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ABSTRACT

The English geologist, Frederick Henry Hatch (1864-1932), today mainly recalled for a textbook on igneous petrology, emigrated to South Africa, where, in the years between 1892 and 1906, he became one of the pioneers of the geology of the Transvaal and the Witwatersrand Goldfield. This article reviews his life and, in particular, his work during this period which led to his becoming a mining geologist with connections to many of the world’s goldfields, set against the political background of the Boer War which influenced the course of his work.

1. Introduction

The English geologist, Frederick Henry Hatch (1864-1932) is today probably recalled, if at all, simply as an author of The Petrology of the Igneous Rocks, which ran to 12 editions, the later ones co-authored with the igneous petrologists Alfred Kingsley Wells (1899-1980) and Maurice Kingsley Wells (1921-1994) (1st, Hatch, 1891c; 8th, Hatch and Wells, 1926; 10th, Hatch et al., 1949; 12th, Hatch et al., 1961). However, probably the most important parts of his career took place in South Africa between the years 1892 and 1906, during which time he established a reputation as a consulting mining engineer whose work had helped to unlock the economic potential of the Witwatersrand (commonly referred to as ‘the Rand’) Goldfield, which was becoming the world’s most productive. He is still honoured there as one of seven ‘Pioneers of Witwatersrand geology,’ the others being: John Storey Curtis (1845-1918), Arthur Robert Sawyer (1850?-1933), George Steuart Corstorphine (1868-1919), Edward Thomas Mellor (1868-1940), Arthur William Rogers (1872-1946), and Robert Burns Young (1874-1949) (Geological Society of South Africa [undated]; Anhaeusser, 1997). Hatch was also unusual in holding the Presidency of both the Geological Society of South Africa (GSSA), in 1905, and that of the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy (IMM),
London, in 1914. In his Presidential address to the IMM, he noted that the term ‘mining geologist’ was by then being increasingly used ‘to designate a mining engineer strong on the geological side, or, what in practice amounts to the same thing, a geologist who has specialised in mining work’ (Hatch, 1914a, p. xlii). The latter precisely reflects his career.

Hatch was born in London on 7 March 1864, the eldest of the seven children of Henry Hatch, a wealthy Oxford merchant, and his common-law wife, Elizabeth Collier (Howarth, 2004). Schooled privately in London and Paris, he entered University College London in 1879, where he studied general science and chemistry, and his interest in geology was awakened by the lectures of the petrologist, Thomas Bonney (1833-1923). Curiously, although in later life Hatch claimed to have been awarded a ‘gold medal and the Tuffnell Scholarship for proficiency in Analytical Chemistry’ (e.g. Hatch, 1891d, which is also quoted in Jones, 1993), according to London University records, although he came second in the first division in the Intermediate Science exam of 1883, with Honours in chemistry, he was not actually given the award nor the scholarship, as these were usually conditional on a student’s intention to sit for a degree two years later (J.C. Walworth, pers. comm., 1991) and he transferred to the Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Germany, that October. There he studied geology, mineralogy and mining under Arnold von Lasaulx (1839-1886); crystallography and mineralogy under Carl Hintze (1851-1917); geography under Johannes Rein (1835-1918); chemistry under Friedrich Kekulé (1829-1896); botany under Frederico Johow (1859-1933); and philosophy under Johann Witte (1800-1883). From April 1885 until July 1886, he worked as a University Assistant to Lasaulx (Hatch, 1885a, 1885b), teaching and arranging material in the museum of the Institute of Mineralogy. He submitted his thesis, a petrological study of the andesites of the Arequipa region, south-western Peru (Hatch, 1886) in December 1885, and obtained his PhD (in Mineralogy, Chemistry and Geology) ‘Magna cum laude’ [with great honour] in March 1886 (T. Becker, pers. comm., 1996).

Following his return to England in July 1886, he joined H.M. Geological Survey of Great Britain in London as a ‘Temporary Assistant Geologist (Petrologist for England and Wales),’ regarded as ‘undoubtedly the best trained of the younger petrologists in Britain’ (Flett, 1937, pp. 120-121), cataloguing and arranging the reference collection of rocks in the Survey’s Geological Museum in London (Hatch, 1888a) but mainly working, sometimes in the field, but chiefly in the laboratory, examining the microscopic petrography, and occasionally chemistry, of igneous rocks from England, Scotland and Ireland (Hatch, 1888b, 1889a,1889b, 1889c, 1890, 1891a,1891b, 1892a,1892b). A prolific worker, in his spare time he also made himself ‘proficient in physical geography, stratigraphy and historical geology’ (Hatch, 1891d). However, in 1888, Jethro Teall (1849-1924) moved from a Fellowship at St. John’s College, Cambridge, to become Petrographer at the Survey and Hatch now worked under him, examining rocks sent in to the Survey offices from the field (Hatch, 1888c, 1888d; Gunn et al., 1897, pp. 119-120) or from elsewhere (Hatch, 1889d). He also supplied a glossary of descriptive terms for Teall’s British Petrography (Hatch, 1888e) and they both attended the 4th International Geological Congress in London in 1888. Looking back at the history of the Geological Survey, the Sir Edward Bailey had no doubt that ‘Hatch’s most important single contribution to British geology’ was the discovery of the extrusive volcanic rocks limburgite, and nepheline-phonolite in the Carboniferous rocks of Scotland (Hatch, 1892a, 1892b) on the grounds that the latter ‘finally broke down the defences of the Continental school, which advocated significant differences between Cainozoic and pre-Cainozoic igneous rocks [claiming] that nepheline-phonolite .... is exclusively Cainozoic’ (Bailey, 1952, p. 181).

Hatch’s work with Teall resulted in him meeting Mary Randall (1866-1921), who lived next door to Teall’s house in Kew, and they married in 1890 (Fig. 1), but it seems that Mary was a
reluctant bride, having been brow-beaten into marrying him by her future mother-in-law (Mrs. P. Souave, pers. comm.). Their first child, Philip was born in February 1892.

Quite apart from his Survey reports, Hatch found time to publish translations from the German of the diagnostic Petrographical Tables of Karl Heinrich [‘Harry’] Ferdinand Rosenbusch (1836-1914) (Rosenbusch, 1888, 1890) and a book by the Hungarian geologist, Tivadar Posewitz (1850-1917), on the geology and mineral resources of Borneo (Posewitz, 1889, 1892; Hatch, 1892c), as well as his own Introduction to the Study of Petrology (1891c) which became the Textbook of Petrology (1892d), and Mineralogy (1892c). He also acted as a Secretary for the Geology section of the British Association for the Advancement of Science (BAAS) meeting in Leeds in the summer of 1890 and as an Instructor in Geology for the Royal Geographical Society (1890-91), imparting geological knowledge to intending explorers.

2. Africa beckons

Hatch’s interest in gold may have been awakened in the course of his translation of Posewitz’s (1889) book, which described the occurrence and mining of gold in Borneo (Posewitz, 1892, 312-379) and, from his position in the Geological Survey, he would probably have been aware of a paper (Sawyer, 1889) by the English mining engineer, A.R. Sawyer, on the Witwatersrand Goldfields, based on a brief visit he had made to the Transvaal in June 1889 shortly before emigrating there (Anhaeusser, 1997, 115). Later that year, at the 59th Annual Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science in Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Hatch spoke On the Lower Silurian Felsites of the South-East of Ireland (Hatch, 1890), and he might well have attended a subsequent talk by the American civil engineer, Edward Dorsey, On the Witwatersrand Goldfields (Dorsey, 1890).

Hatch would doubtless also have heard a far more detailed exposition of the geology of the Witwatersrand given to The Geological Society, London, by the English geologist, Walcot Gibson (1864-1941) in April 1892. Formerly a student of the structural geologist, Charles Lapworth (1842-1920), at Birmingham University, Gibson had been working in southern Africa since 1889, and believed that much still needed to be done to understand the stratigraphy and structure of the Witwatersrand basin in order to reduce the risks in starting up new mining ventures. He thought it possible that there may have been repetition of the
gold-bearing beds by ‘overthrusting and shearing’ and, in the ensuing discussion, George Attwood (1845-1912) recognised that the geological setting of the basin appeared to be unique and, consequently, of particular interest.

It seems likely that Hatch agreed with this sentiment and, perhaps spurred on by his wife’s view that ‘a career in the civil service, however distinguished, did not offer the prospects commensurate with the talents she believed her good-looking husband possessed’ (Mrs. J. McLachlan, pers. comm.), he sought the advice of Algernon Charles Moreing (1856-1942), a senior partner in Bewick, Moreing & Co., one of London’s leading firms of consulting mining engineers. Subsequently, to the surprise of the Survey, Hatch resigned his position that summer (Anon., 1893a, pp. 247, 248) and, sponsored by Moreing and Frederick Rudler (1840-1915), Curator and Librarian of the Museum of Practical Geology, he was elected to Associate membership of the IMM in July 1892, giving his future address as a post-office box in Johannesburg.

Hatch, his wife and their son, sailed for South Africa, where he was to embark on a new career with the South African Trust & Finance Co. (SATF), established in 1890 by the English entrepreneur ‘Baney’ [Barnett] Barnato (1851-1897), who had made his fortune in the diamond fields (Jackson 1970). They may well have made the voyage in the company of the English mining engineer, William Young Campbell (d. 1899), joint manager of the SATF and with whom Hatch would be working, who sailed out in late July 1892 (Anon., 1892a). Following a journey of some 25 days at sea from Southampton to Cape Town, then 32 hours by train to Kimberley (Fig. 2) and a further 53 hours by bullock-drawn coach (W.C.B., 1890, p. xix), they would have arrived in Johannesburg (passenger train-travel to Johannesburg would begin that September). They set up their new home in Bertrams Town (Fig. 3), a suburb east-north-east of the town centre and their second son, Laurence, would be born there in November 1893.

3. Discovery of the Witwatersrand Goldfield

The Cape Colony had been formally ceded to Britain by Holland in 1814, and between 1833 and 1837 the Boer Voortrekkers, Afrikaans-speaking farmers and their families, journeyed northwards to the lands beyond the Vaal River to escape British rule and establish the Zuid Afrikaansche Republiek [South African Republic] (ZAR). Its independence was eventually recognised by the British in the Sand River Convention of 1852 (Nutting, 1970).
By 1851, the Witwatersrand was regarded (Gray, 1937, p. 16) as the northern side of a range of hills at an elevation of around 6000 ft (1830 m), which divides the watercourses flowing south into the Vaal River (which forms much of the border between the Transvaal Colony and the Orange River Colony) from those directed northwards, towards either the eastward-flowing Limpopo River (which defines much of the northern border of the Transvaal with Bechuanaland and Matabeleland), or to the Olifant or Lepalule (now Lepelle) River which eventually exits eastwards towards the Indian Ocean, halfway along the border with Portuguese East Africa (Figs. 2, 4). The name Witwatersrand, originally Witt Waters Rand [Ridge of white waters], derives from the way the descending watercourses appeared from a distance.

Unravelling the history of the discovery of the Witwatersrand Goldfield has proved controversial (Chilvers, 1929, p. 19; Letcher, 1936, pp. 67, 76). It was extensively investigated by Owen Letcher (1936), who wrote the official ‘Jubilee’ history, and James Gray (who became mayor of Johannesburg in 1946-7) and his wife, Ethel Lillian (née Anderton) who claimed to have examined over one hundred thousand documents, including official papers, mining records, early newspapers, etc., in the Transvaal Archives and State Library in Pretoria, and the Public Library in Johannesburg, in the years leading up to the Jubilee Celebrations of the City of Johannesburg in 1936. Their findings were published in Gray (1937) and Gray and Gray (1940), and were also made available to a government Committee of Enquiry, established in February 1939 by the Commission for the Preservation of Natural and Historical Monuments, Relics and Antiques with the aim of determining whom it was who had first discovered the Witwatersrand gold, because there was ‘considerable confusion in the public mind as to the identity of the actual discoverer, or discoverers of the world-famous Main Reef’ (Committee of Enquiry, 1941, p. 7). However, the Gray’s, who were among those who gave evidence to the Committee, strongly disagreed with their conclusions (Gray and Gray, 1940, preface pp. iv-v).

More recent historical reviews include Antrobus (1986), De Jager (1986), Lednor (1986), Werdmüller (1986) and Handley (2004). Handley (2004, p. 20) alleges that, despite their extensive research, the Grays were biased in their view as to whom the principal discoverers actually were, and selective in their presentation of the available evidence. He argues (ibid., p. 9) that a distinction should always be made between: (i) an initial reconnaissance discovery: ‘the recording of the presence of a mineral or rock in an area for the first time in itself does not constitute a true discovery,
but the recording in passing of indications [his italics] can create an impetus for further search;’ and (ii) discovery: ‘the location of a mineral or rock in a hitherto unknown field, its evaluation in a particular structure or formation, demonstration of payability and indications of extension to the initial discovery. The discovery should lead to almost immediate development, not necessarily by the discoverer.’ Handley’s views agree with those of the 1939 Committee of Enquiry, whose members were the Right Hon. Francois Stephanus Malan (1871-1941), President [Speaker] of the Senate; Prof. Louis Fernand Maingard (1884-1986), linguist; Dr. Sidney Henry Haughton (1888-1982), Director of the Geological Survey; and Prof. Leo Fouché (1880-1949), historian. They decided that ‘mere reports of the finding of gold – without the least attempt to exploit that find, and totally devoid of any practical result – while they may be of some academic interest cannot reasonably be regarded as a subject for official investigation .... The word “discovery” .... applied to the finding of gold-bearing reefs, means, and should be taken to mean, not merely the act of finding or exposing to view such reefs, but also the disclosure or publication of such finds, and the consequent opening up of such reefs – leading eventually to actual exploitation (Committee of Enquiry, 1941, p. 8).

The earliest reports of reconnaissance discoveries include a possible find of gold by a blacksmith, Karel (?Carel) Kruger while on a hunting expedition to the Transvaal in 1834 (Webb, 1903, quoted in Grey and Grey, 1940, pp. 1-3); and a find of alluvial gold in 1852 on a farm Groote Paardkraal (Fig. 5) by John Henry Davis (originally born Davies in Cardiff, South Wales; D.D., 1905; Letcher, 1936, pp. 519-520), who had some knowledge of mineralogy, having prospected for gold and diamonds in Brazil. This occurrence may have been related to a similar find on nearby Witpoortje farm in 1887 (Fig. 5; Letcher, 1936, p. 37; Gray and Gray, 1940, pp. 2-3).

Having prospected for gold in California and Australia during 1849-52, Pieter Jacob Marais (1827-1865) returned to South Africa and, in October 1853, found alluvial gold near the confluence of the Jukskei (Yokeskey) and Crocodile Rivers (Fig. 5). On the strength of this, he was appointed an official prospector by the Volksraad in December 1853 (a translation of his contract is in Lezard, 1937, pp. 25-27), but subsequently unable to repeat his earlier success, he left his post at the end of
August 1855 (Preller, 1935, quoted in Gray and Gray 1940, pp. 4-5, 17; Lezard, 1937, pp. 29-31; Committee of Enquiry, 1941, pp. 10-13).

[Robert Oliver] Godfray Lys (d. 1936) was interviewed during the preparation of books by both Letcher (1936) and Lezard (1937). He recalled that on his family’s arrival in the Rand in 1856, his father, Lieut. John Robert Lys (1829-1880), recognised the presence of ‘pudding stone,’ a conglomerate consisting of vein-quartz pebbles in a fine matrix, at what became known as Lys’ vlei [marsh], near to what would, in 1886, become the town of Germiston (Fig. 4). Such rock (Fig. 6; Young 1917) came to be known by the Afrikaans word ‘banket’ [banquet] or ‘almond rock,’ because of its supposed resemblance to a Dutch almond-filled pastry of that name. By crushing a portion of it and panning it, his father later showed that it was gold-bearing.

This was subsequently corroborated by the German explorer and geologist, ‘Carl’ (Karl Gottlieb) Mauch (1837-1875), who visited the ZAR in 1866, and to whom J.R. Lys showed the pudding stone at Lys’ vlei in 1866, while Mauch was staying with the family when on his way to Tati (which lies close to the southern border of Matabeleland, in what is now Botswana; Fig. 2), where he would find gold in 1868 (Letcher, 1936, pp. 39, 520). Mauch also showed them a reef (which would later be recognised as part of the Main Reef) on the farm Driefontein, some three-quarters of a mile east of Lys’ vlei (Fig. 5; Letcher, 1936, pp. 38-41).

However, he did not pursue exploration of the ‘pudding stone,’ as he regarded exploring Tati as the greater prize (Letcher, 1936, pp. 38-9). Based on notes he made during his year’s visit (possibly in 1867; Rogers, 1937, p. 83) Mauch drew the first geological map of the Transvaal (reproduced in Harger, 1934; see also Lombaard, 1934) at a scale of about 70 mi/in (1:4,435,200).

As a result of a steady decline in gross revenues to the ZAR (Letcher, 1936, p. 47) in December 1870 it was decided by the Volksraad, in an effort to raise income for the Government, to ‘grant a reasonable reward for the finding of precious stones and precious metals, such as gold and silver, etc.’ (Werdmüller, 1986, p. 14). The subsequent finding of gold reefs in the Murchison Mountains and at Eersteling, near Marabastad, in the northern Transvaal Colony in 1870; followed by alluvial gold in the Lydenberg area in 1871; and, in what later became the De Kaap goldfield, near Barberton, in 1874 (Fig. 2) excited a general interest in prospecting for gold (Hatch, 1894). This activity was reflected in the Witwatersrand also (Letcher, 1936).

Following discovery of alluvial diamonds in the Orange River, south of Kimberley, ‘wet diggings’ had begun on the banks of the Orange and Vaal Rivers in 1867. Frederick ‘Fred’ Pine Theophilus Struben (1851-1931) worked on the Vaal that year then, following the discovery of the Kimberly diamond field in 1870, he and Marais joined his brother, Hendrik ‘Harry’ Wilhelm Struben (1840-1915), there. Shortly after their return to the family home, The Willows, near Pretoria (Fig. 5), which H. Struben, already a wealthy businessman, had purchased in 1862, the latter was asked by Marais to join him in a venture in the Lydenberg district (Fig. 2). They purchased the Ponies Krantz and Drie Kop farms on which, shortly after, alluvial gold was found and the Pilgrims Rest Goldfield.
established, but sold them before the first Sekukuni War broke out in 1876 (Struben, 1920, p. 192; Letcher, 1936, pp. 49-50; Rogers, 1937, p. 109).

In 1874, both alluvial and reef-based gold were found on the farm Blaauwbank in the West Rand (Fig. 5) by an Australian prospector, Henry Lewis. Subsequently, together with Marais and others, the first gold-mining company in the Witwatersrand area, the ‘Nil-Desperandum Co-operative Quartz Company’ was floated in January 1875 to work the reef, but the venture ended in failure in 1882 (Committee of Enquiry, 1941, pp. 13-14). Tobias Maré, who had learnt prospecting methods from Mauch, reputedly found gold-bearing reef on the farms Wilgespruit and Braamfontein (Fig. 5) in 1876 (Letcher, 1936, pp. 52) and, in 1881, a quartz reef was found by Fanie Minnaar on the farm Kroomdraai (Fig. 5). A syndicate was formed by Minnaar with Jan Gerritse Bantjes (1843-1914), and others in 1882 to work the farm, but it was abandoned by December 1883 and was subsequently taken over by a syndicate formed by Henry Nourse (1857-1942) with others (J.G. Bantjes, 1903, quoted in Letcher, 1936, pp. 68-70, 73).

When F. Struben eventually came back to the family home from the northern Transvaal in 1883, he initially successfully prospected for gold and copper in the Rooiberg district, some 75 mi (120 km) NNW of Pretoria, but could not raise the capital to exploit the find (Struben, 1920, p. 192). Following his return, he began work locally and found the first trace of vein-quartz reef (which he named ‘Dana reef’) on Sterkfontein farm (Fig. 5) in January 1884. The brothers formed the ‘Sterkfontein Junction Mining Syndicate’ in March 1884. That April, F. Struben noted the first banket on Groot Paardkraal (Fig. 5; where Davis had reputedly found gold in 1852). He subsequently systematically traced the beds to the east, coming across more banket but, because he believed the vein-quartz reef on Wilgespruit to be more promising, he persuaded his brother to purchase both farms that September. By December 1884, he was mining ‘Confidence Reef,’ but he continued to search for banket during 1885 (F. Struben 1896 mss., quoted in Committee of Enquiry, 1941, p. 17; Struben 1920, pp. 192-3).

Aware of the severe financial problems the Volksraad were still having (see Nutting (1970) for political background), H. Struben gave a presentation to them in June 1885, exhibiting gold from Confidence Reef, explaining how his brother had ‘traced the reef’ for over 12 mi (19 km), sunk shafts to a depth of 50 ft (15 m) and cut tunnels, showing that the reef increased to as much as 12.5 ft (4 m) width at depth (unnamed Pretoria newspaper account, 5 June 1885, quoted in Letcher, 1936, p. 72). He successfully encouraged them to open up mining as a way to boost income to the exchequer. In December 1885, the brothers imported a five-stamp crushing-battery from England, and recovered the gold by amalgamation, a process in which the crushed ore, mixed with water is passed over copper plates coated with mercury (Struben, 1920, pp. 193-197; Lezard, 1937, pp. 36-39).

Following the widely-publicised discovery of a ‘rotten reef on the farm of Mr. Boshoff.... very rich in gold’ in the Heidelberg district by a prospector, Henry Baumann, in 1885 (Harrismith Advertiser, 17 Aug. 1885, quoted in Gray, 1937, pp. 57-58, who suggests it was probably on Reitvlei farm, which then adjoined Turffontein, Fig. 5), it began to be generally realised that the weathered banket might be a useful source of gold, but F. Struben had already recognised this having, in April 1885, compiled a sketch-map (reproduced in Gray and Gray, 1940, plate 12; and in colour on the rear of the book cover of Handley, 2004), showing the exposures of both banket and quartz-vein reefs in the district, which he had sent to his sister-in-law. It was unfortunate that the banket reefs in the area in which he had started prospecting were not nearly as rich as those to the east were to prove to be, and ‘Confidence reef’ appeared to offer better prospects.
In the course of his work at the stamp battery, F. Struben was assisted by his old friend G. Lys; he also gave temporary work to an itinerant builder, George Walker (1853-1924), and carpenter, George Harrison, who were heading for the Barberton Goldfield; as well as advising J. Bantjes, his brother Hendrik, and a local farmer and friend of the Struben family, Dirk Geldenhuis (of Wilgespruit), on where to look for banket. Subsequently, Harrison and Walker went on to work on the nearby farm of Langlaagte (Fig. 5), where they befriended black-smith, George Honeyball (1857-1949), nephew of the owner. The subsequent accidental discovery, in March 1886, of what would prove to be the gold-rich Main Reef Leader (the term ‘leader’ came to be applied to the individual beds of banket), the foundation of the Witwatersrand Goldfield, on the farm Langlaagte (Fig. 5), has proved very controversial: Werdmüller (1986, p. 14) argues that the Strubens, although aware of conglomerate occurrences, ‘persisted in prospecting the northern slopes of the hills where at best they could only find yet another auriferous vein quartz of limited extent …. it is strange that they did not search more systematically for auriferous conglomerates along the southern slopes,’ but there are others (Chilvers, 1929, pp. 19-20; Committee of Enquiry, 1941, p. 49; Handley 2004, pp. 18-20) who suggest that although Walker, and perhaps Harrison, stumbled across the Main Reef while quarrying the stone for a cottage Honeyball was building for the owner of a portion of Langlaagte, they ‘failed to follow up their work and sold their claims,’ hence the honour of being regarded as ‘discoverer of gold in the Witwatersrand System’ should go to F. Struben (Handley, 2004, p. 20; see also discussion in Committee of Enquiry, 1941, pp. 31-36). A detailed chronology of the early discoveries, and subsequent development, in the district up to 1887, compiled by the late Prof. D.A. Pretorius (1925-1998) is given in Handley (2004, pp. 12-18) and the essentials are incorporated in Fig. 7.

In July 1886, a produce merchant from Kimberley, Fred W. Alexander, met Bantjes, almost by chance, at Potchefstroom, and was persuaded by him to visit the Rand. He did so on 7 July, and took
samples of the gold-bearing banket back to Kimberley, which he exhibited, together with panned
gold, in his shop, and brought it to the attention of the diamond magnates (Letcher, 1936, p. 83).
Word spread rapidly, and the men who had made their fortunes in the Kimberly diamond fields began
to take an interest in the area. First among them was the South African entrepreneur, Joseph Benjamin
‘JB’ Robinson (1840-1929; a Baronet from 1908) who, financed by Alfred Beit (1853-1906),
purchased the Langlaagte and Randfontein estates later in July; others included: Cecil Rhodes (1853-
1902), Carl Hanau (1855-1930), Henry Nourse (1857-1942), Abe Bailey (1864-1940), and Barnato.
By the end of August, some 3,000 prospectors were engaged in activity along a strike-length of some
30 mi (48 km) of the Main Reef Series outcrops, from Krugersdorp in the west to Boksburg in the east
(Fig. 4; Letcher, 1936, p. 80), and on 8 September, the Government of the ZAR declared ‘Public
Diggings’ on the farms Roodepoort, Vogelstrusfontein, Paardekraal, Langlaagte, Turffontein,
Driefontein and Elandsfontein (Fig. 5). Two tented camps, ‘Ferreira’s Camp’ (named for Col. Ignatius
Philip Ferreira (1840-1921) who unofficially ran it) in the north part of Turffontein, and ‘Natal Camp’
on Doornfontein, rapidly sprang into being. In October 1886, the town of Johannesburg officially
became established, centred on what had been the farm of Randjeslaagte (Fig. 5), subsequently
replacing the tented encampments which had sprung up (see sketch-map of the area c. 1887 by John
Hunter McLea (1861-1941); McLea, 1909?). The discovery of coal close to the Main Reef at
Boksburg (Fig. 4), in December 1887, ensured a local source of energy for the emerging mines.

By 1889, 800 stamp-mills were working at the mines established along the Main Reef (Fig. 7),
producing 675-920 kg of gold monthly from some 41 M kg of ore (Sawyer, 1889; Dorsey, 1890; De
Launay, 1896). Unfortunately, as workings gradually deepened below 100 m, they began to go below
the permanent water table and consequently unoxidised pyritic ore was encountered for the first time:
‘No one, probably, .... would think that the red-stained, crumbling, soft pebble-beds and shales
looking like a series of ordinary sedimentary deposits above, become below bluish conglomerates,
schistose rock and hard quartzites’ (Gibson, 1892, p. 413). Quite apart from the difficulty of
extracting the gold from this much harder rock, recovery by amalgamation, used hitherto, no longer
worked well, and chlorination was proving to be far too expensive to apply to low-grade ore (Anon.,
1892c). Fortunately for the industry, in mid-1899 a sample of the pyritic ore from the Primrose mine
was sent to the metallurgical chemist, John Stewart MacArthur (1856-1920) in Glasgow, and in April
1890, the cyanidation process (which he had developed, financed by two physicians, William Forrest
and Robert Wardrop Forrest, in 1886-8), in which the gold is dissolved out using weak potassium
cyanide, then precipitated with zinc shavings (James, 1890; Hatch, 1911d; Gray and McLachlan,
1933) was successfully demonstrated at the Salisbury Battery, Johannesburg. A cyanide plant was
erected there by the Cassel Co. in May and, by that September, it was extracting 78-98% of the gold
from the pyritic ore, concentrates and mine tailings (James, 1890, p. 5). By 1893, continuous
treatment of the product from the stamp-mill was achieved (H. Jennings in Rickard, 1922, p. 238), and
by 1895 cyanidation of the tailings was, on average, increasing the total recovery from the
Witwatersrand mines by 38% (based on data in De Launay, 1896, p. 319), thus enabling profitable
working, which would otherwise have been impossible, and ensuring the future of the mines. When
Hatch arrived in Johannesburg in the summer of 1892, the mines were producing c. 3125 kg of gold
per month (De Launay, 1896, Fig. 79, p. 493).

4. Into the depths

Although something was known of the geology in the immediate vicinity of the mines, and the earliest
workers assumed that the beds formed a smooth ‘basin’ in which the dip gradually decreased towards
its centre, ‘the geological structure of the country is in fact highly complex, and is rendered still more
difficult to unravel from its being apparently impossible to find any distinctive petrological band,
available as a means of mapping the ground, or any group of fossiliferous strata, which might be used
as a stratigraphical index. The difficulties of mapping the district are also increased by the fact that
most of the country is covered by a deep red surface-layer, which is often many feet thick, and
entirely conceals any outcrops over large areas. So far as is at present known the rocks are wholly
unfossiliferous; while they are highly faulted, and in many cases sheared and overthrust’ (Gibson,
1892, p. 405). These factors made it extremely difficult to determine whether the productive reefs,
often separated by many kilometres, were part of the same formation or not. An editorial in the South
African Mining Journal in July 1892, probably written by Gibson, pleaded for improved geological
mapping to resolve such problems (Anon., 1892a), a factor recognised as equally affecting mining in
the Californian and Australian goldfields (Brown, 1896).

Working ‘in association with’ (Anon., 1905) W.Y. Campbell, who became Vice-Chairman of
Barnato’s New Unified Gold Mining Co. in 1895, when the South African Trust and Finance Co. was
wound up (Goldmann and Kitchin, 1896; Skinner, 1897), this was the challenge which Hatch had to
meet. He began mapping around Johannesburg, and to the east of the city, with careful elucidation of
the stratigraphy (Fig. 8). Curiously, the presence of gold in the banket reflected what he would have
read of Posewitz’s experience of Borneo where, in addition to its occurrence in quartz
veins, the gold-bearing beds in the ‘Diluvium’ of the plains consisted of quartz sands and conglomerates (Hatch,
1892c, p. 115) ‘sometimes knit together by a siliceous cement into a compact mass like stone which
can only be broken by use of a crowbar’ (Posewitz, 1892, p. 329).

The complete absence of fossils made both determination of the age of the majority of the rocks
and their correlation with the thick sedimentary sequence to the south, which was then known as the
Cape System (Molengraaff, 1895), extremely difficult. Hatch (1898) concluded that the base of the
succession was represented by the various granitic bodies of Archaean age, of which the largest lay
immediately north of the Witwatersrand range, between Johannesburg and Krugersdorp; with a

Fig. 8. Part of a geological map of the vicinity of Johannesberg, made by Hatch c. 1892, reproduced from Hatch and
Corstorphine (1905a, fig. 25): a redrawn version of this map, without the urban detail in the vicinity of Johannesburg and
extended to the south and east, appears in Hatch (1911c, pl. I).
second [the Vredefort granite] mainly present on the Free State side of the Vaal river; and a smaller mass 15 mi (24 km) east of Heidelberg. The Cape System had been divided by the Dutch geologist, Gustaaf Adolf Frederik Molengraaf (1960-1942) into an Upper and Lower Division (Molengraaff, 1895), with the boundary at the base of the Klipriversberg Amygdaloid, but Hatch (1898, p. 77) recommended setting the division at the top of the Witwatersrand Beds, believing an unconformity to be present at that level (Table 1). These formations were, in their turn, unconformably covered by the coal measures of the Karroo System, then being mined at Vereeniging (Fig. 4) and Boksburg to supply coal to the mines, which the leading English palaeobotanist, Albert Charles Seward (1863-1941), determined to be of Permo-Carboniferous age, based on specimens of their copious plant remains sent to him by Hatch (Seward, 1898).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Thickness ft (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magaliesberg &amp; Gatsrand Series</strong></td>
<td>16,000-20,000 (4,880-6,100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(quartzites, shales and volcanic flows)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dolomite Formation</strong></td>
<td>6,000-8,000 (1,830-2,440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(magnesian and siliceous limestones and cherts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black Reef Formation</strong></td>
<td>20-50 (6-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(quartzite and conglomerate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Klipriversberg Amygdaloid</strong></td>
<td>5,000-6,000 (1,525-1,830)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ unconformity ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Thickness ft (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Witwatersrand Beds</strong></td>
<td>11,000-15,000 (3350-4570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(quartzites and conglomerates, including the ‘Banket’ Reefs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospital Hill Series</strong></td>
<td>8,000-10,000 (2440-3050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(quartzites and ferruginous shales)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hatch (1898, p. 91)

Table 1. Stratigraphic succession proposed by Hatch (1898).

In the vicinity of Johannesburg, the Hospital Hill beds formed a prominent ridge c. 1 mi (1.6 km) to the north of the town. Progressing southwards, into the Upper Witwatersrand Division, one then crossed the Main Reef Series of conglomerates, with the low-grade Bird Reef Series about 1 mi (1.6 km) away to the south (Fig. 8) and the Kimberley Reef series 1 mi (1.6 km) still further south, all
separated by beds of sandstone and quartzite, before entering the thick conglomerates of the Elsberg Series and the Black Reef, some 4.5 mi (7.2 km) south of Johannesburg, followed by the massive Dolomite Series. The Main Reef Series consisted of three principal reefs: the 4.5–6 m thick Main Reef itself, the northernmost; the 0.3–2 m thick Main Reef Leader immediately to the south of it, and separated from it by 0.3–2 m; and finally, some 25 m southwards, the South Reef Leader, which itself consisted of two to three thin bands of conglomerate up to 1 m apart and, on the whole, this proved to be the richest and most consistent in its grades. The whole succession was cut by faults, occasionally with horizontal throws of up to 200 m, and diabase dykes. Dips of the gold-bearing horizons could vary between 80° and 30° (Williams, 1905).

Around all this, Hatch was also beginning to work underground. Despite earlier experience when at university in Germany, he may have had this in mind when he later wrote of a hypothetical ‘academic geologist’ ‘groping his way in a murky atmosphere but dimly illuminated by the miners lamp or the glimmer of a candle .... being asked to unravel the intricacies of a fault or to prognosticate the downward extension of an ore-body. In fact, the lavish natural indications, which at the surface attract the attention of the geologist, tend at first to elude him when he penetrates beneath it; since there exposures are few in number, and, such as they are, small; moreover, they are, as a rule, covered with dust, begrimed with soot or buried in mud. Even to overcome initial difficulties such as these is not enough: to achieve real success the work of the miner must be followed step by step, since in his progress he effaces the facts almost as soon as they are disclosed’ (Hatch, 1914a, pp. xlviii-xlix).

In a company report written in 1893 (quoted in Hatch, 1922, p. 251), he concluded that the reefs being worked by the Kleinfontein, Van Ryn and Modderfontein Companies (Fig. 9), hitherto believed to be unrelated, were actually all part of the Main Reef (Fig. 10), noting that: ‘The main

![Diagram of mines in Johannesburg district](image)

**Fig. 9. Location of mines mentioned in the text in the Johannesburg district c. 1895. Town names are in upper case. The farms across which Hatch carried out his programme of borings in 1904 are also shown.**

points which should guide the intending investigator are: (1) The slate footwall of the Main Reef series. (2) The presence of a series of big Reefs higher up in the order of stratification (Bird Series). (3) The presence of another group of Reefs still higher up in the succession (Kimberley Series). (4) A
large series of coarse conglomerates at the top (Elsberg series). (5) The presence of an alternating series of quartzites and slates below the Main Reef series (Hospital Hill formation).’

In 1890, the majority of claims were being worked to depths of no more than 400 ft. (122 m) but, following the Village borehole (1889) which showed the reef continued down-dip at depth (Handley, 2010, p. 102), the purchase of so-called ‘deep level’ claims (i.e. >1650 ft (503 m); Anon., 1937, p. 37) parallel to the line of the Main Reef, and down-dip of the best outcrop properties, was being encouraged (The Editor, 1890; Anon., 1892b). Companies such as Werner, Beit & Co. (registered 1886) had already begun to do so, and its rival, The Consolidated Gold Fields of South Africa (registered Aug. 1892), owned by Rhodes, businessman, mining magnate, politician, and founder of Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe), followed suit on the advice of an American mining expert, Hamilton Smith (1840-1900) (Anon., 1937, p. 40).

Barnato was being urged to do the same: ‘Messrs. Barnato would do well in future to employ some accredited engineer of known reputation and standing ... to advise upon the working of their mines, with the object of avoiding in the future the mistakes which would at present seem inseparable from their management’ (Anon., 1892d). He accordingly arranged to meet the American mining engineer, John Hays Hammond (1855-1936), who had extensive experience of gold-mining in the USA and Mexico, in London in April 1893. Barnato persuaded him to join his company as Chief Engineer. Hammond arrived with his family in Johannesburg in the autumn of 1893 (Hammond 1935) and Hatch now became his assistant. Hammond also added to his staff several American engineers, and two more English geologists who were then working in the Transvaal: John Alexander Chalmers (1865-1912) and Samuel John Truscott (1870-1950), who later wrote a textbook on the goldfield’s geology and mining practice (Truscott 1898).

Unfortunately, Barnato would not be persuaded by Hammond’s urging that he should sell his outcrop properties and reinvest in claims with deep-mining potential before a competitor, Alfred Beit (1853-1906), could buy up the best ground, as his existing companies were already doing extremely well financially (Jackson, 1970). After six increasingly frustrated months, Hammond resigned in April (? March) 1894 (Hammond, 1935; Anon., 1937; Jackson 1970), accepting an instant invitation from

Fig. 10. Map of the reefs being worked by the Kleinfontein, Van Ryn and Modderfontein Companies, East of Johannesburg. Reproduced from Hatch (1898, fig. 1).
Rhodes to join Gold Fields as its Chief Consulting Engineer. Hatch, Chalmers and Truscott moved with him (Hammond, 1935). Hammond’s team had already considered the problems of sinking shafts much deeper than hitherto, as well as possible dewatering problems. The accumulating geological evidence in the Witwatersrand was showing that rapid change occurred in the angle of dip of the gold reefs, both at outcrop and with depth, and it was uncertain what would happen at depths much below 1000 ft (305 m). Nevertheless, Hammond, Hatch and Chalmers were confident that as evidence from boreholes showed no sign of the grade of the reefs decreasing with depth (Anon., 1893b) and that temperatures in the mines should not exceed 32º C at 3300 ft (1000 m), by installing suitable mine-ventilation systems, deep-level mining should be both practical and economic down to depths of 5000 ft (1525 m) (Hammond, 1895; Hatch and Chalmers, 1895, chap. 5). Consequently, development of the Nigel Deep mine (Fig. 9) in the south-eastern part of the basin began (the company was registered in May 1894; Anon., 1937, p. 170). Hatch visited the Heidelberg area (Fig. 4) in 1894 and concluded that since the same stratigraphy applied as at the Nigel mine, then it too must be working the Main Reef (Hatch, 1922).

That August, at Rhodes’ behest, Hammond and a team, which included Hatch and Chalmers, set out on a two month-long expedition to Rhodes’ recently acquired Mashonaland and Matabeleland, Rhodesia (Fig. 2), to assess whether it was worthwhile to exploit the extensive gold reefs, which had been worked to shallow depth in ancient times but abandoned long since. On their way north from Pretoria to Bulawayo, according to Hammond (1935, p. 262) a journey of some 500 mi, but in reality more like 380 mi (600 km), by bullock-drawn waggons (Hammond, 1935, p. 262), they were joined at Bulawayo (Fig. 1) by Rhodes and the Scottish surgeon, Leander Jameson (1853-1917), who had become one of his most trusted associates (Thomas, 1996). Chalmers and Hatch (1895) concluded from their investigations, that the lodes were gold-bearing fissure-filling quartz veins in metamorphosed basement related to intrusion of granites and, while this had potential for deep mining, as a source of gold it was obviously a riskier investment than was the Transvaal. Although Hammond and Rhodes were in agreement with their view, it unfortunately subsequently caused Rhodes’ stock-holders to be reluctant to invest in the new territory. Consequently, Gold Fields interests now focussed on development of deep-level properties on the Rand (Fig. 9): Robinson Deep and Simmer & Jack (co. reg. Sep. 1894), Nigel Central (May 1895), Sub Nigel (Aug. 1895), Rand Victoria and Knights Deep (Sep. 1895), Simmer & Jack East (Oct. 1895) and Simmer & Jack West (Dec. 1895) (Anon., 1937).

5. Politics intervenes

Since 1892, the mining industry had been suffering from the policies of Transvaal’s Boer government: there were duties of at least 28% on the passage of vital commodities required by the mines (e.g. timber, cement, coke, corrugated sheet- and bar- iron, candles, machinery of all types, sulphuric acid, mercury, and cyanide) over the Transvaal section of the railway line from the Cape to Johannesburg, and 10% of total expenditure of the mining companies went on the compulsory purchase of poor-quality locally-manufactured dynamite, bought at exorbitant prices from a firm owned by Edward Lippert, Alfred Beit’s cousin, which paid a ‘royalty’ to a member of the government. To make matters worse, it was argued by some, the ‘Uitlander’ [foreign] community (which outnumbered that of the Boers by c. 3:1) paid 90% of the taxes but policing, educational facilities and other services were poor, and they had no political representation in the government at all. Hammond was enraged by all these things (Hammond, 1935; Jackson, 1970) and believed that discontent with the Boer government was growing generally (Fitzpatrick, 1899). Writing in August 1899, Hatch appeared to agree with this view: ‘The most pressing reforms required are: the expropriation of the monopolist railway, the cancellation of the dynamite monopoly, the suppression of the illicit liquor traffic, and last, but not
least, a voice for the Uitlander in the expenditure of the State revenues’ (Hatch, 1899, p. 817). By the summer of 1895, a ‘Reform Movement’ had begun. However, as pointed out by Nutting (1970, p. 314), ‘while some of the more irresponsible .... Uitlanders might have threatened revolution .... most of them were doing far too well to risk a collision with the Boer authorities.’

As a result of his position as Hammond’s assistant, Hatch must have been well-aware that ‘the game was afoot’ and with the impending birth of another child providing a convenient excuse, the family returned to England in June 1895, and his third son, Maurice, was born in August. Following his election as a Member in the Mining Division of the IMM, on 16th September, Hatch gave a paper entitled: On the Auriferous Conglomerate of the Witwatersrand at the meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science in Ipswich that September (Hatch, 1896) then left for Spain to undertake an investigation in the Huelva mining district (Hatch, 1911e).

His definitive book with Chalmers, Gold Mines of the Rand, published in the autumn of 1895, was well-received. Despite minor criticism of the authors’ stratigraphical interpretation, one reviewer (clearly having Hatch in mind) pleaded ‘that a geologist, who is both a good stratigraphist and a petrologist, will attempt to unravel the geology of the country’ (Anon., 1895), but he was already addressing this issue.

By December 1895, in the Transvaal, a Reform Committee, ‘a group of hard-headed, successful, conservative men of affairs’ (Hammond, 1935, p. 319), which included Hammond, had been formed, with the stated intention of establishing a new government by force if a final appeal for reason to the Boer government (to be made on 6 January 1896) failed: With arms and supplies largely financed through Gold Fields, it was intended that 1500 mounted armed men, under Jameson, would depart from Pitsani, near the western Transvaal border, march 185 mi (298 km) to Pretoria (Fig. 2), seize the fort and its supplies and then transport them by rail to Johannesburg; meanwhile 9,000 armed men would seize control in Johannesburg. Unfortunately, unwilling to listen to advice, Jameson left prematurely on 29 December 1895, with a force of 520 armed horsemen (of whom 400 were Bechuanaland police troopers, supplied by Rhodes’ Chartered Company), aiming for Johannesburg. On 2 January 1896, he was met 12.5 mi (20 km) west of Johannesburg by a force of 1200 well-armed Boers and forced to surrender. By January 10th, Hammond and the other leaders of the Reform Committee had been arrested, on grounds of having committed treason against the ZAR, and taken to Pretoria. Put on trial in April 1896 and imprisoned under sentence of death, as a result of a political compromise with the British Government they were eventually deported to England that July (Fitzpatrick, 1899; Nutting, 1970; Packenham, 1979). In the aftermath, mines began to close down and there was a general economic down-turn, but Hammond, now based in London, continued to act as a Consulting Engineer for Gold Fields.
By June 1896, the Hatch family had returned to Johannesburg, and he resumed his geological work with Gold Fields, but ‘the difficulties of geological mapping in a sparsely-inhabited country, and where the inhabitants since the Jameson raid have not been particularly disposed to welcome the Uitlander, may be easily imagined’ (Hatch, 1898, p. 73). Nevertheless, in the following year he managed to complete the topographical and geological maps of c. 5,040 mi² (13,000 km²) of the southern Transvaal, at a scale of 4 mi:1 in (1:253,440), which he had begun some five years previously (Hatch, 1897a, 1897b). Figure 11 shows a much reduced monochrome version of the map published in Hatch (1898).

Fig. 11. Geological map of the southern Transvaal. Reproduced (at much reduced size) from Hatch (1898, pl. VI).

The family returned to England for the birth of their daughter, Olive, that October and, in November, he gave a paper on his work at the Geological Society, London (Hatch, 1897c). He suggested that the auriferous Nigel conglomerates formed the southern edge of a syncline, and that it ought to be possible, by putting down deep boreholes, to find the position of the eastern rim, which was hidden beneath the unconformably-overlying Dolomite Series and Ecca Beds (Hatch, 1898). However, problems with the dynamite monopoly and duties on other commodities continued, and a 5% tax on mining company profits was imposed (Hatch, 1899). The conviction among the Uitlanders that an Anglo-Boer war was inevitable began to strengthen. By late August 1898, reinforcements of 10,000 British troops had arrived in Natal and continued to grow. In the Rand, managers, professional men, and their families began to leave. By the 5th September, some 60,000 refugees had left, the mines were closing down and the native workforce largely dispersed (Nutting, 1970; Packenham, 1979). Hatch and his family had joined the exodus for England. On the 11 October 1899, the Boer War began.
In the latter part of 1898 and 1899, Hatch worked as a consultant on gold and other deposits in Montana, Arizona, New Jersey and California, USA, and the gold-mining areas at Nelson and Sandon, Kootenay Lake, south-eastern British Columbia, Canada (many of which are discussed in Hatch, 1929). From March 1900, he was in India, attached to the staff of the Indian Geological Survey for a year (Anon., 1901), to report on the goldfields at the request of Indian Government, visiting Devala, Calcutta, Bangalore and, particularly, Wainad and Kolar (Hatch, 1902a, 1902b), but that October, he also visited several gold prospects in Eritrea, of which the most favourable was at Schiumagalle, near Addi Apsulus (Hatch, 1902c; quoted in Jelenc, 1966, pp. 137, 192-3, 196; Società Eritrea per le Miniere d'Oro, 1902b; Zaccaria, 2005). He returned to England in March 1901.

6. The post-war years

On 26th March 1902, Rhodes died of heart failure and the Boer War ended on 31st March (for discussion, see: Wilson, 1900; Nutting, 1970; Packenham, 1979). It had been a disastrous time for the goldfields. As the gold mines and railway became active once again, there was an increasing, and highly competitive, demand for coal. Hatch (accompanied by his wife and daughter, leaving his three sons at Oundle boarding school in England) returned to Parktown (north of the centre of Johannesburg), where he took up a new appointment as Chief Consulting Engineer to the firm of Lewis & Marks (originally founded by two Lithuanian-born South African entrepreneurs, Samuel Marks (1843-1920), and his cousin, Isaac Lewis (1849-1927) in the Kimberley diamond fields), with a brief to establish an engineering department for the partnership.

Hatch now spent four days a week at Vereeniging, the town where the Peace Conference took place at the end of the Boer War, and where Lewis & Marks’ subsidiary, the Vereeniging Estates Co. (reg. 1897) ran the second-most productive coal mine in the Transvaal, with seams between 3 and 6 m thick within 60 m of the surface and ‘mining is easy’ (Moseley, 1906). In January 1903, Joseph Chamberlain, Secretary of the Colonies, visited Johannesburg, and Hatch was one of a committee of 15 mining engineers who compiled a descriptive and statistical review of the gold mining industry of the Witwatersrand for his benefit which ‘went thoroughly into the past history of the goldfield; the yields, working costs, and statistics of past workings; the probable number of stamps that might be required in the future; the wages, living expenses, and relative proportion of natives and white men to bring about the best results in the future’ (H. Jennings, quoted in Rickard, 1922, p. 247). Later that year, the second edition of Hatch’s *Geological Map of the Transvaal* (1903a, 1903b) was published, and he was appointed to the Transvaal Technical Education Commission (Technical Education Commission, 1903). On the basis of their recommendations, students were transferred from the original School of Mines in Kimberley to the School of Mines in Johannesburg. He was also appointed, together with the Scottish geologist, Corstorphine, as a joint Honorary Secretary, Treasurer and Editor of the GSSA for 1903-04. Corstorphine had been Consulting Geologist to Gold Fields in Johannesburg since 1902, having previously been the first holder of the Chair of Mines and Technology at the South African College, Cape Town (1895-96) and Director of the newly-established the Geological Survey of the Cape of Good Hope (1896-1902). His experience of the geology of the Cape complimented Hatch’s in the Transvaal.
Apart from Hatch’s own work on the Witwatersrand succession (Hatch, 1903c-e), in 1903, he and Corstorphine began working together on the first textbook on *The Geology of South Africa* (Hatch and Corstorphine, 1905a), establishing a definitive stratigraphy (Fig. 12) and also studied the stratigraphy and petrology of the shales and auriferous conglomerates of the Witwatersrand succession (Hatch and Corstorphine, 1904a, 1904b; 1905b; Williams, 1905). They concluded that while the quartz and quartzite fragments in the conglomerate were evidently water-borne, there was no definitive evidence that the occasional rounded ‘buckshot’ pyrite grains and ‘pebbles’ found among the pyrite crystals showed any signs of ‘superficial weathering’ and were probably accretionary. The Oxford mineralogist, Henry Alexander Miers (1858-1942), confirmed for them that the small black spots associated with the gold in the ‘Carbon Leader’ of the Reitfontein and Randfontein mines (Fig. 9), and at Buffelsdoorn, north of Klerksdorp (Fig. 4) was, indeed, carbon (Hatch and Corstorphine, 1904b, f.n. 141), as Hatch (1903c, 1903e) had suspected. Hatch and Corstorphine also established that extensive silicification of the conglomerates post-dated much of the pyrite, and that the gold often cemented rounded pyrite particles. They concluded that the gold and most, if not all, of the pyrites must therefore have been transported in solution and crystallised in the matrix of the conglomerate. They argued against its origin as a modified placer-deposit containing gold (a view popular with some, e.g. Gregory, 1907), and went on to suggest that precipitation of the gold in particular beds might have taken place as a result of the presence of some unknown reducing agent connected with the presence of pyrites and

Fig. 12. Stratigraphy of the Transvaal. Reproduced from Hatch & Corstorphine (1905a, pl. I).
that the ‘carbonaceous matter’ might have played ‘a greater role in the precipitation of the gold than is usually thought’ (Hatch and Corstorphine, 1904a, p. 145).

At Klipfontein farm, 4 mi (6.4 km) east of Modderfontein (Fig. 9) the south-dipping gold-bearing conglomerates of the Witwatersrand Series disappeared underneath the cover of the Dolomite and Ecca Beds, and were known to re-emerge, with dip reversed, at Marievale farm, 2 mi (3.2 km) east of Nigel (Fig. 9) in the Heidelberg district. Hatch had previously suggested (Hatch and Chalmers, 1895; Hatch, 1898) that it ought to be possible to trace the connection between the Nigel conglomerates and those on the Rand. Now his opportunity to do so arrived, as Lewis & Marks also held mining interests (through East Rand Mining Estates) in two farms in the East Rand: Grootvlei No. 45 and Palmietkuil No. 61 (Fig. 9; the numbers correspond to those of Jeppe, 1899) which lay near the supposed centre of the syncline and southeast of the farm Geduld No. 134, where three boreholes drilled by Goertz & Co. in 1897 had encountered the Van Ryn Reef at depths of 1388-2136 ft (423-651 m). He initiated a programme of boreholes, along a 10 mi (16 km) NW-SE traverse across where he believed the axis of the basin probably lay, to try to locate the gold-bearing reefs at depth. The first four boreholes, on Palmietkuil, were unsuccessful (one was cut-out by a dyke; another ‘abandoned by the Contractors in the Dolomite’) but the fifth, on the western boundary of Grootvlei, struck what he recognised to be the Nigel-Van Ryn (Main) Reef, at a depth of 3412 ft (1040 m). A further three boreholes were equally successful. The drilling campaign was completed in 1904, with the intersected reefs yielding good gold values. As a result of this evidence, Hatch and the American mining engineer, Thomas Haight Leggett (1859- fl. 1925) confidently suggested in 1902 (Leggett and Hatch, 1904) that the reefs would be found to continue below 6000 ft (1830 m) and could be mined at that depth, a claim greeted with scepticism by some at the time (Leggett and Hatch, 1904, discussion), but this depth was eventually reached by the Deelkraal No. 1 shaft in 1977 and, today, the Western Deeps South Shaft is operating at 12,795 ft (3900 m) (Handley, 2010, pp. 102-103).

Using additional data from earlier borings, Hatch was able to construct a subsurface contour map to show the probable depths at which the Main Reef (hidden beneath a cover of up to 300m of the Dolomite Series) would be encountered in the East Rand (Fig. 13; Hatch, 1904a, 1904b). However, most unfortunately, it soon became apparent that the presence of previously unknown aquifers in the Dolomite Series would pose major problems for exploitation of the reef, although fortuitously solving the problem of Johannesburg’s water-supply (for example, in 1910, sinking of the Pullinger shaft in the West Wits area had to be abandoned at a depth of only 100 ft (30 m), owing to an uncontrollable influx of up to 5 million gallons of water per day). Because of this, opening-up of the reserves which Hatch’s work had indicated were potentially accessible did not prove possible until 1911.
During 1904, Hatch had become sole Honorary Secretary, Treasurer and Editor of the GSSA, and his fourth son, Gilbert, was born in Johannesburg that November. He and Corstorphine had also formed the ‘Museum Committee’ of the Society, and oversaw the removal of the Society’s collection of specimens from the Chamber of Mines building, where it had been housed for many years, to the General Mining and Finance Corporation Building in Marshall Square, Johannesburg. On 30th January 1905, he was elected President of the GSSA, with Corstorphine as his Honorary Secretary. Five days previously, a 3,025 carats (620 g) blue-white diamond had been found in yellow ground at the Premier Mine, near Pretoria, and it was more than three times the weight of the largest diamond hitherto known. Although actually found by ‘Mr. Wells, the Surface manager’ it was named after T.M. Cullinan, the Chairman of the Premier Company. Hatch and Corstorphine were invited to examine it. When Hatch returned to England with his family in February 1905, in order to finalise the production of their textbook, he ‘exhibited lantern slides’ of photographs of the diamond (Fig. 14) at a meeting of the Geological Society of London on 8th March and at a meeting of the Mineralogical Society on 15th March (Hatch, 1905a, 1905b). Stereoscopic photographs were also shown at a meeting of GSSA in Johannesburg, on 13th March, and their detailed description (Hatch and Corstorphine, 1905c, 1905d; 1906) was read to the meeting. Hatch subsequently became interested in the controversy regarding the nature of the diamond-bearing kimberlite pipes and dykes of the Transvaal. In a review article (Hatch, 1908) he noted that Corstorphine favoured the minority view...
that the diamonds were ‘derived from a deep-seated source’ as many, including the Cullinan, showed signs of fracturing, and the occurrence of isolated broken fragments was common.

The publication of their book, *The Geology of South Africa* (Hatch and Corstorphine, 1905a), for which Hatch completed the Foreword in London that June, was well received (W.G., 1905). Revised in 1909, it would remain ‘the only comprehensive book on the subject for the next 20 years’ (Rogers, 1937, p. 129).

Hatch returned to Johannesburg in time to participate in the 57th Meeting of the BAAS, held in Cape Town and Johannesburg between 16 August and 1 September, at which he gave two papers (Hatch, 1905c, 1905d) and led a field trip to the Vereeniging Coalfield (Hatch, 1905e). During the course of the meeting, it became evident that ‘the separation of southern Africa into six political regions (Cape Colony, the Transvaal, Orangia, Natal, Rhodesia, and German South West Africa) had led to ‘overlapping systems of [stratigraphic] nomenclature which are confusing to students in other countries and may give rise to future difficulty in South Africa’ (Gregory, 1911, p. 123). A BAAS Committee, under the chairmanship of John Gregory (1864-1932), Professor of Geology at Glasgow University (who also had his own views on the Goldfield: Gregory, 1907), was consequently ‘appointed to investigate and report on the Correlation and Age of South African Strata and on the Question of a Uniform Stratigraphical Nomenclature’ (Gregory, 1911, p. 123; Hatch, 1911a). The Transvaal was represented on this committee by Hatch and Corstorphine; Herbert Kynaston (1868-1915), Director of the Geological Survey of the Transvaal since 1903; and R.B. Young, Professor of Geology and Mineralogy at the Transvaal University College, Johannesburg, who was also particularly interested in the banket (Young, 1917). Hatch was also made Secretary of a second Committee, under the Chairmanship of George Lamplugh (1859-1926) of H.M. Geological Survey of Great Britain: ‘appointed to determine the precise Significance of Topographical and Geological Terms used locally in South Africa’ (Hatch, 1909a, p. 291; 1910a, 1911b). When Hatch gave his valedictory Presidential Address to the GSSA (Hatch, 1906, 1907a), on 29th January 1906, on the evolution and stratigraphic succession of the Transvaal, it proved controversial as, apart from elucidating the stratigraphic succession, he accused Kynaston and his Geological Survey of neglecting the geology of the Rand in favour of outside districts. Somehow, he also found the time to work with the Scottish mining engineer Edwin James Vallentine (1877?-1951) to compile *Mining Tables*, a compendium ‘of formulae, constants and other data useful to them in the exercise of their profession, which are not always to be found in text-books’ (Hatch and Vallentine, 1907, p. i). The information

---

*Fig. 14. Two views of the Cullinan diamond (c. actual size) photographed by E.H.V. Melvill. Reproduced from Hatch (1905b, pl. VII).*
contained ranged from the weights and measures used in various countries, to means of surveying mining properties, valuation of ore-reserves, or expressing copper prices.

7. Return to England

Possibly prompted by a severe regional economic recession which caused the collapse of the coal industry (Mendelsohn, 1991), and with his term as President of the GSSA ended (to be succeeded by Corstorphine), Hatch returned to England in February 1906, and established himself as a Consulting Mining Engineer in London. Soon he was off again, examining mines in the Siberian goldfields (Hatch, 1907b).

However, his return to England was not the end of his connection with the geology of the Transvaal. Quite apart from his membership of the BAAS working parties, he represented the Republic of South Africa at the Centenary meeting of the Geological Society, London, in 1907, and subsequently returned to South Africa, at the request of the Government of Natal, to undertake an appraisal of metalliferous mines in Natal and Zululand during March-November 1909 (Hatch, 1910b). Hatch’s conclusions were, broadly: that there were no deposits of commercial value of either precious- or base-metals; that coal was the only commodity worthy of attention; that a mechanism for proper retention of plans of both surface and underground workings was required; and that there ‘was a crying need’ for the re-establishment of the state’s Geological Survey, which had been set up in 1898 and closed down in 1905. His findings (Hatch, 1910b, 1911c) were received with considerable disappointment by both the mining community (Anon., 1910) and the Government of Natal, especially as they discouraged further investment in the colony. When a draft report of his conclusions was tabled for the Government’s information at the end of November 1909, rumours must have circulated, and a commentator acidly noted that ‘to ascertain, investigate, and report upon the mining potentialities of a country topographically difficult, with a scanty mining literature and a partial and unsatisfactory Geological Survey, is a task requiring a much longer period than it fell to the lot of Dr. Hatch to have at his disposal’ (Anon., 1910). At the behest of the Natal Government, he also made a collection of 251 rock and 330 ore specimens for the Pietermaritzburg [later, Natal] Museum (Hatch, 1909b; Dr. J.G.H. Londt, pers. comm., 1997), and also presented similar collections to the Imperial Institute, South Kensington, London, and the Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, Cambridge.

From July 1910 until June 1913, Hatch and his family were based in Cambridge where, as a Member of Christ’s College, he lectured on economic geology. Towards the end of 1910, and again at the close of 1911, with the aid of the Mineralogy Department at Cambridge, Hatch examined diamonds, gold, and heavy-minerals in concentrates brought to him by the Liberian Development Co., to whom he was a technical advisor, from the Jiblong and Bor rivers, Liberia (Hatch, 1912a). He also completed the 4th edition of his Mineralogy textbook (Hatch, 1912b) which had been previously reprinted, but was only now revised, and worked with the English petrologist, Robert Heron Rastall (1871-1950), Demonstrator of Geology in the University of Cambridge. Their collaboration led to a petrological study of the Port Shepstone marble, Natal (Hatch and Rastall, 1910), and the first English textbook on sedimentary petrology, which embraced ‘not only the loose detrital accumulations, but also their derivatives by cementation, metasomatism and other forms of metamorphism’ (Hatch and Rastall, 1913, p. vi). Together with James Romanes (b. 1884), Rastall also contributed an appendix on the recognition of minerals as seen in thin-section to a new edition of Hatch’s (1909c) textbook on petrology of the igneous rocks.
In an invited lecture to The Institution of Civil Engineers, London, on *The Past, Present and Future of the Gold-mining Industry of the Witwatersrand, Transvaal* (Hatch, 1911d), he reviewed the history of the Goldfield and its mining technology, and updated a previous estimate (Leggett and Hatch, 1904) of the gold-producing potential of the basin. Although there was some uncertainty about the figures as, at that time there were concerns that the ore-grade of the reefs might begin to diminish with depth, assuming that the reefs could be exploited to a depth of 6000ft (1830 m), he foresaw a minimum of 35 years life for the Goldfield with a yield of at least £1406 M. Over the next few years he published several more papers on the reefs (Hatch, 1911f; 1913a, 1913b; 1914b), in some of which he engaged in a somewhat tetchy exchange of views with his one-time assistant (in 1903/04), Cuthbert Baring Horwood (1877-1960), over priority of their ideas on the theories surrounding the gold-pyrite-carbon association in the banket (Hatch, 1913a, 1914b; Horwood, 1917). His last contribution to the subject was a retrospective article on his own work on the Rand (Hatch, 1922).

Hatch visited the Urals in 1912. On 13th September 1913, he was elected President of the IMM, but visited Canada in late February 1914, returning to give his *Presidential Address* on 26 March. It was principally a survey of theories of ore generation (Hatch, 1914a), but his address was remembered for doing ‘more for the cause of mining geology in defining its scope and importance as an integral part in the science of mining engineering than any other of its supporters’ (Trewartha-Jones, 1915).

On 3 August 1914, Britain entered the First World War. Iron and steel were required for the production of goods for the armed forces which ranged from the provision of ‘entrenching tools, soup-cans, mess-tins, saddlery, bits and spurs’ to shell cases (Hatch, 1919a, p. 1). The British steel-making industry had long relied on importation of hematite and manganiferous iron-ores from Spain and the Mediterranean. With the outbreak of war, the threat of German submarine activity reduced the ship-tonnage available, causing a six-fold increase in the cost of imported ore, and at one point threatened to cut off the supply altogether. By February 1917, it was realised by the British government that the development of domestic sources of supply was a matter of urgency (Hatch, 1919a, pp. 69-77). Although Hatch had acted in an advisory role from October 1914, in March 1917 he joined the mining engineer, Frank Merricks (1860-1936), Consulting Engineer to the Ministry of Munitions from 1915, and was now placed in charge of Home Iron Ore and Limestone Development Section of the Iron and Steel Production Department of the Ministry. Their group’s work identified large quantities of suitable phosphoric ironstone in the Jurassic rocks of the Midlands, hematite from Cumberland, as well as manganese ores from North Wales, and enabled supplies of British-sourced iron-ores to be maintained at pre-war levels. Steel production rose from 7.8Mt in 1914 to 13Mt in 1917; additional supplies were shipped in, when possible, from Spain, Sweden and France (Hatch, 1918a, 1918b; 1919a, 1919b, 1919c; 1920a, 1920b).

A revised edition of *Mineralogy* was published in 1916. Following the Armistice (11 Nov 1918), Hatch became a member of a Commission to examine the condition of the iron and steel works in the occupied areas of Germany, Belgium and France; and was also appointed Director of the Mineral Resources Development Branch of the Board of Trade (1919-20) and a member of the Governing Body of the Imperial Mineral Resources Bureau (1919-25). In 1920, the Mineral Resources Development department was wound up, having completed its work and he was appointed O.B.E. (Fig. 15) for his services to the
Board of Trade and Ministry of Munitions, although he continued to retain an honorary role (1921-31) as Technical Advisor to the Mines Department on questions relating to the metalliferous mining industry (Anon., 1921; Hatch, 1921).

He also served on the Committee on British Petrographic Nomenclature (1920-21), chaired by Professor William Watts (1860-1947), which was established by the Geological Society and Mineralogical Society to look into issues of standardisation of terminology of rock names (Committee on British Petrographic Nomenclature 1921); was appointed, in 1921, to the planning Committee of the Minerals Section for the forthcoming 1924-25 British Empire Exhibition; and served on the Council of Geological Society (1921-25) and on the Governing Board of the Imperial Institute (1927-1932). However, by 1929, when his textbook, *An Introduction to the Study of Ore-Deposits*, based on his Cambridge lectures, eventually appeared, his health was beginning to fail, and he resigned (with a surprisingly terse exchange of letters) from the Council of the IMM in 1930. Nevertheless, a sixth revision of his *Mineralogy* was published in 1931. Following an operation for an enlarged prostate, he died of bronco-pneumonia, aged 68, at his apartment: D3, The Albany, Piccadilly, London (which is almost adjacent to the Geological Society premises at Burlington House, Piccadilly) on 22 Sept 1932.

A great enthusiast for the opera, especially Wagner, and a collector of landscape paintings and antiques, he was seen by his professional colleagues as a ‘painstaking, studious, highly-cultured scientist’ (Trewartha-Jones, 1915) and a ‘man of high attainments’ (R.H.R., 1932). The quality most admired by his friends in later life, whether it was in the Athenaeum Club in London, or in the Senior Common Room at Cambridge, was that ‘a scholar by up-bringing and temperament, he always retained a scholar’s intellectual integrity and intolerance of sham’ (unpublished draft funeral address, possibly by Rastall, Mrs. P. Soave, pers. comm.). His name is commemorated in the mineral *hatchite* (Pb, Tl)$_2$AgAs$_2$S$_5$ (Solly and Smith, 1912); the Hatch Plain (80° 43ʹ 59ʹʹ S, 25° 42ʹ 58ʹʹ W) in Antarctica, named by the UK Antarctic Place-Names Committee in 1971 (Hattersley-Smith, 1977); and, as mentioned in the Introduction, he is one of the ‘Pioneers of Witwatersrand geology’ featured on the Geological Society of South Africa’s postcard.

However, so far as his family were concerned, he was, as his daughter Olive (1897-1976) described him, ‘a tyrant,’ an autocratic bully (no-one was allowed to leave the house, even to post a letter, when he was ‘at home’), and financially mean to the point that, in later life, his wife had to breed Persian cats in order to achieve a modest income of her own (Mrs. J. McLachlan, pers. comm.). Consequently, it often came as a relief to his family when he was away undertaking consultancy or doing geology in the field. Like many families, they experienced personal tragedy in the war years: two sons, Philip (1892-1916) and Lawrence (1893-1915), were killed in action and he became estranged from his third, Maurice (b. 1895, fl. 1958), on his also joining the army in 1915 and marrying their French maid the following year, both acts against the expressed wishes of his parents. In March 1921, his wife died of Spanish influenza and he subsequently married Amie Pool (b. 1873) in 1923. Although he did not divorce her, they appear to have lived apart from c. 1927. His youngest son, Peter (1904-1987) eventually also became a mining geologist, and his daughter, who looked after Peter following their mother’s death ‘escaped’ to become a teacher in Paris and married in 1931.

8. Later work

No actual sedimentological studies were carried out on the Witwatersrand succession until the 1920s, and the origin of the gold still remains controversial today, see Pretorius (1975) and Boyle (1987) for useful surveys of early work: While some workers favour a modified placer origin (Frimmel, 1996, 1997; Hayward et al., 2005), an alternative view is a hydrothermal replacement model, with the original source of the gold in mafic crustal rocks beneath the Witwatersrand Supergroup, and the
mineralising process linked to the tectonic evolution of the basin. It has been suggested that transport of gold into the rocks which now form the Witwatersrand Supergroup occurred during metamorphism (Phillips et al., 1989; Phillips and Law, 1994; Phillips et al., 1997), which has been related to the Vredefort Impact Event (Therriault et al., 1997, Hayward et al., 2005). The gold precipitation has been linked to reaction with the carbon- and iron-bearing rocks, associated with widespread alteration by fluids channelled by structures, unconformities and bedding.

Further editions of Hatch’s petrological textbooks continued to be produced posthumously: As mentioned in the Introduction, that on igneous rocks (1909c) which he had further revised in 1910, 1914 and 1926, was entirely revised by Alfred and Maurice Wells in 1926, 1949 and 1961. Hatch and Rastall’s (1913) book on sedimentary petrology was reprinted in 1923 and subsequently underwent major revisions by Maurice Black (1904-1973) (Hatch et al., 1938), and John Trevor Greensmith (b. 1929) (Hatch et al., 1965) who, following a further revised edition (Greensmith et al., 1971), was eventually asked by the publishers to continue it in his own name only (Greensmith, 1978), which he revised in 1989, ‘by which time there was little of the original thoughts of Rastall and Hatch remaining in the book apart from placers and beach concentrates’ (Greensmith, pers. comm.).
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