

# Response of the multiple sclerosis community to COVID-19

Olga Ciccarelli, Jeffrey A Cohen  and Alan Thompson

Date received: 20th July 2020; accepted: 20th July 2020

Soon after COVID-19 became a pandemic and the first countries introduced lockdown measures, multiple sclerosis (MS) experts did what they do best, which is working together and collegiately in order to (1) re-organise clinical MS services, (2) set up national and international COVID-19 and MS research networks, (3) recommend guidelines on MS management and (4) report national cohorts of COVID-19 in MS patients and cases with an unexpected COVID-19 course. In this issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, there are examples of each of these commendable and important activities, which we are going to highlight in turn. Remarkably, these activities were carried out over a very short period of time.

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted on the delivery of MS care by redeployment of staff to COVID-19 activities, allocation of beds to COVID-19 patients, suspension of routine blood tests and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans in hospital, discontinuation of rehabilitation and the rapid adoption of telemedicine for non-urgent cases. In this issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, Sastre-Garriga et al.<sup>1</sup> carefully describe all the adaptations implemented at the Multiple Sclerosis Centre of Catalonia (Cemcat) in Barcelona (Spain) in response to the pandemic, which will inspire other centres to introduce similar changes in order to provide the most effective care for MS patients. Some of their changes, such as home deliveries of infusions, phone and video consultations and online teaching material, are going to be long-lasting positive developments.

In the *Controversies* section in this issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, it is debated whether COVID-19 will change MS care forever. Meca-Lallana<sup>2</sup> argues the yes position and suggests that as natalizumab-induced progressive multifocal encephalitis changed our clinical practice by introducing safety protocols based on testing of antibodies anti-JC virus, COVID-19 will lead to new safety protocols for drug administration based on determining SARS-CoV-2 RNA

and serology. Long-term changes include the introduction of home drug delivery programmes and the increased use of digital tools to record patients' self-assessments. Preziosa et al.<sup>3</sup> take the contrary position that COVID-19 is not going to change MS care in the longer term and argue that the new strategies adopted to reduce the risk of COVID-19 in patients with MS have been taken on preventively and are not evidence based. Changes in patients' monitoring, treatment and assessments are not going to be permanent, and the standard management of people with MS established in the pre-COVID-19 era is soon going to be resumed in order not to become a disadvantage for patients. Krieger<sup>4</sup> identifies elements of truth in both arguments and summarises three levels of potential impact of the pandemic on MS: (1) the fundamentals of MS care, which have not been impacted; (2) our approach to clinical decision-making, including the use of more effective disease-modifying treatments (DMTs), for which evidence is missing and (3) the logistics we employ, such as the adoption of telehealth, which have been transformed significantly.

The rapid set up of a dedicated and coordinated network led by the MS International Federation (MSIF) and MS Data Alliance<sup>5</sup> is described in this issue of the *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*. This network includes 23 international partners collecting a core dataset on COVID-19 in patients with MS, with the aim to understand the effect of DMTs on COVID-19 outcomes. Data are entered by clinicians and patients. In addition, all MS registries and cohorts are regularly sharing their COVID-19 core datasets into the central platform. Yam et al.<sup>6</sup> recommend that pregnant MS women are included in all registries as a subgroup of interest, given their relatively small number. Importantly, this innovative and remarkable data sharing approach can be used in future as a template for different stakeholders to share data quickly and effectively and answer research questions which are non-COVID-19 related.

*Multiple Sclerosis Journal*  
2020, Vol. 26(10) 1134–1136

DOI: 10.1177/  
1352458520948748

© The Author(s), 2020.



Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-  
permissions

Correspondence to:  
**O Ciccarelli**  
Queen Square Multiple  
Sclerosis Centre, Department  
of Neuroinflammation, UCL  
Queen Square Institute of  
Neurology, Faculty of Brain  
Sciences, University College  
London, London WC1B5EH,  
UK.

[o.ciccarelli@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:o.ciccarelli@ucl.ac.uk)

**Olga Ciccarelli**  
Queen Square Multiple  
Sclerosis Centre, Department  
of Neuroinflammation, UCL  
Queen Square Institute of  
Neurology, Faculty of Brain  
Sciences, University College  
London, London, UK/NIHR  
University College London  
Hospitals Biomedical  
Research Centre, London,  
UK

**Alan Thompson**  
Queen Square Multiple  
Sclerosis Centre, Department  
of Neuroinflammation, UCL  
Queen Square Institute of  
Neurology, Faculty of Brain  
Sciences, University College  
London, London, UK

**Jeffrey A Cohen**  
Mellen Center (J.A.C.),  
Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland,  
OH, USA

Individuals with MS, particularly those who are receiving high-efficacy treatments, have been postulated to be at increased risk of infection and mortality from COVID-19. This hypothesis had a significant impact on the treatment of patients. Despite the absence of secure evidence on the impact of DMTs on COVID-19, national and international treatment guidelines were recommended on the basis of expert consensus and the known biology of the mechanisms of action of DMTs.<sup>1,7-9</sup> These guidelines recommended that delayed initiation or re-treatment should be considered when using high-efficacy and lymphocyte depleting drugs. The manuscripts published so far are reassuring.<sup>10,11</sup> In this issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, Loonstra *et al.*<sup>12</sup> describe the Dutch cohort of MS and COVID-19 patients. This cohort includes 86 MS patients, 43 of whom tested positive. A trend of a worse outcome in MS patients on DMTs in general is not detected, and a clear link between low lymphocyte count and severe disease is not observed. This agrees with three patients reported in the same issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* who were treated with Rituximab, Cladribine and Alemtuzumab. All these patients recovered from COVID-19 despite lymphopenia, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 clearance is possible without B cells, and T and B cells depleting therapies do not seem to prevent a fully clinical recovery. The first case report describes a patient on Rituximab who recovered from a moderate COVID-19 associated pneumonia, despite an undetectable B lymphocyte count.<sup>13</sup> The second case is a patient with severe lymphopenia (expected to involve both B and T cells) who was treated with Cladribine 2 weeks before the onset of COVID-19 and recovered from a moderate course of COVID-19.<sup>14</sup> The third patient developed COVID-19 symptoms 1 week after the second cycle of Alemtuzumab and recovered from a mild infection despite neutropenia and lymphopenia.<sup>15</sup> In the Clinical Commentary which accompanies these three case reports, Brownlee<sup>16</sup> suggests that the risk of disability worsening from delayed initiation or re-treatment with a high-efficacy treatment will outweigh the risks of severe COVID infection, and therefore, we are now ready to reinstitute the standard MS treatment protocols.

In the same issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, Yam *et al.*<sup>6</sup> advise on the management of pregnancy in MS on the basis of data derived by the obstetric literature and previous coronavirus infections. The authors recommend that: pregnant women with MS maintain contact with obstetric services as appropriate to their particular circumstances and exercise social distancing after 28-weeks' gestation; there are no contraindications to

vaginal delivery unless there is maternal deterioration or foetal compromise; women who give birth during the COVID-19 pandemic should be encouraged to breastfeed where they wish to do so, with appropriate precautions in case of asymptomatic infection.

All the cohorts and cases published so far have highlighted the role of high disability, comorbidities, age and sex in increasing the risk of severe COVID-19 course.<sup>11,12</sup> Moss *et al.*<sup>17</sup> in the same issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* describe the results of surveys sent to 10,816 MS patients at Cleveland Clinic, Johns Hopkins and Multiple Sclerosis Centre of Catalonia (Cemcat) in April/May 2020. Questionnaires were collected from 3028 (28%) patients and provided information about comorbidities, DMTs, exposures, COVID-19 testing/outcomes, health behaviours and disruptions to MS care. There were 77 (2.5%) cases of suspected or confirmed COVID-19. The results show that there is a good compliance with reported social-distancing measures and that individuals having to work on-site, with lower education level and residing in more socioeconomically disadvantaged areas are less likely to be following social-distancing guidelines. Therefore, effort should be made in order to direct educational interventions to younger people with lower socioeconomic status.

This issue of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* provides excellent examples of the impressive response of MS experts and MS societies who worked together to tackle some of the most critical issues faced during the COVID-19 pandemic. This effort has to continue in order to understand the effects of DMTs on the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 outcomes in MS patients across all levels of disability and different phenotypes. A successful strategy that needs to be embraced in order to achieve this goal is to calculate the overall incidence of COVID-19 positivity and outcome among MS patients by inputting secure numerators (number of new cases) and denominators (size of the population) for a specific period of time and collect information on clinical characteristics and disease outcomes.

#### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: O.C. is a NIHR Research Professor; she receives research grant support from the Multiple Sclerosis Society of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the NIHR UCLH Biomedical Research Centre, the NIHR, the Rosetrees Trust, the National MS Society, and is a consultant for Novartis. She is Deputy Editor of *Neurology*, for

which she receives an honorarium. J.A.C. received personal compensation for consulting from Adamas, Convelo, MedDay and Mylan and is a co-editor for *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*. A.T. acknowledges grant support from the National Institute for Health Research HTA and BRC and has received honoraria for consultancy from Eisai and Abbvie (paid to Institution) and support for travel for consultancy from the International Progressive MS Alliance and National MS Society (USA) and receives an honorarium from SAGE Publishers as Editor-in-Chief of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*.

### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

### ORCID iD

Jeffrey A Cohen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9245-9772>

### References

1. Sastre-Garriga J, Tintoré M and Montalban X. Keeping standards of multiple sclerosis care through the COVID19 pandemic. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1153–1156.
2. Meca-Lallana V. COVID-19 will change MS care forever – Yes. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1147–1148.
3. Preziosa P, Rocca MA and Filippi M. COVID19 will change MS care forever – No. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1149–1151.
4. Krieger SC. COVID-19 will change MS care forever – Commentary. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1151–1152.
5. Peeters LM, Parciak T, Walton C, et al. COVID-19 in people with multiple sclerosis: A global data sharing initiative. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1157–1162.
6. Yam CH-Y, Jokubaitis V, Hellwig K, et al. MS, pregnancy and COVID-19. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1137–1146.
7. Brownlee WJ, Bourdette D, Broadley S, et al. Treating multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Neurology* 2020; 94(22): 949–952.
8. National Multiple Sclerosis Society. MS treatment guidelines during coronavirus, [nationalmssociety.org/](http://nationalmssociety.org/) (2020, accessed 2 July 2020).
9. Multiple Sclerosis International Federation. Global COVID-19 advice for people with MS, [msif.org/](http://msif.org/) (2020, accessed 2 July 2020).
10. Sormani MP and Italian Study Group on COVID-19 infection in multiple sclerosis. An Italian programme for COVID-19 infection in multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; 19(6): 481–482.
11. Louapre C, Collongues N, Stankoff B, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and multiple sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol*. Epub ahead of print 26 June 2020. DOI: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.2581.
12. Loonstra FC, Hoitsma E, van Kempen ZLE, et al.; on behalf of the Netherlands Society of Neurology. COVID-19 infection in multiple sclerosis; the Dutch experience. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1256–1260.
13. Wurm H, Attfield K, Iversen AKN, et al. Recovery from COVID-19 in a B cell-depleted multiple sclerosis patient. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1261–1264.
14. Dersch R, Wehrum T, Fähndrich S, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia in a multiple sclerosis patient with severe lymphopenia due to recent cladribine treatment. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1264–1266.
15. Carandini T, Pietroboni AM, Sacchi L, et al. Alemtuzumab in multiple sclerosis during the COVID-19 pandemic: A mild uncomplicated infection despite intense immunosuppression. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1268–1269.
16. Brownlee WJ. COVID-19 and high-efficacy multiple sclerosis therapies – Time for business as usual? *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1267.
17. Moss BP, Mahajan KR and Bermel RA. Multiple sclerosis management during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26(10): 1163–1171.