, i.e., FTE showers, that are not seen elsewhere. We find that the properties of the FTE-type flux ropes in these showers depend upon plasma $\beta$ in the magnetosheath and the magnetic shear angle across the magnetopause. The magnetic flux carried by these flux ropes dominates magnetic flux transfer between Mercury's dayside and nightside magnetosphere. These new results may contribute significantly to our understanding of solar wind-magnetosphere-exosphere coupling at Mercury.

1. Introduction

Mercury's global intrinsic magnetic field was discovered by Mariner 10 in the 1970s (Ness et al., 1974). Observations from MESSENGER confirm that Mercury's dipole moment is closely aligned with its rotation axis (<0.8°) with a magnitude of ~190 nT $\cdot R_M^3$ ($R_M$ is Mercury's radius, 2,440 km) and a northward offset of ~0.2 R$_M$ (e.g., Alexeev et al., 2008; Anderson et al., 2008, 2012). The dipole magnetic field interacts with the solar wind to form a global magnetosphere that is a miniature in size compared with other planetary magnetospheres (e.g., Jackman et al., 2014; Slavin et al., 2007), with a subsolar magnetopause of only ~1000 to 2000 kilometers above Mercury's surface (Siscoe et al., 1975; Slavin et al., 2008; Winslow et al., 2013).
FTEs are products of magnetic reconnection in the magnetopause current sheet between the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) and the planetary magnetic field and are commonly observed at Earth (Haerendel et al., 1978; Russell & Elphic, 1978; Saunders et al., 1984), Mercury (Russell & Walker, 1985; Slavin et al., 2009), Jupiter (Walker & Russell, 1985), and Saturn (Jasinski et al., 2016). The magnetic field lines in FTEs have one end connected to the planet's cusp and the other to the solar wind, allowing magnetosheath and magnetosphere particles to mix in FTEs (e.g., Paschmann et al., 1982). In the core of FTEs, flux ropes (FRs) generated by multiple X-line reconnection are frequently observed (e.g., Lee & Fu, 1985). FTE-type FRs contain distinct bipolar variations in the magnetic field component normal to the magnetopause (B_n), which are coincident with enhancements in the magnetic field intensity (B). The magnetic flux concentrated in FTE-type FRs then convects tailward with the solar wind into the nightside magnetosphere and contributes to the magnetic flux circulation in the Dungey cycle (Dungey, 1961).

In the magnetospheres of Earth, Jupiter, and Saturn, FTE-type FRs have durations of around 1 min and spacings, i.e., repetition times, of 10 min (Jasinski et al., 2016; Lockwood et al., 1995; Walker & Russell, 1985). Their occurrence normally requires the magnetic shear angle (θ) between the magnetospheric magnetic field and IMF to be larger than 90° (e.g., Kuo et al., 1995), with large θ corresponding to shorter spacing (Wang et al., 2006). Furthermore, small magnetosheath plasma β (ratio of thermal pressure to magnetic pressure) is suggested to favor the occurrence of magnetopause magnetic reconnection (e.g., Ding et al., 1992; Scurry et al., 1994; Swisdak et al., 2010) and form FRs (e.g., Chen et al., 2019). FTE-type FRs at Earth contribute only a small fraction (<5%) of flux transported during the Dungey cycle's loading-unloading events (e.g., Rijnbeek et al., 1984).

At Mercury's dayside magnetopause, FTE-type FRs are more prevalent when θ is larger than 90° (Leyser et al., 2017). Sometimes, a large FTE-type FR (~0.06 MWe) could transport ~9% of the loaded flux in Mercury's loading-unloading events (Imber et al., 2014; Slavin, Lepping, et al., 2010). Furthermore, FTE-type FRs can appear extremely frequently with a spacing of ~10 s, which is known as an FTE shower (Slavin et al., 2012). Recently, several FTE showers were observed under the impact of coronal mass ejections, with one shower occurring under small θ (~60°) and low magnetosheath plasma β (~0.1) conditions (Slavin et al., 2014, 2019).

Due to its proximity to the Sun, Mercury experiences low solar wind Alfvénic Mach number (Slavin & Holzer, 1979). This condition often leads to a magnetosheath with low plasma β and a thick plasma depletion layer ahead of the dayside magnetopause (Gershman et al., 2013), which influences magnetopause reconnection (e.g., DiBraccio et al., 2013). Since FTE-type FRs are products of magnetopause reconnection, investigation into their dynamics could reveal features fundamental to magnetic reconnection. As FTE-type FRs repeat frequently at Mercury, the accumulated flux transport of these structures and their contribution to the overall flux circulation of the Dungey cycle is of great importance for our general understanding of Mercury's magnetosphere.

This study conducts a comprehensive investigation of FTE showers observed by MESSENGER at Mercury's dayside magnetopause. We present two FTE showers as examples, followed by a statistical investigation of 3,748 dayside magnetopause crossings. Our analysis shows that FTE showers are a common feature in Mercury's magnetosphere and that the occurrence and properties of FTE-type FRs depend on θ and magnetosheath plasma β. FTE-type FRs in the shower intervals can carry most of the flux that drives Mercury’s Dungey cycle.

2. Flux Transfer Event Showers
2.1. Instrumentations and Data Sources

This study utilizes the magnetic field and proton measurements from MESSENGER (Solomon et al., 2007). The magnetic field data are from the magnetometer (Anderson et al., 2007), which have a time resolution of 50 ms and are displayed in Mercury solar magnetospheric coordinates (MSM). In MSM coordinates, $\hat{x}_{\text{MSM}}$ points from the center of Mercury's dipole to the Sun, $\hat{z}_{\text{MSM}}$ is anti-parallel to the dipole axis, and $\hat{y}_{\text{MSM}}$ completes the right-handed system, which is roughly against the orbital motion of Mercury. Spacecraft positions also have a time resolution of 50 ms, but the $\hat{x}_{\text{MSM}} - \hat{y}_{\text{MSM}}$ plane is rotated so that $\hat{x}_{\text{MSM}}$ is antiparallel to the average solar wind (400 km/s). The proton data are from the fast imaging plasma spectrometer (FIPS).
Andrews et al., 2007), which measures proton fluxes in the energy range of ~50 eV/e to 13.3 keV/e at a scan time of ~10 s with an effective field of view of ~1.15 π sr.

2.2. Two FTE Showers on 19 April 2011

On 19 April 2011, MESSENGER crossed the dayside magnetopause twice from the magnetosheath to the magnetosphere on the morning side (local time of ~09:20) at low magnetic latitude (~31.5°). The two magnetopause crossings were separated by ~12 h and, as shown in Figure 1, were accompanied by clear magnetic field rotations, decreases in low energy magnetosheath protons (<1 keV), and increases in high energy magnetospheric protons (>1 keV).

The first magnetopause crossing (Figures 1a–1f) occurred during southward IMF with θ ~177°. The magnetosheath plasma β was ~0.62, for which the magnetosheath thermal pressure is obtained by subtracting the
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magnetosheath magnetic pressure from the magnetospheric magnetic pressure adjacent to the magnetopause. This analysis assumes that the magnetospheric thermal pressure is much smaller than the magnetospheric magnetic pressure (see, DiBraccio et al., 2013) and quasi-pressure balance at the magnetopause. Even when magnetic reconnection occurs at the magnetopause, the magnetic pressure contributed from $B_N$ is only 1% to 4% of the magnetospheric pressure since the reconnection rate is 0.1 to 0.2. Accordingly, this magnetic pressure due to $B_N$ is small enough to be neglected. The second magnetopause crossing (Figures 1g–1i) corresponded to a northward IMF with $\theta \sim 28^\circ$ and a magnetosheath plasma $\beta$ of $\sim 0.18$. FTE-type FRs appeared in high frequencies during both magnetopause crossings, which we identify from their bipolar signatures in $B_N$ coinciding with enhancements in $B_\Phi$ and containing clear magnetic field rotations (e.g., Slavin et al., 2009, 2012). The magnetopause normal ($\vec{N}$) is resolved from a magnetopause model (Shue et al., 1998; Winslow et al., 2013). $\vec{L}$ is perpendicular to $\vec{N}$ and in the plane determined by $\vec{N}$ and $\vec{z}_{\text{MSM}}$, and $\vec{H}$ completes the right-handed system.

### 2.3. FTE-Type FR Properties on 19 April 2011

Figures 1m–1o display FR properties of the two FTE showers. The FRs in the south and north IMF showers had mean durations ($\Delta t$) of 0.93 s and 1.3 s, respectively, for which $\Delta t$ is determined from the $B_N$ extrema. The average spacings ($t_{\text{spacing}}$), which are time separations between neighboring FR centers, are 3.8 s and 5.6 s, respectively.

Figure 1o displays a histogram of the axial magnetic field contents of the FRs ($\Phi_{\text{FTE}}$). The $\Phi_{\text{FTE}}$ is obtained through the Lundquist force-free FR model (Burlaga, 1988; Lepping et al., 1990; Lundquist, 1950), in which the plasma pressure across FR is assumed to be a constant, and the current density ($\vec{J}$) and magnetic field ($\vec{B}$) are parallel or antiparallel to each other ($\vec{J} \times \vec{B} = 0$). Lundquist (1950) introduced a solution of the magnetic field in cylindrical coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{\text{axial}} &= B_{\text{core}} J_0(\alpha r/R_0) \\
B_{\text{azimuthal}} &= B_{\text{core}} HJ_1(\alpha r/R_0), \\
B_{\text{radial}} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where $B_{\text{axial}}$ is the axial magnetic field component, $B_{\text{core}}$ is the core field, $J_0$ and $J_1$ are the zeroth and first-order Bessel functions, $\alpha$ equals 2.4048 (Burlaga, 1988), $r$ is the distance to the flux rope center, $R_0$ is the flux rope radius, $B_{\text{azimuthal}}$ and $B_{\text{radial}}$ are the azimuthal and radial magnetic field components, and $H$ is the handedness ($\pm$1).

The FRs are modeled under their local coordinate system determined from minimum or maximum variance analysis (Sonnerup & Scheible, 1998), and their traveling speed is assumed to be 300 km/s. This assumption is made based on, first, the Alfvén speed in front of the magnetopause is typically between 300 and 400 km/s (Imber et al., 2014) and, second, the FTE traveling speed is between 100 and 500 km/s at Earth (Fear et al., 2017; Hasegawa et al., 2006). We further require a modified $\chi^2 < 0.05$ for successful modeling (Smith, Slavin, Jackman, Poh, & Fear, 2017).

In the south and north IMF showers, 13 of 39 FTEs and 11 of 20 FTEs are successfully modeled. The mean $\Phi_{\text{FTE}}$ was $\sim 0.028$ MWb for both showers (Figure 1o), which is comparable to the values obtained in previous studies of Mercury’s dayside FTEs (see, Slavin, Lepping, et al., 2010). We could satisfactorily model only a fraction of FTE-type FRs, which could imply that many of them were in their early stages and still contained enough plasma to affect their structure (see also Priest, 1990; Sun et al., 2019). The mean duration of magnetic flux loading-unloading event is determined to be 212 s with the loading duration of 115 s ($T_{\text{load}}$) (e.g., Imber & Slavin, 2017; Slavin, Anderson, et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2015). To estimate the flux transported by FRs within a loading event, we multiply the rate of FRs (i.e., $T_{\text{load}}$ divided by the mean $t_{\text{spacing}}$) by the mean $\Phi_{\text{FTE}}$ to obtain the accumulated magnetic flux ($\Phi_{\text{Flux}}$).

\[
\Phi_{\text{Flux}} = T_{\text{load}} \Phi_{\text{FTE}} / t_{\text{spacing}}.
\]

The $\Phi_{\text{Flux}}$ was around 0.84 MWb and 0.57 MWb for the two showers, which are both comparable to the loaded lobe open magnetic flux in a loading-unloading event (0.69 $\pm$ 0.38 MWb [Imber & Slavin, 2017]).
In this calculation, the mean $\Phi_{FTE}$ of all the FRs in the showers is assumed to be the mean $\Phi_{FTE}$ of those successfully modeled FRs.

3. Statistical Results on FTE Showers

3.1. FTE-Type FR Identification and Modeling

This section investigates Mercury’s dayside magnetopause crossings made by MESSENGER from 11 March 2011 to 30 April 2015 (3,748 crossings). An established automatic FR detection technique (Smith, Slavin, Jackman, Fear, et al., 2017) applying the continuous wavelet transform (Daubechies, 1992) is employed to identify FTE-type FRs about those magnetopause crossings (2- to 4-min intervals). Details and applications on this automated FR technique can be found in Smith, Slavin, Jackman, Poh, and Fear (2017); Smith, Jackman, Frohmaier, Coxon, et al. (2018); Smith, Jackman, Frohmaier, Fear, et al. (2018). This study specifically requires FRs to contain bipolar $B_N$ deflections coincident with both clear magnetic field rotations and enhancements in other components and $B_{core}$. A list of the dayside magnetopause crossings and more details on the selection of flux ropes can be found in the Supporting Information.

Following FR selection, we apply the Lundquist force-free FR model to them to calculate their magnetic flux content. The speed of the FRs is assumed to be 300 km/s, and we require $\chi^2 < 0.05$ to be considered well-modeled.

3.2. Magnetosheath Plasma $\beta$ and Magnetic Shear Dependency

Magnetopause crossings accompanied by 10 or more FTE-type FRs are identified as FTE showers. In the 3,748 magnetopauses included in the survey, 1,953 (~52%) were accompanied by FTE showers. The total number of FRs was ~73,000. Figure 2 shows the mean FR $\Delta t$, $t_{spacing}$, maximum $B_c (B_{max})$, and $\Phi_{FTE}$ dependencies on $\theta$ and magnetosheath plasma $\beta$ for the 1953 showers. FTE showers occurred with $\theta$ from $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. 

Figure 2. Statistical properties of FTE-type FRs (~73,000 FRs among 1953 FTE showers) as functions of the magnetic shear angle ($\theta$) (a to d) and the magnetosheath plasma $\beta$ (e to h). (a) and (e) duration $\Delta t$, (b) and (f) spacing, (c) and (g) maximum $B_c (B_{max})$ in FRs, (d) and (h) $\Phi_{FTE}$. The colormap represents the number of shower events in each bin. The dots with error bars are the averages with standard errors in each interval. The standard errors include error propagation. The lines are the linear regression of the quantities with the slopes and correlation coefficients (cc) listed. Another version of scatter plots of this figure with event numbers in each bin is shown in the supporting information.
180° and plasma β from 0.1 to 10. FR Δt ranges from 0.5 to 1 s, tspacing from 3 to 7 s, Bmax from 80 to 120 nT, and ΦFTE from 0.02 to 0.05 MWb. We find Δt increases with increasing θ or plasma β. Spacing decreases with increasing θ and plasma β. In contrast, ΦFTE and Bmax do not clearly depend on θ, but they decrease with increasing plasma β.

Figure 3 shows the occurrence rates of FTE showers (i.e., percentage of magnetopause crossings with FTE showers) as functions of θ and plasma Δβ. The plasma Δβ is the plasma β difference between magnetosheath and magnetosphere adjacent to the magnetopause, which is close to the magnetosheath plasma β.
Figure 4. Schematic illustration of (a) Dungey's single X-line reconnection (SXR) flux transport and (b) multiple X-line reconnection (MXR) flux transport. (c) and (d) show examples of FTEs followed by post FTE flux from the shower event in Figure 1. (c) $B_N$, (d) $B_t$, (e) and (f) shows statistical features of 1953 FTE showers. The amount of flux carried by FTE-type FRs in the loading phase of Mercury's loading-unloading (115 s) as a function of magnetic shear angle ($\theta$) (e) and magnetosheath plasma $\beta$ (f). The dashed red lines indicate the loaded magnetic flux. The scatter plots of (e) and (f) are in the supporting information.
The percentages increase with increasing $\theta$ (Figures 3a and 3c), which are higher than 0.5 even for a small $\theta$ of $\sim 70^\circ$. Furthermore, the percentages increase with decreasing plasma $\beta$ in the large $\theta$ region from 140$^\circ$ to 180$^\circ$ (Figure 3e).

The curve in Figure 3a is a theoretical relation of plasma $\Delta \beta$ and $\theta$ (Swisdak et al., 2010),

$$\Delta \beta = 2 \frac{L_{cs}}{\lambda_i} \tan \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right),$$

where $L_{cs}$ is thickness of current sheet and $\lambda_i$ is the ion inertial length. Since magnetic reconnection normally requires $L_{cs}$ to be comparable to $\lambda_i$ to occur, $L_{cs}/\lambda_i$ was set to unity. The theory predicts that the region below (above) the curve favors (suppresses) magnetic reconnection. The percentages are indeed high in large $\theta$ ($> 120^\circ$) and small plasma $\Delta \beta$ region but are still low in small $\theta$ region ($< 70^\circ$) even below the curve.

### 3.3. Contribution to Mercury's Dungey Cycle

FTE-type FRs are important elements for magnetic flux circulation in planetary magnetospheres. Dungey's initial model of reconnection was that single X-line reconnection (SXR) occurred on the dayside magnetopause and transported magnetic flux from the dayside to the nightside (Figure 4a, Dungey, 1961). Later, the multiple X-line reconnection (MXR) model was proposed to explain how magnetopause reconnection also concentrated reconnected flux into FRs (Figure 4b, Lee & Fu, 1985).

Outside of FTE-type FRs the open magnetic flux created by reconnection is expected to be found in the post-FR magnetopause current sheet (see Figure 4b), which is similar to the post-plasmoid plasma sheet observed in the tail. In the cross-tail current sheet, MXR creates a plasmoid-type flux rope while continued SXR reconnection creates a post-plasmoid plasma sheet composed of disconnected magnetic flux (Richardson et al., 1987; Slavin et al., 1993). Figures 4c and 4d display examples of post-FR reconnected flux between neighboring FRs in the MESSENGER observations during the 19 April 2011 shower. Here, $B_N$ is directed normal to the magnetopause current sheet and is toward the planet (negative) in the northern hemisphere. It is difficult to accurately estimate the magnetic flux in the post-FR region since the spacecraft does not always remain in the magnetopause current sheet and the length of the reconnection X-lines are poorly constrained. Here, we make a rough estimation of the magnetic flux carried in the two post-FR regions. The averaged $B_N$ values were $-15.8$ nT and $-10.7$ nT and were observed by MESSENGER for 0.4 s and 1.4 s, respectively. Assuming a flow speed of 300 km/s and an east–west X-line extent of 1 $R_M$, the magnetic fluxes were 0.005 MWb and 0.011 MWb in the two post-FR regions, which are each much smaller than the $\Phi_{FTE}$ in a single FTE-type FR (0.04 MWb).

Figures 4e and 4f show the magnetic flux carried by FTE-type FRs during the shower intervals on a timescale of a loading phase, which is calculated from Equation 2, as functions of $\theta$ and plasma $\beta$. The FTE-type FR transported magnetic flux are higher for large $\theta$ ($\sim 0.9$ MWb) than small $\theta$ ($\sim 0.65$ MWb) and had no clear dependency on plasma $\beta$ ($\sim 0.8$ MWb). The loaded magnetic flux is 1.07 MWb in Mercury’s loading-unloading events (Imber & Slavin, 2017); therefore, FTE-type FRs in the shower intervals can transport between 60% and 85% of the magnetic flux needed for the Mercury’s flux loading-unloading.

### 4. Discussion and Conclusions

This study utilized MESSENGER measurements to investigate FTE showers at Mercury’s dayside magnetopause. FTE shower events (i.e., $\geq 10$ FRs in a magnetopause crossing) are a common feature that accompanies around half ($\sim 52\%$) of all magnetopause crossings. FTE-type FR properties display clear dependencies on the magnetic shear angle and magnetosheath plasma $\beta$, FR durations are found to correlate positively with $\theta$ and plasma $\beta$; the larger the $\theta$ or $\beta$ values, the longer the FR duration. However, FR spacing correlates negatively with $\theta$ and plasma $\beta$; the larger the $\theta$ or plasma $\beta$ values, the more frequent the FRs. The maximum core magnetic field ($B_{\text{max}}$) and magnetic flux content ($\Phi_{FTE}$) do not depend on $\theta$ but correlate negatively with plasma $\beta$. Furthermore, the percentage of magnetopause crossings with FTE showers correlates positively with $\theta$ but correlates negatively with plasma $\beta$. Overall, FTE-type FRs in shower intervals could carry between 60% and 85% of the magnetic flux for the flux circulation in Mercury’s loading-unloading events. These results indicate that both $\theta$ and plasma $\beta$ influence the occurrence of
FTEs and therefore the frequency of magnetopause reconnection, which is consistent with reconnection modeling by Swisdak et al. (2010). However, the effect of plasma $\beta$ is prominent in the high $\theta$ region but not in the low $\theta$ region.

Many studies at Earth have shown that FTE-type FRs are responsible for $<$5% of the flux transported during the Dungey cycle (Fear et al., 2017; Rijnbeek et al., 1984). Rather, it is magnetic flux opened by single X-line reconnection and not associated with any FRs that dominates Earth’s flux transport. Jupiter’s and Saturn’s magnetospheres have far fewer FTEs and they appear to carry a negligible amount of magnetic flux during the Dungey cycle (e.g., Jasinski et al., 2016; Walker & Russell, 1985). In contrast, Slavin, Lepping, et al. (2010) and Imber et al. (2014) show that a single large-scale FTE-type FR can sometimes carry a large portion (−9%) of the total magnetic flux transferred during Mercury’s loading-unloading cycle. We utilize a much larger database of FTE-type FRs during shower events when the flux ropes are smaller in diameter and carry less magnetic flux individually. However, due to their abundance, these small FRs supply 60–85% of the magnetic flux circulation.

This result also implies that the less well studied, post-FR open flux, shown in Figure 4, contributes only a minor part of the total flux circulation, i.e., less than 15–40%. However, Fear et al. (2019) recently argued that magnetic flux outside of the FR core of the FTE could transfer several times the flux content of FRs, though they did conclude that the total flux carried by the FTE, i.e., the FR core and the post-FR magnetic flux, is the dominant supply for Mercury’s Dungey cycle. Further, it should be noted that we have assumed the amplitude of the flux loading-unloading cycle at Mercury to be 1.07 MWb, which is the upper limit of 0.69 ± 0.38 MWb obtained by Imber and Slavin (2017). The reasons for taking the upper limit for the amplitude are that (1) the magnetic field might be amplified when magnetic flux tube is transported from the dayside into the nightside magnetosphere (Heyner et al., 2016) and (2) the flaring of Mercury’s tail magnetopause and the magnetic flux transport in the quiet plasma sheet (Dewey et al., 2018) were not taken into account by Imber and Slavin (2017).

This study offers many clues to understanding magnetic reconnection at other magnetospheres under intense external driving, including moons of the giant planets such as Ganymede (Kivelson et al., 1996) and exoplanets that orbit close to their stars (Barclay et al., 2013). Ganymede is embedded within the sub-Alfvénic corotation flow in Jupiter’s magnetosphere (e.g., Jia et al., 2008). FRs have been found to occur with similarly short spacings (tens of seconds) in global simulations of Ganymede’s magnetosphere, including resistive MHD simulations (Jia et al., 2010) and hall MHD with embedded particle-in-cell simulations (Zhou et al., 2019).

The BepiColombo mission (Benkhoff et al., 2010) consists of two spacecraft, the Mercury Planetary Orbiter and Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter, and is scheduled to arrive at Mercury in late 2025. BepiColombo will provide high-resolution magnetic field (Baumjohann et al., 2010; Glassmeier et al., 2010) and plasma measurements (Saito et al., 2010). At times, one spacecraft will serve as a solar wind monitor while the other is inside the magnetosphere. We can capitalize on using these dual-spacecraft observations to definitively determine the role of FTEs in forcing Mercury’s dynamic magnetosphere and the solar wind drivers of FTE showers.
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