

1                   **The Influence of Hospital Practices and Family Support on Breastfeeding**  
2                   **Duration, Adverse Events, and Postnatal Depression among First-Time Mothers**

3  
4                   \*Sarah Dib<sup>1</sup>, Mary Fewtrell<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan CK Wells<sup>1</sup> and Nurul Husna M Shukri<sup>1,2</sup>

5  
6                   <sup>1</sup> *Population, Policy and Practice, University College London Great Ormond Street*  
7                   *Institute of Child Health, University College London, London WC1N 1EH, United*  
8                   *Kingdom*

9                   <sup>2</sup> *Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang,*  
10                   *Malaysia*  
11

12                   **Correspondence Author**

13                   Sarah Dib, MSc, RDN  
14                   University College London Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health  
15                   University College London  
16                   London WC1N 1EH, UK.  
17                   Email: sarah.dib.15@ucl.ac.uk  
18

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Baby-friendly hospital practices and family support are recognised to improve the chances of successful breastfeeding. The associations between support and maternal psychological state and breastfeeding problems are less explored. This study aimed to assess the influence of professional and family support on breastfeeding adverse events and postpartum depression at 2 weeks and exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) status at 12 weeks postpartum, and to identify predictors of positive outcomes. **Methods:** 64 primiparous Malaysian mothers were interviewed face-to-face, at 2, 6 and 12 weeks post-delivery, to collect data regarding family support, hospital and infant feeding practices, breastfeeding problems and pain, maternal perceptions and depression. Logistic regression and correlation were used to ascertain associations between support and EBF, adverse events and postpartum depression. **Results:** Neither professional nor family support predicted EBF at 12 weeks. Eighty-five percent of the mothers received high family support, which was associated with lower depression scores ( $r=-0.36$ ,  $p=0.005$ ); higher depression scores were associated with more breastfeeding problems. EBF discontinuation before 12 weeks was predicted by maternal perception of insufficient milk supply ( $OR=8.96$ ,  $CI=1.78, 45.18$ ). Earlier breastfeeding initiation ( $r=0.26$ ,  $p=0.04$ ) and skin-to-skin contact ( $r=0.25$ ,  $p=0.048$ ) were associated with lower breastfeeding pain. EBF in hospital was correlated with fewer breastfeeding problems ( $r=0.31$ ,  $p=0.01$ ). **Conclusion:** Mothers with greater family support suffered from less depressive symptoms, which could lower the incidence of breastfeeding problems and prolong EBF duration. Skin-to-skin contact, early breastfeeding initiation and EBF in hospital were associated with less adverse events, thus better compliance with these Baby-Friendly practices is recommended.

**Keywords:** Breast feeding, postpartum, health promotion, maternity, depression

53

54

## INTRODUCTION

55 The short and long-term benefits of breastfeeding on mother and child have become widely  
56 established; a recent review concluded that breastfeeding has the potential to significantly  
57 improve health and cognitive outcomes with economic benefits (1). In low-income (LIC) and  
58 middle-income countries (MIC), only 37% of infants younger than 6 months are exclusively  
59 breastfed (1). In Malaysia, the prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) at 6 months is  
60 higher (47.1%), yet this is still below the national target levels of 70% by 2025 (2).

61

62 Lay and professional support have been shown to increase breastfeeding duration (3). One of  
63 the most prominent programs developed to promote breastfeeding is the Baby-Friendly  
64 Hospital Initiative (BFHI) (4). The aim of this accreditation program is to institute policies  
65 and practices at healthcare facilities that support the mother to breastfeed during her stay.  
66 However, the degree of compliance to BFHI components is unknown at most institutions (5),  
67 and despite these forms of support and recommendations to breastfeed for at least 2 years (6),  
68 breastfeeding rates remain below target levels.

69

70 Several barriers are linked to early discontinuation of breastfeeding including pain and  
71 concern about the adequacy of milk to support appropriate infant growth (5). Maternal  
72 psychological state also plays an important role, where mothers who experience increased  
73 levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms are at risk of early breastfeeding cessation (7-9).

74

75 The outcomes used to evaluate support interventions have been limited mainly to  
76 breastfeeding duration and few studies have assessed the impact of support on modifiable  
77 determinants of breastfeeding such as problems encountered and psychological state. The

78 main purpose of this study was to investigate the influence of professional hospital support  
79 and family support on EBF status at 12 weeks, and on the determinants of breastfeeding such  
80 as maternal psychological state and breastfeeding problems encountered. We also aimed to  
81 investigate the determinants of EBF at 12 weeks in this sample. We examined these factors  
82 among first-time mothers, a particularly vulnerable group.

83

84

## METHODS

### 85 **Sample**

86 Eighty-eight eligible mothers were recruited at antenatal clinics during their third-trimesters.  
87 The inclusion criteria were first-time and singleton pregnancy, freedom from chronic disease,  
88 ability to communicate in English or Malay, remaining in central region area during the  
89 postpartum period, and planning to breastfeed exclusively for at least four months. Mothers  
90 who were on medication during pregnancy or who smoked were excluded. In this study, 64  
91 mothers were included as the rest (n=24) were excluded from the RCT during a second  
92 screening after delivery, mainly because they did not establish EBF. Mothers-infant dyads  
93 who were included at the second screening were exclusive breastfeeding mothers with full-  
94 term infants (>37 weeks gestation) who weighed  $\geq 2.5$ kg.

95

### 96 **Design**

97 This was a longitudinal observational study including data collected as part of a randomized  
98 controlled trial (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT01971216) investigating mother-infant  
99 signalling during breastfeeding (10). Face-to-face interviews were conducted using validated  
100 questionnaires after written informed consent was obtained. Ethics approval was obtained  
101 from the UCL Research Ethics Committee (ID:4883) and Malaysia Medical Research Ethics  
102 Committee (ID: 13-841-16720).

103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127

**Data Collection**

*Baseline*

Data on maternal age, marital status, ethnicity, household income and education were collected during recruitment.

*Two Weeks Post-Delivery*

Early Hospital Practices

Early hospital practices such as early breastfeeding initiation, skin-to-skin contact, rooming-in and formula provision were assessed using the Neonatal Questionnaire and Infant Feeding Questionnaire (IFQ) adapted from the Infant Feeding Practices Study II developed by the US Food and Drug Administration and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (11). The detailed information of the tool was reported in the published study protocol (12).

Breastfeeding Problems and Pain

Mothers were asked to select any problems they encountered while breastfeeding during the first two weeks, which included: latch/sucking trouble, concern about infant’s weight gain, trouble with milk flow, insufficient milk supply, sore/cracked/bleeding nipples, engorged breasts, clogged milk ducts, leaky breasts, and infected or abscessed breasts. The total number of problems encountered by each mother was then summed. Breastfeeding pain experienced at week 2 post-delivery was also assessed on a scale of 0 to 10.

128 Maternal Psychological State

129 Maternal psychological state, namely depressive symptoms experienced, was evaluated using  
130 the English and Malay versions of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS). Total  
131 scores could range from 0 to 30, where 12.5 is regarded as the cut off point for depression  
132 (13). This questionnaire has been used extensively in research and clinical settings, and was  
133 shown to be reliable and sensitive in detecting depression postnatally (14). The Malay version  
134 of the questionnaire has been also validated for use in a Malaysian population (15).

135

136 Professional Support

137 The extent to which factors and people in the mother's environment were supportive of  
138 breastfeeding was assessed according to two parameters: professional support and family  
139 support. Professional support was analysed based on the magnitude of compliance with BFHI  
140 indicators. As shown in Table I, a scoring scheme made up of 10 questions, which can be  
141 found in the Neonatal, Demographic and Infant Feeding Questionnaires, was developed to  
142 assess the level of professional support. Since these hospital practices were assessed from  
143 mothers' reports, we could not examine the first and second "Baby-Friendly" steps (having a  
144 written breastfeeding policy and staff training); therefore, they were not included in our  
145 analysis. We divided each individual hospital practice into two groups: breastfeeding  
146 initiation time (<30 minutes, >30 minutes), skin-to-skin initiation time (directly, >15  
147 minutes), skin-to-skin duration (<20 minutes, >20 minutes) and rooming-in (all the time,  
148 sometimes/never). The remainder of the hospital practices were also split (yes, no). Overall,  
149 higher scores reflect a higher level of compliance with established recommendations, where  
150 optimal compliance would yield a total score of ten.

151

152

153 Family Support

154 As shown in Table I, family support was assessed based on practical breastfeeding help  
155 received from a family member and the participant's perception of her family's support on  
156 the decision to breastfeed. Based on these questions which are found in the IFQs, the total  
157 possible score for family support is five.

158

159 *6 Weeks Post-Delivery*

160 Maternal Perceptions

161 Five maternal perceptions related to breastfeeding were evaluated using IFQ: i) the ability to  
162 find information about breastfeeding, ii) time demand of breastfeeding, iii) breast milk being  
163 sufficient for the infant at each feeding, iv) ability to breastfeed despite pain, and v) family  
164 supporting the mother's decision to breastfeed. Each item was scored on a 5-point scale from  
165 1 (never) to 5 (always). The total score of all statements was calculated for analysis.

166

167 *12 Weeks Post-Delivery*

168 Exclusive Breastfeeding Status

169 Information about breastfeeding status and its exclusivity was assessed at 12 weeks using  
170 IFQ.

171

172 **Data Analysis**

173 All data from the questionnaires were coded and entered into Statistical Package for the  
174 Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0. Continuous data was tested for normality using the  
175 Shapiro–Wilk test, Q-Q plots and histograms. Support scores were calculated for each  
176 participant. Participants were omitted from the analysis if any item from the scoring scheme  
177 was missing; eight were excluded from professional support analysis and four from the

178 family support. Binomial logistic regression was then performed to ascertain the influence of  
179 family and professional support scores on the likelihood of EBF at 12 weeks (yes or no).  
180 Spearman's rank-order correlation was used to investigate the correlation between support  
181 scores and other outcomes such as breastfeeding pain and problems and maternal depression.  
182 The influence of individual hospital practices, sociodemographic variables, maternal  
183 perceptions, and psychological state on the likelihood of EBF was also determined using  
184 binomial logistic regression. Relationships between individual hospital practices, maternal  
185 perceptions, demographic factors, depression scores and breastfeeding problems were  
186 explored using Pearson and Spearman's correlation tests. A multivariate analysis was then  
187 conducted including all identifiable predictors of EBF at 12 weeks in addition to  
188 socioeconomic status, maternal age, and type of delivery. Skin-to-skin lasting more than 20  
189 minutes, high socioeconomic status, vaginal delivery, no perceived insufficient milk supply  
190 (PIM) and maternal age of 26-34 were the reference categories. P-values < 0.05 were  
191 considered statistically significant.

192

193

## RESULTS

### 194 **Descriptive data**

#### 195 *Sociodemographic Characteristics*

196 Table II shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the 64 mothers included in this study.  
197 The mean maternal age was  $26.7 \pm 2.8$  years, and the vast majority of the participants were of  
198 Malay ethnicity (93.8%, n=60). Moreover, the majority of mothers completed at least 12  
199 years of education (84.3%, n=54), were employed (78.1%, n=50), belonged to a high  
200 socioeconomic group (45.3%, n=29), and delivered through the vaginal route (75%, n=48).

201



202 *Family Support*

203 The majority of the participants did not receive practical breastfeeding help from their  
204 families (64.1%, n=41); however, 71.7% (n=43) of the mothers perceived their families as  
205 supportive of breastfeeding (Table II). Eighty-five percent of the mothers (n=51) scored four  
206 and above (out of five) indicating a high level of family support.

207

208 *Professional Support*

209 In this sample, a higher proportion of mothers who delivered in BFHI-accredited facilities  
210 scored >7 than in non-accredited institutions (32.4%, n=12 vs 11.2%, n=2). However, the  
211 mean compliance scores for BFHI-accredited facilities ( $6.7 \pm 1.7$ ) and non-accredited  
212 facilities ( $5.8 \pm 1.3$ ) were not statistically different ( $p>0.05$ ). Table III depicts the differences  
213 in the number of positive hospital practices experienced by women who delivered in BFHI-  
214 accredited vs non-BFHI accredited facilities. Overall, 86% (n=48) and 54% (n=30) of  
215 mothers experienced at least five and at least seven positive hospital practices, respectively.

216

217 *Exclusive Breastfeeding at 12 Weeks*

218 The prevalence of EBF among mothers at 12-13 weeks of infant's age was 85.9% (n=55).  
219 The most commonly reported reasons for discontinuing EBF before 12 weeks were: "Breast  
220 milk alone did not satisfy my baby" (100%, n=6), "I did not have enough milk" (67%, n=4),  
221 and "I thought my baby was not gaining enough weight" (67%, n=4). Women who reported  
222 not having enough milk tended to also report that the infant was not satisfied with breast milk  
223 alone ( $r=0.93$ ,  $p=0.007$ ); however, these two reasons were not correlated with perceived  
224 infant weight gain.

225

226 *Breastfeeding Pain and Problems*

227 The most commonly reported breastfeeding problems were breast engorgement (59.4%,  
228 n=38), cracked/sore/bleeding nipples (54.7%, n=35), followed by infant latch/sucking trouble  
229 (48.4%, n=31) (Table IV). Eighty-two percent of mothers (n=51) indicated a breastfeeding  
230 pain severity of five or less out of ten.

231

232 *Maternal Psychological State*

233 Most mothers (82.5%, n=51) scored <13 on the EPDS indicating a low risk of depression.

234

235 **Breastfeeding Support and Exclusive Breastfeeding, Pain, Problems and Depression**

236 Neither professional nor family support in the early postnatal period predicted EBF at 12  
237 weeks ( $p>0.05$ ). The percentage of family support was negatively associated with EPDS  
238 score at week 2 post-delivery ( $r=-0.36$ ,  $p=0.005$ ).

239

240 **Individual Factors and Exclusive Breastfeeding, Pain, Problems, and Depression**

241 *Early Postnatal Hospital Practices*

242 The influence of individual hospital practices on the likelihood of EBF discontinuation before  
243 12 weeks is shown in Table V. Skin-to-skin duration lasting longer than 20 minutes increased  
244 the odds of EBF at 12 weeks by a factor of 5.11 (95% CI 1.08, 24.30).

245

246 Not providing infants with any food or drink other than breast milk was associated with a  
247 lower number of breastfeeding problems ( $r=-0.31$ ,  $p=0.01$ ). On average, mothers whose  
248 infants were EBF at the hospital had nearly two problems fewer than those whose infants  
249 were not ( $3.2 \pm 1.9$  vs  $4.7 \pm 2.2$ ;  $p=0.01$ ). Moreover, weak but significant correlations were  
250 found between how soon skin-to-skin contact and breastfeeding were initiated and pain at

251 week 2 post-delivery; the sooner the initiation, the lower the pain ( $r=0.27$ ,  $p=0.048$  and  
252  $r=0.26$ ,  $p=0.04$ , respectively).

253

254 To further explore postnatal practices at the hospital, correlations among the practices  
255 themselves were determined. It was found that the sooner skin-to-skin contact was initiated,  
256 the longer skin-to-skin contact was likely to be maintained ( $r=-0.28$ ,  $p=0.03$ ). Moreover, the  
257 sooner a mother received breastfeeding help post-delivery, the sooner she was likely to  
258 initiate breastfeeding after birth ( $r=0.32$ ,  $p=0.01$ ).

259

### 260 *Maternal Perceptions*

261 The total maternal perception score at 6 weeks did not predict EBF at 12 weeks. However,  
262 associations were demonstrated between better maternal perceptions and fewer breastfeeding  
263 problems ( $r=-0.33$ ,  $p=0.01$ ) and lower depression scores ( $r=-0.41$ ,  $p=0.01$ ). Further analysis  
264 of individual maternal perceptions showed that PIM at 6 weeks independently increased the  
265 likelihood of discontinuing EBF at or before 12 weeks by 8.96 (95% CI=1.78-45.18). Five  
266 out of the eight participants who did not EBF perceived their milk supply as insufficient.  
267 Mothers who had higher depression scores at 2 weeks reported stronger perceptions of  
268 insufficient milk supply at 6 weeks ( $r=-0.52$ ,  $p<0.001$ ).

269

270 Multivariate logistic regression was performed including PIM, skin-to-skin contact duration,  
271 socioeconomic status, maternal age and type of delivery. PIM still significantly predicted  
272 EBF discontinuation at or before 12 weeks (OR=8.43, 95% CI=1.24-57.51). However, skin-  
273 to-skin contact duration ceased to predict EBF at 12 weeks ( $p>0.05$ ).

274

275 *Psychological State*

276 A weak but significant correlation was found at week 2 between EPDS score and total  
277 breastfeeding problems experienced by the mother ( $r=0.25$ ,  $p=0.049$ ). On further analysis,  
278 EPDS score was correlated with two specific problems: trouble getting the milk flow to start  
279 ( $r=0.37$ ,  $p=0.004$ ) and having insufficient milk supply to satisfy the infant ( $r=0.29$ ,  $p=0.025$ ).

280

281 *Demographic Variables*

282 No correlations were found between breastfeeding outcomes and any demographic variable  
283 (mother's age, education level, socioeconomic status, and birth order).

284

285

## DISCUSSION

286 This study assessed the extent of perceived support for breastfeeding mothers in Malaysia  
287 and its influence on breastfeeding outcomes including duration, breastfeeding problems and  
288 postnatal depression. Our main finding suggests that support of first-time mothers by their  
289 families or professional staff did not alter the likelihood of EBF at 12 weeks. However,  
290 mothers who received higher levels of family support had reduced depression scores, which  
291 was associated with fewer breastfeeding problems.

292

293 Our main finding differs from that of other published studies. For example, a study in which  
294 breastfeeding practice scores were assigned to mothers, by summing the number of BFHI  
295 practices experienced by each mother, demonstrated that each additional 1-point score was  
296 associated with a 12.4% increase in EBF duration for 4 weeks and more (16). Moreover,  
297 various studies in different countries have shown that women who viewed their families as  
298 supportive to breastfeeding were more likely to initiate and maintain breastfeeding (17-19).

299 The discrepancy between these results and our own might be explained by the high rates of

300 EBF in our study population. Eighty-six percent of mothers continued EBF for at least 3  
301 months compared to the established EBF prevalence of 52.9% and 47.4% among infants  
302 between 0 to 2 and 0 to 4 months of age, respectively, in Malaysia in 2016. Our study  
303 population were planning to EBF, were only included if they established EBF after delivery,  
304 and the vast majority were of Malay ethnicity (93.8%) and achieved at least 12 years of  
305 education (84.3%). In a previous study, intention to breastfeed, a higher level of education  
306 and Malay ethnicity were associated with EBF (20). Moreover, most mothers received a  
307 generally high level of professional or family support, or both, where 88% of mothers scored  
308 five or more (out of 10) on the BFHI compliance scale, and 85% scored four or more (out of  
309 five) for family support. It is possible that had we been able to compare participants receiving  
310 a high level of support to those with no or minimal support for breastfeeding, the differences  
311 in studied outcomes might have been more apparent.

312

313 The role of the mother's social support network, namely the grandmother and the partner, can  
314 have a positive or negative effect on breastfeeding, depending on their experience and  
315 opinions on infant feeding (21-24). In this study, the majority (71.7%) of participants  
316 perceived their families to be supportive of breastfeeding, which might further explain the  
317 high prevalence of EBF we have found. We showed that mothers who received higher levels  
318 of family support had lower depression scores at 2 weeks post-delivery than those who had  
319 lower levels of support for breastfeeding. Similarly, a previous study showed that family  
320 support is associated with reduced depression scores at week 6 among first-time mothers  
321 (25). This indicates that the mother's support network might influence breastfeeding  
322 outcomes indirectly by positively affecting maternal mood. Further studies should investigate  
323 the different types of familial support (practical, emotional, financial) in relation to maternal  
324 depression and breastfeeding.

325

326 Associations between specific factors including early hospital practices, maternal  
327 perceptions, psychological state, demographic variables and breastfeeding outcomes were  
328 examined. The probability of EBF at 12 weeks was positively related to the duration of skin-  
329 to-skin contact and negatively related to PIM. Similarly, in other studies, skin-to-skin contact  
330 is recognized to increase breastfeeding and EBF durations (26, 27). Although the odds of  
331 EBF at 3 months in our study was not affected by how soon skin-to-skin contact was  
332 initiated, it was positively associated with the duration of skin-to-skin contact. This supports  
333 the WHO recommendation that skin-to-skin contact should be practiced directly after birth  
334 for an uninterrupted period of at least 20 minutes. PIM was associated with decreased odds of  
335 EBF at 12 weeks and was one of the most commonly cited reasons (67%) for discontinuing  
336 EBF in our sample. This is consistent with the national data of high PIM reported (59%) in  
337 the National Health and Morbidity Survey in Malaysia (2). As in previous studies, mothers in  
338 this study who reported insufficient milk supply as a reason for EBF cessation were likely to  
339 also report their infant not being satisfied with breast milk as a reason for discontinuation,  
340 which might indicate that they assess their milk supply based on infant behaviour rather than  
341 objective measurement of actual milk supply (28). However, after controlling for skin-to-skin  
342 contact duration, and other variables previously reported to influence breastfeeding duration  
343 such as type of delivery and socioeconomic status, PIM still negatively predicted EBF at 12  
344 weeks while skin-to-skin contact did not. Therefore, it is possible that maternal perception of  
345 milk supply could be a mediator of the association between skin-to-skin contact duration and  
346 EBF. It could be that skin-to-skin contact increases maternal confidence, which is associated  
347 with less PIM, and thus increased EBF success, as shown in a trial among primiparous  
348 mothers (29).

349

350 Overall positive maternal perceptions towards breastfeeding, including the perception of  
351 sufficient milk supply, were linked to fewer breastfeeding problems and lower depression  
352 scores. These positive outcomes are likely to explain why PIM was shown to be a significant  
353 predictor of EBF at 12 weeks in our study. Likewise, PIM has been previously found to be  
354 associated with perinatal depression and early cessation of breastfeeding (30).

355

356 Depression score was also correlated with the incidence of breastfeeding problems at 2 weeks  
357 post-delivery. Infant behaviour might influence the relationship between these two variables.  
358 For instance, excessive or inconsolable infant crying has been noted to increase the odds of  
359 having an EPDS score  $\geq 9$  fourfold (31). This infant behaviour can be interpreted by the  
360 mother as indicating an insufficient milk supply to satisfy the infant (28), which is a  
361 commonly reported problem in our study and was found to be independently associated with  
362 EPDS score. Another possible explanation is that higher levels of depressive symptoms have  
363 a negative effect on oxytocin levels (32, 33), which could interfere with milk flow. We also  
364 found that trouble getting the milk-flow to start was independently correlated with a higher  
365 EPDS score. It could also be that higher breastfeeding problems have a negative effect on  
366 maternal psychological state, as previously found in a large study in the UK (34).

367

368 EBF at the hospital was associated with fewer breastfeeding problems at 2 weeks. The  
369 observational nature of this study does not allow us to establish the direction of this  
370 association. It is possible that mothers who face problems are more likely to be given  
371 supplements for their infants, as suggested by previous studies (35, 36). Alternatively,  
372 mothers whose infants are supplemented with formula milk could experience more  
373 breastfeeding problems due to interference with breastfeeding, reduced nipple stimulation  
374 and removal of breast milk, which might lead to problems such as breast engorgement.

375

376 Participants in this study received face-to-face interviews on a consistent, regular basis (0, 2,  
377 6 and 12 weeks), which resulted in good compliance with only a few dropouts. However,  
378 despite carrying out structured interviews with the use of validated questionnaires to  
379 minimize researcher interference in feeding practice, the mothers had the opportunity to relay  
380 their concerns and inquiries about breastfeeding to the researcher. This could be considered  
381 as a source of support given to the mothers which can influence breastfeeding outcomes (3).  
382 Another limitation is that we did not collect details about the confinement period practiced by  
383 women in this study and thus we could not assess its influence on breastfeeding outcomes  
384 and maternal depression. Moreover, it might not be possible to generalize our findings to  
385 other populations where cultural practices are different.

386

387

## **CONCLUSION**

388 In our study population of mothers intending to breastfeed for a minimum of four months,  
389 neither professional nor family support seemed to reduce breastfeeding pain or problems, or  
390 influence the likelihood of EBF at 12 weeks. However, higher levels of family support were  
391 associated with lower maternal depression scores. Additionally, higher maternal depression  
392 scores were correlated with a higher incidence of breastfeeding problems reported by the  
393 mother, namely “insufficient milk supply”, and perception of insufficient milk supply was an  
394 independent predictor of EBF discontinuation before 12 weeks. Lastly, whilst hospital  
395 practices in line with WHO recommendations are already known to prolong breastfeeding  
396 duration, we showed that individual practices such as skin-to-skin contact and early  
397 breastfeeding initiation could also be associated with reductions in breastfeeding problems,  
398 not just with EBF duration. Compliance with hospital practices such as skin-to-skin, early  
399 breastfeeding initiation and avoiding in-hospital supplementation, unless medically indicated,



400 is therefore important. Hence, regularly monitoring the compliance with Baby-Friendly  
401 hospital practices is highly recommended. This study also highlights the significance of  
402 involving family members when designing interventions targeting breastfeeding. Future  
403 studies should include a larger sample with mothers who are more at risk to investigate  
404 whether support minimizes the risk of adverse breastfeeding outcomes. Additionally, future  
405 studies should examine infant behaviour to assess if inconsolable crying is related to  
406 depression and PIM. The quantity of milk supplied and concentration of mood-related  
407 hormones in breast milk and maternal and infant serum should also be studied to further  
408 investigate the relation between depression and PIM.

409

410

411

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

412 We thank all mothers who participated in the study, and nurses at antenatal clinics in  
413 Selangor who helped during recruitment.

414

#### REFERENCES

- 415 1. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, França GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al.  
416 Breastfeeding in the 21st century: Epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect.  
417 *The Lancet*. 2016;387(10017):475-90.
- 418 2. Institute for Public Health. The National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2016.  
419 Kuala Lumpur: Institute for Public Health, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of  
420 Health Malaysia; 2016.
- 421 3. Renfrew MJ, McCormick FM, Wade A, Quinn B, Dowswell T. Support for healthy  
422 breastfeeding mothers with healthy term babies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.  
423 2012;5(CD001141).
- 424 4. WHO guidelines approved by the guidelines review committee. Baby-friendly  
425 hospital initiative: Revised, updated and expanded for integrated care. Geneva: World  
426 Health Organization and UNICEF. 2009.
- 427 5. Cleminson J, Oddie S, Renfrew M, McGuire W. Being baby friendly: Evidence-based  
428 breastfeeding support. *Archives of Disease in Childhood-Fetal and Neonatal Edition*.  
429 2014:fetalneonatal-2013-304873.
- 430 6. WHO. Report of an expert consultation. The optimal duration of exclusive  
431 breastfeeding. 2001.
- 432 7. Ystrom E. Breastfeeding cessation and symptoms of anxiety and depression: A  
433 longitudinal cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2012;12(1):1-6.
- 434 8. Lau C. Effects of stress on lactation. *Pediatric Clinics*. 2001;48(1):221-34.

- 435 9. Zanardo V, Gambina I, Begley C, Litta P, Cosmi E, Giustardi A, et al. Psychological  
436 distress and early lactation performance in mothers of late preterm infants. *Early*  
437 *Human Development*. 2011;87(4):321-3.
- 438 10. Shukri NHM, Wells J, Eaton S, Mukhtar F, Petelin A, Jenko-Praznikar Z, et al.  
439 Randomized controlled trial investigating the effects of a breastfeeding relaxation  
440 intervention on maternal psychological state, breast milk outcomes, and infant  
441 behavior and growth. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019.
- 442 11. Fein SB, Labiner-Wolfe J, Shealy KR, Li R, Chen J, Grummer-Strawn LM. Infant  
443 feeding practices study ii: Study methods. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(Supplement 2):S28-  
444 S35.
- 445 12. Shukri NHM, Wells J, Mukhtar F, Lee MHS, Fewtrell M. Study protocol: An  
446 investigation of mother-infant signalling during breastfeeding using a randomised trial  
447 to test the effectiveness of breastfeeding relaxation therapy on maternal psychological  
448 state, breast milk production and infant behaviour and growth. *Int Breastfeed J*.  
449 2017;12:33.
- 450 13. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of  
451 the 10-item edinburgh postnatal depression scale. *The British journal of psychiatry*.  
452 1987;150(6):782-6.
- 453 14. Eberhard-Gran M, Eskild A, Tambs K, Opjordsmoen S, Samuelsen SO. Review of  
454 validation studies of the edinburgh postnatal depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*.  
455 2001;104(4):243-9.
- 456 15. Mahmud WM, Awang A, Mohamed MN. Revalidation of the malay version of the  
457 Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) among Malay postpartum women  
458 attending the Bakar Bata Health Center in Alor Setar, Kedah, north west of peninsular  
459 Malaysia. *Malays J Med Sci*. 2003;10(2):71-5.
- 460 16. Hawkins SS, Stern AD, Baum CF, Gillman MW. Compliance with the baby-friendly  
461 hospital initiative and impact on breastfeeding rates. *Archives of Disease in*  
462 *Childhood-Fetal and Neonatal Edition*. 2013:fetalneonatal-2013-304842.
- 463 17. Arora A, Manohar N, Hayen A, Bhole S, Eastwood J, Levy S, et al. Determinants of  
464 breastfeeding initiation among mothers in Sydney, ustralia: Findings from a birth  
465 cohort study. *International Breastfeeding Journal*. 2017;12(1):39.
- 466 18. Kornides M, Kitsantas P. Evaluation of breastfeeding promotion, support, and  
467 knowledge of benefits on breastfeeding outcomes. *Journal of Child Health Care*.  
468 2013;17(3):264-73.
- 469 19. Mannion CA, Hobbs AJ, McDonald SW, Tough SC. Maternal perceptions of partner  
470 support during breastfeeding. *International Breastfeeding Journal*. 2013;8(1):4.
- 471 20. Tan KL. Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding among infants under six  
472 months of age in peninsular Malaysia. *International breastfeeding journal*.  
473 2011;6(1):1.
- 474 21. Grassley JS, Eschiti V. The value of listening to grandmothers' infant-feeding stories.  
475 *J Perinat Educ*. 2011;20(3):134-41.
- 476 22. Bezner Kerr R, Dakishoni L, Shumba L, Msachi R, Chirwa M. "We Grandmothers  
477 Know Plenty": Breastfeeding, complementary feeding and the multifaceted role of  
478 grandmothers in Malawi. *Soc Sci Med*. 2008;66(5):1095-105.
- 479 23. Susiloretni KA, Hadi H, Prabandari YS, Soenarto YS, Wilopo SA. What works to  
480 improve duration of exclusive breastfeeding: Lessons from the exclusive  
481 breastfeeding promotion program in rural Indonesia. *Matern Child Health J*.  
482 2015;19(7):1515-25.

- 483 24. Bai DL, Fong DY, Lok KY, Tarrant M. Relationship between the infant feeding  
484 preferences of Chinese mothers' immediate social network and early breastfeeding  
485 cessation. *J Hum Lact.* 2016;32(2):301-8.
- 486 25. Leahy □ Warren P, McCarthy G, Corcoran P. First □ time mothers: Social support,  
487 maternal parental self □ efficacy and postnatal depression. *Journal of clinical nursing.*  
488 2012;21(3 □ 4):388-97.
- 489 26. Moore ER, Bergman N, Anderson GC, Medley N. Early skin-to-skin contact for  
490 mothers and their healthy newborn infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*  
491 2016;11:Cd003519.
- 492 27. Vila-Candel R, Duke K, Soriano-Vidal FJ, Castro-Sánchez E. Effect of early skin-to-  
493 skin mother □ infant contact in the maintenance of exclusive breastfeeding. *Journal of*  
494 *Human Lactation.* 2017;0(0):0890334416676469.
- 495 28. Gatti L. Maternal perceptions of insufficient milk supply in breastfeeding. *J Nurs*  
496 *Scholarsh.* 2008;40(4):355-63.
- 497 29. Aghdas K, Talat K, Sepideh B. Effect of immediate and continuous mother-infant  
498 skin-to-skin contact on breastfeeding self-efficacy of primiparous women: A  
499 randomised control trial. *Women Birth.* 2014;27(1):37-40.
- 500 30. Rahman A, Hafeez A, Bilal R, Sikander S, Malik A, Minhas F, et al. The impact of  
501 perinatal depression on exclusive breastfeeding: A cohort study. *Matern Child Nutr.*  
502 2016;12(3):452-62.
- 503 31. Radesky JS, Zuckerman B, Silverstein M, Rivara FP, Barr M, Taylor JA, et al.  
504 Inconsolable infant crying and maternal postpartum depressive symptoms. *Pediatrics.*  
505 2013;131(6):e1857-e64.
- 506 32. Stuebe AM, Grewen K, Meltzer-Brody S. Association between maternal mood and  
507 oxytocin response to breastfeeding. *Journal of Women's Health.* 2013;22(4):352-61.
- 508 33. Zelkowitz P, Gold I, Feeley N, Hayton B, Carter CS, Tulandi T, et al. Psychosocial  
509 stress moderates the relationships between oxytocin, perinatal depression, and  
510 maternal behavior. *Hormones and Behavior.* 2014;66(2):351-60.
- 511 34. Brown A, Rance J, Bennett P. Understanding the relationship between breastfeeding  
512 and postnatal depression: The role of pain and physical difficulties. *J Adv Nurs.*  
513 2016;72(2):273-82.
- 514 35. Chantry CJ, Dewey KG, Peerson JM, Wagner EA, Nommsen-Rivers LA. In-hospital  
515 formula use increases early breastfeeding cessation among first-time mothers  
516 intending to exclusively breastfeed. *J Pediatr.* 2014;164(6):1339-45 e5.
- 517 36. Gagnon AJ, Leduc G, Waghorn K, Yang H, Platt RW. In-hospital formula  
518 supplementation of healthy breastfeeding newborns. *J Hum Lact.* 2005;21(4):397-  
519 405.
- 520

**Table I. Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative Compliance and Family Support Scoring Scheme**

<b>Professional Support</b>			
#	Question	BFHI Indicator	Score
1	How soon after delivery did you breastfeed or try to breastfeed your baby?	Step 4- Help mothers initiate breastfeeding within half-hour of birth.	<30 mins → 1 >30 mins → 0
2	How soon after birth was the baby placed in skin-to-skin contact?	Step 4- Place babies in skin-to-skin contact with their mothers immediately after birth for at least one hour.	Directly → 1 Longer → 0
3	How long was your baby placed in skin-to-skin contact after birth?	Step 4- Place babies in skin-to-skin contact with their mothers immediately after birth for at least one hour.	>20 mins → 1 <20 mins → 0
4	While you were in the hospital, did anyone help you with breastfeeding by showing you how or talking to you about it? Who helped you?	Step 5- Show mothers how to breastfeed and maintain lactation, even if they should be separated from their infants (or face problems).	Yes and staff member helped → 1 No or family/friends helped → 0
5	While you were in the hospital or birth center, was your baby fed water, formula, or sugar water at any time?	Step 6- Give new-borns no food or drink other than breast milk unless medically indicated.	No → 1 Yes → 0
6	While you were in the hospital or birth center, did your baby stay in your room day and night, except for doctor visits, bathing, or other treatments?	Step 7- Practice rooming-in, allow mothers and infants to remain together 24 hours a day.	Yes, all the time → 1 No or Sometimes → 0
7	When your baby was not in your room, how did the staff decide when to feed the baby or to bring him or her to you for feeding?	Step 8- Encourage breastfeeding on demand.	Breastfed when baby seemed hungry, or mixed → 1 On schedule → 0
8	Was your baby given a pacifier by you, the medical staff, or anyone else while in the hospital or birth center?	Step 9- Give no artificial teats or pacifiers to breastfeeding infants.	No → 1 Yes → 0
9	Were you given information about any breastfeeding support groups or services before you went home from the hospital or birth center?	Step 10- Foster the establishment of breastfeeding support groups and refer mothers to them on discharge from the hospital or clinic.	Yes → 1 No → 0
10	If gift pack received at the hospital or center, were any of the following included in the gift pack? Infant formula- coupons for infant formula- breastfeeding supplies- baby items	International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes - Ensure that there is no promotion of breast milk substitutes, bottles, teats or dummies in any part of the facility or by any of the staff.	No gift pack was given, or breastfeeding items → 1 Infant formula or coupons → 0
Total possible score:			
/10			
<b>Family Support</b>			
#	Question	Score	
1	While you were in the hospital, did anyone help you with breastfeeding by showing you how or talking to you about it? Who helped you?	Yes and family/friends helped → 1 No or hospital staff helped → 0	
2	I feel that my family supports my decision to breastfeed: 1 (Never) – 5 (Always)	5 (Always) → 4; 4 → 3; 3 → 2; 2 → 1; 1 (Never) → 0	
Total possible score:			
/5			

**Table II. Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Mothers**

Characteristics	n (%)
Maternal age (years)	
20-25	21 (32.8)
26-30	38 (59.4)
31-34	5 (7.8)
Maternal Ethnicity	
Malay	60 (93.8)
Chinese	2 (3.1)
Indian	1 (1.6)
Other	1 (1.6)
Marital Status	
Married	64 (100)
Maternal Education Level	
Primary school	1 (1.6)
Secondary School	9 (14.1)
Certificates/Diploma	8 (12.5)
Bachelor's Degree	39 (60.9)
Postgraduate	7 (10.9)
Maternal Occupation	
Government Sector	18 (28.1)
Private Sector	24 (37.5)
Employer	1 (1.6)
Self-Employed	7 (10.9)
Unemployed	14 (21.9)
Socioeconomic Group	
Low	13 (20.3)
Intermediate	22 (34.4)
High	29 (45.3)
Type of Delivery	
Vaginal, not induced	33 (51.6)
Vaginal, induced	15 (23.4)
C-section, planned	3 (4.7)
C-section, unplanned	13 (20.3)
Delivery at Baby Friendly Hospital	
Yes	37 (67.3)
No	18 (32.7)
Received help with breastfeeding from family and friends during hospital stay	
Yes	23 (35.9)
No	41 (64.1)
Feels family support breastfeeding	
Never	1 (1.7)
Most of the times	16 (26.6)
Always	43 (71.7)
Primary person taking care of mother during postpartum period	
Husband	20 (31.7)
Parents	30 (47.6)
In-Laws	2 (3.2)
Helper	1 (1.6)
Self	10 (15.9)

**Table III. Differences in the number of BFHI-recommended hospital practices between Baby-Friendly accredited and non-accredited facilities.**

	Baby-Friendly Accredited	
	Yes	No
<b>3 practices</b>	2 (5.4)	1 (5.6)
<b>4 practices</b>	3 (8.1)	1 (5.6)
<b>5 practices</b>	4 (10.8)	6 (33.3)
<b>6 practices</b>	4 (10.8)	4 (22.2)
<b>7 practices</b>	12 (32.4)	4 (22.2)
<b>8 practices</b>	10 (27.0)	1 (5.6)
<b>9 practices</b>	2 (5.4)	0 (0.0)
<b>10 practices</b>	0 (0.0)	1 (5.6)

**Table IV. Breastfeeding problems experienced at 2 weeks post-delivery**

<b>Breastfeeding Problems</b>	<b>n (%)</b>
Sucking or latching trouble	31 (48.4)
Baby choking on milk	23 (35.9)
Baby not waking up to nurse regularly enough	22 (34.4)
Baby was not interested in nursing	6 (9.4)
Baby got distracted while feeding	3 (4.7)
Baby nursed too often	15 (23.4)
It took too long for milk to come in	8 (12.5)
Trouble getting milk flow to start	7 (10.9)
Baby didn't gain enough weight or lost too much	5 (7.8)
Did not have enough milk	7 (10.9)
Nipples were sore, cracked or bleeding	35 (54.7)
Breasts were engorged	38 (59.4)
Yeast infection of the breast	1 (1.6)
Clogged milk duct	6 (9.4)
Infected or abscessed breasts	0 (0.0)
Breasts leaked too much	20 (31.3)

**Table V. Univariate regression analysis for the likelihood of EBF discontinuation before 12 weeks from early postnatal hospital practices.**

Hospital Practice <sup>1</sup>	n (%)	OR	95% C.I.	
			Lower	Upper
Breastfeeding initiation time				
<30 mins	23(36.5)	-		
>30 mins	40(63.5)	0.54	0.10	2.93
Skin-to-skin initiation time				
Directly	51(81.0)	-		
>15 mins after birth	12(19.0)	0.57	0.12	2.69
Skin-to-skin duration <sup>2</sup>				
<20 mins	50 (79.4)	0.20	0.04	0.93
>20 mins	13 (19.4)	-		
Help with Breastfeeding				
Yes	51 (81.0)	-		
No	12 (19.0)	1.75	0.19	15.75
Exclusive Breastfeeding at the Hospital				
Yes	41 (67.2)	-		
No	20 (32.8)	0.43	0.10	1.95
Rooming-in				
All the time	49 (77.8)	-		
Sometimes or never	14 (22.2)	0.84	0.15	4.69
Breastfeeding on Demand				
Whenever the infant is hungry	29 (43.3)	-		
On schedule or mixed	27 (40.3)	0.92	0.21	4.11
Getting information about support groups				
Yes	24 (38.1)	-		
No	39 (61.9)	1.75	0.39	7.77
Receiving a gift pack with formula				
No	54 (88.5)	-		
Yes	7 (11.5)	3.20	0.51	20.28
Receiving a gift pack with breastfeeding supplies				
Yes	19 (31.7)	-		
No	41 (68.3)	1.35	0.29	6.35

<sup>1</sup>We could not examine pacifier use as predictor of EBF due to the very small number of mothers who used a pacifier (and who did not EBF).

<sup>2</sup>After adjusting the p-value for socioeconomic status, delivery method, maternal age, and perception of milk supply,  $p > 0.05$ .