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Summary

Background To date, few data on paediatric COVID-19 have been published, and most reports originate from China. This study aimed to capture key data on children and adolescents with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection across Europe to inform physicians and healthcare service planning during the ongoing pandemic.

Methods This multicentre cohort study involved 82 participating healthcare institutions across 25 European countries, using a well-established research network—the Paediatric Tuberculosis Network European Trials Group (ptbnet)—that mainly comprises paediatric infectious diseases specialists and paediatric pulmonologists. We included all individuals aged 18 years or younger with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, detected at any anatomical site by RT-PCR, between April 1 and April 24, 2020, during the initial peak of the European COVID-19 pandemic. We explored factors associated with need for intensive care unit (ICU) admission and initiation of drug treatment for COVID-19 using univariable analysis, and applied multivariable logistic regression with backwards stepwise analysis to further explore those factors significantly associated with ICU admission.

Findings 582 individuals with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were included, with a median age of 5.0 years (IQR 0.5–12.0) and a sex ratio of 1.15 males per female. 145 (25%) had pre-existing medical conditions. 363 (62%) were admitted to hospital. 48 (8%) individuals required ICU admission, 25 (4%) mechanical ventilation (median duration 7 days, IQR 2–11, range 1–34), 19 (3%) inotropic support, and one (<1%) extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Significant risk factors for requiring ICU admission in multivariable analyses were being younger than 1 month (odds ratio 5.06, 95% CI 1.72–14.87; p=0.0035), male sex (2.12, 1.06–4.21; p=0.033), presence of lower respiratory tract infection signs or symptoms at presentation (10.46, 5.16–21.23; p=0.0001). The most frequently used drug with antiviral activity was hydroxychloroquine (40 [7%] patients), followed by remdesivir (17 [3%] patients), lopinavir–ritonavir (six [1%] patients), and oseltamivir (three [1%] patients). Immunosuppressive medication used included corticosteroids (22 [4%] patients), intravenous immunoglobulin (seven [1%] patients), tocilizumab (four [1%] patients), anakinra (three [1%] patients), and siltuximab (one <1% patient). Four children died (case-fatality rate 0.69%, 95% CI 0.20–1.82); at study end, the remaining 578 were alive and only 25 (4%) were still symptomatic or requiring respiratory support.

Interpretation COVID-19 is generally a mild disease in children, including infants. However, a small proportion develop severe disease requiring ICU admission and prolonged ventilation, although fatal outcome is overall rare. The data also reflect the current uncertainties regarding specific treatment options, highlighting that additional data on antiviral and immunomodulatory drugs are urgently needed.
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Introduction In late December, 2019, WHO was notified of an unusual cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, China. The disease, later termed COVID-19, spread quickly beyond the borders of China, with the first cases in Europe being recorded on Jan 25, 2020.1

Subsequent investigations identified a novel beta-coronavirus now designated as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).2 Currently, there are no antiviral treatment options with proven efficacy, but several randomised controlled trials are investigating agents such as hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir–ritonavir, favipiravir, and remdesivir (eg, NCT04336904, NCT04328285, and NCT04280705). Other trials are focusing on immunomodulators, including tocilizumab and anakinra (eg, NCT04317092 and NCT04330638).

To date, data on COVID-19 in children and adolescents remain scarce, despite the number of confirmed COVID-19...
Evidence before this study

We searched MEDLINE on May 7, 2020, through the PubMed interface to identify publications describing clinical studies in children with COVID-19. To ensure a broad search, the search terms used were "(child OR children OR pediatric OR paediatric) AND COVID-19". No additional limits were set. This search yielded 809 papers: 104 case reports or case series; 38 epidemiological reports; 66 guidelines and consensus statements; 184 reviews, perspectives, or editorials without original data; and 53 letters; 322 were unrelated to children with COVID-19. 12 papers presented original data, but exclusively in adults. Only ten papers reported clinical studies in children with COVID-19: eight papers originated from China, one from Spain, and one from Italy. The study by Tagarro and colleagues was reported in letter format, and only included 41 children with confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in Madrid. The study from Italy by Parri and colleagues was also reported as a letter and included 100 cases across several Italian hospitals. However, the study only featured a single patient who required mechanical ventilation, and consequently very few data on children with COVID-19 at the severe end of the disease spectrum.

Added value of this study

To our knowledge, this study is the first multinational, multicentre study in children with COVID-19, and provides a detailed overview on SARS-CoV-2 infection in children in Europe during the initial peak of the pandemic, which was facilitated by a collaboration of 82 units across 25 European countries. The study has several key findings. First, the data show that COVID-19 is generally a mild disease in children, including infants. Second, the study found that a substantial proportion (8%) of children develop severe disease, requiring intensive care support and prolonged ventilation. Several predisposing factors for requiring intensive care support were identified. Third, the study confirms that fatal outcome is rare in children. There was considerable variability in the use of drugs with antiviral activity as well as immunomodulatory medication, reflecting current uncertainties regarding specific treatment options.

Implications of all the available evidence

This study confirms previous reports from China suggesting that the case-fatality rate of COVID-19 in children is substantially lower than in older adult patients. However, some children develop severe disease and require prolonged intensive care support, which should be accounted for in the planning of health-care services and allocation of resources during the ongoing pandemic. Finally, the findings highlight that data on antiviral and immunomodulatory drugs are urgently needed from well designed, randomised controlled trials in children, to enable paediatricians to make evidence-based decisions regarding treatment choices for children with severe COVID-19.

Methods

Study design and participants

For this cohort study, European members of the Paediatric Tuberculosis Network European Trials Group (ptnet)—which currently includes 304 clinicians and researchers, most of whom are based at tertiary or quaternary paediatric infectious diseases or paediatric pulmonology units, across 128 paediatric health-care institutions in 31 European countries—were invited to contribute cases of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection that had been managed at or managed remotely by their health-care institution (including individuals admitted to other hospitals or identified during community screening) before or during the study period. Any individual aged 18 years or younger with SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by RT-PCR was eligible for inclusion. A standardised data collection spreadsheet was used by collaborators to record data from their centre. All data were reviewed by three of the investigators (FG, BS-G, and MT), and any inconsistencies and other data queries were clarified with the reporting collaborators. Units that did not see any cases before or during the study period were asked to report the absence of cases fulfilling the inclusion criteria at the end of the study period. The study was done over a 3·5-week period, from April 1 to April 24, 2020.

The study was reviewed and approved by the ptnet steering committee, and the human research ethics committees of the University of Bochum, Germany (19-6545-BR), the Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Spain (CEIM HGUGM-177/20), and the city of Vienna, Austria (EK 20–071-VK). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent
amendments. No personal or identifiable data were collected during the conduct of this study.

Study definitions
A confirmed case was defined as a patient in whom SARS-CoV-2 was detected in any clinical sample (respiratory tract, blood, stool, or cerebrospinal fluid) by RT-PCR. PCR testing was done as part of routine clinical care, and therefore done according to local testing guidelines in place at the time. Date of symptom onset was defined as the day when the first symptom or sign occurred, and date of diagnosis as the day when SARS-CoV-2 was first detected. Pyrexia was defined as a body temperature at least 38.0°C. The index case was defined as the most likely source case based on history; if multiple family members were affected, the person who displayed symptoms first was recorded. Diagnosis of upper respiratory tract infection was based on clinical signs and symptoms, encompassing any of the following: coryza, pharyngitis, tonsillitis, otitis media, or sinusitis. Lower respiratory tract infection was based on clinical signs and auscultation findings. Inotropic support was defined as administration of dopamine, dobutamine, epinephrine, or norepinephrine by continuous infusion.

Statistical analysis
Non-parametric two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare continuous variables and χ² or Fisher’s exact tests to compare categorical variables, as appropriate. In children younger than 2 years, age was calculated as fraction of a whole year (365 days); from 2 years of age, age was rounded to the nearest year. The 95% CI around the case-fatality rate (CFR) was calculated with the Wald method. Normality of data distribution was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The clinical endpoint was the need for admission to an intensive care unit (ICU; either neonatal or paediatric intensive care). The association of baseline characteristics and clinical findings with ICU admission was initially evaluated using univariable logistic regression. Subsequently, multivariable logistic regression analysis with the backward stepwise method was used to explore variables that were independently associated with ICU admission. Only variables that were significant in univariable analyses were introduced into the model. Factors associated with drug treatment for COVID-19 were also explored with univariable analysis. All probabilities are two tailed. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were done with Prism (version 8.0; GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) and SPSS (version 25.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Role of the funding source
The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the manuscript. The corresponding author had full access to all the data and had the final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results
585 cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection were reported from 77 health-care institutions located in 21 European countries: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Denmark, Estonia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Norway, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, and the UK (figure 1). Three cases did not meet the inclusion criteria (one 21-year-old individual and two individuals diagnosed with COVID-19 based on serological testing, but PCR negative). Five participating units in the Netherlands, Moldova, Ukraine, and Russia reported not having encountered any cases.

582 individuals with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were included in the final analyses. 454 (78%) were contributed by tertiary or quaternary health-care institutions, whereas 54 (9%) had been diagnosed in secondary and 74 (13%) in primary health-care settings. The median age of the study population was 5.0 years (IQR 0.5–12.0), ranging from 3 days to 18 years (table). Age was non-normally distributed (W=0.8710; p<0.0001), with 170 (29%) participants younger than 12 months (figure 2). The sex ratio was 1.15 males to every female. The most common source of infection was a parent, considered the index case in 324 (56%) individuals; for 24 (4%) individuals, the most probable index case was a sibling. In the remaining 234 (40%) individuals, the

Figure 1: Location of participating units and number of paediatric cases reported by country
82 participating units are shown; cities with more than one participating unit are represented by a single dot only (London [four units], Antwerp [n=3], Madrid [n=3], Vienna [n=3], Barcelona [n=2], Berlin [n=2], Girona [n=2], Manchester [n=2], Rome [n=2], Tallinn [n=2], and Zagreb [n=2]).
Baseline characteristics in the entire cohort and by requirement of ICU admission

| Table: Baseline characteristics in the entire cohort and by requirement of ICU admission |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Age, years | Entire cohort (n=582) | Not admitted to ICU (n=534) | Admitted to ICU (n=48) | p value | Odds ratio (95%CI) |
| 5-0 | 50 (5-12.0) | 55 (6-12.0) | 40 (3-11.0) | 0.20 | 0.9 (0.9-1.0) |
| <2 | 230 (40%) | 207 (39%) | 23 (48%) | | 1.4 (0.8-2.6) |
| 2-5 | 62 (11%) | 60 (11%) | 2 (4%) | | 0.3 (0.1-1.4) |
| 5-10 | 94 (16%) | 86 (16%) | 8 (12%) | | 1.0 (0.4-2.3) |
| >10 | 196 (34%) | 181 (34%) | 15 (31%) | | 0.8 (0.4-1.6) |
| Age <1 month | 40 (7%) | 33 (6%) | 7 (15%) | 0.027 | 2.5 (1.0-6.2) |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Female | 271 (47%) | 256 (48%) | 15 (31%) | | 1 (ref) |
| Male | 311 (53%) | 278 (52%) | 33 (69%) | | 2.2 (1.0-3.8) |
| Pre-existing medical conditions | | | | | |
| Amy | 145 (25%) | 120 (22%) | 25 (52%) | <0.0001 | 3.7 (2.0-6.8) |
| Chromosomal abnormality | 10 (2%) | 8 (1%) | 2 (4%) | | 1.9 (0.5-6.8) |
| Chronic kidney disease | 9 (2%) | 7 (1%) | 2 (4%) | | 3.2 (0.6-16.2) |
| Chronic pulmonary disease | 29 (5%) | 23 (4%) | 6 (13%) | 0.012 | 3.1 (1.2-8.2) |
| Congenital heart disease | 25 (4%) | 20 (4%) | 5 (10%) | 0.029 | 2.9 (1.0-8.4) |
| Malignancy | 27 (5%) | 22 (4%) | 5 (10%) | 0.047 | 2.7 (0.9-7.5) |
| Neurological disorders | 26 (4%) | 21 (4%) | 5 (10%) | 0.037 | 2.8 (1.0-7.9) |
| Other | 35 (6%) | 29 (5%) | 6 (13%) | 0.048 | 2.4 (0.9-6.3) |
| Immunosuppressive therapy* | 29 (5%) | 26 (5%) | 3 (6%) | 0.72 | 1.3 (0.3-4.4) |
| Known immunodeficiency | 3 (1%) | 3 (1%) | 0 | 1.00 | - |
| Chemotherapy in past 6 months | 25 (4%) | 23 (4%) | 2 (4%) | 1.00 | 0.9 (0.2-4.2) |
| Signs and symptoms at presentation | | | | | |
| Asymptomatic | 92 (16%) | 90 (17%) | 2 (4%) | 0.021 | 0.2 (0.1-0.9) |
| Pyrexia | 379 (65%) | 339 (63%) | 40 (83%) | 0.0065 | 2.8 (1.3-6.2) |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 313 (54%) | 288 (54%) | 25 (52%) | 0.80 | 0.9 (0.5-1.6) |
| Lower respiratory tract infection | 143 (25%) | 108 (20%) | 35 (72%) | <0.0001 | 10.6 (5.4-20.7) |
| Gastrointestinal | 128 (22%) | 113 (21%) | 15 (31%) | 0.10 | 1.6 (0.8-3.2) |
| Headache† | 70 (12%) | 64 (12%) | 6 (13%) | 0.67 | 1.2 (0.4-3.4) |
| Radiological findings | Suggestive of pneumonia | 93/198 (47%) | 65/156 (42%) | 28/42 (67%) | 0.0045 | 2.8 (1.3-5.7) |
| Suggestive of ARDS | 10/198 (5%) | 9/156 | 1/42 (24%) | <0.0001 | |
| Vireal co-infection | 29 (5%) | 22 (4%) | 7 (15%) | 0.0015 | 3.9 (1.6-9.8) |

Data are n (%), n/N (%), or median (IQR), unless stated otherwise. p values shown are based on univariable analyses, and calculated separately to the odds ratios. Odds ratios refer to the likelihood of admission to ICU, and were not calculated where one of the required values is zero. ICU=intensive care unit. ARDS=acute respiratory distress syndrome.

*At diagnosis of COVID-19. †Only includes children aged 5 years or older in whom those data were recorded.

The most common conditions were chronic pulmonary disease (29 individuals, of whom 16 had asthma and six bronchopulmonary dysplasia), followed by malignancy (27 individuals, of whom 14 had leukaemia or lymphoma and 11 had solid tumours), neurological disorders (26 individuals, of whom nine had epilepsy and eight had cerebral palsy), congenital heart disease (25 individuals), chromosomal abnormalities (ten individuals, of whom eight had trisomy 21), and chronic kidney disease (nine individuals; table). 17 (3%) individuals had two or more pre-existing medical conditions.

29 (5%) individuals were receiving immunosuppressive medication at the time of COVID-19 diagnosis (table). Three (1%) had a previously diagnosed immunodeficiency, comprising common variable immunodeficiency, congenital neutropenia, and Schimke immuno-osseous dysplasia. 25 (4%) individuals were receiving chemotherapy at the time of their diagnosis or had received chemotherapy in the preceding 6 months. Three (1%) had previously undergone human stem cell transplant.

Pyrexia was the most common sign at presentation, observed in 379 (65%) individuals (table). Approximately half had signs or symptoms of upper respiratory tract infection and approximately a quarter had evidence of lower respiratory tract infection; 128 (22%) had gastrointestinal symptoms. 40 (7%) individuals with gastrointestinal symptoms had no respiratory symptoms; the majority (65%; n=26) of these individuals had pyrexia. 92 (16%) individuals were asymptomatic.

Dates when SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by RT-PCR in the study population are summarised in figure 3. The median interval between symptom onset and diagnosis was 2 days (IQR 1–4; range 0–23); in the
A chest x-ray was done in 198 (34%) patients. Of those, 93 (47%) had changes consistent with pneumonia (table). Ten (5%) had changes suggestive of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), all of whom required mechanical ventilation. In 29 (5%) patients, additional viruses were detected in respiratory samples, comprising enterovirus or rhinovirus (n=18), influenza virus (n=5), parainfluenza virus (n=3), adenovirus (n=3), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV; n=2), bocavirus (n=2), and coronavirus NL63, coronavirus HKU1, coronavirus OC43, and human metapneumovirus (n=1 each). In 22 patients one virus was detected in addition to SARS-CoV-2; in six patients, two additional viruses were detected simultaneously; and in one patient, three were detected. Patients with one or more viral co-infections were more likely to have signs or symptoms of upper or lower respiratory tract infection at presentation compared with those in whom no additional viral agent was identified (appendix p 1). Furthermore, individuals with viral co-infection were significantly more likely to require ICU admission, respiratory support, or inotropic support. 507 (87%) individuals did not require respiratory support at any stage. 75 (13%) patients required oxygen support: 31 (5%) were started on continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and 25 (4%) on mechanical ventilation (including 14 who had been managed with CPAP initially). The median duration of mechanical ventilation was 7 days (IQR 2–11; range 1–34). One (<1%) patient was started on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. 19 (3%) patients required support with inotropes.

When comparing individuals by their requirement of ICU admission, we found that patients who required ICU admission were younger than those who did not (ie, individuals in the community and those admitted to hospital but not needing ICU support), but this was not statistically significant (table; figure 2). In univariable analysis, being younger than 1 month of age, male sex, pre-existing medical conditions, pyrexia, signs or symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection, radiological changes suggestive of pneumonia or ARDS, and viral co-infection were associated with ICU admission (table). In multivariable analysis, the factors that remained associated with ICU admission were being younger than 1 month (odds ratio [OR] 5·06, 95% CI 1·72–14·87; p=0·0035), male sex (2·12, 1·06–4·21; p=0·033), signs or symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection at presentation (10·46, 5·16–21·23; p<0·0001), and presence of pre-existing medical conditions (3·27, 1·67–6·42; p=0·0015).

The most commonly used drug with antiviral activity was hydroxychloroquine, used in 40 (7%) patients, followed by remdesivir, which was used in 17 (3%) patients. Lopinavir–ritonavir was used in six (1%) patients and oseltamivir in three (1%), two of whom had influenza virus co-infection. Three (1%) patients received two drugs with antiviral activity and one (<1%) patient received three; all four patients had ARDS on chest x-ray. No patient received chloroquine, favipiravir, zanamivir, or ribavirin. With regard to immunomodulatory medication, 22 (4%) patients received systemic corticosteroids, seven (1%) tocilizumab, three (1%) anakinra, and one (<1%) siltuximab. In univariable analysis, factors associated with treatment initiation of drugs with antiviral or immunomodulatory activity comprised pre-existing malignancy (OR 6·3, 95% CI 2·8–14·2), cardiac disease (4·2, 1·8–10·0), or respiratory disease (6·5, 3·0–14·2); immunosuppressive therapy at presentation (6·5, 3·0–14·2) or recent chemotherapy (6·1, 2·6–14·1); radiological findings suggestive of pneumonia (4·5, 2·3–8·6) or ARDS (22·3, 2·7–180·5); and viral co-infection (5·5, 2·5–12·2; all p<0·0001; appendix p 2).

Four patients, all older than 10 years, had a fatal outcome (CFR 0·69%, 95% CI 0·20–1·82), with death...
Articles

occurring at 3, 9, 11, and 17 days after symptom onset. Two patients had no known pre-existing medical conditions; one had a cardiorespiratory arrest before arrival at the hospital and resuscitation was unsuccessful and the other died while being mechanically ventilated in ICU. The third patient had undergone human stem cell transplant 15 months earlier. The fourth patient was managed palliatively (without intubation), due to the severity of their pre-existing medical conditions. The remaining 578 patients were alive when the study closed. 93 (16%) individuals never developed clinical symptoms. In 460 (80%) individuals, all symptoms had resolved without apparent sequelae, whereas 25 (4%) were still symptomatic or were requiring respiratory support when the study closed.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first multinational, multicentre study on paediatric COVID-19, and also the largest clinical study in children outside of China to date. The inclusion of such a substantial number of cases was made possible by involving a large number of specialist centres across Europe via a well established collaborative paediatric tuberculosis research network, allowing this study to provide one of the most detailed accounts of COVID-19 in children and adolescents published to date.

It is important to highlight that this study has primarily captured data from children and adolescents who were seen or managed within the hospital setting, and that the majority of participating units were part of tertiary or quaternary health-care institutions. Consequently, the study population is likely to primarily represent individuals at the more severe end of the disease spectrum. Notably, a recent letter summarising 171 PCR-confirmed cases in Wuhan suggests that close to 20% of children and adolescents with SARS-CoV-2 infection are asymptomatic.10 At the time our study was conducted, testing capacity for SARS-CoV-2 in many European countries was lower than clinical demand, and therefore many children with symptoms consistent with COVID-19 in the community were not tested and consequently not diagnosed. Nevertheless, our data indicate that children and adolescents are overall less severely affected by COVID-19 than adults, particularly older patients. Previous, large-scale data suggest that the CFR in adults older than 70 years is than adults, particularly older patients. In concordance with our observations, fever and cough were the predominant clinical features at presentation (present in 56% and 54% of individuals, respectively), with similar rates observed in a study from Italy.22 In our cohort almost a quarter of patients had gastrointestinal symptoms, some of whom had no respiratory symptoms, and a substantial proportion of children were entirely asymptomatic.

The CDC report also mentions three deaths, but it is unclear how many patients were still hospitalised by the time of publication, so it is difficult to come to firm conclusions regarding the CFR in US children. Our data indicate that the CFR in children and adolescents across Europe is less than 1%. Considering that many children with mild disease will never have been brought to medical attention, and therefore not diagnosed, it is highly probable that the true CFR is substantially lower than the figure of 0·69% observed in our cohort. This hypothesis is further supported by an epidemiological study from China, in which the CFR in individuals aged 19 years or younger was only 0·1% (one death in 965 confirmed cases).6 Furthermore, our data indicate that sequelae related to COVID-19 are likely to be rare in children and adolescents. However, after the closure of our study, reports of a hyperinflammatory syndrome affecting children that is temporally, and potentially causally, associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection have emerged, which has subsequently been named paediatric inflammatory multisystem syndrome temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2 (PIMS-TS; sometimes known as MIC-S).22,23 Further research will be required to characterise this emerging disease entity in detail, and determine the long-term outcome of affected children.

Importantly, our data show that severe COVID-19 can occur both in young children and in adolescents, and that a significant proportion of those patients require ICU support, frequently including mechanical ventilation. A small study from Madrid also found that four (10%) of 41 children with SARS-CoV-2 infection required admission to ICU.24 In our cohort, being younger than 1 month, male sex, presence of lower respiratory tract infection signs or symptoms at presentation, and presence of a pre-existing medical condition were associated with increased likelihood of requiring ICU admission. Our results also show that the majority of children who are intubated due to respiratory failure require prolonged ventilation, often for 1 week or more. This contrasts with observations in children with RSV infection who, on average, only require mechanical ventilation for 5–7 days,25 but is not dissimilar to observations in children with influenza.25 This has important implications for service planning, as although the overall demand for ICU support might be lower in children than in adults, each patient is likely to occupy ICU space for an extended period of time. At this time of intense strain on health-care services worldwide, it is vital...
that adequate resources are allocated to paediatric services to sustain the provision of high-quality care for children. The observation that, in our study, individuals with viral co-infection (ie, infected with SARS-CoV-2 and one or more other viral agents) were more likely to require ICU support than those in whom SARS-CoV-2 was the only viral agent identified might have implications for the winter period 2020–21, when the incidence of other viral respiratory tract infections, including RSV and influenza virus infections, is bound to increase. This could result in a greater proportion of paediatric patients with COVID-19 requiring ICU support than in the cohort described here, as the influenza season 2019–20 was already over in Europe before the study commenced.

Our data also reflect the uncertainties regarding drug treatment options for COVID-19. In some countries, including Spain and Italy, national guidelines were encouraging the use of hydroxychloroquine for selected cases, as reflected in our data, while in other countries, recommendations were more guarded regarding the use of antiviral agents in the absence of robust human data. An expert consensus statement from the USA has emphasised that antiviral treatment should be reserved for patients at the severe end of the disease spectrum, ideally within a clinical trial.11 Overall, the expert panel appeared to favour the use of remdesivir over other agents, based on the currently available data from in-vitro and animal studies, including in non-human primates, and recent data from compassionate use in humans.12,13 The panel members’ opinion was split regarding the use of lopinavir–ritonavir, given the disappointing results of a recently published randomised controlled trial.14

The main limitation of this study relates to the number of variables for which data were collected. In the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, to ensure high levels of participation and avoid diverting substantial time away from clinical front-line duties, a decision was made not to collect detailed data on laboratory parameters or ICU interventions. A further limitation was that a variety of in-house and commercial PCR assays were used across different participating centres, precluding an assessment of diagnostic test performance. Also, the number of children receiving antiviral or immunomodulatory treatment was too small to draw meaningful conclusions regarding their effectiveness, which will be addressed by the aforementioned randomised trials. A further limitation is that different countries were using different thresholds to screen for SARS-CoV-2 at the time the study was done, with some recommending screening of all children admitted to hospital or conducting community screening, whereas others were using more selective testing strategies. Despite those limitations, to our knowledge, this study provides the most comprehensive overview on COVID-19 in children and adolescents to date.

In conclusion, our data, originating from a large number of specialist centres across Europe, show that COVID-19 is usually a mild disease in children, including infants. Nevertheless, a small proportion of children and adolescents develop severe disease and require ICU support, frequently needing prolonged ventilatory support. However, fatal outcome is rare overall. Our data also reflect the current uncertainties regarding specific treatment options, highlighting that more robust data on antiviral and immunomodulatory drugs are urgently needed.
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