#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

## Editors' Choice—4D Neutron and X-ray Tomography Studies of High Energy Density Primary Batteries: Part I. Dynamic Studies of LiSOCI2 During Discharge

To cite this article before publication: Ralf Franz Ziesche et al 2020 J. Electrochem. Soc. in press https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/abbbbc

#### Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2020 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd..

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be/has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC 4.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under the applicable CC licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the applicable licence referred to in the article – either <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u> or <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### Editors' Choice—4D Neutron and X-ray Tomography Studies of High Energy Density Primary Batteries: Part I. Dynamic Studies of LiSOCI2 During Discharge

Journal:	Journal of The Electrochemical Society
Manuscript ID	JES-102147.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Sep-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Ziesche, Ralf; UCL, University College London; The Faraday Institution; Diamond Light Source Ltd; ISIS Robinson, James; UCL, Chemical Engineering; The Faraday Institution Kok, Matthew; UCL, Chemical Engineering; The Faraday Institution Markötter, Henning; HZB; Technische Universität Berlin; Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -prüfung Kockelmann, Winfried; ISIS Kardjilov, Nikolay; HZB Manke, Ingo; HZB Brett, Dan; UCL, Chemical Engineering Shearing, Paul; UCL, Chemical Engineering; The Faraday Institution
Keywords:	Batteries - Lithium, complementary imaging, 4D neutron imaging

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

# Editors' Choice—4D Neutron and X-ray Tomography Studies of High Energy Density Primary Batteries: Part I. Dynamic Studies of LiSOCl<sub>2</sub> during Discharge

- 6 Ralf F. Ziesche,<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> James B. Robinson,<sup>1,2</sup> Matthew Kok,<sup>1,2</sup> Henning Markötter,<sup>5,6,7</sup> Winfried
- 7 Kockelmann,<sup>4</sup> Nikolay Kardjilov,<sup>5</sup> Ingo Manke,<sup>5</sup> Dan J. L. Brett,<sup>1,2</sup> and Paul R. Shearing<sup>1,2,z</sup>
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Electrochemical Innovation Lab, Department of Chemical Engineering, UCL, London, WC1E 7JE, UK
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, OX11 0RA, UK
  - <sup>3</sup>Diamond Light Source Ltd, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, OX 11 0DE, UK
- 17 11 <sup>4</sup>STFC, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ISIS Facility, Harwell, OX11 0QX, UK
  - 12 <sup>5</sup>Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie (HZB), , 14109 Berlin, Germany
  - 13 <sup>6</sup>Technische Universität Berlin, 10624 Berlin, Germany
  - 14 <sup>7</sup>Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -Prüfung, 12205 Berlin, Germany
    - 16 <sup>z</sup>E-mail: p.shearing@ucl.ac.uk

#### 18 Abstract

The understanding of dynamic processes in Li-metal batteries is an important consideration to enable the full capacity of cells to be utilised. These processes, however, are generally not directly observable using X-ray techniques due to the low attenuation of Li; and are challenging to visualise using neutron imaging due to the low temporal resolution of the technique. In this work, complementary X-ray and neutron imaging are combined to track the dynamics of Li within a primary Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> cell. The temporal challenges posed by neutron imaging are overcome using the golden ratio imaging method which enables the identification of Li diffusion in operando. This combination of techniques has enabled an improved understanding of the processes which limit rate performance in Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> cells and may be applied beyond this chemistry to other Li-metal cells. 

#### 30 Introduction

Lithium batteries have transformed energy storage technology due to their significant advantages in comparison to other cell chemistries, including their high cell voltage, comparatively lightweight nature of the cells, long cycle life and high capacity and rate performance. These characteristics have enabled Li cells to be deployed in applications as varied as portable electrical devices, medical implants [1], automotive powertrains [2] or for applications in space [3]. Primary Li cells have historically been preferred for long-duration backup power due to their outstanding shelf-life, high potential and capacity. One of the most common cell chemistries for such applications is the Li metal vs. thionyl chloride (SOCl<sub>2</sub>) [4– 7] cell which is also suitable for applications under extreme conditions including very low or 

high temperatures [8,9]. Li-SOCl<sub>2</sub> primary batteries are mainly available in two cell designs: the bobbin configuration, investigated in that manuscript, and as a spirally wound cell. Bobbin

type cells, while low cost and relatively simple to manufacture, can show a fundamental

capacity loss at higher discharge rates. A number of different factors can prematurely interrupt 

the cell operation, such as the loss of the electrical or ionic conductivity of the electrodes. An

improved understanding of mechanisms which lower the cell capacity and lifetime is crucial

for designing improved batteries. 

Three-dimensional (3D) and four-dimensional (4D) imaging, i.e. 3D spatially and temporally resolved methods such as X-ray computed tomography (CT), are powerful tools to track processes which affect battery performance. The high spatial and time resolution which range from the millimetre to the nanometre length scale [10], exposure times of fractions of a second to acquire radiographic images [11,12] and as little as several seconds for full CTs [13–15] enable the study of the battery architecture, electrode microstructure, new battery materials and battery safety. The high sensitivity to high-Z elements provides a good imaging contrast for metallic materials such as the anode and cathode current collectors, and the active electrode materials such as LiMnO<sub>2</sub> [15], LCO [16], LFP [17] or NMC [18]. However, light elements such as lithium appear transparent when imaged with X-rays, in the 'tender' or hard X-ray energy spectrum. In contrast, neutrons show a high sensitivity for some low-Z elements providing a high contrast for both lithium and hydrogen. In contrast, some metals such as lead have low attenuation coefficients when imaged using neutrons. This is a consequence of Xrays interacting with the electron cloud with the attenuation coefficient increasing with a rising Z, whereas neutrons interact with the nucleus and provide different attenuation coefficients even between isotopes of the same element. Recently, neutron tomography has demonstrated significant potential in imaging electrochemical devices, for example, for visualising the water evolution in fuel cells [19–21] and electrolysers [22,23], to examine dynamic Li diffusion processes and electrode expansion [24–27], electrolyte consumption [28] and gas evolution [29–31] in Li batteries. However, neutron imaging is often limited to radiography and suffers from poor spatial resolution and long exposure times due to the characteristically low flux available at most neutron sources. This makes it challenging to image dynamic processes at high-resolution. Recent developments have shown an improved speed in neutron CT collection [32,33] and spatial resolutions down to a few micrometres [34–36] are achievable, which in turn has increased the potential to track dynamic lithium diffusion processes in lithium batteries. 

In cases where dynamic processes are slow, neutron sources with a lower flux can perform 4D studies by using an appropriate spatiotemporal scanning strategy, such as the golden-ratio (GR) scanning method. Conventional tomographic reconstruction techniques require projections in sequences of equal angular distances. The spatial and temporal resolution is predetermined and

cannot be changed during the measurements or afterwards. Additionally, if one of the sequential projections is missing or defective, caused by problems with the camera system or an interruption of the radiation, the data cannot be reconstructed or will contain significant artefacts. In the case of a static sample the missing projections can be repeated, or fluctuations of the neutron flux can be monitored and balanced by the variation of the exposure time. However, replacing lost projections is not possible for dynamic processes. The mathematical background and a demonstration of the GR technique is shown by Kaestner et al. [37]. This scanning strategy is based on non-sequential decompositions of the sample rotation angle sequence. The organisation of the projections by using the golden-ratio was first introduced by Köhler [38], who organised the projections by using the GR (shown in Equation 1) to calculate the optimal acquisition angle of each projection such as shown in Equation 2 for a tomographic scan over 180° with n the number of the projections. Equation 1

$$g = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \approx 0.618$$

*GR* angle  $(n) = g \cdot n \cdot 180^{\circ} \mod 180^{\circ} | n \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+$  Equation 2

The next angle is centred in the biggest gap of the previously acquired angles to minimise the overlap of the completed projections and prevent redundant information. Using this method, a time-resolved data set is achieved by using a certain set of consecutive projections, possibly with a high overlap of the projections between consecutive tomographies in a running-average mode. For an improvement in spatial resolution, a larger number of consecutive projections is used and the projection overlap can be reduced.

The combination of both non-destructive neutron and X-ray CT techniques provides opportunities to combine structural information such as particle movements, obtained from the X-rays, with electrochemical information such as lithium diffusion or the lithium content change in the cathode or anode, obtained from the neutrons. The successful combination of X-ray and neutron CT on batteries was for the first time demonstrated by LaManna et al. [39]. This combination of complementary imaging techniques enables the study of the dynamic processes inside batteries in 4D and provides opportunities to deliver new insights in processes which occur simultaneously such as e.g. Li diffusion and electrode expansion [40].

#### **Experimental**

1200 mAh LS 14250 Li-SOCl<sub>2</sub> cells (Saft Groupe A.A., France) were examined to understand the rate-dependent structural changes using a combination of X-ray and neutron imaging. The LS 14250 cell is a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> AA sized commercial Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> battery with an internal bobbin type structure designed for low-rate applications such as backup batteries in computers or burglar alarm systems. This low-cost, primary cell type provides a nominal voltage of 3.6 V at room 

temperature with a flat discharge profile over a long-time range and can operate over a wide temperature range of -60-85 °C, with a very low self-discharge rate, which makes the cell chemistry a popular choice for applications in extreme conditions [5,41]. Figure 1(a) shows the schematic drawing of the internal structure of a bobbin type Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> battery cell. The liquid SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode (green) in the middle of the cell is surrounded by the lithium-metal negative electrode (grey) and separated by a separator layer (yellow) soaked in a SOCl<sub>2</sub>:LiAlCl<sub>4</sub> nonaqueous electrolyte (blue). A porous supporting carbon skeleton surrounds the liquid cathode providing an enhanced electrical conductivity. A cathode current collector rod (grey) in the centre of the cell connects this porous carbon to the positive tab. The lithium anode is directly connected to the cell can which acts as the negative terminal. During cell discharge Li-ions move from the Li anode into the electrolyte, diffuse through the Li<sup>+</sup> permeable separator layer to the cathode side and react with the SOCl<sub>2</sub> to form LiCl, SO<sub>2</sub> gas and solid sulphur.

Two LS 14250 cells were discharged under constant current conditions at 25 mA and 8 mA, respectively. Both of these discharge currents are below the maximum recommended discharge current of 35 mA, however, these rates are known to result in a significant loss of usable capacity, as detailed in the cell data sheet.



Figure 1 (a) schematic illustration of a Li-metal/thionyl chloride bobbin type cell (b) 3D reconstruction of a LS14250 cell discharged at 25 mA while being scanned on the CONRAD-2 neutron imaging beamline with sequences of equal angular projections in forward and backward rotation through 360° and (c) 3D reconstructions of a LS14250 cell discharged at 8 mA scanned on the IMAT beamline with the GR decomposition strategy. The first projection angles for the GR scan are listed on the right hand side.

Time resolved neutron CT scans at a 25 mA discharge current were performed at the V7 CONRAD-2 neutron imaging beamline [42,43] at the BER II research reactor at the Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin. CONRAD-2 is optimised for high spatial and time resolved neutron imaging. 

The intermediate measuring position of CONRAD-2 was used to maximize the high neutron flux at an acceptable spatial resolution. This position was equipped with a high speed, indirect detector system including a 200 µm thick <sup>6</sup>LiF/ZnS:Ag scintillator screen for a high neutron to light conversion efficiency and a cooled -30 °C sCMOS 'Neo' camera from Oxford Instruments/Andor (UK) which provides a high frame rate with short readout delays. Both components were used in combination with a bright Nikon photo lens (f = 50 mm, aperture 1.2) to reduce the light losses inside the camera box and focus the object on to the camera photo/ chip. For an optimal L/D ratio a 3 cm pinhole configuration was selected, positioned about 5 m in the front of the detector system at the end of the NL-1B neutron guide. The LS 14250 cell was mounted in front of the scintillator screen on an adjustable stick on the V7 rotation stage (Goniometer 409, Huber Diffraktiontechnik, Germany) by a stainless steel clip. With a calculated L/D of about 167, the spatial resolution was about 150  $\mu$ m with a pixel size of 55  $\mu$ m. Before the cell was secured onto the sample holder the plastic coating was removed to mitigate the contribution of this layer to neutron scattering. The electrical connection to the GAMRY 1000E potentiostat (GAMRY Instruments, USA) was maintained by a stainless steel clip at the electrical negative cell can and a crocodile clip at the top positive terminal tab. The battery was discharged in constant current mode at 25 mA. During discharging, continuous neutron radiographies were collected with an exposure time of 2 s per image by the Andor Solis software. Tomograms were sequentially accumulated during 360° rotation with 600 projections acquired for each full tomography in approximately 20 mins. Every hour, two tomographies were performed whereby the first was acquired through a counter-clockwise rotation and the second clockwise; labelled as forward and backward respectively. Figure 1(b) shows an example of a 3D data set with an illustration of the rotation conditions. After every second tomography, the battery was translated out of the beam to collect open beam and dark field images to enable the correction and normalisation of the projections. For data processing the 'Remove Outliers' and median filter of ImageJ Fiji [44] were used to remove white spots which are caused by fast neutrons and gamma radiation from the 16-bit images. Reconstruction of the tomographies was performed using the Octopus 8.9 software (XRE, Gent, Belgium) using the filtered back projection (FBP) reconstruction algorithm. Due to the high neutron absorption of lithium, the reconstructed slices were then corrected for beam hardening using the first tomogram to determine the parameters which are utilised for all following tomograms. The parameters are optimised in such a way that the lithium anode and the SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode, in the middle of the cell, show a homogeneous attenuation coefficient respectively. Throughout the experiment 47 full tomograms were collected, 24 in forward and 23 in backward rotation with the process taking ca. 20.3 h and the battery providing a capacity of 506 mAh. 

A second 4D neutron imaging scan with an 8 mA discharge current was performed on the IMAT neutron imaging beamline [45–47] at the ISIS neutron spallation source. In contrast to most

reactor based neutron sources, neutron spallation sources such as the ISIS neutron source at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (UK) have a lower time-averaged neutron flux which makes imaging of dynamic processes with the conventional scanning strategies more difficult. To overcome this challenge, methods such as the Golden Ratio (GR) strategy can be employed. Using this method, the sample is imaged at non-regular intervals with the organisation of the angular distribution calculated by the GR. This method can be used for angular ranges of  $\pi$  or  $2\pi$  with each consecutive scanning angle minimising the overlap of the previously scanned/ projections avoiding the acquisition of redundant information. GR projections are acquired continuously, over a chosen experimental period, enabling the optimisation of either the temporal or spatial resolution by post-image processing by selecting the number of projections from the GR tomogram that are reconstructed. By ensuring an overlap of the projections of consecutive tomographies in the projection sequence (i.e. taking a 'running average') an improved time resolution is achieved. As the neutron flux at the ISIS spallation source is approximately 10× lower than at CONRAD-2 for the same L/D ratio, the GR decomposition strategy was applied here. An indirect detector box with a 80 µm thick <sup>6</sup>LiF/ZnS:(Cu/Ag) scintillator (Tritec, Switzerland) in combination with a Nikon photo lens (f =135 mm, aperture 2) and an ANDOR Zyla sCMOS 4.2 PLUS camera (Oxford Instruments Andor, UK) was utilised. The box was adjusted to a pixel size of  $29 \,\mu\text{m}$  with a pinhole size of  $40 \,\text{mm}$  with a L/D ratio of ca. 250 which achieved a spatial resolution of about 80 µm. Once more, the plastic cover of the LS 14250 battery cell was removed, before the cell was inserted in a neutron transparent cylindrical PTFE sample holder. Electrical connections were maintained by attaching a copper cable between the negative battery can and the PTFE wall and attaching a crocodile clip to the positive battery tab. The PTFE holder was screwed to an adapter rod which was mounted onto a Huber Goniometer 411 (HUBER Diffraktionstechnik, Germany) rotation stage and positioned close to the camera box. Due to the limited rotation angle between 0° and 180° no specialised cable connection such as a slip ring was needed. Owing to the low neutron flux, a long exposure time of 30 s was used to maximise counting statistics. A GAMRY 1000E potentiostat discharged the cell at a constant current mode of 8 mA during the scan. The angular distribution for the whole scan was calculated using the GR with Figure 1(c) showing a 3D reconstruction of the partially discharged cell and the first calculated GR projection angles over an angular range of  $\pi$ . In advance of the discharge scan of the cell, a 4 h tomography was acquired to enable a full reconstruction of the cell in pristine condition. After every 610 projections the cell was moved out of the beam for five open beam images to facilitate image correction after all the projections had been acquired. The total discharging process took about 104 h, after which the cell had delivered a capacity of 832 mAh. After correcting each projection using the dark and open beam images, and after filtering white spots, 3D reconstructions were performed via the FBP algorithm for a parallel beam using the ASTRA 

Page 7 of 24

207 toolbox [48,49] reconstruction library within the python programming language. For the 208 number of projections, the Fibonacci number 377 was utilised due to a better reconstruction 209 result in combination with a shift of 188 projections for each following reconstruction. This 210 results in an overlap of 189 projections and a time shift of about 2 h between the tomographies.

Four dimensional X-ray tomography was achieved on a Nikon XTH 225 laboratory X-ray system (Nikon Corporation, Japan) using a 225 kV reflection target source with a focal spot size of 3 µm at 7 W. A PerkinElmer 1620 16-bit (PerkinElmer Inc. USA) detector with a  $2000 \times 2000$  pixel array and a pixel size of 200 µm was used to capture all images. The plastic cover of the cell was removed prior to mounting the cell with double-sided tape onto the sample holder of the rotation stage. An electrical connection was maintained by applying a crocodile clip to the positive tab, with the negative connection achieved by connecting a copper cable to the cell can using insulating tape. Both cables were then connected to an electrical slip ring (P4) + Compact Slip Ring, Moog, UK) which allowed a continuous rotation of the stage without losing the electrical connection. The battery was discharged using a GAMRY 1000E potentiostat (GAMRY Instruments, USA) in constant current mode with a discharge current of 25 mA. The instrument was programmed to continuously collect projections at an X-ray tube voltage level of 120 kV and current of 140 µA. To achieve one full tomogram, 1201 projections were captured through an angular range of 360° with an exposure time of 1 s per projection, leading to a total image acquisition time of ca. 20 mins. The total cell discharge took about 18.3 h and reached a capacity of 459 mAh which enabled the capture of 56 full tomographies of the cell during discharge. The tomograms were reconstructed using the FDK (FDK\_CUDA) circular cone beam reconstruction algorithm from the ASTRA toolbox [48,49] reconstruction library within the python programming language. Before starting the 3D reconstruction, the projections were corrected by the dark field and open beam images which were collected before and after the scan and binned by a factor of two to reduce the reconstruction time and data size resulting in a reconstructed pixel size of 40.4 µm. 

# 

### **Results and Discussion**

As shown in Figure 2(a) the discharge curves of all three discharged LS 14250 cells show the typical flat discharge profiles at a high potential expected for the Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> chemistry. The cells operated at the higher discharge current of 25 mA display a lower potential plateau at ca. 3.3 V with the cell discharged at 8 mA exhibiting a slightly higher potential plateau in excess of 3.4 V. At the beginning of the discharging process, the voltage delay, characteristic of Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> cells, can be seen to be shorter for the cell discharged at a higher current requiring ca. 0.5 h before the potential is stabilised [Figure 2(c)]. In comparison, a long delay of about 30 h is observed for the low current discharge in Figure 2(a). This initial voltage delay occurs in Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> cells 

due to the formation of a passivating LiCl layer at the anode during cell storage. The increased time needed to recover the normal potential of the cell observed during the low discharge is due to a reduced level of mechanical disruption, which removes the passivation layer on the lithium metal surface [50]. At the point the voltage recovers to a plateaued voltage the whole Li-metal surface can participate in the discharge reaction. The slightly lower potential of the cell scanned with X-ray compared to the one scanned with neutrons is attributed to manufacturing variations. The difference in cell capacity of 459 mAh compared to 506 mAh/ may be a result of a higher self-discharge during a longer cell storage time, or differences in the active mass loading during manufacture. Internal mechanisms in the cell such as the shielding of active electrode material by a SO<sub>2</sub> gas layer on the Li-metal surface can result in similar effects.



Figure 2 (a) Discharge curves of the three LS14250 cells used in this work, two discharged at 25 mA scanned with X-rays and neutrons and one cell at 8 mA imaged with neutrons (b) A detailed discharge curve of the cell discharged at 25 mA scanned with X-rays. Green dots mark the times when tomograms were recorded. (c) a magnified view of the voltage delay at the beginning of the cell discharging process, typical for Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> chemistry.

Laboratory X-ray scanners or synchrotron imaging beamlines in the intermediate or lower hard X-ray energy regime show lithium metal or lithium diffusion in a lithium battery cell only indirectly by a grey value increase in the electrode, where the lithium is removed and a grey value reduction where lithium is deposited. This behaviour is visible for the LS 14250 cell scanned with X-rays in Figure 3, which shows horizontal and vertical slices of the pristine, half discharged and fully discharged cell. The metallic battery components such as the positive tab, the negative cell can and the cathode current collector are clearly visible, with the battery header somewhat obscured due to reconstruction artefacts. Furthermore, the liquid SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode in the middle of the cell can be seen; however, the SOCl<sub>2</sub>:LiAlCl<sub>4</sub> electrolyte is not distinguishable from the liquid cathode. Regions which are filled with an excess of electrolyte

 are expected in this cell type and can be seen above the active cell material by a reduction of the electrolyte volume and an increase of the gas volume in the battery head during the discharge. The lithium metal anode is indirectly visible by the transparent region at the outer cell radius between cathode and the stainless steel cell can. The removal of lithium from the electrode is indirectly detected during the discharge process. A contrast change inside the SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode is not detected. During the discharging process SO<sub>2</sub> gas is formed from the reaction of lithium with SOCl<sub>2</sub> which is visible as bright purple areas due to a low attenuation coefficient, which is similar to air. Shortly after the initiation of the discharge process, the SO<sub>2</sub> gas forms bubbles in the cathode which diffuse into four gas channels, which work as gas guides in the porous carbon mesh, and accumulate inside the cell header where a further gas reservoir is located. This transfer of SO<sub>2</sub> gas away from the cathode is designed to prevent the stagnation of lithium diffusion and subsequent passivation of the anode surface during the discharge. A distinction between the evolved SO<sub>2</sub> gas and the lithium metal is not possible in the X-ray image, because the greyscale values cannot be sufficiently differentiated. 



Figure 3: Orthogonal slices from the 4D X-ray scan of the LS14250 battery cell discharged at 25 mA, showing horizontal and vertical
 orthogonal slices from the in operando X-ray scan at three different SoCs; pristine, half discharged and the fully discharged cell.

To provide a better understanding of the processes involved in the discharge of the cell, including the removal of Li from the metal anode and the diffusion process inside the SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode, complementary neutron imaging was used. Time resolved neutron tomography mitigates the challenges associated with X-ray imaging discussed previously, and due to the high sensitivity of neutrons to lithium, a quantitative description of the Li removal from the anode and the diffusion of Li inside the thionyl chloride cathode can be achieved. Figure 4 shows orthogonal slices obtained on CONRAD-2 from the cell discharged at 25 mA: in pristine condition, halfway through discharge and fully discharged, at which point the cell had delivered a capacity of 506 mAh. In contrast to the X-ray tomographies, neutrons exhibit just a weak attenuation by the metallic cell components such as the stainless steel container or the positive battery tab. As seen in Figure 4, the Ni, cathode current collector exhibits a similar neutron attenuation to the surrounding liquid SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode and is difficult to distinguish. However, as the Li content in the cathode increases, the current collector becomes more visible. More highly attenuating cell components, such as the boron containing glass seal which shields the environment from the toxic  $SO_2$  gas and the Li, are shown in bright yellow colours. As previously shown in the X-ray images (Figure 3), a contrast difference between the electrolyte and the liquid cathode in the pristine state is not observed, however, as the discharge process progresses the cathode can be clearly distinguished from the electrolyte due to the increase of the attenuation coefficient indicated by the colour change from purple to black to yellow. The volume reduction in the excess electrolyte in the battery header becomes visible as the discharge progresses, exposing the 'flower' like shapes of the supporting porous carbon skeleton alongside the four gas channels in the horizontal orthoslices. During the discharge process, Li is progressively removed from the metal anode around the cathode and diffuses through the separator membrane, (which is not visible due to insufficient spatial resolution), into the cathode. Here, the attenuation coefficient increases steadily, seen by an increase in contrast starting from the cathode border. As more Li moves into the cathode a high contrast gradient from the cathode edge into the middle of the cell can be seen. This is indicative of a slow lithium diffusion rate inside the cathode. At the same time, SO<sub>2</sub> gas, which is neutron transparent, evolved through the discharge reaction and is marked through an increase of lowly attenuating regions in Figure 4. Towards the edge of the cathode, a larger volume of gas is generated due to the higher Li concentration in close proximity to the anode and consequent increased reactivity in this region. Not all of this gas diffuses into the gas channels and fills the gas reservoir, with a portion diffusing through the electrolyte and, in places, covering the surface of the anode, highlighted by green boxes in the slices in Figure 4. Such 'gas shielded' Li surface areas cannot participate in the chemical reaction. As a result of the slow lithium mobility in the cathode and the shielding of fresh lithium from the anode by gas the cell performance is inhibited through a reduction in both the cell capacity and operating potential. 





The second neutron imaging experiment provided data on a similar LS 14250 cell which was discharged at a lower discharge current of 8 mA. Orthogonal slices of three tomographies from IMAT are displayed in Figure 5, with slices from the pristine cell, in a half discharged condition and the fully discharged state with the cell yielding a total capacity of 832 mAh. The cell shows a similar discharge behaviour to that discharged at 25 mA, however, due to the lower discharge current the cell provided a ca. 40 % increase in capacity. This resulted in an increase in the consumption of lithium as seen in Figure 5, with the inhomogeneity of the lithium removal throughout the cell being particularly notable. The horizontal slices in Figure 5 initially show a homogeneous Li-metal anode in the pristine state and with the highly attenuating layer being preferentially removed towards the lower half and right hand side of the cell during discharge. This inhomogeneous Li consumption is likely caused by a misalignment of the cathode which is seen to be closer to the lower right anode side providing a shorter path to the cathode. Furthermore, evidence of the ability for gas to diffuse in this right hand region is shown in the tomograms with an area of gas evident at the surface of the anode observed when the cell is half-discharged not being present on full discharge. The lower discharge current used here also influences the quantity and depth of diffusion of Li in the cathode, which increases at lower operating currents due to the slow Li diffusion process in SOCl<sub>2</sub>. This increased Li diffusion inside the cathode is likely the key reason for the improved cell capacity observed in this instance. At higher discharge currents, an increasing amount of lithium reacts in the outer

345 cathode region, forming insulating solid sulphur, which is deposited in the channels of the 346 porous carbon skeleton blocking the gas diffusion channels and ultimately insulating the 347 internal cathode where fresh  $SOCl_2$  is available, thereby reducing the cell capacity.



Figure 5 Orthogonal slices from the in-operando 3D neutron experiment of the LS14250 cell discharged at 8 mA at the IMAT neutron
 imaging beamline at three different SoCs; pristine, half discharged and fully discharged cell.

By taking advantage of the high sensitivity of neutrons to Li shown previously, a quantitative determination of the Li-anode volume over the discharge time is possible. Here, a constant threshold segmentation of the bright lithium anode was achieved by using the AVIZO visualisation software suite (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with the results shown alongside the discharge curves in Figure 6. In the case of the battery cell discharged at 25 mA, the 24 tomographies measured in the forward rotation direction were used for the segmentation. Three of the segmented volumes are visualised in Figure 6(b). In the pristine state a total Li volume of 615 mm<sup>3</sup> was measured with 356 mm<sup>3</sup> remaining after the discharge process was complete. The anode shows a flat and homogeneous inner and outer surface area in the pristine state. During the discharge process progressive lithium removal is clearly visible at the internal electrode in the shape of vertical stripes. These stripes are located directly opposite the bulges of the 'flower' shaped cathode seen in Figure 4 and Figure 5. The shorter distance between the electrodes at these locations facilitates increased Li removal from the anode. The overlap of the Li-metal at the left side of the segmented anode, caused by the manufacturing process, is also visible with a shift in height between both endings. 

59 366

While the initial Li volume of the cell discharged at 8 mA is similar (608 mm<sup>3</sup>) the Li volume change is more substantial, with 213 mm<sup>3</sup> remaining in the fully discharged state. Here, the Li anode volume was determined for every second tomography. No overlap of the lithium metal foil endings is visible which may be a result either of better initial fabrication or insufficient spatial resolution. During the discharge process more lithium is consumed owing to the lower discharge current, resulting in the complete removal of Li at one side of the anode.



Figure 6: Discharge curve of the LS14250 cell discharged at (a) 25 mA and (c) 8 mA alongside volume renderings of the anode volumes
 of the cells discharged at (b) 25 mA and (d) 8 mA at different SoCs with the pristine, half and fully discharged state:

Ouantification of this Li volume decrease is achieved by correlating the volume of Li at a given time to the capacity provided by the cell with this relationship following a linear trend as observed in Figure 7. The initial Li metal volumes of 615 mm<sup>3</sup> and 608 mm<sup>3</sup>, which correspond to a Li mass of ca. 0.32 g per cell (based on a Li density at 20 °C of 0.534 g cm<sup>-3</sup>), are in a good agreement with the manufacturer's data sheet values of approximately 0.3 g per cell. The theoretical relationship between the used Li volume in mm<sup>3</sup> and the resulting capacity delivered in Ah is given in Equation 3. Here, M<sub>Li</sub> corresponds to the molar mass of lithium, C the electric charge,  $N_A$  the Avogadro constant and  $\rho_{Li}$  the density of lithium. 

Equation 3



398

402

56

57

58 59

60



 $\left[\frac{mm^3}{Ah}\right] = \frac{M_{Li} \cdot 3600 \left[\frac{s}{h}\right]}{C \cdot N_A \cdot \rho_{Li}} = 485 \ \frac{mm^3}{Ah}$ 



Figure 7: Lithium volume decrease of the LS14250 anode during a discharge current of (a) 25 mA and (b) 8 mA, respectively. The deviations from the expected linear volume reduction are due to variations in the segmentation process. The total error of the lithium volume determination is estimated to be smaller than 5 %.

403 A considerable drawback of the SOCl<sub>2</sub> electrode chemistry is the slow Li diffusion inside the 404 cathode which can result in blocking of the diffusion channels in the carbon support skeleton 405 by the formation of solid sulphur from the chemical reaction with lithium. Quantification of 406 this can be achieved by examining changes in intensity, which indicates the Li diffusion 407 throughout the cell. Figure 8(c, d) shows profiles of the intensity through the reconstructed 408 slices of the anode and cathode for both the cell discharged at 25 mA and 8 mA. For the line 409 profiles a single horizontal orthogonal slice was used, which is the most representative for the 410 battery cell respectively, shown in Figure 8(a, b). A high lithium mobility can be seen at the 411 cathode edges over the discharge time for both cells, with the 25 mA discharged cell showing 412 a higher mobility as a result of the increased discharge current [Figure 8(c, d)].



Figure 8: Normalised grey values representing lithium redistributions inside the LS14250 battery cell during a discharge current of – (a, c) 25 mA and (b, d) 8 mA. (a, b) selected horizontal slices from the middle part of the cell at different SoCs highlighting lithium removal and accumulation. (c, d) time dependent line plots through the middle section of a LS14250 Li/SOCl<sub>2</sub> cell during the discharging process. Values above the baseline indicate an increase of lithium in the cathode, and values below the baseline indicate lithium removal from the anode.

The x-axis shows the radial distance from the centre of the cell, and the y-axis the relative change of the lithium distribution in terms of greyscale values normalised to the initial SoC. Values above the baseline are attributable to an increased lithium content (such as that observed in the SOCl<sub>2</sub> electrode), with reductions in intensity associated with a decrease of lithium concentration (as seen in the lithium metal anode). The low lithium amount in the inner cathode region, shown by a trough in intensity towards the centre of the cell indicates a substantial

stagnation in the lithium diffusion at a 25 mA discharge current. Figure 8(a) shows related horizontal slices where blue and red colours indicate the lithium removal and deposition, respectively. A high lithium accumulation at the bulges of the 'flower' shaped cathode boundary is clearly visible during the first discharge phase due to the favourable short distance between the lithium metal anode and the cathode. At the end of the discharging process, the red ring along the cathode border indicates high accumulation of lithium in contrast to the inner cathode region which is almost devoid of lithium. It should be noted that the blue coloured, circular regions inside the cathode are related to intensity changes in the reconstructions caused by the generation of SO<sub>2</sub> gas which fill the gas channels, displacing the SOCl<sub>2</sub> and causing a similar behaviour to that observed during Li removal from the anode. White areas represent no intensity changes during the discharging process which indicates regions with constant lithium amounts or regions unaffected by the reaction. 

Figure 8(b, d) shows a similar analysis for the cell discharged at 8 mA. In this case, the line profile plot shows a more equal Li distribution from the outer cathode edge to the centre of the cell. The lower discharge current facilitates improved Li diffusion properties due to the reduced reaction rate. The improved ratio can be seen by the higher lithium amount in the centre of the cathode in comparison to the cell discharged at 25 mA. The horizontal slices in Figure 8(b) also show a more homogeneous lithium distribution during the discharge before the concentration increases on the cathode border due to blocking effects in the carbon skeleton. This comparison of the time dependent lithium distributions inside the SOCl<sub>2</sub> electrode reveals the major reason for the capacity loss with discharge at higher currents. The loss of usable cell capacity is strongly correlated to the rate of the lithium diffusion inside the cathode. Higher currents force the chemical reaction between the Li and the thionyl chloride whereby solid sulphur is formed impeding the diffusion channels of the porous supporting carbon skeleton and reducing the rate of Li diffusion. As a consequence, fresh unreacted SOCl<sub>2</sub>, stored in the inner cathode region, cannot participate in the reaction. 





Figure 9: Relative grey value changes representing lithium diffusion inside the SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode at (a) 25 mA and (b) 8 mA alongside
 horizontal slices from the middle of the cell, with the yellow arrow pointing along the selected analysis points.

To better understand the problem of capacity loss at higher discharge rates, an improved 454 455 understanding of the lithium diffusion process in the cathode is necessary with results detailed in Figure 9 in which the time dependent normalised intensity change at seven different positions, 456 457 starting at the cathode edge and evenly distributed regions along the arrow towards the centre, 458 is measured in the most representative horizontal slices for both discharge rates. The detected normalised intensity can be interpreted as a measure of the quantity of Li present at any given 459 position. Figure 9(a) presents the normalised intensity change from a radial distance of -5.0 to 460 461 0.0 mm for the cell discharged at 25 mA. The values were determined along a representative line which is shown in the orthoslice at different distances from the cathode centre. The line 462 was placed in such a way that the gas channels do not influence the measurement result. The 463 464 results shown in Figure 9(a) indicate an increased level of lithium at a radius of -5.0 mm, which steadily decreases through the cell to a boundary located at a radius of ca. -2.5 mm, beyond 465 which no lithium is seen to diffuse. Above approximately 350 mAh, the lithium diffusion at 466 467 the outer cathode part can be seen to plateau, indicating a saturation of the cathode. However,

due to the constant current discharge this stagnation of the Li diffusion must be compensated by an increased rate of Li diffusion elsewhere. It is likely that this happens in the gaps between the 'flowery' bulges at the cathode boundary, which are more active than other regions during the initial part of discharge process owing to the shorter diffusion paths for the Li-ions from anode to cathode. The rate of Li diffusion seems to only stagnate in the outer cathode region (-5.0 mm) with an increase in intensity, and consequently continued diffusion, evident in the inner regions (-4.2 mm to -2.5 mm) suggesting that the limitation on the capacity provided by the cell occurs in the outer cathode shell area.

At a lower discharge current, the lithium diffusion inside the cathode is slightly more homogeneous with a noticeable decrease inwards the cathode. Figure 9(b) shows the lithium diffusion behaviour inside the SOCl<sub>2</sub> cathode for the cell discharged at 8 mA. In contrast to the higher discharge current of 25 mA, the rate of intensity rise is consistent across all regions, with the internal regions displaying a substantially increased change in intensity. These findings demonstrate the mechanisms behind the improved capacity provided by lower operating currents, which enables Li diffusion deeper inside the cathode enabling use of more unreacted SOCl<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 10: Determination of the intensity/lithium amount change per cell capacity and the maximal discharge current which allows for the use of the full cell capacity showing (a) the observed intensity change, and the calculated slopes for the cells discharged at 25 mA and 8 mA and (b) an estimation of the maximal usable discharge current to reach the full cell capacity occurring at the intersection of the curve with the abscissa.

The spatially variant intensity increase per capacity is a useful metric to compare the Li diffusion behaviour inside the cathode for different discharge currents. The intensity-capacity slopes for the graphs plotted in Figure 9 are displayed over the cathode radial distance in Figure 10. The slope values over the radial distance show a linear decrease of Li diffusion, with an increased rate observed for the cell discharged at 25 mA scan due to higher Li use. At a radius of about -1.5 mm the lithium diffusion seems to stop while the cell discharged at 8 mA shows evidence of Li diffusion throughout the entire cell. The point shown at 0.0 mm includes a small contribution in intensity of the cathode current collector which causes a slightly elevated 

change. A fit of the slope of the linear region is a measure of the capacity and radius dependent Li diffusion which is -0.379 (Int Ah<sup>-1</sup>) mm<sup>-1</sup> for the cell discharged at 25 mA and -0.083 (Int Ah<sup>-1</sup>) mm<sup>-1</sup> for that at 8 mA. From the slope it is possible to determine a maximum discharge current which enables the full capacity to be utilised. The Li diffusion per capacity and radius is plotted over the discharge current in Figure 10 b). Assuming a linear dependence for both values, the abscissa-axis intersection represents the maximal discharge current to reach the full cell capacity and is marked with a green dot which corresponds to approximately 3.2/ mA. This maximum cell utilisation rate is an order of magnitude lower than the maximum recommended continuous current of 35 mA and demonstrates the capacity limitation encountered at maximum discharge rate. 

#### 508 Conclusions

Multi-modal tomography was performed using X-rays and neutrons to elucidate the dynamic processes which occur inside primary LiSOCl<sub>2</sub> cells during discharge. These complementary methods enable the visualisation of the changes which occur in the cell components due to the different attenuation characteristics in the two techniques. X-ray tomography identified the consumption of the SOCl<sub>2</sub> electrolyte, which resulted in the evolution of SO<sub>2</sub> gas; however no significant structural deformations were observed using this method. In contrast, neutron imaging enabled the identification of the rate dependent diffusion characteristics of Li within the cell. Time dependent neutron tomography was enabled through the use of the golden ratio imaging technique, which substantially improves the temporal resolution of the imaging process, even at low flux neutron sources. The gas evolved during discharge was found to inhibit the performance by isolating regions of Li. Furthermore, the rate of Li diffusion was observed to be spatially variable, with regions identified where the diffusion process was seen to stagnate during discharge. 

The two imaging methods have provided an improved understanding of the performance of the cells. Where X-rays are more sensitive to metallic cell components such as the battery casing or the current collectors, neutrons visualise inter alia the lithium diffusion. The combination of both techniques helps to understand processes which are hidden for one technique or localise battery components, such as the cathode current collector in the cell centre, which are invisible for neutrons but the location is important for the analysis. For the discharge currents used in this study, the capacity of the cells was observed to fall significantly short of the nominal capacity, due to the slow lithium diffusion and the presence of unusable lithium. This bobbin type cell design shows significant radial disparity in the rate of Li diffusion at higher discharge rates suggesting a need to either limit the maximum current drawn from the cell or amend the cell design to promote improved Li diffusion. A calculation to determine the maximum capacity 

with this cell design suggests that the discharge rate (to ensure maximum capacity) should beat least an order of magnitude lower than the maximum current suggested by the manufacturer.

#### 538 Acknowledgments

 This work was carried out with funding from the Faraday Institution (faraday.ac.uk; EP/
S003053/1FIRG003, FIRG013, FIRG014). We would like to acknowledge the EPSRC
(ER/M028100/1) and The Royal Academy of Engineering (CiET1718/59) for financial support.
Measurements were carried out at the CONRAD-2/ V7 instrument at Helmholtz-Zentrum
Berlin (HZB). We thank HZB for the allocation of neutron radiation beamtime. The provision
of neutron beamtime on IMAT at ISIS (RB181068: DOI: 10.5286/ISIS.E.95665718) is
gratefully acknowledged.

**References** 

- 549 [1] D.C. Bock, A.C. Marschilok, K.J. Takeuchi, E.S. Takeuchi, Batteries used to power
  550 implantable biomedical devices, Electrochim. Acta. 84 (2012) 155–164.
  551 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2012.03.057.
- W. Chen, J. Liang, Z. Yang, G. Li, A review of lithium-ion battery for electric vehicle [2] Energy Procedia. beyond, applications and (2019)4363-4368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2019.01.783.
- 33
   555
   [3]
   Y. Borthomieu, Satellite
   Lithium-Ion
   Batteries,
   Elsevier,
   2014.

   34
   556
   https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59513-3.00014-5.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59513-3.00014-5.
- <sup>35</sup>
   <sup>36</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>36</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>37</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>38</sup>
   <sup>39</sup>
   <li
- 38
   559
   [5]
   A.J. Hills, N.A. Hampson, The LiSOCl2 cell a review, J. Power Sources. 24 (1988)

   39
   560
   253–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7753(88)80102-2.
- 41561[6]J.J. Auborn, K.W. French, S.I. Lieberman, V.K. Shah, A. Heller, Lithium Anode Cells42562Operating at Room Temperature in Inorganic Electrolytic Solutions, J. Electrochem. Soc.43563120 (1973) 1613. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2403315.
- 45564[7]W.K. Behl, J. a. Christopulos, M. Ramirez, S. Gilman, Lithium Inorganic Electrolyte46565Cells Utilizing Solvent Reduction, J. Electrochem. Soc. 120 (1973) 1619.47566https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2403316.
- 49 567 [8] M. Babai, M. Pallivathikal, Comparison of the behavior of the Li/SOCl2 and Ca/SOCl2
  50 568 systems at elevated temperatures, J. Power Sources. 28 (1989) 325–334.
  52 569 https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7753(89)80062-X.
- 53 570 [9] C.R. Schlaikjer, F. Goebel, N. Marincic, Discharge Reaction Mechanisms in Li / SOCI2
   54 571 Cells, J. Electrochem. Soc. 126 (1979) 513–522. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2128899.
- 56 572 [10] P.R. Shearing, N.P. Brandon, J. Gelb, R. Bradley, P.J. Withers, A.J. Marquis, S. Cooper,
  57 573 S.J. Harris, Multi Length Scale Microstructural Investigations of a Commercially
  574 Available Li-Ion Battery Electrode, J. Electrochem. Soc. 159 (2012) A1023–A1027.
  575 https://doi.org/10.1149/2.053207jes.

1 2			
3	576	[11]	D.P. Finegan, E. Darcy, M. Keyser, B. Tiaden, T.M.M. Heenan, R. Jervis, J.J. Bailey, R.
4 5	577	[]	Malik, N.T. Vo, O. V. Magdysyuk, R. Atwood, M. Drakopoulos, M. DiMichiel, A. Rack,
6	578		G. Hinds, D.J.L. Brett, P.R. Shearing, Characterising thermal runaway within lithium-
7	579		ion cells by inducing and monitoring internal short circuits, Energy Environ. Sci. 10
8 9	580		(2017) 1377–1388. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EE00385D.
10	581	[12]	D.P. Finegan, B. Tjaden, T. M. M. Heenan, R. Jervis, M. Di Michiel, A. Rack, G. Hinds,
11 12	582		D.J.L. Brett, P.R. Shearing, Tracking Internal Temperature and Structural Dynamics
12	583		during Nail Penetration of Lithium-Ion Cells, J. Electrochem. Soc. 164 (2017) A3285-
14	584		A3291. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.1501713jes.
15 16	585	[13]	D.P. Finegan, M. Scheel, J.B. Robinson, B. Tjaden, I. Hunt, T.J. Mason, J. Millichamp,
17	586		M. Di Michiel, G.J. Offer, G. Hinds, D.J.L. Brett, P.R. Shearing, In-operando high-speed
18 10	587		tomography of lithium-ion batteries during thermal runaway, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015)
20	588		1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7924.
21	589	[14]	D.P. Finegan, M. Scheel, J.B. Robinson, B. Tjaden, M. Di Michiel, G. Hinds, D.J.L.
22 23	590		Brett, P.R. Shearing, Investigating lithium-ion battery materials during overcharge-
24	591		induced thermal runaway: an operando and multi-scale X-ray CT study, Phys. Chem.
25	592		Chem. Phys. 18 (2016) 30912-30919. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CP04251A.
26 27	593	[15]	D.P. Finegan, E. Tudisco, M. Scheel, J.B. Robinson, O.O. Taiwo, D.S. Eastwood, P.D.
28	594		Lee, M. Di Michiel, B. Bay, S.A. Hall, G. Hinds, D.J.L. Brett, P.R. Shearing, Quantifying
29 30	595		Bulk Electrode Strain and Material Displacement within Lithium Batteries via High-
31	596		Speed Operando Tomography and Digital Volume Correlation, Adv. Sci. 3 (2016)
32	597		1500332. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201500332.
33 34	598	[16]	L. Zielke, T. Hutzenlaub, D.R. Wheeler, I. Manke, T. Arlt, N. Paust, R. Zengerle, S.
35	599		Thiele, A combination of X-ray tomography and carbon binder modeling:
36 27	600		Reconstructing the three phases of LiCoO2 Li-ion battery cathodes, Adv. Energy Mater.
37 38	601		4 (2014) 2–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201301617.
39	602	[17]	A.G. Kashkooli, S. Farhad, D.U. Lee, K. Feng, S. Litster, S.K. Babu, L. Zhu, Z. Chen,
40 41	603		Multiscale modeling of lithium-ion battery electrodes based on nano-scale X-ray
42	604		computed tomography, J. Power Sources. 307 (2016) 496–509.
43	605	54.03	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.12.134.
44 45	606	[18]	S.R. Daemi, C. Tan, T. Volkenandt, S.J. Cooper, A. Palacios-Padros, J. Cookson, D.J.L.
46	607		Brett, P.R. Shearing, Visualizing the Carbon Binder Phase of Battery Electrodes in Three
47 49	608		Dimensions, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 1 (2018) $3702-3710$ .
48 49	609	[10]	https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.8b00501.
50	610	[19]	P. Boillat, E.H. Lenmann, P. Irtik, M. Cochet, Neutron imaging of fuel cells – Recent
51 52	011 (12		trends and future prospects, Curr. Opin. Electrochem. 5 (2017) $3-10$ .
53	612	[20]	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2017.07.012.
54	013 614	[20]	P. Oberholzer, P. Bolliat, Local Characterization of PEFCs by Differential Cells:
55 56	014		Systematic variations of Current and Asymmetric Relative Humidity, J. Electrochem.
57	015 616	[21]	Soc. 161 (2014) F139-F152. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.080401jes.
58 50	010 617	[21]	I. WU, Q. IVICYCI, F. LIU, L. KASHA, J.I.S. CHO, I.F. INEVILLE, J. IVIIIICHAIIIP, K. ZIESCHE, N. Kardiilov, P. Boillot, H. Marköttar, I. Manka, M. Coshat, P. Shaaring, D. H. Bratt
60	017	7	Tw. Karujnov, r. Domai, m. markouel, l. manke, m. Cochet, P. Shearing, D.J.L. Brell,

1			
2 3	<b>610</b>		
4	618		Investigation of water generation and accumulation in polymer electrolyte fuel cells
5	619		using hydro-electrochemical impedance imaging, J. Power Sources. 414 (2019) 272–
6 7	620		277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.01.003.
7 8	621	[22]	M. Maier, J. Dodwell, R. Ziesche, C. Tan, T. Heenan, J. Majasan, N. Kardjilov, H.
9	622		Markötter, I. Manke, L. Castanheira, G. Hinds, P.R. Shearing, D.J.L. Brett, Mass
10	623		transport in polymer electrolyte membrane water electrolyser liquid-gas diffusion layers:
11 12	624		A combined neutron imaging and X-ray computed tomography study, J. Power Sources.
13	625		455 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2020.227968.
14	626	[23]	C.H. Lee, R. Banerjee, N. Ge, J.K. Lee, B. Zhao, E. Baltic, J.M. LaManna, D.S. Hussey,
15 16	627		D.L. Jacobson, R. Abouatallah, R. Wang, A. Bazylak, The effect of cathode nitrogen
17	628		purging on cell performance and in operando neutron imaging of a polymer electrolyte
18	629		membrane electrolyzer. Electrochim. Acta. 279 (2018) 91–98.
19 20	630		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.electacta.2018.05.066
20 21	631	[24]	IB Siegel X Lin AG Stefanopoulou DS Hussey DL Jacobson D Gorsich
22	632	[2]	Neutron Imaging of Lithium Concentration in LEP Pouch Cell Battery L Electrochem
23	633		Soc. 158 (2011) $\Delta 523 = \Delta 529$ https://doi.org/10.11/19/1.35663/1
24 25	634	[25]	LB Siegel A G Stefanonoulou P Hagans V Ding D Corsich Expansion of Lithium
26	625	[23]	Jon Pouch Cell Potterios: Observations from Neutron Imaging, J. Electrocham, Soc. 160
27	626		(2012) A 1021 A 1028 https://doi.org/10.1140/2.011208ics
28 29	030	[27]	(2013) A1051–A1058. https://doi.org/10.1149/2.011508jes.
30	637	[20]	H. Zhou, K. An, S. Aliu, S. Pannala, J. Li, H.Z. Bilneux, S.K. Martna, J. Nanda, Probing
31	638		Multiscale Transport and Inhomogeneity in a Lithium-Ion Pouch Cell Using In Situ
32 33	639		Neutron Methods, ACS Energy Lett. 1 (2016) 981–986.
34	640		https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.6b00353.
35	641	[27]	G. V. Riley, D.S. Hussey, D.L. Jacobson, In Situ Neutron Imaging of Alkaline and
36 27	642		Lithium-Ion Batteries, ECS Trans. 25 (2010) 75–83.
38	643	[28]	M. Lanz, E. Lehmann, R. Imhof, I. Exnar, P. Novák, In situ neutron radiography of
39	644		lithium-ion batteries during charge/discharge cycling, J. Power Sources. 101 (2001)
40	645		177-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753(01)00706-6.
41 42	646	[29]	B. Michalak, H. Sommer, D. Mannes, A. Kaestner, T. Brezesinski, J. Janek, Gas
43	647		Evolution in Operating Lithium-Ion Batteries Studied In Situ by Neutron Imaging, Sci.
44	648		Rep. 5 (2015) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15627.
45 46	649	[30]	B. Michalak, B.B. Berkes, H. Sommer, T. Bergfeldt, T. Brezesinski, J. Janek, Gas
47	650		Evolution in LiNi0.5Mn1.5O4/Graphite Cells Studied In Operando by a Combination
48	651		of Differential Electrochemical Mass Spectrometry, Neutron Imaging, and Pressure
49 50	652		Measurements, Anal. Chem. 88 (2016) 2877–2883.
50	653		https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04696.
52	654	[31]	D. Goers, M. Holzapfel, W. Scheifele, E. Lehmann, P. Vontobel, P. Novák, In situ
53 54	655		neutron radiography of lithium-ion batteries: The gas evolution on graphite electrodes
54 55	656		during the charging, J. Power Sources, 130 (2004) 221–226.
56	657	(	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ipowsour.2003.11.065
57	658	[32]	C Tötzke N Kardiilov I Manke S F Oswald Canturing 3D Water Flow in Rooted
со 59	659		Soil by Illtra-fast Neutron Tomography Sci Rep 7 (2017) 6102
60	0.55	7	5, Chiu iust reducin romography, 501. (Cp. 7 (2017) 0192.
			7

1 2					
2	660		https://doi.org/10.1028/s41508.017.06046.w		
4	661	[22]	C Tätzka N Kardiilay N Lanoir I Manka S E Ogwald A Tangattini What comes		
5 6	667	[33]	NeXT? High Speed Neutron Tomography at H J 27 (2010) 28640 28648		
7	662		https://doi.org/10.1264/OE.27.028640		
8	664	[2/]	A Tongottini N Lonoir E Andà P Circud D Atking L Bosucour C Virgini		
9 10	665	[34]	A. Tengattini, N. Lenon, E. Ando, B. Giloud, D. Atkins, J. Beaucout, G. Viggiani, NaVT Granoble, the Neutron and V ray tomograph in Granoble, Nucl. Instruments		
11	666		Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers. Detect. Assoc. Equip. 068 (2020) 1		
12	667		11 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2020.163030		
13 14	668	[35]	DS Hussey IM LaManna E Baltic DL Jacobson Neutron imaging detector with 2		
15	660	[33]	um spatial resolution based on event reconstruction of neutron capture in gadolinium		
16 17	670		oversulfide scintillators Nucl Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel		
18	671		Spectrometers Detect Assoc Equip 866 (2017) 9–12		
19	672		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.nima.2017.05.035		
20 21	673	[36]	P Trtik I Hovind C Grünzweig A Bollhalder V Thominet C David A Kaestner		
22	67A	[30]	F. H. Lahmann, Improving the Spatial Pacalution of Neutron Imaging at Paul Scherrer		
23	675		Institut The Neutron Microscope Project Phys. Proceedia 69 (2015) 169 176		
24 25	676		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.07.024		
26	677	[37]	A Kaestner B Münch P Trtik I Butler Spatiotemporal computed tomography of		
27	678	[37]	A. Kaestner, B. Wullen, F. Huk, E. Buller, Sparotemporal computed tomography of dynamic processes. Opt. Eng. 50 (2011) 122201. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.2660208		
28 29	670	[38]	T Kohler A projection access scheme for iterative reconstruction based on the golden		
30	680	[30]	1. Komer, A projection access scheme for nerative reconstruction based on the golden		
31	681		bttps://doi.org/10.1100/NSSMIC 2004.1466745		
32 33	682	[30]	IM LaManna DS Hussey FM Baltic DI Jacobson Improving material		
34	683	[37]	identification by combining y ray and neutron tomography Proc. SPIE 1030104 (2017)		
35 36	684		1. 7. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2274443		
37	685	[40]	P E Ziesche T Arlt D P Einegen TMM Heenen A Tengettini D Baum N		
38	686	[40]	Kardiilov H. Marköttar I. Marka W. Kockalmann D.H. Bratt P.P. Shoaring 4D		
39 40	687		imaging of lithium batteries using correlative neutron and X ray tomography with a		
41	688		virtual unrolling technique Nat Commun 11:777 (2020) 11		
42	680		https://doi.org/10.1038/s/11/67.010.130/3.3		
43 44	600	[/1]	SAFT Data Sheet - SAFT I S 1/250 (2000) 1 2		
45	601	[41]	N Kardijlov A Hilger I Manke P Worzcek I Banhart CONRAD 2: The new		
46	602	[42]	noutron imaging instrument at the Helmheltz Zentrum Berlin, L Appl. Crystellogr 40		
47 48	603		(2016) 195 202 https://doi.org/10.1107/\$1600576715023353		
49	604	[/2]	(2010) 193-202. https://doi.org/10.110//S1000570/150255555.		
50 51	605	[43]	Padiography at PEP II (V7) I Large Scale Des Eacil II SPE 2 (2016) 1.6		
51 52	606		Radiography at DEK II ( $\sqrt{7}$ ), J. Large-Scale Res. Facil. JLSRF. 2 (2010) 1–0.		
53	607	F4.41	I Schindelin I Argende correres E Erise V Keynig M Longeir T Dietzsch S		
54 55	608	[44]	J. Schnidenni, I. Arganda-carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaying, M. Longan, T. Freizsch, S. Proibigh C. Buodon S. Scolfold P. Schmid I. Tinovoz, D.I. White V. Hortonstoin, K.		
56	600	(	Fliedisch, C. Rueden, S. Saaneid, B. Schnind, J. Thevez, D.J. white, V. Hartenstein, K.		
57	099 700		analysis Nat Methods 9 (2012) 676 682 https://doi.org/10.1028/nmath.2010		
58 59	700	[45]	W Kockelmann T Minniti D Doclay C Pures D Damadhan E Akarovd C Hawalls		
60	60 701 [45] W. KOCKEIIIaiii, T. Willinu, D. FOORY, G. Burca, K. Kallaulian, F. Akeroyu, G. Howells,				

C. Moreton-Smith, D. Keymer, J. Kelleher, S. Kabra, T. Lee, R. Ziesche, A. Reid, G. Vitucci, G. Gorini, D. Micieli, R. Agostino, V. Formoso, F. Aliotta, R. Ponterio, S. Trusso, G. Salvato, C. Vasi, F. Grazzi, K. Watanabe, J. Lee, A. Tremsin, J. McPhate, D. Nixon, N. Draper, W. Halcrow, J. Nightingale, Time-of-Flight Neutron Imaging on IMAT@ISIS: A New User Facility for Materials Science, J. Imaging. 4 (2018) 47. https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging4030047. T. Minniti, W. Kockelmann, G. Burca, J.F. Kelleher, S. Kabra, S.Y. Zhang, D.E. Pooley, [46] E.M. Schooneveld, Q. Mutamba, J. Sykora, N.J. Rhodes, F.M. Pouzols, J.B. Nightingale, F. Aliotta, L.M. Bonaccorsi, R. Ponterio, G. Salvato, S. Trusso, C. Vasi, A.S. Tremsin, G. Gorini, Materials analysis opportunities on the new neutron imaging facility IMAT@ISIS, J. Instrum. 11 (2016) C03014-C03014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/11/03/C03014. T. Minniti, K. Watanabe, G. Burca, D.E. Pooley, W. Kockelmann, Characterization of [47] the new neutron imaging and materials science facility IMAT, Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. 888 (2017) 184–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.01.037. W. van Aarle, W.J. Palenstijn, J. Cant, E. Janssens, F. Bleichrodt, A. Dabravolski, J. De [48] Beenhouwer, K. Joost Batenburg, J. Sijbers, Fast and flexible X-ray tomography using ASTRA toolbox, Opt. Express. (2016)the 25129. https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.24.025129. W. van Aarle, W.J. Palenstijn, J. De Beenhouwer, T. Altantzis, S. Bals, K.J. Batenburg, [49] J. Sijbers, The ASTRA Toolbox: A platform for advanced algorithm development in tomography, Ultramicroscopy. electron (2015)35-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2015.05.002. A.N. Dey, S.E.M. studies of the Li-film growth and the voltage-delay phenomenon [50] associated with the lithium-thionyl chloride inorganic electrolyte system, Electrochim. Acta. 21 (1976) 377-382. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(76)85029-3.